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ABSTRACT

Objective Childhood glaucoma is a chronic vision-threatening condition that may significantly impact an individual's psychosocial well-being. There is a paucity of literature investigating the quality of life (QoL) in children with glaucoma. The aim of this study was to investigate and report on the QoL issues encountered by children with glaucoma.

Design This is a qualitative interview study. Data were collected through semistructured interviews. NVivo V.12 software (QSR International Pty Ltd, Melbourne, Australia) was used to analyse and code data to identify QoL themes. The prominence of QoL themes was determined by the number of children who raised issues connected to the corresponding theme.

Setting Interviews were conducted via telephone or videoconferencing between April 2020 and July 2021.

Participants Eighteen children with glaucoma, aged 8–17 years, who resided in Australia, were recruited from the Australian and New Zealand Registry of Advanced Glaucoma.

Results Median child age was 12.1 years (IQR: 9.7–14.5 years) and 33% were female. Seven QoL themes were identified: 'coping', 'inconveniences' and 'emotional well-being' were more prominent themes than 'symptoms', 'ocular health concerns', 'social well-being' and 'autonomy'. Adaptive coping strategies included resilience throughout clinical examinations and establishing positive relationships with ophthalmologists. These minimised inconveniences related to clinic waiting times and pupillary dilatation. External to the clinical setting, children often dissociated from their glaucoma but struggled with glare symptoms and feeling misunderstood by fellow peers. Older children aged 13–17 years commonly disengaged from their glaucoma care and expressed an unwillingness to attend ophthalmic appointments. Older children further raised issues with career options, obtaining a driver's licence and family planning under the theme of autonomy.

Conclusions The psychosocial impact of childhood glaucoma extends beyond the clinical environment and was minimised using coping strategies. Older children may require additional social and ophthalmic support as they transition into adulthood.

INTRODUCTION

Childhood glaucoma describes a heterogeneous group of rare chronic vision-threatening disorders with onset occurring at any age from birth to less than 18 years of age. It is typically characterised by elevated intracocular pressure (IOP) and irreversible optic neuropathy. Primary childhood glaucoma is caused by isolated abnormal development of the anterior chamber angle and includes primary congenital glaucoma and juvenile open-angle glaucoma. Secondary childhood glaucoma includes glaucomatous disease that is associated with either other ocular anomalies (eg, aniridia, Axenfeld-Rieger syndrome), an underlying systemic condition (eg, Sturge-Weber syndrome) or an acquired ocular condition (eg, uveitis, trauma). On diagnosis, surgical intervention is typical, and lifelong monitoring with or without additional surgical interventions and/or adjuvant topical therapies to manage IOP and prevent vision loss is generally required. Additional symptoms can include glare and high myopia, and a child may experience cosmetic concerns associated with buphthalmos, occlusion therapy for amblyopia and spectacle wear.

Children with glaucoma may experience several visual and non-visual challenges as they adapt to living with the condition. However, there is a paucity of literature exploring...
the impact of these challenges on quality of life (QoL). Previous research is limited to quantitative association studies that use non-glaucoma specific patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) that were designed to measure the impact of vision impairment on QoL. (called vision-related QoL (VR-QoL))\textsuperscript{4,5} or the impact on overall well-being (called health-related QoL (HR-QoL)).\textsuperscript{7,8} This is because a childhood glaucoma-specific PROM does not exist. Consequently, the results from these studies may not be providing an accurate account of QoL in children with glaucoma. Nonetheless, several studies have reported that children with glaucoma who have lower best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) experienced lower VR-QoL.\textsuperscript{4-7} Meanwhile, a younger age has been associated with lower VR-QoL and HR-QoL.\textsuperscript{7,8} However, there has been limited investigation as to why this trend was observed.\textsuperscript{7,8} A qualitative inquiry is therefore required to explore disease-specific issues that are associated with QoL in children with glaucoma. Findings from this study will inform the development of a childhood glaucoma-specific PROM for future related research and clinical implementation.

METHODS
Methodology
This study used a postpositivist paradigm to identify QoL issues.\textsuperscript{9} This approach was used because we had a theoretical interest in how glaucoma may impact a child’s QoL. This was formed by prior literature and our own clinical and research experience. Postpositivism further allows the calculation of the number of children represented within each theme.\textsuperscript{9} This was considered useful in enhancing the readability of qualitative findings for positivist researchers and clinicians (eg, ophthalmologists) who are instrumental in the care of children with glaucoma. Meanwhile, postpositivism acknowledges that the researchers’ experiences may influence data collection and interpretation (ie, researcher objectivity is not entirely possible).\textsuperscript{9}

Participants
Children were recruited from a large Australasian disease registry, the Australian and New Zealand Registry of Advanced Glaucoma (ANZRAG),\textsuperscript{10} using a non-probability convenience sampling technique. Children were eligible to be interviewed if they currently resided in Australia, were English speaking, had a diagnosis of any subtype of glaucoma as per the Childhood Glaucoma Research Network criteria\textsuperscript{1} and were aged between 8 and <18 years. Children aged ≥8 years are more likely to reliably and independently understand questions relating to QoL than children aged <8 years.\textsuperscript{11} Children were excluded if they had coexisting ocular disease unrelated to childhood glaucoma or had a hearing or cognitive impairment or other disability impacting on QoL (eg, intellectual disability) as informed by their referring specialist or parent/guardian (henceforth abbreviated to parent).

Eligible children, and their parent/s, were posted an invitation to be interviewed and asked to return their interest. If both parties expressed interest, an information pack and consent form were sent. An interview was arranged once written informed consent from one parent and assent from the child were provided. If no response was received within 2 weeks, parents received a follow-up phone call. Children were deemed non-contactable after at least two unsuccessful attempts.

Children’s clinical details were obtained from their most recent medical record and included: glaucoma subtype, age at diagnosis, disease laterality, BCVA (logMAR), IOP, number of surgical interventions and number of topical antiglaucoma medications currently being used. The International Classification of Diseases for Mortality and Morbidity Statistics (11th revision)\textsuperscript{12} was used to categorise BCVA per eye. Because visual field information was not available for every child, BCVA was used as a measure of disease severity. For analysis, children’s ages were grouped into 8–12 years and 13–17 years, as per the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory V.4.0 (PedsQL).\textsuperscript{13} Glaucoma onset at ≥4 years was considered juvenile.\textsuperscript{1}

Interviews
A semistructured interview guide was developed from a literature review of VR-QoL and HR-QoL PROMs (see online supplemental file 1, which details the semistructured interview guide used).\textsuperscript{13-17} Interviews were conducted in the English language by one of two authors with qualitative research experience (LSWK and BR). LSWK is a clinical and research orthoptist, and BR is a health counsellor. No participants were under the clinical care of either interviewer. The child and parent/s were informed that the interviewers were completing a higher research degree. One-on-one semistructured interviews occurred via telephone or Cisco WebEx video-conferencing (Milpitas, California, USA), subject to the child’s preference. Children aged <16 years required a parent chaperone, and parents were not to answer questions on their child’s behalf. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. Interview transcripts and overall findings were not returned to children for accuracy or feedback as it was considered burdensome to the child and unethical (ie, the maturity and comprehension required to understand their contents could not be assured). Instead, at the conclusion of each interview, the child was provided with a verbal summary of their responses for confirmation that they had been interpreted correctly. Interviews continued until thematic saturation was achieved (ie, the point where no new information was gained from subsequent interviews).\textsuperscript{18} Thematic saturation occurred after the 14th interview. An additional four interviews with participants already recruited to the study were conducted to confirm data saturation. Recruitment ceased thereafter.
Data analysis
A general inductive approach was used to identify QoL themes. Transcripts were systematically coded using QSR NVivo V.12 (QSR International Pty Ltd, Melbourne, Australia) by one author (LSWK) during the study recruitment period. To ensure research credibility, stakeholder coding checks were frequently and separately performed by three authors (BR, MPS and ES). Major QoL themes and their subthemes were determined by grouping codes with similar or repetitive patterns of meaning and were abbreviated to be consistent with our previous ophthalmic QoL research pertaining to QoL issues encountered in adults with childhood glaucoma. The prominence of QoL themes was determined by the number of children who raised issues connected to the corresponding theme. Statistical calculations were performed using SPSS V.27.0 for Windows (IBM/SPSS Inc). The datasets generated for the current study are not publicly available. This is to protect the confidentiality of research participants.

Patient and public involvement
Authors (LSWK, BR and ES) presented the research aims at a national childhood glaucoma support group meeting prior to conducting the research. Engagement with attendees assisted in the development of the interview guide, and it was agreed that research findings would be disseminated back to the childhood glaucoma community.

RESULTS
Fifty-four eligible children from the ANZRAG were invited to participate and 18 (33%) were interviewed (see online supplemental figure S1, which depicts the recruitment of participants). The proportion of participants and non-participants with bilateral disease was significantly different (11/18, 61% vs 34/56, 94%, respectively, p=0.004), while all other demographic and clinical variables were similar (see online supplemental table S1). Reasons for declining to participate were not recorded due to the sensitive nature of the study.

Interviews were conducted between April 2020 and July 2021. The average interview length was 30±14 min, and the median age of children interviewed was 12.1 years (IQR: 9.7–14.5 years). Demographic and clinical characteristics of the children interviewed are detailed in table 1.

Seven QoL themes emerged from the data. The total proportion of children experiencing issues per QoL theme and coded segments per theme are shown in figure 1. Additional subthemes not presented within the results are provided in a mind map (see online supplemental figure S2).

Theme 1: coping
All children used coping strategies to manage the impacts of their glaucoma (figure 1). All children (18/18, 100%) discussed being resilient, which is an adaptive emotion-focused coping strategy (ie, a strategy that involves regulation or minimisation of negative emotions). I’ve grown up with it, I’ve gotten used to it, I just don’t pay much attention to it now. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Adaptive problem-focused strategies (ie, strategies that actively confront the problem) included developing a positive relationship with their ophthalmologist (12/18, 67%), seeking and accepting support from family, friends or schoolteachers (11/18, 61%) and accepting parents’ use of positive reinforcement for appointment attendance (9/18, 50%).

I’m a lot more comfortable with [my ophthalmologist] because he’s been doing it with me since basically the first time I went there… we’re friends. (Child aged 8–12 years)

Several children (10/18, 56%) discussed adapting to activity limitations secondary to visual abilities or symptoms, such as photophobia. This was observed in children with bilateral (3/3, 100%) or unilateral BCVA <0.5 (3/7, 43%) and children with no BCVA impairment (4/8, 50%). Adapting to visual limitations was improved with the use of electronic devices in the classroom (eg, laptop computer) whereby text size and contrast could be manipulated. Adapting to photophobia was usually resolved with sunglasses wear. Consequently, 5/18 (28%) children explicitly stated that their glaucoma did not impact their participation in daily activities.

A lot of [schooling] stuff is on the computers and not written on the board anymore. So yeah, like I don’t really think that I have troubles. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Dissociating from one’s glaucoma outside of the clinical setting and ignoring its presence was used by 8/18 (44%) children, most of whom did not have bilaterally impaired BCVA (7/8, 88%). This was considered an adaptive strategy in 4/8 (50%), 3/4 (75%) of whom were aged 8–12 years, as these children considered themselves unaffected by their glaucoma. Conversely, it was considered maladaptive in 4/8 (50%) children, irrespective of age or gender, because these children avoided asking for vision-related assistance from teachers or were disinterested in possible disease consequences.

I’m just not interested in my eyes much. (Child aged 8–12 years)

Actively leaving medical responsibilities and decision making to their parent/s was discussed by more children aged 13–17 years compared with their younger counterparts (5/8, 63% vs 2/10, 20%, respectively). Gender, antiglaucoma medication use and BCVA did not appear influential.
Table 1  Demographic and clinical characteristics of children interviewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at glaucoma diagnosis, years (median (range))</td>
<td>0.5 (0–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since diagnosis, years (median (IQR))</td>
<td>9.8 (7.3–13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at interview (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–12</td>
<td>10 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–17</td>
<td>8 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, female</td>
<td>6 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterality of glaucoma, bilateral</td>
<td>11 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported ancestry, European</td>
<td>16 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtype of childhood glaucoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary congenital glaucoma</td>
<td>12 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma associated with non-acquired ocular anomalies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aniridia</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axenfeld-Rieger syndrome</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma associated with non-acquired systemic condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturge-Weber syndrome</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma associated with an acquired condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic uveitis†</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma following cataract surgery</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of topical antiglaucoma medications currently using</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>5 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraocular pressure at last ophthalmic appointment, mm Hg (median (range))</td>
<td>18 (14–25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since last ophthalmic appointment, months (median (IQR))</td>
<td>3.8 (2.9–7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of surgical interventions per child (median (IQR))</td>
<td>2 (2–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since last ophthalmic surgical intervention, years (median (IQR))</td>
<td>6.7 (1.6–13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal disease</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>4 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular diagnosis identified</td>
<td>9 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autosomal recessive inheritance</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autosomal dominant inheritance</td>
<td>7 (39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision category</th>
<th>BCVA (logMAR)</th>
<th>Better eye BCVA (n, %)</th>
<th>Worse eye BCVA (n, %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No vision impairment</td>
<td>≥0.3</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
<td>8 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild vision impairment</td>
<td>&lt;0.3–≥0.5</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>4 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate vision impairment</td>
<td>&lt;0.5–≥1.0</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe vision impairment or blindness</td>
<td>&lt;1.0–≥1.3</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blindness</td>
<td>&lt;1.3–CF</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HM or LP</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NLP</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*n (%) presented unless otherwise specified.
†No underlying systemic disease was diagnosed.
BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; CF, count fingers; HM, hand movements; LP, light perception; NLP, no light perception.
I’d let Mum ask the questions… I’m more of a listener. Like a bystander… I’ll get all the information I want out of Mum. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Furthermore, 3/4 (75%) children aged ≥16 years discussed strong feelings of wanting to avoid attending their ophthalmic appointments.

I was just yelling and screaming… I really did not want to go [to my appointment]. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Theme 2: inconveniences
All children discussed several inconveniences related to their ophthalmic appointments or glaucoma treatment. Clinic waiting time caused boredom for 6/18 (33%) children and 5/18 (28%) discussed negative outcomes related to school absenteeism. These were exacerbated where travelling long distances for ophthalmic review was required. Conversely, 7/18 (39%) reasoned that school absenteeism was a positive experience.

It took us like three hours to get there and to go back… I often had to skip school to go there, and it was often always the fun days. (Child aged 8–12 years)

Most children (11/18, 61%) discussed the inconvenience of having blurred vision for many hours following pupillary dilatation, while 4/18 (22%) considered a visual field test burdensome.

I hate getting drops… everything I see is blurry for six or seven hours… They’re still the worst thing that could possibly happen. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Spectacle wear was considered inconvenient and uncomfortable by 6/18 (33%) children, particularly during sporting activities. Among children who currently used topical antiglaucoma medication, 2/5 (40%) considered them bothersome.

I don’t really like wearing [glasses]… because my nose gets sweaty. (Child aged 8–12 years)

Theme 3: emotional well-being
Negative emotional experiences were discussed by 15/18 (83%) children. Feeling frustrated (13/18, 72%) or anxious (10/18, 56%) were often experienced in the contexts of requiring pupillary dilatation or performing certain clinical tests (eg, visual field test, IOP test).

The sight field test… has like things that blink and it’s just like heaps of them, and it’s like in a way sort of overwhelming. (Child aged 8–12 years)

Several children (7/18, 39%) discussed feeling misunderstood at times by their friends, peers and/or schoolteachers. At times, this led to concealment of their condition.

I like keeping [my glaucoma] a bit of a secret… Because when I try to explain - no one understands and I have to keep explaining, explaining and explaining. (Child aged 8–12 years)

Feeling self-conscious of their appearance was expressed by 6/18 (33%) children. Reasons included their eye appearance, wearing spectacles or wearing an eye patch for amblyopia therapy. These were not dependent on BCVA, gender or age with the exception that one child, with bilateral BCVA <0.5, expressed feeling self-conscious while using their white cane for mobility.

I hate [all the photos] when I’m younger because of the big, shaded glasses and stuff… I’m not a very photogenic person. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Theme 4: symptoms
Symptoms were discussed by 15/18 (83%) children. The most common symptom raised by children was blurred
vision (13/18, 72%). Of these, 4/13 (31%) had unilateral disease, and 7/13 (54%) had no BCVA impairment. It was usually described in the context of reading the classroom board, reading small texts and playing sports that involve a small ball (e.g., tennis).

If it’s small writing and I’m at the back of the class I can’t always get it but if it’s like medium like to big writing I can see. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Glare (8/18, 44%), sore eyes (4/18, 22%) and reduced peripheral vision (2/18, 11%) were other symptoms discussed by children, irrespective of any clinical or demographic characteristic.

I hate the sun… It hurts my eyes… I do stay inside most of my life. (Child aged 8–12 years)

Meanwhile, reduced contrast sensitivity was discussed by 6/18 (33%) children, all of whom had bilateral disease.

The stronger colours like blue, purple and black I can read but when it goes to like green and all of them other colours like orange I can’t, it’s harder for me to read what it says. (Child aged 13–17 years)

### Theme 5: ocular health concerns

Several children (13/18, 72%) discussed ocular health concerns that were often experienced as worry or anxiety. Hypersensitivity of objects touching their eye was the most common concern raised (6/18, 33%), particularly by children with bilateral disease (5/6, 83%).

One time my eye was really sore, and I got kind of worried, and kind of scared, but it turned out it was just the ingrown eyelash. (Child aged 8–12 years)

Concerns for raised IOP (5/18, 28%) and losing vision (4/18, 22%) were additionally discussed. The former was more typical among children aged between 13 and 17 years (4/5, 80%).

When I go to the like appointment, and I get my pressures checked I get nervous of if I’m going to get like a high pressure. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Requiring future surgery (2/18, 11%), forgetting to use their antiglaucoma medication (2/18, 11%) and changing ophthalmologist (1/18, 6%) caused concerns among fewer children.

I don’t want any more surgery. I’m done… it’s just really scary. (Child aged 13–17 years)

### Theme 6: social well-being

Having glaucoma caused social issues for 13/18 (72%) children. Schoolyard bullying was discussed by 5/18 (28%) children irrespective of age. Bullying was attributed to their visual ability, need to wear spectacles or need for sunglasses in the schoolyard.

There are some kids at our school that have glasses that get bullied… Those kids have tried to bully me and my friends, so we have to defend ourselves. (Child aged 8–12 years)

Several children (5/18, 28%), of whom 4/5 (80%) were aged 13–17 years, discussed feeling socially isolated by their condition due to its rarity. It was often relieved by a desire to meet another child with glaucoma.

I’m a loner at my school… People are a bit standoffish. I don’t think they really know how to approach me. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Conversely, 6/18 (33%) children, of whom 4/6 (67%) were aged 8–12 years, reasoned that they had good social well-being.

[My friends] all know about [my glaucoma] already… They just treat me the same. (Child aged 8–12 years)

### Theme 7: autonomy

Two-thirds (12/18, 67%) of children discussed issues relating to their autonomy. These were typically discussed by children aged 13–17 years compared with those aged 8–12 years (7/8, 88% vs 5/10, 50%). The main issue related to autonomy raised by younger children was that they wanted to administer their antiglaucoma medication without parental assistance. These children, however, frequently discussed being forgetful of when to use them.

‘Most of the time I [put in the eye drops] myself and kept on forgetting. (Child aged 8–12 years)

All children aged ≥16 years (4/4, 100%) discussed issues becoming responsible for their own glaucoma care. These included actively engaging with the ophthalmologist and attending appointments without their parents, which were often met with feeling nervous or anxious.

There’s definitely questions I would like to ask but - I don’t know…. I still get nervous asking. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Among children aged 13–17 years, 4/8 (50%) wanted to know what caused their glaucoma and the risk involved in passing on their glaucoma to their future children.

I’d definitely be interested to find out where I got it from… [but] if my children [have glaucoma], I guess it should be fine. (Child aged 13–17 years)

The impact of glaucoma on their future career was discussed by 5/18 (28%) children, all of whom had bilateral or unilateral BCVA <0.5. Four (4/5, 80%) were aged 13–17 years.

I can’t actually join the Army, because of my lack of vision… It just sucks, because now I don’t actually have a plan for my life. (Child aged 13–17 years)

Two children aged 13–17 years (2/18, 11%), one of whom had bilateral BCVA <0.5, discussed future issues with obtaining a driver’s licence while 3/18 (17%)...
children discussed issues with independently navigating environments due to their sight.

I just think about what it’d be like if I could get a [driver’s] license, when I’m driving on the road... I don’t know if some person would pick on me because of the condition that I have. (Child aged 13–17 years)

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this exploratory interview study is the first qualitative study to explore the QoL issues experienced by children with glaucoma. Six of the seven themes identified were consistent with those reported in adults with childhood glaucoma and adult-onset glaucoma. The impact of the condition on a child’s autonomy was novel and provided a unique perspective of how childhood glaucoma impacts on the transition from childhood to adulthood. Each theme was relevant to all glaucoma subtypes and thus provided a thorough representation of how a child may live with glaucoma.

There are evidently several glaucoma-related non-visual and non-clinical variables that influence a child’s QoL. Most notably, this includes how a child copes with their condition. This is in agreement with a recent study exploring the lived experience of adults with childhood glaucoma, which similarly identified that resilience, adaptation, and establishing a positive relationship with the ophthalmologist are important coping strategies in childhood glaucoma. Becoming resilient was further identified as a coping strategy in children with cystic fibrosis, and type 1 diabetes. This often assisted in self-management of their condition, as observed in this study whereby children, particularly those aged 8–12 years, expressed a desire to self-manage their antiglaucoma medication. Conversely, older youths with spina bifida and children with type 1 diabetes were more likely to disengage in their care over time, possibly due to having increased medical responsibilities and feeling overwhelmed. The same trend may be occurring in this study whereby children aged ≥16 years discussed issues related to disengagement in clinical care.

This possible age-related coping trend regarding disengagement may be underpinned by concurrent QoL issues. In this study, we observed a greater proportion of children aged 13–17 years who described more disruptions to QoL compared with children aged 8–12 years. These disruptions were particularly related to autonomy (becoming responsible for own care, career choices, driving, family planning), social well-being (social isolation) and ocular health concerns (increasing IOP). The latter may be particularly due to an increased understanding of glaucoma disease itself. Subsequently, these collective issues may contribute to a greater psychosocial impact of glaucoma in older children.

This hypothesis is opposite to findings in previous childhood glaucoma studies that reported lower VR-QoL and HR-QoL in younger children compared with their older counterparts. Other characteristics including BCVA, disease laterality, gender and duration since surgery were not found to influence this age-related finding. Consequently, it was hypothesised that an older child may experience better QoL as they may develop a better understanding of their condition and better coping strategies over time. This has been referred to as the ‘response shift.’ In contrast, our findings suggest there is an ‘implications shift’ whereby children appeared to be more concerned about limitations their glaucoma may place on their adult life as they enter adolescence. The apparent disparity between findings suggestive of a ‘response shift’ or an ‘implications shift’ may be explained by the studies’ different approaches (i.e., the use of a non-disease specific PROM to measure QoL), or the clinical and demographic differences in the cohorts studied, including children’s abilities to respond to QoL-related questions.

It would therefore be useful to further investigate the influence of ageing on QoL, and whether the ‘response shift’ or ‘implications shift’ is more likely to dominate the lived experience. This could be explored in future qualitative studies or quantitative association studies that use a childhood glaucoma-specific PROM. Nonetheless, our age-related findings are consistent with observations reported in children aged 14–18 years with cystic fibrosis who reported a greater disease-related impact on body image, emotional state and treatment burden compared with younger children. Adolescents with type 1 diabetes additionally reported issues balancing demands between medical management and non-disease related pressures of being an adolescent. Disease stigmatisation, social isolation, self-image and school absenteeism concerns were otherwise experienced among children of any age with asthma and epilepsy, and juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Thus, the issues identified in children with glaucoma align with the greater childhood chronic disease experience and their impact may be exacerbated when a child approaches adulthood.

Clinicians should be aware of possible issues, particularly experienced during adolescence, as they may cumulatively influence the use of maladaptive coping and lead to medical negligence. This has been reported in adults aged 18–40 years with childhood glaucoma, and such coping behaviours could lead to worse visual outcomes. Consequently, adolescents may require additional support to facilitate their transition towards adulthood and medical autonomy. This could involve provision of coping skills training, which aims to increase medical competence and the use of positive coping strategies. This training has been successful for children with type 1 diabetes. Ancillary ophthalmic personnel (eg, orthoptists) may be best suited to facilitate this and future research could evaluate its effectiveness in children with glaucoma. Parent-to-child transfer of glaucoma self-management may otherwise begin at any age by providing children with an active voice in their care and increasing their knowledge of their glaucoma, as encouraged in
other childhood chronic conditions. These processes, however, must be tailored to the child's maturity, visual abilities and emotional state, with consideration to potential parental anxiety over relinquishing control of care to their child, as documented in parents of children with glaucoma.

It is important to recognise that the QoL issues identified in this study appeared to be raised by children irrespective of their clinical characteristics (i.e., BCVA and laterality). Previous research has demonstrated that VR-QoL is negatively associated with BCVA in the better-seeing eye in children with glaucoma. Despite this, several studies have been unable to establish whether disease laterality is associated with VR-QoL. Moreover, self-reported HR-QoL has not been found to be associated with disease laterality. This suggests that unilateral disease may still impact QoL even if the child has normal BCVA in their better-seeing eye. The results of this study may offer some insight into these contradictory findings. First, children with bilaterally impaired BCVA used adaptive technology and did not consider that their participation in daily activities was impacted. The availability and use of such technology may therefore influence how a child responds to QoL-related questions. Second, children reported subjective symptoms including glare and reduced contrast sensitivity. These are yet to be measured as variables that may affect QoL in children with glaucoma. Glare is otherwise among the most common symptoms reported by adults with childhood glaucoma and contributed to their non-participation in outdoor activities. It is therefore possible that the experience of these symptoms have a greater impact on QoL than disease laterality. Lastly, few children in this study subjectively reported that they had reduced BCVA irrespective of objective measurements and laterality. This may further contribute to unexpected or conflicting findings in quantitative association studies. Evidently, the impact of childhood glaucoma on QoL extends beyond a child’s clinical characteristics and their subjective experience must be considered in clinical management of the condition.

To guide glaucoma management and enable more accurate investigation of the influence of clinical and demographic variables on QoL, a childhood glaucoma-specific PROM must be developed. Prior research has instead used VR-QoL (Impact of Vision Impairment for Children) and HR-QoL measures (Kidscreen-27 questionnaire, PedsQL) that do not measure disease-specific QoL issues such as those identified in this study (e.g., concern for IOP, feeling misunderstood due to disease rarity). A childhood glaucoma-specific PROM will substantially improve our understanding of the disease impact and inform clinicians and education providers of QoL issues encountered by children. The results of this study will assist with the identification of items for a childhood glaucoma-specific PROM.

Study limitations include that children were recruited from a national registry and interviewed after receiving parental consent and child assent. Consequently, the child and/or parent may be more willing to participate and may be experiencing a higher QoL than non-respondents and/or their parents. Furthermore, children resided in Australia and the majority were of self-reported European ancestry. Consequently, the findings of this study may only be relevant to cohorts with similar sociodemographics, healthcare and education systems and those with similar access to resources supporting visual functioning. Children with disease onset at age 16 or 17 years were unable to be recruited, likely owing to the narrow time frame between reaching adulthood and time required to conceptualise their diagnosis before agreeing to be interviewed. The experience of someone diagnosed at this age was otherwise captured in our previous study on adults diagnosed with childhood glaucoma. Furthermore, more children interviewed had unilateral disease compared with non-respondents, and most children had no vision impairment in their better eye. It is unknown how these characteristics may have influenced results as thematic saturation was reached. Lastly, the interviews specifically evaluated the impact of glaucoma such that the influence of conditions unique to uveitis, aniridia, Sturge-Weber syndrome and Axenfeld-Rieger syndrome were not included in the analysis. However, it remains possible that the physical manifestations of these conditions have impacted the QoL outcomes of this study.

Despite these limitations, this study provided unique insight into the QoL issues experienced in childhood glaucoma from the perspective of the child. This rare condition may cause a considerable impact on a child’s physical, emotional and social well-being, which is managed with the use of coping strategies. Overall, our findings suggest that older children may experience more QoL issues compared with their younger counterparts and hypothesise that increasing age may be associated with a lower QoL. Healthcare professionals and parents should be mindful of this trend, and social and ophthalmic interventions may be required to support a child as they transition into adulthood and achieve medical autonomy. Future research endeavours should evaluate the most appropriate method to facilitate medical autonomy and subsequently ensure that any individual with childhood glaucoma achieves the best possible long-term visual and QoL outcomes.
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