ABSTRACT

Objectives Rare diseases are characterised by low incidence, often with little evidence for effective treatments. Isolated patients and specialist centres for rare diseases are increasingly connected, thanks to the internet. This scoping review aimed to identify issues facing people with a rare disease that authors report may be addressed by electronic resources (mobile applications, websites, social media platforms, telehealth and online portals).

Design Scoping review guided by the PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews) guidelines.

Data sources Medline, Embase and PsycInfo were searched, supplemented by hand searches of selected journals, in July 2021.

Eligibility criteria Peer-reviewed literature in English was searched using terms for rare disease (incidence <1:2000), electronic modalities (eg, mobile phone) and patient support terms. No date limit was set. Conference abstracts were included.

Data extraction and synthesis Data extracted: rare disease/group of diseases, name of the e-resource, need identified in the patient cohort, features of the e-resource, any other findings or observations of interest. From this, a framework was developed synthesising features across diseases and resources.

Results Seventy-two papers were found (from 383). Fifty-six electronic resources were described in 64 papers, while 12 papers were exploratory studies. Cystic fibrosis (n=28) was the most frequently addressed, followed by haemophilia (n=16).

Four domains and 23 subdomains of needs were extracted from the papers. The domains of needs were: support for self-management, access to high-quality information, access to appropriate specialist services, and social support. Subdomains are sometimes related to needs of individual rare diseases (eg, social isolation due to infection risk in people with cystic fibrosis). Fifteen electronic resources were identified that supported parents of children with rare disorders.

Conclusions While it can be argued that rare diseases, per se, may be no less distressing or onerous to care for than a high prevalence disease, rare diseases have unique features: the lengthy odyssey to find a diagnosis, then appropriate specialists, the lack of evidence around effective treatments, guidelines or access to knowledgeable general health service providers.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ Individual named rare diseases/groups of diseases were included in the search terms to overcome deficiencies of searching only using ‘rare diseases’, but could not cover all rare diseases.

⇒ There are estimated to be 6–7000 rare diseases so searching by name (plus their synonyms) was not feasible.

⇒ Data extraction considered the type of electronic resource proposed, by disease and by the need of resource addressed.

⇒ Electronic resources were categorised into mobile applications, social support platforms, telehealth tools and online portals, and active (containing interactive content, eg, quizzes) and passive websites (information only).

Designers of electronic resources are urged to consult key stakeholders to enhance the effectiveness and usability of resources for people with a rare disease.

INTRODUCTION

There are an estimated 6–7000 different types of rare disease, many of them are genetic. We define a rare disease as a condition that has an incidence of less than 1 per 2000 live births in the population. Examples of rare diseases are fragile X syndrome, haemophilia A, osteogenesis imperfecta, cystic fibrosis, spinal muscular atrophy type 1 and neurofibromatosis type 2. The low incidence of rare diseases mean that specifics of individual diseases are not covered in medical education programmes which must prioritise more common conditions, and also that many health professionals have never seen a case before. Rare diseases are often difficult to diagnose, leading to the often described ‘diagnostic odyssey’. The case has been made that even after this odyssey is concluded with a definitive diagnosis, the journey continues as people with a rare disease seek to access the best management care.
Less than 5% of the estimated 7000 rare diseases currently have an effective treatment. The low number of cases of each rare disease means that evidence is often lacking to guide best practice. For example, CLN12 disease with an incidence of only one per million has too few people with the disease to set up a clinical trial to test the effectiveness of potential treatments or even map the ‘typical’ progression of the disease.5 Guidance on best practice must be determined through consensus recommendations of specialists in the condition,5 6 7 which are often enhanced by the input of consumers who are living with the condition.8

A number of studies have explored the specific needs of people with a rare condition.3 4 9 10 Access to appropriate specialist services, finding a generalist health provider who is willing to learn about the condition, living with uncertainty of what is best practice, and the trial and error nature of discovering it have all been reported.4

Electronic resources have a key role for people living with a rare disease. It is recognised that a useful lever to accessing the best management care for people with a rare disease is empowerment, in which people become knowledgeable about their illness and feel able to advocate for their care.16 Tools to foster empowerment are particularly relevant in this group, and electronic resources hold great promise to make information and resources more easily accessible. To our knowledge, no one has surveyed this fragmented field to determine the role of electronic resources for people with a rare disease.

This paper aims to systematically scope the peer-reviewed literature to (1) identify issues facing people with a rare disease that authors report may be addressed by electronic resources, and (2) to collate evidence around features of effective and user-friendly e-resources.

METHODS

We systematically searched for peer-reviewed literature on consumer-facing electronic resources for people with a rare disease, guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews17 (see online supplemental file 1 for the completed PRISMA-ScR checklist). The search was supplemented with a hand search of relevant peer-reviewed journals. Patients and public were not directly involved in the design, reporting or dissemination plan of this paper.

Three databases, Medline, Embase and PsycInfo, were searched in July 2021. No date limits were set but we only included articles in the English language. We targeted empirical peer-reviewed full articles but initial exploratory searches showed that a large proportion of the retrieved items were conference presentations on resources not reported elsewhere. These were therefore also included in the review. Search terms were developed through exploration of Medical Subject Heading terms and key words from equivalent papers from other fields. Search strings combined terms for rare disease (including specific named rare disease conditions to maximise results), with patient and carer facing resources (eg, health resources, patient participation), and terms associated with electronic resources (eg, mobile applications, cell phone, e-health). In addition, a hand search was performed in Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases (searching for patient-focused electronic resources) and Journal of Medical Internet Research (searching for applications for rare diseases). Search strings are shown in online supplemental file 2.

The definition of a rare disease as having an incidence of less than 1:2000 was used as a guide only as the grouping together of related rare diseases muddied the waters on exact rates, as did the range of definitions employed when reporting on rare diseases. The search therefore drew on named rare conditions/groups of conditions and the most common types of rare diseases (based on research effort for therapeutic companies around the world).18 Imperfect as that list is, it retrieved many more useful articles than the generic rare disease terms. ‘Mitochondrial respiratory chain disorders’ was also added as a large group of rare diseases that are not amenable to pharmaceutical treatment. The condition groups searched for by name therefore were narcolepsy, primary biliary cholangitis, Fabry disease, cystic fibrosis, haemophilia, spinal muscular atrophy, retinal dystrophy, X-linked hypophosphatemia, urea cycle disorders, pulmonary arterial hypotension, cerebral adrenoleukodystrophy, hereditary angioedema, AA amyloidosis, Cushing’s syndrome and mitochondrial respiratory chain disorders.

The following inclusion criteria needed to be met for a paper to be included: (1) the paper was framed around the needs of people diagnosed with a rare disease or group of rare diseases (defined as incidence <1:2000); (2) the focus was on how an electronic resource could meet that need in some way; (3) either proposed and described a particular electronic resource, or reported on the development, testing or evaluation of an actual electronic resource. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) not about a rare disease or group of rare diseases; (2) electronic resources were not consumer-facing (eg, virtual monitoring where the consumer has a passive role and no access to the data, applications that consumers used altruistically to collect data for researchers; electronic tools for healthcare professionals only).

Search results were downloaded into reference management software EndNote V.X9 and two researchers (JCL and SB) independently screened 50% of titles and abstracts using the eligibility criteria. Disagreements


Open access
were discussed until consensus was met. Remaining articles were screened by JCL. Included articles were read in full and eligibility criteria again applied (by JCL and validated by SB). Data were extracted from the final set: rare disease/group of diseases, name of the e-resource, need identified in the patient cohort, features of the e-resource, any other findings or observations of interest.

The final step was collating and synthesising the needs of people with a rare disease discussed in the articles, looking for similarities and differences across different rare diseases and the electronic solutions proposed for each. From this, a framework was developed describing the synthesised domains of needs and examples of electronic resources designed to meet the need.

RESULTS
The search resulted in 383 papers. Title and abstract screening removed 223 papers and full text screening, another 33. This left 72 papers for data extraction and analysis. Figure 1 shows the PRISMA flow chart for the search.

Studies were set in 16 different countries including seven papers that included all of Europe. The USA (n=14), the UK (n=11) and Canada (n=10) were the best represented countries. Figure 2 shows frequencies of all countries. Twenty-one different rare diseases were represented mostly in single papers, while eight papers focused on rare diseases generally (n=8). The most common rare disease reported was cystic fibrosis (n=28) followed by haemophilia (n=19). Table 1 shows the details.

Most papers reported on e-resources that were being trialled or were under development (n=56 e-resources from 64 papers), while 12 were framed as scoping exercises or explored usability of existing websites. For example, Nicholl and colleagues explored the needs of people with rare diseases that could be met by a consumer-facing...
In these cases, the main function decided to support from other parents as well as virtual consults with newly diagnosed infants with haemophilia provided social information (n=7). Some e-resources (n=15) and (5) passive websites (passive content, eg, information) (n=7). Some e-resources fit into more than one category (eg, WhatsApp platform for parents of newly diagnosed infants with haemophilia provided social support from other parents as well as virtual consults with specialists).22 In these cases, the main function decided the category. Table 2 shows the types of resources, their features and their benefits.

Synthesised themes from the data extraction led to the identification of 4 domains and 23 subdomains of needs of people with a rare disease or parents of children with a rare disease. A range of unique needs arising from features of individual diseases were identified (eg, risk of life-threatening acute episodes in Addison’s disease in a context of few emergency health professionals being knowledgeable or confident to deal with adrenal crisis23), as well as more global needs that were common across all presentations (eg, the scarcity of high quality, relevant information about individual rare diseases).19-21 23-31

Needs are summarised in table 3, giving examples of disease-specific needs in each subdomain and examples of the e-resources that had been developed or proposed to address those needs. Data extracted from the final set of items are given in full in online supplemental file 3.

### Chronic diseases requiring complicated self-management

Many rare diseases were described as having a high disease burden relying on complicated self-management regimes. The association of adherence to specific treatments with better health outcomes is strongly supported by evidence for cystic fibrosis,52 metabolic diseases requiring medical diets53 and haemophilia,54 providing a clear rationale for supporting self-management. Optimal self-management in many cases required careful record-keeping of symptoms and tracking of treatments. Mobile apps were often designed to assist with this need. Symptom trackers were a common feature with or without medication alerts or treatment information (pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy for people with cystic fibrosis28 55). Some had a function that allowed sharing of patient entered data with their healthcare team.56 57 Others tracked dietary intake for those on a medical diet.53

#### Lack of high-quality information

A common problem across all the rare diseases represented in this review was access to high-quality, culturally and demographically appropriate information from a credible source that was easy to find and understand. Information sought could be around the nature of the disease, medical treatments, prognoses, etc, for the person with the disease and sometimes also for the health professionals supporting them.23 26 49 Links to registries and research consortiums helped people stay up to date with treatment options.45 Information about day-to-day management issues was often sought from social networks of people with experience of the same disease rather than specialist health professionals.34 37 38 One paper critiqued existing online information on the rare disorder narcolepsy, following a study that showed access to high-quality web-based information could reduce time to diagnosis through better understanding of signs and symptoms.21 Some informational needs related to ‘niche’ topics, for example, an app for the subset of people with mild haemophilia to help them assess for cryptic bleeds after injury.58

#### Specialist centres for rare diseases may be geographically dispersed

Another common problem was the small number of rare disease specialist centres that could be widely dispersed geographically. Some e-resources provided directories for the nearest specialist centre,40 48 or nearest, appropriately skilled allied health provider or patient advocacy agency.59 Others proved virtual consults86 or tailored exercise61 or mental health services62 via telehealth.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Subdomains with disease-specific examples</th>
<th>e-Resource examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic diseases requiring self-management</td>
<td>Complicated self-management (eg, monitoring for bleeds and factor usage for haemophilia)</td>
<td>Online tool (Metabolic DietAppSuite) for smartphones/desktops for 15 different inborn errors of metabolism. Creates a personalised dashboard including specific nutrient goals: food diary, nutrient counts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some treatment regimes require detailed record keeping to optimise outcomes (eg, treatment of infections in CF)</td>
<td>MyCBDR (linked to Canadian Bleeding Disorder Registry (CBDR)) is an app that allows people with a bleeding disorder to track infusions and add symptoms. Data can be shared with all Haemophilia Treatment Centres in Canada.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition to self-care as adolescents become adults (eg, teens with severe haemophilia)</td>
<td>Smartphone app (Faccio Centro) aimed at adolescents with CF that lists daily therapy, with instructions on order, progress tracking, alerts for when to start and when checks are needed. Also can email questions to healthcare team.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise programmes need to be tailored to the rare disease (eg, reduced lung function and exercise tolerance in people with CF)</td>
<td>LAM App on smartphone with interfacing wearable devices for women with lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM). Taught exercise programme and physio check in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring changes in symptoms and treatments (eg, recognising bleeds in mild haemophilia)</td>
<td>MyCyFAPP calculates pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy doses for fat digestion, a symptoms diary, educational material and linked to a web tool allowing health professionals to view data and give feedback.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden of hospital attendance (eg, living far away from only specialist centre for narcolepsy); rare diseases with high disease burden (eg, CF)</td>
<td>An ultrasound diagnostic solution for children with haemophilia, the EMO.TI.ON. System used at home by parents or caregivers to diagnose suspected bleeds. System can transfer live images to specialist physician.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression, anxiety, distress affect adherence to treatment regimes, which in turn affect health and quality of life (eg, distress of parents of newly diagnosed children with haemophilia)</td>
<td>Internet delivered cognitive–behavioural therapy sessions, eHealth CF-CBT guided by a qualified therapist for people with cystic fibrosis experiencing depression or anxiety.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of high-quality information on all aspects of the rare disease</td>
<td>Information that is readable, accessible and from a credible source (eg, lack of specific information on rare and congenital anaemias)</td>
<td>Newborn Screening Connect (NBS Connect) provides high-quality information for parents of children diagnosed with a disorder included in the newborn screening panel performed routinely in the USA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information available in your language, appropriate for your culture (eg, Canadian haemophilia resources in English and French)</td>
<td>Online education package for congenital hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism and the olfacto-genital syndrome is available in 20 European languages. Readability score meets recommended level.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate to age group or special needs (eg, information for teens with CF)</td>
<td>Gene.vision website on genetic eye disorders optimised for people with impaired vision.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to relevant clinical trials, or new research findings may be difficult to find</td>
<td>NIH Rare Diseases Clinical Research Network (RDCRN) notifies registrants with a range of rare disorders of relevant studies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease-specific information on niche topics like family planning (eg, for genetic disorders such as CF)</td>
<td>Facebook group (MyGirlsBlood) for women with bleeding disorders where women can ask questions or share experiences especially around menstruation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent need for disease specific information during COVID-19 pandemic</td>
<td>Weekly webcasts on COVID-19 for people with CF with questions through Google forms. Email notifications of webcasts sent to known patients in the USA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist centres may be geographically dispersed and hard to find</td>
<td>Identifying appropriate care for rare disease (eg, nearest specialist; appropriately trained allied health professionals (eg, physios who know risks of exercise with haemophilia))</td>
<td>Mobile app developed by VASCERN (European Reference Network on Rare Multisystemic Vascular diseases) contains an easily searchable directory to find closest expert and to find appropriate patient advocacy group within Europe.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
The social isolation that comes from having a rare disease is often discussed as a need unique to people with a rare disease. Khair and colleagues noted that for many adolescent boys with haemophilia, the only person other than their doctor who knows about their disease is their parent. Limited access to specialist services means people or parents of a child with a rare condition have to face non-urgent day-to-day issues by themselves unless they find appropriate social support. Social support could be with people with the same disease (eg, Facebook/Twitter group for Hirschsprung’s disease) or include health professionals (eg, online support group for thoracic outlet syndrome).

**DISCUSSION**

This review found 72 papers outlining the needs of people with a rare disease, or their carers that could be addressed by an e-resource. Four domains and 23 subdomains of needs were identified and e-resources to address or support those needs were broadly categorised into five groups: mobile apps, social support platforms, telehealth and virtual health tools, and interactive and passive websites.

A range of unique needs arising from features of individual diseases were identified. Examples included the burden of constant physical isolation to prevent infection for people with cystic fibrosis, the acute distress of parents of infants with osteogenesis imperfecta at suspicions of child abuse that often preceded diagnosis and the difficulty of recognising signs of an impending adrenal crisis for people with Addison’s disease that can be life threatening.

A range of unique needs arising from features of individual diseases were identified. Examples included the burden of constant physical isolation to prevent infection for people with cystic fibrosis, the acute distress of parents of infants with osteogenesis imperfecta at suspicions of child abuse that often preceded diagnosis and the difficulty of recognising signs of an impending adrenal crisis for people with Addison’s disease that can be life threatening.

While the burden of disease for rare disorders may be similar to higher incidence conditions such as stroke or breast cancer, the added burden of the lack of a solid evidence base of effective treatments, best practice guidelines and competent and knowledgeable health service providers is not. The well-documented
diagnostic odyssey for people with rare diseases\textsuperscript{3} and laments that health professionals had never heard of their disease\textsuperscript{2} is a burden unique to this group.

This burden of uniqueness spills over to other needs. Exercise is crucial for physical and mental well-being but for many people with a rare disease, any exercise programme must be tailored and supervised by a suitably knowledgeable health professional. Reduced exercise tolerance for people with cystic fibrosis and LAM, and risk of bleeds into their joints for people with haemophilia were addressed by telehealth or online modules.\textsuperscript{57 61 64-67} Social support of group exercise classes is known to be motivating but is not possible for people with cystic fibrosis who are at risk of cross infection. Online solutions have gone some way to solving this need.\textsuperscript{96}

Resources supporting peer-to-peer support for people and carers of children with a rare disease were well represented in the articles. The value of social support from someone who is on the same journey is well documented.\textsuperscript{58} Although the quality of the advice and information exchanged on social support platforms is often criticised,\textsuperscript{69} there was no evidence of this in our sample, with some sites convened or facilitated by a trained health professional. Four studies critiqued the content of posts on social support platforms for people with different rare diseases and found them to be overwhelmingly positive and supportive.\textsuperscript{36 37 49 50} Real-time, knowledgeable solutions to problems, plus emotional support, were demonstrated as highly valuable to members and these benefits were noted as important goals for any proposed new e-resources.\textsuperscript{30 31}

It has been argued that an often overlooked need for people with a rare disease is support for their parents or carers, on whom the burden of management often falls.\textsuperscript{70} This review identified 15 e-resources that supported carers (mostly parents). The distress that parents feel on diagnosis of a child with a rare disease was frequently discussed and provided the rationale for social support platforms and messaging apps, management support apps and telehealth tools. One German study provided parents of children with cystic fibrosis online writing-based therapy to alleviate psychological distress and anxiety.\textsuperscript{71}

Readability of information found on the internet has been widely critiqued and criticised. A typical example is a review of online education resources from government and healthcare organisations on multiple sclerosis and rheumatoid arthritis (neither considered rare diseases) were found to be written at 11th or 12th grade standard, whereas the average American reads at a 5th grade standard.\textsuperscript{72} The authors state material that is too complex limits its usefulness and increases inequities. E-resources in this review were often noted to be co-designed by health professionals, patient advocacy agencies, parents and people with a rare disease, as well as education experts, to mitigate this risk.\textsuperscript{26 73} Usability and acceptability studies were reported for some but not all the resources found in this review. Ensuring resources are easy to understand, appeal to the target group’s demographics (eg, adolescents vs older adults) and suited to the skills of the users is an obvious goal but one that this scoping review did not always find was done well. Patient advocacy agencies are noted in the broader literature to be particularly proactive in ensuring acceptable and easy to understand resources. A survey of patient advocacy groups for people with rare diseases found 100\% of respondents would be interested in collaborating with relevant healthcare or research groups to develop complementary resources suited to their members, and avoid wasted effort involved in duplication.\textsuperscript{70}

The very low incidence of rare diseases mean that patients and appropriate services are geographically widely distributed. Electronic resources such as telehealth, social networking platforms and specialised apps are therefore ideal solutions to provide appropriate care. Progress in understanding diseases that are rare has been accelerated in recent years as clinicians and scientists around the world pool the data they have on local. A similar benefit comes for patients as they find or are linked to others with knowledge and experience of their unique situation. Currently, the efficacy of e-resources for people with a rare disease is being realised one disease group at a time. While each disease has its unique features, we have shown here that the needs of all people with rare disease are basically the same. These domains of needs should be addressed by any team wishing to develop new e-resources for this cohort.

Strengths and limitations

The nature of the topic (e-resources) lent itself more to conference presentations rather than full peer-reviewed articles. A strength of our search was that we included these items, although a weakness is that limited information was able to be extracted from some abstracts. Further, there was likely an element of publication bias where only successfully developed e-resources were reported. The main limitation to our review was the difficulty capturing articles focused on people with a rare disease. Our search was systematic but does not claim to be exhaustive. In particular, the search terms to capture rare diseases were problematic. Since there are over 7000 rare diseases, it was not feasible to search for each by name. Our strategy was therefore to search for a limited number of named rare disease groups. The lists of needs identified are characteristic of any rare disease, and while some needs are unique to some specific disease manifestation, the majority were applicable to all. This generalisability will be useful for anyone designing e-resources to support people with a rare disease.

CONCLUSION

This review scoped the peer-reviewed literature to identify needs unique to people, or carers of people with a rare disease. It identified four broad domains of need: (1) support for complicated self-management regimes, (2) access to high-quality, easy to understand information, (3) access to appropriate specialist services and (4)
social support. Most studies involved needs of people or carers of children with haemophilia or cystic fibrosis but also addressed another 20 named rare diseases or rare disease groups (eg, inborn errors of metabolism). While the physical burden of a rare disease may be comparable to higher prevalence conditions such as stroke or cancer, rare diseases have unique overlapping issues: the lengthy odyssey to find a diagnosis, the quest to find appropriate specialists to manage your care, the lack of a solid evidence base of effective treatments or best practice guidelines, or access to competent and knowledgeable general health service providers are unique to those with a rare disease. e-Resources are well placed to address many of these problems but must be carefully co-designed with key stakeholders lest their complexity, narrow scope or cultural inappropriateness further disempower this already marginalised group.
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