



# BMJ Open Clinical management of community-acquired meningitis in adults in the UK and Ireland in 2017: a retrospective cohort study on behalf of the National Infection Trainees Collaborative for Audit and Research (NITCAR)

Jayne Ellis,<sup>1</sup> David Harvey,<sup>2</sup> Sylviane Defres,<sup>3,4</sup> Arjun Chandna,<sup>5</sup> Eloisa MacLachlan,<sup>6,7</sup> Tom Solomon,<sup>3,8</sup> Robert S Heyderman ,<sup>1</sup> Fiona McGill ,<sup>3,9</sup> on behalf of the National Audit of Meningitis Management (NAMM) group

**To cite:** Ellis J, Harvey D, Defres S, *et al.* Clinical management of community-acquired meningitis in adults in the UK and Ireland in 2017: a retrospective cohort study on behalf of the National Infection Trainees Collaborative for Audit and Research (NITCAR). *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e062698. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-062698

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-062698>).

Received 21 March 2022  
Accepted 01 June 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

## Correspondence to

Dr Fiona McGill;  
f.mcgill@nhs.net

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives** To assess practice in the care of adults with suspected community-acquired bacterial meningitis in the UK and Ireland.

**Design** Retrospective cohort study.

**Setting** 64 UK and Irish hospitals.

**Participants** 1471 adults with community-acquired meningitis of any aetiology in 2017.

**Results** None of the audit standards, from the 2016 UK Joint Specialists Societies guideline on diagnosis and management of meningitis, were met in all cases. With respect to 20 of 30 assessed standards, clinical management provided for patients was in line with recommendations in less than 50% of cases. 45% of patients had blood cultures taken within an hour of admission, 0.5% had a lumbar puncture within 1 hour, 26% within 8 hours. 28% had bacterial molecular diagnostic tests on cerebrospinal fluid. Median time to first dose of antibiotics was 3.2 hours (IQR 1.3–9.2). 80% received empirical parenteral cephalosporins. 55% ≥60 years and 31% of immunocompromised patients received anti-*Listeria* antibiotics. 21% received steroids. Of the 1471 patients, 20% had confirmed bacterial meningitis. Among those with bacterial meningitis, pneumococcal aetiology, admission to intensive care and initial Glasgow Coma Scale Score less than 14 were associated with in-hospital mortality (adjusted OR (aOR) 2.08, 95% CI 0.96 to 4.48; aOR 4.28, 95% CI 1.81 to 10.1; aOR 2.90, 95% CI 1.26 to 6.71, respectively). Dexamethasone therapy was weakly associated with a reduction in mortality in both those with proven bacterial meningitis (aOR 0.57, 95% CI 0.28 to 1.17) and with pneumococcal meningitis (aOR 0.47, 95% CI 0.20 to 1.10).

**Conclusion** This study demonstrates that clinical care for patients with meningitis in the UK is not in line with current evidence-based national guidelines. Diagnostics and therapeutics should be targeted for quality improvement strategies. Work should be done to improve the impact of guidelines, understand why they are not followed

## STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ To our knowledge, this is the largest national study of the management of meningitis in the UK published to date.
- ⇒ The study includes all suspected community-acquired bacterial meningitis, allowing assessment of early clinical care prior to an aetiological diagnosis being made.
- ⇒ The study is widely translatable and representative of practice within the UK and Ireland.
- ⇒ The study is limited by its retrospective design, which brings associated recall bias and some missing data.
- ⇒ The study may also be limited by the self-selection of the sites included.

and, once published, ensure they translate into changed practice.

## INTRODUCTION

Acute bacterial meningitis is a medical emergency associated with considerable death and disability in the UK.<sup>1</sup> Successful immunisation programmes targeting *Haemophilus influenzae* type b, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Neisseria meningitidis* means that community-acquired bacterial meningitis, particularly in children and adolescents, is now relatively rare.<sup>2</sup> The incidence of bacterial meningitis in adults in England is estimated to be approximately 1–1.25 per 100 000 population overall, exceeding 9 per 100 000 in people over 70 years.<sup>2,3</sup>

Early recognition of meningitis, appropriate investigation and treatment saves



lives.<sup>4,5</sup> It is essential that front-line clinicians, who may not encounter meningitis very often, are vigilant and have a high index of suspicion to minimise poor outcomes. To help staff who are seeing patients with suspected meningitis, the UK guidelines on the diagnosis and management of acute meningitis and meningococcal sepsis in immunocompetent adults were published in 2016.<sup>6</sup> The guidelines provide readily accessible, comprehensive, evidenced-based recommendations. Previous studies show that clinical care delivered in the UK is frequently non-adherent to guidelines.<sup>7,8</sup> A more recent UK study highlighted a large amount of inappropriate brain imaging prior to lumbar punctures (LPs) and long delays in performing LPs.<sup>3,9</sup> Inadequate use of molecular diagnostics and HIV testing have also been highlighted as areas for improvement.<sup>3</sup> The increasing risk of multidrug resistant bacteria, an ageing population susceptible to a wider variety of bacteria (eg, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*)<sup>2</sup> and a greater appreciation that viruses are common causes of meningitis,<sup>10,11</sup> makes diagnostics essential. Reports from outside the UK have shown improvements in outcomes following guideline publication and implementation.<sup>12</sup> We carried out a retrospective observational study with the dual aims of (1) assessing current clinical practice regarding diagnosis and management of adult patients with suspected community-acquired bacterial meningitis and (2) to identify areas for improvement.

## METHODS

Hospitals in the UK were invited to take part in this study via the National Infection Trainees Collaborative for Audit and Research network, the UK Meningitis study network, the British Infection Association and through personal contacts. Eligible patients were identified via hospital coding data, laboratory data or a combination of both. Data from patients aged 16 or over who presented with suspected acute community-acquired bacterial meningitis during 2017 were eligible for screening. Patients who met our case definition for confirmed acute meningitis, regardless of aetiology, were eligible for inclusion (box 1). Definitions are as previously published.<sup>3</sup> Many interventions are performed prior to knowing the diagnosis, therefore, we included all meningitis in the analysis, including viral and those in whom no pathogen was identified. This allowed us to assess the entire clinical pathway of patients presenting with possible bacterial meningitis, although some would be ultimately diagnosed with a different aetiology.

Standards indicative of good practice were taken from the 2016 UK Joint Specialists Societies guideline on the diagnosis and management of meningitis and meningococcal sepsis in immunocompetent adults, and the Standards in Microbiological Investigations on the processing of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) (B27).<sup>6,13</sup> For each standard, the number of patients as a proportion of the total cohort who received clinical care in line with the standard is

### Box 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria for cases of meningitis

#### A meningitis case was defined as:

- ⇒ Patients with a cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) white cell count  $>4 \times 10^6$  cells/L (regardless of whether a pathogen was identified or not) and a clinical suspicion of meningitis at the time OR
- ⇒ In the case of bacterial meningitis, symptoms and signs of meningitis with a significant pathogen in the CSF (culture or PCR) or blood regardless of CSF leucocyte count

#### Patients with the following diagnoses were excluded:

- ⇒ Cryptococcal meningitis
- ⇒ Tuberculous meningitis
- ⇒ Nosocomial meningitis (defined as meningitis that occurs during a hospital admission or within 30 days of discharge or meningitis associated with indwelling devices in the central nervous system)
- ⇒ Encephalitis (defined as altered consciousness for  $>24$  with no other cause found and two or more of the following signs: fever or history of fever ( $\geq 38^\circ\text{C}$ ) during the current illness; seizures or focal neurological signs (with evidence of brain parenchyma involvement); CSF pleocytosis ( $>4 \times 10^6$  cells/L); Electroencephalogram suggesting encephalitis; and neuroimaging suggestive of encephalitis).

reported. A second adjusted analysis taking account of missing data is also reported, whereby the number of patients as a proportion of the cohort with available data who received clinical care in line with the standard was reported.

Data were collected using electronic case report forms on REDcap, a password-protected central web-based database system. All microbiological diagnostic procedures were performed at the local hospital laboratory for each participating site using locally approved procedures. All data were anonymised and recorded under a unique participant identification number.

### Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to summarise data. Categorical data were summarised using counts and percentages. Denominators presented are based on available data, where incomplete case records were submitted by contributing sites. For continuous variables, means and ranges or medians and IQRs are presented depending on the distribution of the data. Categorical data were analysed using  $\chi^2$  or Fisher's exact test. Continuous data were analysed using t-tests, Mann-Whitney U or Kruskal-Wallis depending on the distribution of the data. Regression analysis was used to identify potential risk factors associated with poor outcomes.

### Patient and public involvement

Although there was no direct involvement of patients and public in this study the Meningitis Research Foundation, a key advocacy group for patients are represented in the authorship of the original guidelines and will be key in the dissemination of the results and the subsequent call to improve practice. Preliminary results have been shared

with the Meningitis Research Foundation and some of their members.

## RESULTS

1471 patients from 64 hospitals throughout the UK and Ireland took part (see online supplemental appendix 1). The hospitals ranged in size from small district generals to large teaching hospitals. The mean number of beds was 846 (range 230–2000). The hospitals who took part in England comprised 45% of the total acute bed base in England, (42 612/94 827).<sup>14</sup> Females accounted for 57% (n=838) and the median age was 34 years (IQR 26, 49). Confirmed viral meningitis occurred in 615 (42%) and 303 had confirmed bacterial meningitis (21%). More than one-third of patients (n=553) fulfilled the case definition (box 1) but had no confirmed microbiological diagnosis and were therefore categorised as meningitis of unknown aetiology. Using the criteria proposed by Spanos *et al.*,<sup>15</sup> 56 of those without a confirmed aetiology could be assumed to have bacterial meningitis. *S. pneumoniae* and *N. meningitidis* were the most common bacterial pathogens, where a cause was found, accounting for 172 (57%) and 76 (25%) of cases, respectively. *H. influenzae* (serotypes unknown) was found in 14 cases. *Enteroviruses* were the most common viral pathogens occurring in 429 (69%) of all confirmed viral meningitis. Herpes simplex virus-2 was the second most common viral pathogen detected in 97

(16%) of viral cases. Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics are shown in table 1.

Adherence to specific standards of good practice is shown in table 2. None were adhered to 100% of the time. Two-thirds of the standards (n=20) had ≤50% adherence.

Overall, in-hospital mortality was low (48/1471 (3%)). The mortality was higher in bacterial meningitis (28/302, 13%), and pneumococcal meningitis in particular (28/172, 16%). Mortality in viral meningitis was 0.3% (2/615) and 1.5% (8/548) in those with meningitis of unknown aetiology. Just over half (157) of those with confirmed bacterial meningitis required admission to an intensive care unit (ICU).

## Use of diagnostics

A few patients, 42, did not have an LP, of whom 26 (62%) had no contraindication (as specified in the 2016 joint specialties guidelines and shown in box 2). Five had meningococcal sepsis without clinical evidence of meningitis. The remaining 37 had clinical symptoms of meningism as well as a positive blood culture (n=35, 83%) and/or a positive blood PCR (n=16, 38%) for either *S. pneumoniae* (n=23, 55%), *N. meningitidis* (n=18, 43%) or *L. monocytogenes* (n=1, 2%).

Contraindications for immediate LP were uncommon and occurred in 299 (20%) patients. Glasgow Coma Score (GCS)≤12 was the most common contraindication for immediate LP reported in 143 (10%), followed by

**Table 1** Baseline demographics, timing of key investigations and clinical outcomes of 1471 adults presenting with suspected meningitis

	Total cohort N (%)	Bacterial meningitis N (%)	Viral meningitis N (%)	Other* N (%)	P value†
N	1471 (100)	303 (21)	615 (42)	553 (38)	–
Median age (IQR)	34 (26–49)	54 (36–65)	31 (25–37)	34 (26–48)	<0.001
Male	625 (43)	173 (57)	214 (35)	238 (43)	<0.001
In patient mortality	48 (3)	38 (13)	2 (0.3)	8 (1.4)	<0.001
Intensive care unit admission	192 (13)	157 (53)	4 (0.7)	31 (6)	<0.001
Median admission GCS (IQR)	15 (14–15)	13 (9–15)	15 (15–15)	15 (15–15)	<0.001
Median time (hours) from admission to first antibiotics (IQR)	2.7 (0.9–8.3)	1.5 (0.4–5.3)	3.2 (1.3–8.3)	3.3. (1–12.5)	<0.001
Median time (hours) from admission to blood cultures (IQR)	1 (0.3–4)	0.7 (0.2–2.4)	1 (0.3–3.7)	1.4 (0.3–6.1)	0.003
CT of the head prior to LP	1094 (94)	207 (93)	459 (94)	428 (95)	0.55
Median time (hours) from admission to LP (IQR)	16.4 (7.9–26.7)	14.8 (7.7–29.8)	14.3 (7.5–22.6)	20 (8.8–35.8)	<0.001
Adjunctive dexamethasone	300 (21)	150 (50)	69 (11)	81 (15)	<0.001
Median CSF leucocyte count (IQR)	140 (44–399)	930 (235.5–3062.5)	122 (48–276)	85 (26.8–250.3)	<0.001
Median CSF protein (IQR)	0.68 (0.46–1.21)	3.25 (1.4–5.8)	0.63 (0.45–0.9)	0.6 (0.4–1.0)	<0.001
Median CSF glucose (IQR)	3.2 (2.8–3.7)	2.1 (0.95–3.45)	3.2 (2.9–3.6)	3.3 (3.0–3.8)	<0.001

\*Other meningitis category included all patients without a confirmed bacterial or viral pathogen.

†For continuous variables, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare medians across groups, and for categorical variables  $\chi^2$  tests were used.

CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; GCS, Glasgow Coma Score; LP, lumbar puncture.

**Table 2** Adherence to audit standards\*

Immediate management	Number achieved standard/total number of patients analysed	% of total	Number achieved standard/total number of patients evaluable†	% of number evaluable
1. The patient's conscious level should be documented using the Glasgow Coma Scale	1283/1471	87%	1283/1448	89%
2. Blood cultures should be taken as soon as possible and within 1 hour of arrival at hospital	326/1471‡	22%	326/767§	42%
3. LP should be performed within 1 hour of arrival at hospital provided that it is safe to do so	8/1471¶	0.5%	8/1379**	0.6%
4. Antibiotic treatment should be commenced within the first hour	207/1471††	14%	207/1083‡‡	19%
5. Patients with meningitis and meningococcal sepsis should be cared for with the input of an infection specialist such as a microbiologist or a physician with training in infectious diseases and/or microbiology	1148/1471§§	78%	1148/1464	78%
<b>Investigations</b>				
6. Blood culture should be sent	977/1471	66%	977/1469	67%
7. Blood pneumococcal PCR should be sent	211/1471	14%	211/1460	14%
8. Blood meningococcal PCR should be sent	232/1471	16%	232/1461	16%
9. CSF opening pressure should be documented	655/1428¶¶	46%	655/1361 <sup>a</sup>	48%
10. CSF glucose with concurrent plasma glucose should be sent	607/1428¶¶	43%	607/1415	43%
11. CSF protein should be sent	1358/1428¶¶	95%	1358/1420	96%
12. Microscopy of the CSF should take place within 2 hours of the lumbar puncture	596/1428¶¶	42%	596/1203 <sup>b</sup>	50%
13. CSF for pneumococcal PCR should be sent in all cases of suspected bacterial meningitis	412/1428¶¶	29%	412/1418	29%
14. CSF for meningococcal PCR should be sent in all cases of suspected bacterial meningitis	434/1428¶¶	30%	434/1418	31%
15. A swab of the posterior nasopharyngeal wall should be obtained as soon as possible, and sent for meningococcal culture, in all cases of suspected meningococcal meningitis/sepsis	54/1471	4%	54/1463 <sup>c</sup>	4%
16. All patients with meningitis should have an HIV test	646/1471	44%	646/1459 <sup>d</sup>	44%
<b>Treatment</b>				
17. All patients with suspected meningitis or meningococcal sepsis should be given ceftriaxone or cefotaxime	1039/1471 <sup>e</sup>	71%	1039/1423 <sup>f</sup>	73%
18. If the patient has, within the last 6 months, been to a country where penicillin resistant pneumococci are prevalent, intravenous vancomycin 15–20 mg/kg should be added 12-hourly (or 600 mg rifampicin 12-hourly intravenous or orally) <sup>g</sup>	See footnote			
19. Those aged 60 or over should receive 2 g intravenous ampicillin/amoxicillin 4-hourly in addition to a cephalosporin (1B)	55/233	24%	55/197 <sup>h</sup>	28%
20. Immunocompromised patients (including diabetics and those with a history of alcohol misuse) should receive 2 g intravenous ampicillin/amoxicillin 4-hourly in addition to a cephalosporin	26/115 <sup>i</sup>	23%	26/99 <sup>j</sup>	26%
21. If there is a clear history of anaphylaxis to penicillins or cephalosporins give intravenous chloramphenicol 25 mg/kg 6-hourly	14/37	38%	14/30 <sup>k</sup>	47%
22. If <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> is identified continue with intravenous benzylpenicillin 2.4 g 4-hourly, 2 g ceftriaxone intravenous 12-hourly or 2 g cefotaxime intravenous 6-hourly	114/172	66%	114/145 <sup>l</sup>	79%
23. If number of meningitidis is identified 2 g ceftriaxone intravenous 12-hourly, 2 g cefotaxime intravenous 6-hourly or 2.4 benzylpenicillin intravenous 4-hourly may be given as an alternative	52/76	68%	52/68 <sup>m</sup>	76%
24. If the patient is not treated with ceftriaxone (in meningococcal disease), a single dose of 500 mg ciprofloxacin orally should also be given	0/2	0%	0/2	0%
25. If <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> is identified Give 2 g ampicillin/amoxicillin intravenous 4-hourly and continue for at least 21 days. Cotrimoxazole 10–20 mg/kg or chloramphenicol are alternatives in cases of anaphylaxis to beta lactams	4/7	57%	4/6	67% <sup>n</sup>
26. If <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> is identified continue 2 g ceftriaxone intravenous 12-hourly or 2 g cefotaxime intravenous 6-hourly for 10 days	9/14	64%	9/13	69% <sup>o</sup>
27. 10 mg dexamethasone intravenous 6-hourly should be started on admission, either shortly before or simultaneously with antibiotics	67/1471	5%	67/1435 <sup>p</sup>	5%
28. If pneumococcal meningitis is confirmed dexamethasone should be continued for 4 days	34/172 <sup>q</sup>	20%	34/158 <sup>r</sup>	22%

Continued

Table 2 Continued

Immediate management	Number achieved standard/total number of patients analysed	% of total	Number achieved standard/total number of patients evaluable†	% of number evaluable
<b>Critical care</b>				
29. The following patients should be transferred to critical care—those with a rapidly evolving rash, those with a GCS of 12 or less and those with uncontrolled seizures	151/203 <sup>s</sup>	74%	151/203	74%
<b>Notification</b>				
30. All cases of meningitis (regardless of aetiology) should be notified to the relevant public health authority	236/1471	16%	236/1465	16%
<p>*Only those audit standards that could be measured from the data collected.            †Excludes those where there were missing data and/or where not relevant.            ‡Only 977 patients had blood cultures taken.            §Excluding those who did not have blood cultures taken and where data were missing.            ¶1428 patients had an LP.            **Excludes those who did not have an LP and where data were not available.            ††82 patients had data consistent with having antibiotics prior to admission, this might be due to confusion about whether admission meant admission to the emergency department or admission to a ward, or it may represent data entry error therefore, these figures are not included.            ‡‡388 patients did not receive any antibiotics at all.            §§310 (21%) of patients were admitted under an infection specialist, all others received consulting advice only.            ¶¶43 people did not have an LP.  <sup>a</sup>Missing data on 67.  <sup>b</sup>43 had no LP, 97 missing data, 128 time of microscopy was before or at the same time as the LP.  <sup>c</sup>Performed in 15/76 (20%) of proven meningococcal cases.  <sup>d</sup>9 known HIV positive and 3 missing data.  <sup>e</sup>285 patients were not given any antibiotics at all.  <sup>f</sup>48 patients who were definitely given antibiotics had missing data on which antibiotics they were given.  <sup>g</sup>Using mainland Europe data only and with reference to ECDC data—101 patients were documented to have travelled to a mainland European country within the previous 6 months. Travel history was not documented at all in 822 cases (56%). Of the 101 patients who had travelled to mainland Europe 54 (54%) had been to a country with a rate of penicillin resistant pneumococci of &gt;5% (2017 data). 5/52 had no antibiotics. 0/47 had antibiotics to cover for penicillin resistant pneumococci.  <sup>h</sup>233 patients were aged over 60 but only 207 received antibiotics. Missing data for 10, 108 received amoxicillin at some point but only 55 received the correct dose.            † Not including those ≥60.            ††15 did not receive any antibiotics and missing data on 1.            †‡7 patients had no antibiotics at all.            ††‡27 patients had insufficient antibiotic data.            †††8 patient had insufficient antibiotic data.            †††‡1 patient had insufficient antibiotic data.            ††††insufficient antibiotic data on 1 person.  <sup>p</sup>Missing data on 36—11 on whether dexamethasone was received or not, 21 on the dose given and 4 on the timing.  <sup>q</sup>Only 18 were given the correct dose (10 mg). Some received dexamethasone for longer than 4 days.            †Missing data on 14 individuals.  <sup>s</sup>7/11 patient with progressing rash, 131/176 patients with GCS &lt;13 and 13/16 patients with uncontrolled seizures.            CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; GCS, Glasgow Coma Score; LP, lumbar puncture.</p>				

focal neurological signs in 38 (3%). A further 70 (7%) had other indications to delay LP. Neuroimaging prior to LP happened in 1094 of 1158 patients (94%), 911 (83%) of whom had no guideline-specified indication. Neuroimaging was performed a median of 11 hours post arrival at hospital (IQR 4–21). Median time from admission to LP was 16.5 hours (IQR 8–27). Only 6 patients had an LP within 1 hour of arrival at hospital and only 326 (26%) within 8 hours.

Median time from LP to CSF microscopy was 2 hours (IQR 1.1–3.2). Time from LP to CSF analysis was significantly quicker when performed at on-site laboratories when compared with centralised laboratory processing (median 1.65 hours (IQR 1.0–2.8) compared with 2.95 hours (IQR 2.0–3.8)  $p < 0.001$ ).

### Box 2 Indications for neuroimaging before lumbar puncture in suspected meningitis

- ⇒ Focal neurological signs
- ⇒ Presence of papilloedema
- ⇒ Continuous or uncontrolled seizures
- ⇒ Glasgow Coma Score ≤ 12

Fewer than one-third of patients had pneumococcal (412, 28%) and meningococcal PCR (434, 29.5%) performed on their CSF. Pneumococcal PCR was done on blood in 211 (14%) patients, and meningococcal PCR in 232 (16%). Overall, 646 patients (44%) patients had a documented HIV test. Four of these were positive—two of whom had pneumococcal meningitis, one of whom had enteroviral meningitis and one had meningitis of unknown aetiology. Nine patients were previously known to be HIV positive.

Blood cultures were taken from 66% (n=977) of patients with 45% (n=438) having them taken within 1 hour of arrival at hospital.

### Treatment

Overall, 285 patients (19%) did not receive antibiotics, most of whom had either viral meningitis (163) or lymphocytic meningitis with no aetiology identified (105). The remaining 1186 patients received at least one dose of antibiotics. The median time from hospital admission to first dose of antibiotics was 3.2 hours (IQR 1.3, 9.2). Among the patients who received antibiotics the antimicrobials were commenced within an hour of arrival at hospital for approximately one-fifth of patients (207/1000). In



confirmed bacterial meningitis cases, 92 patients (36%) received antibiotics within an hour of arrival.

Adherence with guideline specified empirical antibiotic regimens was good with 912 (80%) receiving a third-generation cephalosporin. Data are missing on antibiotic type for 47 patients. Of the 197 patients aged 60 years and over who received antibiotics, 108 (55%) received ampicillin or amoxicillin; only 55 (28%) of those had the correct dose and dosing frequency as recommended for *L. monocytogenes* meningitis. Similarly, only 36 (31%) of the immunocompromised patients, who were aged under 60, (n=115) received any ampicillin or amoxicillin for anti-*Listeria* cover. Online supplemental table 1 shows details regarding risk factors for *Listeria*.

Only 300 patients (20%) received adjunctive steroids as recommended. Steroids were given more frequently in patient with confirmed bacterial meningitis in 150 (50%) cases. In patients with pneumococcal meningitis, 97 patients (57%) received steroids.

### Clinical outcomes

On multivariate analysis, having a confirmed diagnosis of bacterial meningitis was strongly associated with in-hospital mortality. Adjusting for age and sex, confirmed bacterial meningitis was associated with 26 times the odds of in-hospital mortality compared with those with other forms of meningitis (adjusted OR (aOR) 25.9, 95% CI 5.93 to 113.0), including those with no aetiology identified.

In patients with confirmed bacterial meningitis, on univariate analyses, in-hospital mortality was associated with a positive blood culture (crude OR (cOR) 2.21, 95% CI 1.04 to 4.67); GCS $\leq$ 13 (cOR 3.24, 95% CI 1.39 to 7.52); confirmed *S. pneumoniae* meningitis (cOR 2.37, 95% CI 1.10 to 5.11); and ICU admission (cOR 4.81, 95% CI 1.99 to 11.60). These associations remained despite multivariate adjustment for age and sex (table 3).

The analysis was also conducted using only data from those who had had an LP (online supplemental table 2). The association between a positive blood culture and mortality was lost. The association between confirmed pneumococcal aetiology and mortality was approaching statistical significance and the association of ICU admission was maintained.

On both univariate and multivariate analyses (adjusted for age and sex), in patients with confirmed bacterial meningitis, the administration of dexamethasone was associated with a reduction in in-hospital mortality (aOR 0.57, 95% CI 0.28 to 1.17, p 0.12). When this analysis was restricted to include only those with confirmed *S. pneumoniae* meningitis, those who received dexamethasone had a reduced odds of in-hospital mortality (aOR 0.47, 95% CI 0.20 to 1.10, p 0.08). Neither association reached statistical significance. This analysis was also performed including the patients assumed to have bacterial meningitis according to the Spanos criteria (online supplemental table 3).

### DISCUSSION

This large national study evaluated clinical management of adults with community-acquired meningitis throughout the UK and Ireland. Current practice falls short of the recommendations in the 2016 UK guidelines.<sup>6</sup> This is a concern for all patients but is of a particular worry in bacterial meningitis. The management of bacterial meningitis is time critical.<sup>4,16</sup> Delays in receiving antibiotics and having an LP, the unnecessary use of brain imaging, a lack of appropriate antibiotics in those at risk of *Listeria* and the low rate of steroid administration are areas for significant improvement.

Most patients were given antibiotics prior to LP. Even taking this into consideration, the median door to antibiotic time was over 3 hours. The optimal timing of antibiotics in bacterial meningitis is not known precisely but we do know that delays lead to increased mortality.<sup>4,5,16</sup> A delay of over 3 hours has been associated with a 14-fold increase risk of death.<sup>16</sup>

Delays in obtaining CSF are associated with a reduction in pathogen detection, increased exposure to unnecessary anti-infectives, prolonged hospital stays and increased mortality.<sup>4,6,17</sup> In most cases, brain imaging is not indicated in adults with suspected community-acquired meningitis;<sup>4</sup> however, in our cohort, a significant number of patients had unnecessary scans. Although complications following LP are rare,<sup>18,19</sup> there may be an unfounded fear of cerebral herniation following LP, even in those with no clinical features of brain shift, which is leading to excessive use of imaging.<sup>20</sup> Education programmes, along with quality improvement measures, are essential to reduce the potentially harmful overuse of neuroimaging. Additionally, it is essential that we optimise care pathways to ensure that clinicians have the time, space and equipment required to performed LPs in a timely and safe manner.<sup>3,21</sup>

CSF culture positivity rates decline substantially when LP is delayed.<sup>3,17</sup> PCR can detect bacterial DNA in CSF for several days after antibiotics have been administered. In the UK, half of meningococcal disease is diagnosed on PCR alone.<sup>22</sup> It is alarming that PCR was used, in our cohort, as a diagnostic modality in so few patients. Meningitis-specific investigation order-sets using electronic ordering, and/or reflex laboratory testing to increase use of molecular diagnostics should be considered to reduce opportunities for missed microbiological diagnoses. There is the potential for increased use of rapid technologies that can be used on site with minimal technical skill required.<sup>23</sup> Having rapid tests on site has been shown to reduce bed days with significant cost-savings.<sup>24</sup> Further research evaluating rapid diagnostic tests in other types of meningitis with clinically relevant outcomes is needed. We also need to increase the offer of HIV testing in patients with meningitis, as less than half the patients had a documented HIV test. Incident HIV diagnoses were

**Table 3** Multivariate analysis of the association between baseline covariates and in-hospital mortality in 303 patients with confirmed bacterial meningitis using logistic regression modelling

Baseline covariate	N	In-hospital mortality N (%)*	Crude OR for in-hospital mortality (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted OR for in-hospital mortality (95% CI)†	P value‡
Sex						
Male	173	26 (15.1)	1			
Female	130	12 (9.23)	0.57 (0.27 to 1.18)	0.13		
Age group						
≤18 years	18	0 (0)				
19–59 years	159	18 (11.3)	1			
≥60 years	126	20 (16.0)	1.49 (0.75 to 2.96)	0.25		
Blood culture positive						
No	137	11 (8.09)	1		1	
Yes	166	27 (16.3)	2.21 (1.04 to 4.67)	0.03	1.87 (0.87 to 4.01)	0.10
GCS≤13§						
No	124	8 (6.45)	1		1	
Yes	148	27 (18.2)	3.24 (1.39 to 7.52)	0.004	2.90 (1.26 to 6.71)	0.008
IV dexamethasone given¶						
No	149	23 (15.4)	1		1	
Yes	150	14 (9.40)	0.57 (0.27 to 1.16)	0.11	0.57 (0.28 to 1.17)	0.12
Intravenous dexamethasone given if <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> **						
No	73	16 (21.9)	1		1	
Yes	97	11 (11.5)	0.46 (0.20 to 1.08)	0.07	0.47 (0.20 to 1.10)	0.08
Final diagnosis <i>S. pneumoniae</i>						
No	131	10 (7.63)	1		1	
Yes	172	28 (16.4)	2.37 (1.10 to 5.11)	0.02	2.08 (0.96 to 4.48)	0.05
ICU admission††						
No	144	7 (4.86)	1		1	
Yes	157	31 (19.7)	4.81 (1.99 to 11.60)	<0.001	4.28 (1.81 to 10.1)	<0.001

\*7/11 patient with progressing rash, 131/176 patients with GCS <13 and 13/16 patients with uncontrolled seizures.  
 †Adjusted for sex and age group.  
 ‡P value from Likelihood ratio test comparing models with and without primary exposure variable.  
 §31/303 (10%) participants did not have a GCS recorded.  
 ¶4/303 (1%) participants had missing data on intravenous dexamethasone administration.  
 \*\*2/172 (1%) participants with confirmed *S. pneumoniae* meningitis had missing data on intravenous dexamethasone administration.  
 ††1/303 (0.3%) participants had missing data on ICU admission.  
 GCS, Glasgow Coma Score.

made in our cohort among patients presenting with bacterial, viral and unknown cause meningitis.

There is good evidence that corticosteroids reduce mortality in pneumococcal meningitis with no clinically significant increase in adverse events in other causes of meningitis.<sup>25</sup> Empirical steroids should be given for all adults with suspected bacterial meningitis. In our study, we saw a reduction in mortality in patients with pneumococcal meningitis who were given steroids, while this survival benefit did not reach statistical significance, this was likely due to a type two error and the small sample of confirmed pneumococcal meningitis cases. It is of concern that well-evidenced, well-established therapies known to improve outcome, including mortality, are only being given to just over half those who might benefit. A protocolised, goal-directed

bundle, including the use of corticosteroids and appropriate antibiotics, warrants evaluation in the UK. There were clear differences between centres in our study with one centre administering steroids to 26/42 (63%) of their patients and another giving them to none. It is possible that those centres that adhered to the recommendation to give steroids may also have adhered to other aspects of the guidelines more often as well, contributing to improved outcomes.

Although this is a large multinational study, there are limitations. NHS trusts self-selected themselves for inclusion, we cannot rule out any significant differences with trusts that did not. However, 64 hospitals were included with good representation throughout the nations of the UK (and Ireland). We do not think any potential selection bias limits the generalisability of our findings. We used



well-established, published case definitions of meningitis to minimise information bias; however, misclassification of cases remains possible especially in the cases without a confirmed microbiological diagnosis. Our case definitions allowed us to include anyone suspected of having meningitis (of any cause) as objectively it is often difficult to differentiate between viral and bacterial meningitis at the point of initial assessment. However, it is possible that there may have been differences in presentation between those with confirmed bacterial meningitis, those with confirmed viral meningitis and those with no confirmed aetiology that meant they were managed in different ways. This study was not powered to look at the differences between all the different aetiologies. Finally, because this was a retrospective study, our analysis may have been subject to errors resulting from recall bias and missing data. A prospective national study would have been challenging to execute and it is likely that there would have been ascertainment bias in time and geography. We therefore believe that, due to the large sample size along with the use of electronic hospital coding and laboratory data to ascertain cases, the risk of recall bias is low, and our retrospective data is representative of practice within the UK.

There is a clear need to better understand the suboptimal guideline adherence reported here. Although there has been research regarding primary care practice, there has not been any evaluation of exactly where delays occur and what the barriers are to achieving good practice in secondary care.<sup>26 27</sup> A small questionnaire-based study identified the inability to find correct equipment, lack of time and/or paucity of appropriately trained staff as potential barriers to performing timely LP for the investigation of neurological infections.<sup>21</sup>

Non-meningitis-specific research evaluating barriers and facilitators to adhering to clinical guidelines, report a lack of awareness or familiarity with the guidelines, as well as disagreement with the content may both be important.<sup>28</sup> External barriers such as equipment and staffing were also identified which agrees with the limited research that there is in neurological infections. There is observational evidence from other countries of improvements in practice and outcome following implementation of guidelines.<sup>12 29</sup>

The patient journey in the UK normally starts with being admitted via an emergency department or acute medical unit where clinicians may not be as familiar with the guidelines and evidence as specialists. There is some evidence, both within meningitis and other infectious diseases that management is improved by being looked after by a specialist. There is an expert recommendation within the current UK guidelines that patients with meningitis should be looked after with input of an infection specialist.

In conclusion, this is, to our knowledge, the largest UK study of adult patients with meningitis. Awareness of practice guidelines for relatively rare acute medical conditions such as meningitis is low and this study has demonstrated

that despite clear, freely accessible guidelines, clinical care is not in line with evidence-based recommendations. There is considerable room for improvement. While we recognise that guidelines do not improve practice on their own, we do recommend that the findings from this study are strongly considered in the development of the new National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) guideline on meningitis currently being developed, which for the first time, will include guidance for adult patients as well as children. Given the widespread adoption of NICE endorsed guidelines and quality standards to improve the quality of clinical practice in the UK, we anticipate that a NICE guideline will improve awareness and uptake of good practice in the short term. In addition to education, which has limited impact on changing behaviour, UK hospitals should use quality improvement methods to improve management of patients with suspected meningitis. Good qualitative research to identify what the barriers to implementing the guidelines should also be done.

We suggest a national strategic improvement plan should focus on the following key areas: timely use of diagnostics; appropriate antibiotics in at risk populations and the use of adjunctive steroids. The integrated use of electronic systems to standardise optimal use of diagnostics, and management bundles may offer additional opportunities to improve outcomes. Each site that has been involved in this study has been asked to implement site-specific changes and re-evaluate for any improvements in practice.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Research Department of Infection, Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>Microbiology, Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Wirral, UK

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

<sup>4</sup>Tropical Infectious Diseases Unit, Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK

<sup>5</sup>Clinical Research Department, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

<sup>6</sup>University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

<sup>7</sup>National Student Association of Medical Research, Leeds, UK

<sup>8</sup>Neurology, The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Centre, Liverpool, UK

<sup>9</sup>Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK

Twitter Arjun Chandna @arji\_barji

**Collaborators** The online supplemental appendix 1 includes a list of other contributors in the National Audit of Meningitis Management (NAMM) group. National Audit of Meningitis Management (NAMM) group: Amy Chue, Ed Moran, Karishma Gokani, Joseph Thompson, Katherine Ajdukiewicz, Victoria Ward, Lucinda Barrett, Frances Edwards, Adam Usher, Mairi McLeod, Ramandeep Singh, Su su Htwe, Benedict Rogers, Grace Duane, Martin Wiselka, Nicholas Wong, Elen Vink, Jennifer Poyner, Jenni Crane, Ollie Lloyd, Emma Chisholm, Ildiko Kustos, Ruth McEwen, Sam Sutton, Lewis Jones, Robert Tilley, M. Estee Torok, Isobel Ramsay, Monica Ivan, Joshua York, Jennifer Ansett, Maithili Varadarajan, Celestine Eshiwe, Amanda Fife, Stephanie Harris, Ryan Jayasinghe, Priya Sekhon, James Cruise, Susan Larkin, Shivani Kanabar, Ernest Mutengesa, Mirella Ling, Christopher Green, Martin Williams, Matthew Stevens, David Griffith, Naomi Bulteel, Charlotte Milne, Jayanta Sarma, Aline Wilson, John Shone, Lynn Urquhart, Sahar Eldirdiri, Alison Muir, Leila White, Jody Aberdein, Phillip Simpson, Hnin Hay Mar, John Bowen, Keying Tan, Eint Shwe Zin thein, Mahmoud Aziz, Anthony Cadwgan, Brendan Davies,



Daniel White, Natasha Weston, Salman Zeb, Angela Houston, Imogen Fordham, Terry John Evans, Louise Wootton, David Turner, Iona Willingham, Aimee Johnson, Nimal Wickramasinghe, Ashley Horsley, Eamonn Trainor, Olivier Gaillemain, Andrew Rosser, Nicholas J Norton, Iain Crossingham, Katie Cheung, Megan Duxbury, Ashutosh Deshpande, Emilie Bellhouse, Kamaljit Khalsa, Helena Brezovjakova, Emma McLean, Tanmay, Kanitkar, Nicholas Davies, Alexsander Dawidziuk, Joanna Allen, Razan Saman, Sarah Kelly, Hugh Adler, Elshadai Ejere, Aarti Shah, Yiwen Soo, Wendy Beadles, Heather Sturgeon, Brodie Cameron, Ben Tomlinson, David Chadwick, Claire McGoldrick, Katie McDowell, Alastair Miller, Clive Graham, Mpho Molosiwa, Ewan Hunter, Ruth Owen, Katherine FlackAdrian Kennedy, Amy Robinson, Phoebe Cross, Fay Perry, Vithusha Inpadhas, Ali Khan, Sarathy Selvam, Vhairy Bateman, Jeremy Wong, Henry Wu, Monika Pasztor, Trupti Patel, Ajanthiha Karunakaran, Basma Soliman, Hassan Paraiso, Mairi McLeod, Su su Htwe, Anna Smith, Andrew Blanshard, Harish Reddy, Avneet Shahi, Helen Chesterfield, Oliver Bannister, Ben Schroeder, Ken Woodhouse, Jan Coebergh, Viva Levee, Eavan Muldoon, Rhea O'Regan, Tee Keat Teoh, Sathyavani Subbarao, Simon Tiberi, Caryn RosmarinLucy Bell, Jonathan Lambourne, Emma McGuire, Robert Serafino, Anna Goodman, Ishaan Bhide, Karanjeet Sagoo, Mark Melzer, Maria Krutikov, Indran Balakrishnan, Susan Hopkins, Tim Jones, Kajal Patel, Barzo Faris, Graeme Calver, Ricky Singh, Hazel Sanghvi, Mohamed Eltayeb, Rathur Haris.

**Contributors** JE: Methodology, data collection and curation, formal analysis, investigation, writing—original draft preparation. DH: Methodology including pilot data, data collection, reviewing and approving final draft. SD: Methodology including development of original audit tool and guidelines, data collection, reviewing and approving final draft. AC: Methodology, reviewing and approving final draft. EM: Methodology, data collection, reviewing and approving final draft. TS: Methodology including development of original guidelines and audit tool, reviewing and approving final draft. RSH: Conceptualisation, methodology, supervision, writing—review and editing. FM: Conceptualisation, methodology, data collection and curation, investigation, formal analysis, writing—original draft preparation. Responsible for overall content as guarantor. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted. Please see online supplemental appendix 1 for list of other contributors in NAMM.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** RSH is an NIHR Senior Investigator. The findings and the views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR. TS is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Protection Research Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections (grant no. NIHR200907), NIHR Global Health Research Group on Brain Infections (no. 17/63/110) and the UK Medical Research Council's Global Effort on COVID-19 Programme (MR/V033441/1).

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

**Patient consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Ethics approval** As all data were anonymised individual patient consent and ethical approval was not required. The study was registered with each site's clinical governance department in line with local procedure.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available upon reasonable request. Data can be made available to other researchers on reasonable request to the authors.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## ORCID iDs

Robert S Heyderman <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4573-449X>

Fiona McGill <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0903-9046>

## REFERENCES

- van de Beek D, de Gans J, Spanjaard L, *et al*. Clinical features and prognostic factors in adults with bacterial meningitis. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:1849–59.
- Okike IO, Ribeiro S, Ramsay ME, *et al*. Trends in bacterial, mycobacterial, and fungal meningitis in England and Wales 2004–11: an observational study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014;14:301–7.
- McGill F, Griffiths MJ, Bonnett LJ, *et al*. Incidence, aetiology, and sequelae of viral meningitis in UK adults: a multicentre prospective observational cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2018;18:992–1003.
- Proulx N, Fréchette D, Toye B, *et al*. Delays in the administration of antibiotics are associated with mortality from adult acute bacterial meningitis. *QJM* 2005;98:291–8.
- Bodilsen J, Dalager-Pedersen M, Schönheyder HC, *et al*. Time to antibiotic therapy and outcome in bacterial meningitis: a Danish population-based cohort study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2016;16:1–7.
- McGill F, Heyderman RS, Michael BD, *et al*. The UK joint specialist societies guideline on the diagnosis and management of acute meningitis and meningococcal sepsis in immunocompetent adults. *J Infect* 2016;72:405–38.
- Stockdale AJ, Weekes MP, Aliyu SH. An audit of acute bacterial meningitis in a large teaching hospital 2005–10. *QJM* 2011;104:1055–63.
- Cullen MM. An audit of the investigation and initial management of adults presenting with possible bacterial meningitis. *J Infect* 2005;50:120–4.
- Brouwer MC, van de Beek D. Viral meningitis in the UK: time to speed up. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2018;18:930–1.
- Kadambari S, Okike I, Ribeiro S, *et al*. Seven-fold increase in viral meningo-encephalitis reports in England and Wales during 2004–2013. *J Infect* 2014;69:326–32.
- McGill F, Tokarz R, Thomson EC, *et al*. Viral capture sequencing detects unexpected viruses in the cerebrospinal fluid of adults with meningitis. *J Infect* 2022;84:499–510.
- Glimåker M, Johansson B, Grindborg Örnar, *et al*. Adult bacterial meningitis: earlier treatment and improved outcome following guideline revision promoting prompt lumbar puncture. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015;60:1162–9.
- Public Health England. *UK standards for microbiology investigations*. Investigation of Cerebrospinal fluid, 2017.
- The Kings Fund. NHS Hospital bed numbers: past, present, future, 2021. Available: <https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/publications/nhs-hospital-bed-numbers>
- Spanos A, Harrell FE, Durack DT. Differential diagnosis of acute meningitis. An analysis of the predictive value of initial observations. *JAMA* 1989;262:2700–7.
- Auburtin M, Wolff M, Charpentier J, *et al*. Detrimental role of delayed antibiotic administration and penicillin-nonsusceptible strains in adult intensive care unit patients with pneumococcal meningitis: the PNEUMOREA prospective multicenter study. *Crit Care Med* 2006;34:2758–65.
- Michael B, Menezes BF, Cunniffe J, *et al*. Effect of delayed lumbar punctures on the diagnosis of acute bacterial meningitis in adults. *Emerg Med J* 2010;27:433–8.
- Costerus JM, Brouwer MC, Sprengers MES, *et al*. Cranial computed tomography, lumbar puncture, and clinical deterioration in bacterial meningitis: a nationwide cohort study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018;67:920–6.
- Costerus JM, Brouwer MC, van de Beek D. Technological advances and changing indications for lumbar puncture in neurological disorders. *Lancet Neurol* 2018;17:268–78.
- Glimåker M, Johansson B, Bell M, *et al*. Early lumbar puncture in adult bacterial meningitis—rationale for revised guidelines. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2013;45:657–63.
- Defres S, Mayer J, Backman R, *et al*. Performing lumbar punctures for suspected CNS infections: experience and practice of trainee doctors. *Br J Hosp Med* 2015;76:658–62.
- Heinsbroek E, Ladhani S, Gray S, *et al*. Added value of PCR-testing for confirmation of invasive meningococcal disease in England. *J Infect* 2013;67:385–90.
- Bouzid D, Zanella M-C, Kerneis S, *et al*. Rapid diagnostic tests for infectious diseases in the emergency department. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021;27:182–191.
- Giulieri SG, Chapuis-Taillard C, Manuel O, *et al*. Rapid detection of enterovirus in cerebrospinal fluid by a fully-automated PCR assay is



- associated with improved management of aseptic meningitis in adult patients. *J Clin Virol* 2015;62:58–62.
- 25 de Gans J, van de Beek D, European Dexamethasone in Adulthood Bacterial Meningitis Study Investigators. Dexamethasone in adults with bacterial meningitis. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:1549–56.
- 26 Brennan CA, Somerset M, Granier SK, *et al.* Management of diagnostic uncertainty in children with possible meningitis: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2003;53:626–31.
- 27 Granier S, Owen P, Pill R, *et al.* Recognising meningococcal disease in primary care: qualitative study of how general practitioners process clinical and contextual information. *BMJ* 1998;316:276–9.
- 28 Barth JH, Misra S, Aakre KM, *et al.* Why are clinical practice guidelines not followed? *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2016;54:1133–9.
- 29 Costerus JM, Brouwer MC, Bijlsma MW, *et al.* Impact of an evidence-based guideline on the management of community-acquired bacterial meningitis: a prospective cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2016;22:928–33.

Site of Data collection	Names and Grades (at time of data collection) of contributors
Birmingham Heartlands Hospital, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust	Amy Chue, SpR Ed Moran, Consultant Karishma Gokani, CMT
North Manchester General Hospital	Joseph Thompson, SpR Katherine Ajdukiewicz, Consultant
Oxford University Hospitals	Victoria Ward, SpR Lucinda Barrett, Consultant
Cheltenham General Hospital	Frances Edwards, CMT Adam Usher, Consultant
Royal Alexandra Hospital, Paisley	Mairi McLeod, Consultant Ramandeep Singh, medical student Su su Htwe, SpR
Leicester Royal Infirmary, Leicester	Benedict Rogers, SpR Grace Duane, Medical Student Martin Wiselka, Consultant Nicholas Wong, SpR
NHS Lothian	Elen Vink, SpR Jennifer Poyner, SpR Jenni Crane, Consultant Ollie Lloyd, SpR Emma Chisholm, SpR
Countess of Chester Hospital	Ildiko Kustos, Consultant Ruth McEwen, Consultant Sam Sutton, CMT
University Hospitals Plymouth Trust	Lewis Jones, Consultant Robert Tilley, Consultant
Addenbrookes hospital, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	M. Estee Torok, Honorary Consultant Isobel Ramsay, SpR
Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	Monica Ivan, Consultant Joshua York Jennifer Ansett Maithili Varadarajan Celestine Eshiwe, SpR
London King's College	Amanda Fife, Consultant Stephanie Harris, SpR Ryan Jayesinghe, medical student Priya Sekhon
Aintree University Hospital, Liverpool	James Cruise, SpR Susan Larkin, Consultant
Worcestershire Royal Hospital	Shivani Kanabar, Medical student Ernest Mutengesa, Medical Student Mirella Ling, Consultant Christopher Green, Consultant
Bristol Royal Infirmary, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust	Martin Williams, Consultant Matthew Stevens, CMT

Victoria hospital, Kirkcaldy	David Griffith, Consultant Naomi Bulteel, SpR
Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust	Charlotte Milne, SpR Jayanta Sarma, Consultant
Ninewells hospital, Dundee	Aline Wilson, SpR John Shone, Consultant Lynn Urquhart, Consultant Sahar Eldirdiri, SpR
Royal Preston Hospital, Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Alison Muir, Consultant Leila White, Clinical Scientist
Sheffield teaching Hospitals	Jody Aberdein, Consultant Phillip Simpson, SpR
Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust	Hnin Hay Mar John Bowen Keying Tan Eint Shwe Zin thein Mahmoud Aziz
University Hospital North Midlands	Anthony Cadwgan, Consultant Brendan Davies, Consultant Daniel White, SpR Natasha Weston, SpR Salman Zeb, CMT
St George's Hospital, London	Angela Houston, Consultant Imogen Fordham, clinical fellow Terry John Evans, SpR Louise Wootton, Physician's associate
Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust	David Turner, Consultant Iona Willingham, SpR
Birmingham Queen Elizabeth Hospital	Aimee Johnson, SpR Nimal Wickramasinghe, Consultant
Salford Royal Infirmary, Salford	Ashley Horsley, SpR Eamonn Trainor, Consultant Olivier Gaillemin, Consultant
University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust	Andrew Rosser, Consultant Nicholas J Norton, SpR
Royal Blackburn Hospital, East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust	Iain Crossingham, Consultant Katie Cheung, Medical Student Megan Duxbury, CMT
Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde	Ashutosh Deshpande, Consultant Emilie Bellhouse, FY2 Kamaljit Khalsa, SpR
Imperial College School of Medicine and Imperial college Healthcare NHS trust	Helena Brezovjakova, Medical Student Emma McLean, medical student Tanmay, Kanitkar, CMT Nicholas Davies, Consultant Alexsander Dawidziuk, Medical Student

St James University hospital, Leeds	Eloisa McLaughlin, Medical student Joanna Allen, Consultant Razan Saman, SpR Sarah Kelly, SpR
Royal Liverpool University Hospital, Liverpool	Hugh Adler, SpR Sylviane Defres, Consultant
Arrowe Park Hospital, Wirral	David Harvey, Consultant Elshadai Ejere, FY2
Queen's hospital, Romford	Aarti Shah, Consultant Yiwen Soo, FY1
Raigmore Hospital, Inverness	Wendy Beadles, Consultant Heather Sturgeon, Medical student Brodie Cameron, Medical Student
James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough	Ben Tomlinson, SpR David Chadwick, Consultant
University Hospital Monklands	Claire McGoldrick, Consultant Katie McDowell, FY2
Cumberland infirmary, Carlisle	Alastair Miller, Consultant Clive Graham, Consultant Mpho Molojiwa, FY2
Newcastle Upon Tyne NHS Foundation Trust	Ewan Hunter, Consultant Ruth Owen, Medical Student Katherine Flack
Airedale hospital, Airedale	Adrian Kennedy, Consultant
Bradford Royal Infirmary, Bradford	Amy Robinson, Consultant Phoebe Cross, SpR Fay Perry
University Hospital Wales	Vithusha Inpadhas
Aberdeen Royal Infirmary	Ali Khan, SpR Sarathy Selvam, FY2 Vhairi Bateman, Consultant Jeremy Wong, Medica Student
Lancaster Royal Infirmary	Henry Wu, FY2 Monika Pasztor, Consultant
Whittington Hospital, London	Trupti Patel, Consultant Ajanthiha Karunakaran, Medical Student
Russells Hall Hospital, Dudley	Basma Soliman, CT1 Hassan Paraiso, Consultant
Glasgow Royal Infirmary	Mairi McLeod, Consultant Su su Htwe, SpR Anna Smith
James Paget University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	Andrew Blanshard, CMT Harish Reddy, Consultant
Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust	Avneet Shahi, SpR Helen Chesterfield, Consultant Oliver Bannister, CMT

Withybush hospital, Haverford West	Ben Schroeder, Medical Student Ken Woodhouse, Consultant
Ashford and St Peter's NHS Foundation Trust	Jan Coebergh, Consultant Viva Levee, FY2
Mater Misericordiae University Hospital, Dublin	Eavan Muldoon, Consultant Rhea O'regan, SpR Tee Keat Teoh, SpR
Newham Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust	Sathyavani Subbarao, SpR Simon Tiberi, Consultant Caryn Rosmarin
London UCL and Hospital for Tropical diseases at University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust.	Jayne Ellis, SpR Lucy Bell, CMT Robert Heyderman, Consultant
Barts Health NHS Trust	Jonathan Lambourne, Consultant Emma McGuire, SpR Robert Serafino, Consultant
Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust	Anna Goodman, Consultant Ishaan Bhide, FY1 Karanjeet Sagoo, Medical Student
Whipps Cross, Barts Health NHS Trust	Mark Melzer, Consultant Maria Krutikov, SpR
The Royal Free Hospital, London	Indran Balakrishnan, Consultant Susan Hopkins, Consultant Tim Jones, SpR
Trafford General Hospital, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust	Kajal Patel, Medical Student Barzo Faris, Consultant
William Harvey Hospital, East Kent	Graeme Calver, Consultant Ricky Singh, Medical Student Hazel Sanghvi, Medical Student
Tameside General Hospital	Mohamed Eltayeb, Clinical Fellow Rathur Haris, Consultant

**Supplementary table 1 . Risk factors for Listeria stratified by aetiology.**

	<b>Total cohort N (%)</b>	<b>Bacterial meningitis N (%)</b>	<b>Viral meningitis N (%)</b>	<b>Other meningitis† N (%)</b>	<b>P value</b>
<b>N</b>	1,471 (100)	302 (21)	615 (42)	553 (38)	-
<b>Age &gt;60 years</b>	235 (16)	126 (42)	27 (4)	79 (14)	<0.001
<b>Number immunocompromised by disease/medication*</b>	60 (4)	14 (5)	18 (3)	28 (5)	0.23
<b>Number with Diabetes mellitus</b>	64 (4)	30 (10)	11 (2)	22 (4)	<0.001
<b>Number with a history of alcohol excess</b>	36 (2)	21 (7)	3 (0.5)	12 (2)	<0.001

†= other meningitis category included all patients without a confirmed bacterial or viral pathogen

\*=Conditions listed as immunocompromising conditions included haematological malignancy (n=8), Other malignancy (n=8), solid organ transplant (n=6), liver cirrhosis (n=1), HIV (n=9), Pregnancy (n=2). Medication listed included Steroids (n=7), tocilizumab, eculizumab and infliximab (n=6), Methotrexate (n=8), Mycophenolate (n=2), Azathioprine (n=3), 'chemotherapy' (n=4). (some patients had more than one immunocompromising condition/medication).

**Supplementary table 2: Multivariate analysis of the association between baseline co-variables and in-hospital mortality in 266 patients with bacterial meningitis confirmed by CSF analysis using logistic regression modelling:**

Baseline co-variate	N	In-hospital mortality N (%) <sup>1</sup>	Crude OR for in-hospital mortality (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR for in-hospital mortality (95% CI)*	P-value†
<b>Sex</b>						
Male	147	15 (10.2)	1			
Female	118	7 (5.93)	0.55 (0.22-1.42)	0.21		
<b>Age group</b>						
≤ 18 years	16	0 (0)	-			
19 – 59 years	136	10 (7.35)	1			
≥ 60 years	113	12 (10.6)	1.50 (0.62-3.61)	0.37		
<b>Blood culture positive</b>						
No	130	8 (6.15)	1		1	
Yes	135	14 (10.4)	1.76 (0.71-4.38)	0.21	1.46 (0.58-3.71)	0.42
<b>GCS ≤ 13<sup>2</sup></b>						
No	106	3 (2.83)	1		1	
Yes	132	17 (12.9)	5.05 (1.41-18.2)	0.006	4.41 (1.24-15.7)	0.009
<b>IV dexamethasone given<sup>3</sup></b>						
No	124	10 (8.06)	1		1	
Yes	137	11 (8.03)	0.99 (0.41-2.43)	0.99	1.02 (0.41-2.52)	0.96
<b>IV dexamethasone given if <i>Strep.pneumoniae</i><sup>4</sup></b>						
No	62	8 (12.9)	1		1	
Yes	89	8 (8.99)	0.67 (0.23-1.89)	0.44	0.68 (0.24-1.94)	0.48
<b>Final diagnosis <i>S. pneumoniae</i></b>						
No	107	5 (4.46)	1		1	
Yes	136	17 (11.1)	2.67 (0.95-7.55)	0.05	2.37 (0.84-6.67)	0.08
<b>ITU admission<sup>5</sup></b>						
No	129	4 (3.01)	1		1	
Yes	113	18 (13.7)	5.14 (1.65-16.0)	0.002	4.44 (1.44-13.6)	0.003

\*adjusted for sex and age group

† P-value from LRT comparing models with and without primary exposure variable

1 = One participant had missing outcome data

2 = 28/266 (10%) participants did not have a GCS recorded

3 = 4/266 (1%) participants had missing data on IV dexamethasone administration

4 = 2/154 (1%) participants with confirmed *S. pneumoniae* meningitis had missing data on IV dexamethasone administration

5 = 2/266 (0.7%) participants had missing data on ITU admission



**Supplementary table 3: Multivariate analysis of the association between baseline co-variates and in-hospital mortality in 359 patients with bacterial meningitis using the Spanos criteria<sup>^</sup> using logistic regression modelling:**

Baseline co-variate	N	In-hospital mortality N (%) <sup>1</sup>	Crude OR for in-hospital mortality (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR for in-hospital mortality (95% CI)*	P-value <sup>†</sup>
<b>Sex</b>						
Male	199	28 (14.1)	1			
Female	159	13 (8.18)	0.54 (0.27-1.09)	0.08		
<b>Age group</b>						
≤ 18 years	21	0 (0)	-			
19 – 59 years	192	18 (9.38)	1			
≥ 60 years	145	23 (15.9)	1.82 (0.94-3.52)	0.07		
<b>Blood culture positive</b>						
No	188	14 (7.45)	1		1	
Yes	170	27 (15.9)	2.35 (1.18-4.68)	0.01	1.93 (0.96-3.89)	0.06
<b>GCS ≤ 13<sup>2</sup></b>						
No	163	9 (5.52)	1		1	
Yes	156	28 (17.9)	3.74 (1.67-8.36)	<0.001	3.19 (1.44-7.09)	0.003
<b>IV dexamethasone given<sup>3</sup></b>						
No	189	26 (13.8)	1		1	
Yes	162	14 (8.64)	0.59 (0.30-1.18)	0.13	0.57 (0.28-1.14)	0.11
<b>IV dexamethasone given if <i>Strep.pneumoniae</i><sup>4</sup></b>						
No	73	16 (21.9)	1		1	
Yes	96	11 (11.5)	0.46 (0.19-1.08)	0.07	0.47 (0.20-1.10)	0.08
<b>Final diagnosis <i>S. pneumoniae</i></b>						
No	187	13 (6.95)	1		1	
Yes	171	28 (16.4)	2.62 (1.30-5.29)	0.005	2.29 (1.14-4.63)	0.02
<b>ITU admission<sup>5</sup></b>						
No	192	9 (4.69)	1		1	
Yes	163	32 (19.6)	4.97 (2.24-11.0)	<0.001	4.43 (2.03-9.68)	<0.001

\*adjusted for sex and age group

<sup>†</sup> P-value from LRT comparing models with and without primary exposure variable

1 = One participant had missing outcome data

2 = 40/359 (11%) participants did not have a GCS recorded

3 = 7/359 (2%) participants had missing data on IV dexamethasone administration

4 = 2/172 (1%) participants with confirmed *S. pneumoniae* meningitis had missing data on IV dexamethasone administration

5 = 4/359 (1%) participants had missing data on ITU admission

<sup>^</sup> - Spanos criteria use various parameters to allow patients who have not had an aetiological agent to be assumed to be likely bacterial in nature.