Multicentre, randomised controlled trial to investigate the effects of parental touch on relieving acute procedural pain in neonates (Petal)
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Newborn infants routinely undergo minor painful procedures as part of postnatal care, with infants born sick or premature requiring a greater number of procedures. As pain in early life can have long-term neurodevelopmental consequences and lead to parental anxiety and future avoidance of interventions, effective pain management is essential. Non-pharmacological comfort measures such as breastfeeding, swaddling and sweet solutions are inconsistently implemented and are not always practical or effective in reducing the transmission of noxious input to the brain. Stroking of the skin can activate C-tactile fibres and reduce pain, and therefore could provide a simple and safe parental-led intervention for the management of pain. The trial aim is to determine whether parental touch prior to a painful clinical procedure provides effective pain relief in neonates.

Methods and analysis This is a multicentre randomised controlled trial. A total of 112 neonates born at 35 weeks’ gestation or more requiring a blood test in the first week of life will be recruited and randomised to receive parental stroking either preprocedure or postprocedure. We will record brain activity (EEG), cardiac and respiratory dynamics, oxygen saturation and facial expression to provide proxy pain outcome measures. The primary outcome will be the reduction of noxious-evoked brain activity in response to a heel lance. Secondary outcomes will be a reduction in clinical pain scores (Premature Infant Pain Profile-Revised), postprocedural tachycardia and parental anxiety.

Ethics and dissemination The study has been approved by the London—South East Research Ethics Committee (ref: 21/LO/0523). The results will be widely disseminated through peer-reviewed publications, international conferences and via our partner neonatal charities Bliss and Supporting the Sick Newborn And Their Parents (SSNAP). If the parental tactile intervention is effective, recommendations will be submitted via the National Health Service clinical guideline adoption process.

Study status Commenced September 2021.

Trial registration number NCT04901611; 14 135 962.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ Petal is a randomised controlled trial investigating whether noxious-evoked brain activity is reduced by preprocedural parental stroking.

⇒ The trial is based on published evidence from two mechanistic studies which show a reduction in noxious-evoked brain activity during a heel lance or experimental stimuli in neonates whose skin was brushed by the experimenter prior to the procedure.

⇒ This trial investigates stroking as a simple, free, low-risk, non-pharmacological pain-relieving intervention delivered by parents to their newborn infants in the first week of life.

⇒ The trial employs multiple proxy measures to determine the impact of the stroking intervention on neonatal pain and investigates the impact of the intervention on parental anxiety and distress.

⇒ While investigators cannot be blinded to the group allocation at the time of the study, this limitation is mitigated by ensuring that participants and investigators involved in all other aspects of the trial, including data analysis, are blinded.

INTRODUCTION

Background

Newborn infants undergo painful procedures as part of routine neonatal care. Sick or premature infants experience an average of 10 painful procedures per day as part of life-sustaining treatment.1 It is recognised that repetitive exposure to pain in early life can cause short-term physiological instability as well as long-term neurodevelopmental consequences such as reduced growth, altered structural and functional brain development and reduced school-age academic performance.2 Furthermore, repeatedly witnessing their infant in pain can have a significant negative impact on the emotional and psychological well-being of parents.3–5 Effective pain
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management is therefore essential in neonatal care. However, measuring pain in this non-verbal patient population is challenging, and few safe and effective analgesics have been tested and approved for use in infants. Non-pharmacological strategies have been introduced and promoted over the last few decades for the management of acute procedural pain. Sweet-taste solutions such as sucrose are effective in relieving behavioural responses following minor painful procedures, but do not reduce noxious input to the brain.7 This has caused concern that this intervention may not mitigate the long-term consequences of early life pain, and furthermore, it may have long-term neurodevelopmental effects with repeated use.8–10 Breastfeeding also reduces behavioural and physiological responses to pain in full-term infants undergoing heel lancing, intramuscular injection and venepuncture.11 However, this strategy can be challenging for new mothers and is not always practical to implement in premature and critically ill infants or in mothers with transmissible infections. Other comfort measures include swaddling and facilitated tucking of infants, which, although useful, are less effective in reducing pain.12 While many studies have reported the potential pain-relieving effects of tactile interventions such as skin-to-skin care13 and massage14–21 in the context of minor painful procedures, these non-pharmacological interventions are scarcely used in maternity and neonatal units22–24 and the mechanisms underpinning their effectiveness are still being established. Despite guidelines recommending the use of non-pharmacological interventions for pain relief, uptake of these practices remains poor and inconsistent.23–24

Measuring pain in infants
The assessment of pain and analgesia in infants primarily relies on measuring changes in infant behaviour. One of the most common validated clinical pain tools is the Premature Infant Pain Profile (original PIPP, revised PIPP-R).25–26 While subjective evaluations of behavioural responses are a gold standard for the clinical assessment of neonatal pain, electrophysiology-based methods have more recently been developed to identify a pattern of noxious-evoked brain activity.27–29 This objective and quantifiable neurophysiological measure has been previously used in pilot studies30–31 and as the primary outcome measure in randomised clinical trials published in The Lancet, assessing the analgesic efficacy of sucrose’ and morphine.32 Noxious-evoked brain activity has specifically been well characterised in response to heel lancing27–29,33 a clinical procedure which is frequently performed in neonates for blood collection, and will be used as the primary outcome of the Petal trial to investigate the efficacy of preprocedural parental stroking.

Rationale
Maternal touch behaviours are instinctive, evolutionarily conserved among mammals.34 Previous studies suggest that there may also be a potential relationship between enhanced maternal touch and infant growth and development.35–36 Stroking, by repeatedly applying gentle pressure to the skin, can activate C-tactile (CT) fibres, a subclass of slow-conducting unmyelinated sensory neurons, mostly found in hairy skin.37–39 These fibres project to brain regions associated with affective processing such as the insular cortex, prefrontal cortex, superior temporal sulcus and cingulate cortex40–44 and are thought to have evolved to promote affiliative behaviours and social touch.45–48 CT-fibres are optimally activated by stroking at a velocity of 3cm/s (optimal range 1–10cm/s),39–51 and studies in adults of gentle brushing or stroking paradigms at this optimal velocity have demonstrated a reduction in pain ratings42–53 and noxious-evoked brain activity.45 CT-optimal stimulation therefore could provide a natural and safe pain-relieving intervention.

We previously conducted a small prospective cohort study of preprocedural stroking for pain relief in neonates, in which we demonstrated that CT-optimal stroking (at 3cm/s) prior to an experimental noxious stimulus or clinical heel lance significantly reduced noxious-evoked brain activity in term neonates compared with no touch intervention.46 We replicated this study in an independent sample of term neonates and showed consistent results and a similar effect size in the group receiving the stroking intervention.51 However, in both of these studies, stroking was delivered by the researcher using a soft experimental brush with a known force. Although the studies did not identify a significant effect of the intervention on a clinical pain score, they were notably not powered to investigate this. Considering CT-optimal stroking is a natural maternal behaviour44–45 and evidence suggesting that CT-fibres respond optimally to touch at human skin temperature,56 hands-on parental stroking has the potential to provide even greater benefit than CT-optimal brushstrokes. Pilot work further suggests that stroking a neonate has similar efficacy to researcher-led experimental brushing (unpublished).

Aim and objectives
In the Petal trial, we aim to determine whether parental stroking prior to a common painful clinical procedure (heel lancing) provides effective analgesia in neonates. The primary outcome will be the reduction of noxious-evoked brain activity during a heel lance. Secondary outcomes will be a reduction in clinical pain scores, postprocedural tachycardia and parental anxiety (table 1). Exploratory outcomes will investigate changes in brain activity during the intervention, as well as effects on physiological recovery postprocedural use (heart rate and respiratory dynamics) and further explore parental anxiety, distress, and attitudes to research.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
Trial description
This is a multicentre randomised controlled intervention trial, with two research sites (John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, and Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital, Devon, UK). The parents of eligible neonates satisfying
Table 1 Objectives and outcome measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary objective</strong></td>
<td><strong>Primary outcome measure</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. To test whether parental touch prior to the clinical procedure reduces noxious-evoked brain activity following a heel lance.</td>
<td>1. Magnitude of noxious-evoked brain activity following a heel lance (EEG data recorded in the 1000ms period following each heel lance).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary objectives</strong></td>
<td><strong>Secondary outcome measures</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. To test whether parental touch prior to the clinical procedure reduces clinical pain scores (PIPP-R) during the 30s period after the heel lance.</td>
<td>1. PIPP-R score during the 30s period after the heel lance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. To test whether parental touch prior to the clinical procedure reduces incidence of postprocedural tachycardia following a heel lance.</td>
<td>2. Percentage of neonates who develop tachycardia in the 30s post heel lance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. To test whether parental touch prior to the clinical procedure reduces parental anxiety, compared with postprocedural touch.</td>
<td>3. Difference in STAI-S scores preprocedure and postprocedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exploratory objectives</strong></td>
<td><strong>Exploratory outcome measures</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. To explore how parental touch impacts background brain activity.</td>
<td>1. Changes in brain activity during the touch intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. To explore whether parental touch prior to the clinical procedure reduces the duration of time for heart rate to return to baseline after a heel lance.</td>
<td>2. Time taken for heart rate to return to baseline post heel lance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. To explore how parental touch prior to the clinical procedure affects respiratory stability.</td>
<td>3. Postprocedural respiratory dynamics and incidence of apnoea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. To explore parental anxiety and distress, and their experience of the trial and infant research.</td>
<td>4. Scores for individual parameters from the STAI-T and STAI-S; four-point distress questionnaire score; responses to survey about participation in Petal and infant research.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EEG, Electroencephalography; PIPP-R, Premature Infant Pain Profile-Revised; STAI-S, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-State; STAI-T, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-Trait.

Inclusion criteria (figure 1) will be approached by a member of the research team. Parental written informed consent will be taken and neonates will be electronically randomised to receive parental stroking either prior to or after a clinically required heel lance. Patient information leaflets and consent forms are available as online supplemental file 1. A unique study ID will be assigned to each individual participant. The randomisation programme will use a minimisation algorithm to ensure approximate balance between the groups with respect to gestational age at birth, postnatal age at time of randomisation, sex, the indication for blood sampling and research site. The users of the system will be blind to the next allocation.

Each neonate will be studied on a single test occasion lasting approximately 1 hour (figure 2) and will not require further follow-up. A parent will first complete the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-Trait (STAI-T) and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-State (STAI-S) questionnaires, which will be administered verbally by a researcher. This will allow assessment of both trait anxiety and state anxiety prior to the commencement of the stroking intervention or blood test. At least 30 min prior to the heel lance, the research team will set up physiological monitoring including ECG and pulse oximetry for continuous recording of baseline cardiorespiratory stability. Electroencephalography (EEG) electrodes will then be sited to allow continuous monitoring of baseline brain activity for at least 10 min prior to the clinical procedure. A control heel lance will then be performed followed by the clinical heel lance.

The control heel lance is a non-noxious sham procedure whereby the lancet is placed against the participant’s foot rotated at 90°, preventing release of the blade into the foot. This procedure simulates the tactile and auditory aspects of the blood sampling experience without the noxious input. Brain activity, physiology and facial expression (video) will be recorded for both the control heel lance and clinical heel lance to allow assessment of outcome measures including noxious-evoked brain activity, PIPP-R scores, tachycardia and respiratory dynamics (table 1). The heel lance and control stimulus will be linked electronically to the recording equipment as described in previous studies, providing precise timing of when the heel lance occurs. In the event of the neonate requiring multiple heel lances, data will only be included from the first heel lance (conditional on data quality). Video recording of the face will commence after a heel lance. Patient information leaflets and consent forms are available as online supplemental file 1. A unique study ID will be assigned to each individual participant. The randomisation programme will use a minimisation algorithm to ensure approximate balance between the groups with respect to gestational age at birth, postnatal age at time of randomisation, sex, the indication for blood sampling and research site. The users of the system will be blind to the next allocation.

Each neonate will be studied on a single test occasion lasting approximately 1 hour (figure 2) and will not require further follow-up. A parent will first complete the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-Trait (STAI-T) and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-State (STAI-S) questionnaires, which will be administered verbally by a researcher. This will allow assessment of both trait anxiety and state anxiety prior to the commencement of the stroking intervention or blood test. At least 30 min prior to the heel lance, the research team will set up physiological monitoring including ECG and pulse oximetry for continuous recording of baseline cardiorespiratory stability. Electroencephalography (EEG) electrodes will then be sited to allow continuous monitoring of baseline brain activity for at least 10 min prior to the clinical procedure. A control heel lance will then be performed followed by the clinical heel lance.

The control heel lance is a non-noxious sham procedure whereby the lancet is placed against the participant’s foot rotated at 90°, preventing release of the blade into the foot. This procedure simulates the tactile and auditory aspects of the blood sampling experience without the noxious input. Brain activity, physiology and facial expression (video) will be recorded for both the control heel lance and clinical heel lance to allow assessment of outcome measures including noxious-evoked brain activity, PIPP-R scores, tachycardia and respiratory dynamics (table 1). The heel lance and control stimulus will be linked electronically to the recording equipment as described in previous studies, providing precise timing of when the heel lance occurs. In the event of the neonate requiring multiple heel lances, data will only be included from the first heel lance (conditional on data quality). Video recording of the face will commence after a heel lance. Patient information leaflets and consent forms are available as online supplemental file 1. A unique study ID will be assigned to each individual participant. The randomisation programme will use a minimisation algorithm to ensure approximate balance between the groups with respect to gestational age at birth, postnatal age at time of randomisation, sex, the indication for blood sampling and research site. The users of the system will be blind to the next allocation.
to receive postprocedural stroking, the parent will be instructed to begin stroking down the infant’s leg after the start of blood collection, when deemed appropriate by the clinician performing the heel lance in order to ensure that blood collection is not disrupted. Parents will be guided by an animated visual cue. A researcher will then verbally administer the STAI-S and four-point distress questionnaire after the procedure is completed. Physiological monitoring will continue for 30 min and EEG monitoring for at least 10 min to allow investigation of postprocedural cardiorespiratory dynamics and brain activity as exploratory outcomes of the trial. Finally, the parents will be invited to complete an anonymous survey of their experience and views on research after completion of the study. This study protocol follows the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials guidelines (online supplemental file 2).57

**Intervention**

The parental touch intervention will involve one parent stroking the infant’s leg for 10 s. The duration of the intervention is consistent with previous studies.30 31 52 58 A member of the research team will inform parents of their randomised allocation (either stroking pre heel lance or post heel lance) at the start of the test occasion. They will explain and demonstrate how to administer the intervention using their whole hand, stroking in one direction down towards the foot. The infant will lay in a cot during the intervention and procedure. During the demonstration and test occasion, PsychoPy software59 will be used to provide a visual cue on a computer screen to guide a consistent stroking speed of 3 cm/s for 10 s. During the study, all neonates will receive comfort care in accordance with the local practice guidelines. These measures include swaddling the infants and providing non-nutritive sucking.

**Recording techniques**

**Electroencephalography (EEG)**

Electrophysiological activity will be acquired with the SynAmps RT 64-channel headbox and amplifiers (Compumedics Neuroscan) or with the Compumedics Grael V2 EEG system, with a bandwidth from DC: 400 Hz and a sampling rate of 2000 or 2048 Hz. Data recorded at 2048 Hz will be downsampled to 2000 Hz prior to further processing. CURRYscan7 or CURRYscan8 neuroimaging suite (Compumedics Neuroscan) will be used to record the activity. All equipment will conform to the electrical safety standard for medical devices, IEC 60601-1. Eight EEG recording electrodes will be positioned on the scalp at Cz, CPz, C3, C4, FCz, T3, T4 and Oz according to the modified international 10–20 System. Reference and ground electrodes will be placed at Fz and Fpz, respectively. EEG conductive paste will be used to optimise contact with the scalp. All impedances will be reduced to approximately 5 kΩ by rubbing the skin with EEG preparation gel prior to electrode placement. An ECG electrode will be placed on the left clavicle to record heart rate.

**Physiological monitoring (ECG and pulse oximetry)**

Heart rate, respiratory rate and oxygen saturation will be recorded continuously throughout the study period (approximately 1 hour) using ECG and pulse oximetry. Heart rate and oxygen saturation data will be used to calculate the clinical pain scores following the heel lance and control stimulus and to assess clinical stability across the test occasion.

**Video recording**

Video recording will be used to measure behavioural responses that is, changes in facial expression during the control stimulus and clinically required heel lance. A synchronised LED flash will be activated by the researcher simultaneously with each stimulation as a marker for the time of stimulation.

**Parental questionnaire**

The parent administering the intervention will be asked to complete a short series of validated electronic questionnaires assessing anxiety and distress at the start and end of the test occasion (table 2). The researcher will record the responses to the STAI-T, STAI-S and distress questionnaire in an electronic Case Report Form. The electronic device will then be presented to the parent to independently complete a short survey about trial participation and their research experience. The survey will be
The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) is the gold standard assessment for state anxiety. It is well validated, publicly available and has a trait (STAI-T) version consisting of 20 statements exploring general feelings of anxiety, and a state version (STAI-S) consisting of 20 statements exploring anxiety levels at a particular point in time. Each question is rated on a four-point scale. The range of possible scores for the STAI varies from a minimum score of 20 to a maximum score of 80 on both the STAI-T and STAI-S subscales.

**Four-point distress questionnaire**
Parents will be asked four questions related to their emotions during the clinical heel lance procedure.

---

**Table 2**  
Trial parental questionnaires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire section</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Timing of administration</th>
<th>Questionnaire administrator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-point State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)-T</td>
<td>Trait anxiety</td>
<td>Start of test occasion</td>
<td>Administered verbally by researcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-point State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)-S</td>
<td>State anxiety pre heel lance</td>
<td>Start of test occasion</td>
<td>Administered verbally by researcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State anxiety post heel lance</td>
<td>After the procedure and intervention are completed</td>
<td>Administered verbally by researcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four-point distress questionnaire</td>
<td>Emotional constructs experienced at time of the clinical heel lance</td>
<td>After the procedure and intervention are completed</td>
<td>Administered verbally by researcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous survey</td>
<td>Views on the trial and infant research</td>
<td>End of test occasion</td>
<td>Completed by parent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Each of the four emotional constructs (worried, upset, anxious and sad) will be rated on an 11-point scale ranging from ‘not at all’ (0) to ‘extremely’ (10). A total score between 0 and 40 will be calculated, where higher scores are indicative of greater parental distress. This score is frequently used in research to evaluate parent/child interactions during painful procedures.61–63

Outcome measures

Noxious-evoked brain activity

An EEG template that reflects the noxious-evoked brain activity in neonates has previously been defined using principal component analysis, validated in independent data sets29 and used in clinical studies and a clinical trial.32 This template will be projected onto the EEG data recorded in the 1000 ms period following each heel lance and control heel lance stimulus and the relative weight of the component calculated for each neonate. A greater weight indicates a stronger noxious-evoked response. While the brain activity characterised is directly related to noxious input, it does not reflect all noxious-evoked activity across the brain or all aspects of the pain experience. The response to the non-noxious control heel lance stimulus is being recorded to confirm that it significantly differs from the brain activity evoked by a noxious heel lance. This forms an important data quality control check.

PIPP-R score

Clinical pain scores will be evaluated using the validated Premature Infant Pain Profile-Revised,26 which is a composite multimodal measure encompassing behavioural, physiological and contextual indicators of the pain response. It allows for different aspects of the infant pain experience to be captured and has been widely used as the primary outcome measure for infant pain in many clinical trials.64–66 The PIPP-R score will be calculated for the control heel lance and the clinical heel lance procedure. Heart rate, oxygen saturation and facial expression will be recorded in the 15 s period before and 30 s period after each of the procedures.25 26 The 15 s period before the heel lance will be recorded immediately prior to the stroking intervention. Videos of the infant’s facial expressions will be scored offline using the PIPP-R facial coding system. Changes in heart rate and oxygen saturation will be recorded with ECG and pulse oximeter and used to calculate the PIPP-R score. For each participant, PIPP-R scores will be assessed by investigators blinded to the study arm. A second investigator (blinded to the trial arm) will recalculate 20% of the PIPP-R scores to measure inter-rater reliability.

Clinical stability

Clinical stability will be assessed in the 30 min periods before and after the heel lance. The percentage of neonates who develop postprocedural tachycardia in the 30 s postheel lance will be a secondary outcome measure of the trial. Tachycardia will be defined as a heart rate >160 beats per minute as per Advanced Paediatric Life Support guidelines, reflecting heart rate values >90th centile for newborn infants in the first week of life.67 68 Exploratory outcome measures will also include the time taken for the heart rate to return to baseline values post heel lance and respiratory rate variability in the 30 min prior and post heel lance (including incidence of apnoea). An episode of apnoea will be defined as the cessation of breathing for at least 20 s.69

Parental experience

Parental anxiety will be quantified using the outcomes of the STAI-T and STAI-S questionnaires. Parental distress will be quantified using the four-point distress score. The anonymous parent survey will assess the parental experience of the trial and parental views on taking part in the trial.

Statistics and analysis

Analysis of outcome measures

Data preprocessing and statistical analysis will be performed blind to treatment allocation. The analysis and presentation of results will follow the most up-to-date recommendations of the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials group (CONSORT).70 All comparative analyses will be performed using Matlab R2020a or an updated version. The primary results will be presented unadjusted. To perform sensitivity analysis, the minimisation variables will be used to make statistical adjustments to the primary analysis and the sensitivity analysis results will be presented as secondary results. A full statistical analysis plan will be finalised before any comparative analysis of outcome measures is performed.

Significance levels

For the analysis of the primary outcome measure, a p-value of 0.05 (two-sided 5% significance level) will be used to indicate statistical significance. Significance levels for secondary outcomes (excluding the sensitivity analysis) will be corrected for multiple comparisons and the method will be specified in the analysis plan. Two-sided statistical tests and corresponding p-values will be presented throughout; however, for the purposes of interpretation of results, CIs will dominate, rather than p-values.

Primary

Noxious-evoked brain activity

The magnitude of noxious-evoked brain activity will be compared between the two groups using a parametric two-sample t-test if the residuals are normally distributed. If the residuals are non-normally distributed, a Wilcoxon rank-sum test will be used. If appropriate, and depending on the distribution of residuals and the test used, the mean and SD or the median and IQR (or entire range, whichever is appropriate) will be presented for each group and the unadjusted mean or median difference between groups with a 95% CI.
Secondary

**PIPP-R score**

PIPP-R scores (during the 30s period after heel lance) in the two groups will be compared using a two-sample t-test if the residuals are normally distributed. If the residuals are non-normally distributed, a Wilcoxon rank-sum test will be used. If appropriate, and depending on the distribution of residuals and the test used, the mean and SD or the median and IQR (or entire range, whichever is appropriate) will be presented for each group and the unadjusted mean or median difference between groups with a 95% CI.

**Clinical stability (tachycardia)**

The tachycardia outcome per infant will be dichotomous (i.e. 'yes/no' per infant). The percentage of infants experiencing tachycardia will be compared between the two groups using a logistic regression. We will report the proportion of tachycardia for each group as well as the difference in proportions between groups.

**Parental anxiety**

The difference in STAI-S scores before and after the heel lance will be compared between the two groups using a two-sample t-test if the residuals are normally distributed. If the residuals are non-normally distributed, a Wilcoxon rank-sum test will be used. If appropriate, and depending on the distribution of residuals and the test used, the mean and SD or the median and IQR (or entire range, whichever is appropriate) will be presented for each group and the unadjusted mean or median difference between groups with a 95% CI.

**Exploratory**

Exploratory analyses will be conducted to investigate (i) the effects of parental touch on background brain activity, (ii) whether preprocedural parental touch reduces the duration of time for heart rate to return to baseline, (iii) the effect of preprocedural parental touch on respiratory rate variability, respiratory dynamics and the incidence of apnoea and (iv) the parental experience of the procedure and involvement in research.

**Sample size determination**

**Power calculation**

The assumptions for these calculations are based on data from mechanistic studies investigating the effect of (experimenter-led) soft brushing of the skin at CI-optimal rate on the response to an experimental noxious stimulus or clinical heel lance in term neonates. The mean (SD) brain activity evoked by heel lancing in the control group is estimated to be 1.07 (0.66). A 40% reduction in the intervention group is considered to be clinically significant and realistic from other studies. With 90% power and a two-sided 5% significance level, to observe a 40% reduction in brain activity with a two-sample t-test, a sample size of 102 would be required. Allowing for 10% loss, due to technical difficulties or other clinical issues, this increases to 112.

**Missing data**

Missing data may occur in our trial due to equipment failure, EEG artefacts or clinical issues resulting in withdrawal post randomisation. If missing data exists, we expect it will occur at random, and collected data will be representative of the population. To account for potential missing data, we have inflated our sample size by 10%. The analysis will be conducted using the available data.

**Ethics and dissemination**

The trial has been approved by the London South East Research Ethics Committee (ref: 21/LO/0523) and will be conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki. EEG is a safe tool used routinely in clinical practice and research to measure brain activity. Surface electrodes are used and temporarily fixed without glue. All heel lances performed during the trial will have been requested by the clinical team responsible for the infant’s medical care. No extra blood tests or noxious procedures will be performed for the purpose of the study. Every effort will be made to minimise inconvenience and prevent disruption of clinical care. There are no expected serious adverse events (SAE) for this trial. Any SAEs identified will be reported to the CI within 24 hours and they will report any unexpected SAEs deemed related to the trial to the REC and Sponsor in accordance with REC/HRA guidance.

Parent(s) may withdraw their neonate from the trial at any time and they are not obliged to give a reason. If parents choose to withdraw their child after the study has begun, they will be asked whether data already collected may be retained and used for the purposes of the trial. Parents will be made aware that this decision has no impact on any aspects of their infant’s continuing care. The attending clinician may also withdraw the neonate from the trial if they consider this to be in their best interest. If any of the exclusion criteria manifest prior to data collection, the participant will be withdrawn.

The results of the study will be disseminated to the scientific and wider community through peer-reviewed publications and national and international meetings and conferences, via the charities Supporting the Sick Newborn And their Parents (SSNAP) and Bliss, and through the National Health S clinical guideline adoption process.

**Patient and public involvement (PPI)**

A PPI representative will be included in the extended PMG group and invited to join specific PMG meetings to discuss trial progress and developments. Bliss: for babies born premature or sick is a national UK neonatal charity, which is partly funding the trial. They will receive regular trial progress reports and promote the trial across their various channels, and disseminate the results. The research team will also work closely with the onsite local Oxford charity SSNAP during the design, conduct and dissemination of the trial. SSNAP have reviewed all parent-facing materials, will review manuscripts reporting...
results and will be involved in disseminating results to the public.

DISCUSSION

All newborn infants are exposed to clinically necessary painful procedures. Even healthy neonates on postnatal wards can require repeated painful procedures beyond routine Newborn Screening, such as blood tests for glucose monitoring or jaundice, which can be distressing for both neonates and their parents. In the UK, more than 100 000 newborn infants receive neonatal care every year as a result of prematurity or illness,71 which, for some, can entail weeks to months of hospitalisation and procedures. As such, improving the management of pain is recognised as a top neonatal UK research priority72 and a major concern among parents and neonatal nurses.73

Poor management of neonatal pain can have a significant negative impact on parents. Mothers of hospitalised infants report feeling emotionally and psychologically traumatised due to having to allow their infants to undergo clinically necessary painful procedures, and due to feelings of helplessness from being unable to protect or comfort their child.3–5 Actively involving parents in care relieves parental distress74 and increases the likelihood that infants receive treatment for pain.1 3–5 75 Infant massage, a tactile comfort measure which involves patterns of stroking, has been shown to improve mother–infant bonding and improve postnatal depression.76 a condition afflicting at least one in ten UK mothers in the first-year postpartum.77 Furthermore, maternal stroking of infants in general has been shown to moderate the behavioural and physiological effects of maternal depression on infants.78 Promoting the natural tactile behaviour of stroking to provide evidence-based pain-relief would therefore be beneficial to both mothers and infants.

Anxiety about pain is increasingly recognised as a key factor in parental refusal for procedures such as vitamin-K intramuscular injections at birth79 and immunisations.80–82 Avoidance of key interventions in early life could have drastic consequences for child health and this issue must be addressed. Indeed, parental anxiety and attitudes during painful procedures can also impact neonatal distress and subsequent pain experience during clinical procedures in later life.83 Parental anxiety regarding pain could be alleviated by empowering parents to provide safe and effective pain relief for their child. Unlike other non-pharmacological interventions, this strategy could be broadly implemented regardless of feeding status of the infant or availability of a product like sucrose, in hospital as well as the community, and across high and low resource clinical settings.

CT-fibres likely provide the neurobiological mechanism underlying the benefits of tactile stimulation in early life. Studies have revealed that mothers instinctively stroke their infants at a CT-optimal rate4 15 16 and that this tactile stimulation is beneficial. CT-optimal touch significantly decreases resting heart rates in infants aged 1–4 months84 and 9 months,56 as well as in premature infants (28–36 weeks’ gestation).55 Recent studies have also investigated the neurological correlates of CT-optimal touch in early life. In 2-month-old infants, CT-optimal touch produces greater activation of the insular cortex compared with CT non-optimal touch.94 Similarly, in term infants CT-optimal stroking with a soft brush produces activation of the primary somatosensory and posterior insular cortices,86 suggesting that the neonatal brain is sensitive to the somatosensory and socio-affective effects of CT-optimal stroking.

The Petal trial is based on clear mechanistic evidence from preliminary cohort studies and is, as such, adequately powered to address the clinical question. It employs a range of multimodal outcomes, including electrophysiological, behavioural and cardiorespiratory measures, to cover the many aspects of pain experience, and seeks to investigate the benefits of the intervention to both neonates and their parents. Blinding of outcome assessment is being performed to ensure the integrity of the trial as it is not possible to blind the researchers at the time of study due to the nature of the intervention. Although parents instinctively stroke at the optimal velocity to stimulate CT-fibres,54 55 84–86 89 consistency of the intervention is standardised across the trial by providing an animated visual aid for parents to follow. In the event of a positive trial outcome, the intervention could next be translated to more premature infants and other minor painful skin-breaking procedures performed frequently in infants such as immunisation and cannulation and could be performed by parents or healthcare workers in the absence of parents. The Petal trial investigates a simple, free, low-risk, non-pharmacological pain-relieving intervention, which could be rapidly incorporated into routine clinical practice, benefiting infants, their parents and the wider community.

Trial status

Participant recruitment is currently ongoing. Protocol version no. 3.0 (date of submission: 3 February 2022).
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Parental touch trial

Parent Information Leaflet

John Radcliffe Hospital
You and your child are eligible to take part in a research study. Before you decide, it is important that you understand why the research is being done and what it involves. Please read the following information and ask us if anything is unclear or if you would like more information.

**Study title:** Parental touch trial (Petal)

*A randomised controlled trial to investigate the effects of parental touch on relieving acute procedural pain in neonates*

1. **What is the purpose of the study?**

   Babies in hospital often require clinical procedures as part of their routine medical treatment. As babies cannot tell us how much these procedures hurt, it is difficult to make sure that they are receiving the right pain-relief treatments. We know babies can experience discomfort and pain, and we have developed a method to measure changes in brain activity that occur when a baby undergoes a clinical procedure. We also know that babies display specific facial expressions when they are in pain and that their heart rate and breathing rate can increase.

   Touch is important for parent and child bonding, and research in adults has shown that stroking the skin at the right speed can reduce pain experienced during some procedures. Stroking and parental touch activates special fibres in the skin that we think can make procedures feel less painful. Some studies have shown that close skin-to-skin contact between babies and their parents can reduce pain during procedures (such as blood tests).

   The aim of this research is to understand if parental touch can reduce how much pain their babies experience during a blood test. We also want to know how parents feel when they stroke their baby’s leg during a blood test. We would like to see if there are any differences in how a baby responds if a parent strokes their child’s leg before or after a blood test.

2. **Why have I been invited?**

   You and your child have been invited to take part in this study because your child requires a blood test for clinical purposes. We are recruiting parents and their children who were born at least 35 weeks gestation, who need to have a clinical blood test. We hope to recruit in total 112 babies.

3. **Do we have to take part?**

   No, it is your decision whether or not you and your child take part. If you decide to take part, you will be asked to sign a consent form. If you decide you do not want to take part, this will not affect your child’s care.

   If you decide you would like to take part, you can change your mind at any time and withdraw you and your child from the study by telling the research team. You do not have to give a reason. You will be asked if we can use the data/images that have already been collected for analysis and if we can publish the anonymised results.

4. **What is involved in the study?**

   We would like to understand how parental touch (in the form of stroking) may affect how babies respond to a clinically-required blood test. **No blood tests will be carried out solely for research purposes.** We will only study your child during a blood test that is needed for clinical purposes. Blood tests will be scheduled according to clinical need and thus you may have less than 24 hours to consider your participation in this trial. Blood tests will be completed in the routine way. On some occasions more than one heel lance is required to collect a sufficient blood sample. If this is the case, the research monitoring equipment will not be removed from your baby between heel lances in order to ensure that we do not interfere with the clinical procedures. As part of the study, we will also administer a ‘sham’ heel lance: this is not a real blood test and will not pierce your baby’s skin or cause any pain.
This is a control stimulus to simulate the blood test without the ‘painful’ part. The heel lance is placed against your baby’s foot but angled away so that the sharp fires into the air rather than the foot. The study will not interfere with your child’s clinical care, nor will there be any delay if an emergency procedure is required.

As part of the study, we will ask you to stroke your child’s leg for 10 seconds before, or 10 seconds after, their blood test. Half of the babies in the study will be stroked by a parent before the blood test, and half will be stroked afterwards. Before the study, your baby’s details will be entered into a computer programme that will randomly select whether you should stroke your baby before or after the blood test. Where required, we will demonstrate on a doll the speed, location and duration of the stroking. Before we start the study we will make sure you know how to complete the stroking in the correct way. As part of the study, we will also ask you to complete a short questionnaire; the first part will be before the study, the second part at the end. The questionnaire overall should take approximately 15 minutes.

We will assess your child’s responses to the blood test by measuring their brain activity. We will also video your child’s face, and measure other responses such heart rate, breathing rate and oxygen saturations. We will monitor your child before, during and after the blood test for approximately one hour.

We will use the following recording measures for your child:

**Measuring brain activity**

*Electroencephalography (EEG)*: EEG is a portable imaging system to measure brain activity. It involves gently placing electrodes (small discs) on the head using a paste that can be washed off with soap and water. EEG is routinely used on the neonatal unit, children’s wards and clinics.

**Measuring other responses**

*Vital sign monitoring*: Small adhesive discs will be placed on your child’s chest to measure changes in breathing rate and heart rate (this is called an ECG).

*Videoing your child*: We will also video your child during the study. This is so that we can assess changes in facial expression and body movements, and record the exact timing of the blood test.

We may ask if you are happy for us to use these images for teaching, publicity and/or in scientific journals. If you agree, we will take separate consent for this as your child’s face would be present in the video footage. This is an optional part of the study and is not essential. If we do not use the images, this will not affect your child’s care or stop your child participating in this research.

**5. Are there any additional risks or benefits for my child?**

Recording a video of your child is non-invasive and does not present any risk. EEG and ECG have been used clinically for over 20 years without any adverse effects. All studies have a dedicated team of healthcare professionals and researchers that will ensure the safety of your child at all times. We are not aware of any risks for your child taking part in this study.

The research data collected will not be routinely reviewed by a doctor. If any clinically significant findings are identified at the time of the study then the research team will report these to the clinical care team for further review.

There are no direct benefits of taking part in the study. This study is designed to gather information, to help improve the care we provide for babies in the future. If your child becomes distressed, the research study will be paused or stopped. Any clinically required procedures will still go ahead if the clinician looking after your child feels that this is appropriate.
6. What information will be collected about me and my child?
We will collect relevant medical (e.g. age), environmental (e.g. medical ward where study was conducted), demographic (e.g. post code) and social (e.g. ethnicity) information about your child from their medical notes. This information helps us to determine which factors may influence the way a baby copes with pain. We will also collect vital sign data (such as heart rate and breathing rate), recordings of their facial expressions and body movements and changes in brain activity caused by the blood test. We will ask you to complete a questionnaire.

All information and videos that are collected during this research study will be stored confidentially. Each baby will be allocated a study number which will be used to label the data. This study forms part of an educational programme.

7. What will happen to the results?
Results will be analysed and published in a journal. All publications will be made available on our website https://neuroimaging.paediatrics.ox.ac.uk. The findings may also be used for teaching or academic research presentations. No identifying information will be presented about you or your child, unless you have provided specific consent for us to use videos or images of your child in this way.

8. What will happen to my data and my child’s data?
We will use the information about you and your child in order to conduct this study. Research is a task that we perform in the public interest. The University of Oxford, as Sponsor, is the data controller. This means that we, as University of Oxford researchers and collaborators, are responsible for looking after the information collected and using it properly. We will use the minimum personally-identifiable information possible. We will keep identifiable information about you and your child for up to 12 months after the study has finished. This excludes any research documents with personal information, such as consent forms and facial expression recordings, which will be held securely at the University of Oxford for 21 years after the end of the study.

Data protection regulation provides you with control over your personal data and how it is used. When you agree to your information being used in research, however, some of those rights may be limited in order for the research to be reliable and accurate. Further information about your rights with respect to your personal data is available at http://www.admin.ox.ac.uk/councilsec/compliance/gdpr/individualrights/. You can find out more about how we use your information from the contacts in section 12.

Research data may be shared with other researchers, both here and abroad. Responsible members of the University of Oxford and Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust may be given access to data for monitoring and/or audit of the study to ensure we are complying with regulations.

9. Who is organising and funding this research?
This study is sponsored by the University of Oxford and has been funded by the Wellcome Trust and the charity BLISS. Your doctor will not be paid for including you in this study.

10. Who has reviewed the study?
All research in the NHS is looked at by an independent group of people, called a Research Ethics Committee, to protect participants’ interests. This study has been reviewed and given favourable opinion by the London - South East Research Ethics Committee.

11. Comments or concerns during the study
The University has arrangements in place to provide for harm arising from participation in the study for which the University is the Research Sponsor. NHS indemnity operates in respect of the clinical treatment with which your child is provided. If you wish to complain about any aspect of the way in
which you have been approached or treated during the course of this study, you should contact Prof Rebeccah Slater (details below) or the University of Oxford Clinical Trials and Research Governance (CTRG) office (tel: 01865 616480, email: ctrg@admin.ox.ac.uk).

The Patient Advisory Liaison Service (PALS) is a confidential NHS service that can provide you with support for any complaints or queries you may have regarding the care you receive as an NHS patient. PALS is unable to provide information about this research study. The John Radcliffe Hospital PALS team can be contacted on: Tel: 01865 221473, Email: PALS@ouh.nhs.uk, http://www.ouh.nhs.uk/patient-guide/pals.aspx.

12. Participation in future research
As we are interested in how your child’s response to pain changes as they grow, we may ask if we can contact you in the future, to ask if you would be happy for your child to take part in other similar research studies run by our research team. If you agree that we can contact you about other research studies we will ask you to complete an optional additional consent item on the Consent Form used when you agree for your child to participate in the study. We will record your contact details, and these will be kept in a separate electronic database from the rest of the research data. This database is password-protected and can only be accessed by members of the research team.

Your contact details will not be passed onto anyone outside of the research team. All contact will come from the research team in the first instance. You can opt-out of this at any point by contacting Prof Rebeccah Slater (details below). Your agreement for us to contact you does not form any obligation to participate in future research.

What will happen to my data?
If you have provided optional additional consent to be contacted about future studies, we will store your contact details indefinitely unless you choose to opt-out at any point.

13. Contact for further information
Chief Investigator: Dr Eleri Adams eleri.adams@ouh.nhs.uk 01865 221356
Principal Investigator: Prof Rebeccah Slater rebeccah.slater@paediatrics.ox.ac.uk 01865 234229

You can also access further information about research or parent support from the following groups:

- BLISS: UK based charity https://www.bliss.org.uk/
- SSNAP (Support for the Sick Newborn And their Parents): Oxford based charity https://www.ssnap.org.uk

Thank you for reading this leaflet.
You and your child are eligible to take part in a research study. Before you decide, it is important that you understand why the research is being done and what it involves. Please read the following information and ask us if anything is unclear or if you would like more information.

**Study title:** Parental touch trial (Petal)

*A randomised controlled trial to investigate the effects of parental touch on relieving acute procedural pain in neonates*

1. **What is the purpose of the study?**

   Babies in hospital often require clinical procedures as part of their routine medical treatment. As babies cannot tell us how much these procedures hurt, it is difficult to make sure that they are receiving the right pain-relief treatments. We know babies can experience discomfort and pain, and we have developed a method to measure changes in brain activity that occur when a baby undergoes a clinical procedure. We also know that babies display specific facial expressions when they are in pain and that their heart rate and breathing rate can increase.

   Touch is important for parent and child bonding, and research in adults has shown that stroking the skin at the right speed can reduce pain experienced during some procedures. Stroking and parental touch activates special fibres in the skin that we think can make procedures feel less painful. Some studies have shown that close skin-to-skin contact between babies and their parents can reduce pain during procedures (such as blood tests).

   The aim of this research is to understand if parental touch can reduce how much pain their babies experience during a blood test. We also want to know how parents feel when they stroke their baby’s leg during a blood test. We would like to see if there are any differences in how a baby responds if a parent strokes their child’s leg before or after a blood test.

2. **Why have I been invited?**

   You and your child have been invited to take part in this study because your child requires a blood test for clinical purposes. We are recruiting parents and their children who were born at least 35 weeks gestation, who need to have a clinical blood test. We hope to recruit in total 112 babies.

3. **Do we have to take part?**

   No, it is your decision whether or not you and your child take part. If you decide to take part, you will be asked to sign a consent form. If you decide you do not want to take part, this will not affect your child’s care.

   If you decide you would like to take part, you can change your mind at any time and withdraw you and your child from the study by telling the research team. You do not have to give a reason. You will be asked if we can use the data/images that have already been collected for analysis and if we can publish the anonymised results.

4. **What is involved in the study?**

   We would like to understand how parental touch (in the form of stroking) may affect how babies respond to a clinically-required blood test. **No blood tests will be carried out solely for research purposes.** We will only study your child during a blood test that is needed for clinical purposes. Blood tests will be scheduled according to clinical need and thus you may have less than 24 hours to consider your participation in this trial. Blood tests will be completed in the routine way. On some occasions more than one heel lance is required to collect a sufficient blood sample. If this is the case, the research monitoring equipment will not be removed from your baby between heel lances in order to ensure that we do not interfere with the clinical procedures. As part of the study, we will also administer a ‘sham’ heel lance: this is not a real blood test and will not pierce your baby’s skin.
or cause any pain. This is a control stimulus to simulate the blood test without the ‘painful’ part. The heel lance is placed against your baby’s foot but angled away so that the sharp fires into the air rather than the foot. The study will not interfere with your child’s clinical care, nor will there be any delay if an emergency procedure is required.

As part of the study, we will ask you to stroke your child’s leg for 10 seconds before, or 10 seconds after, their blood test. Half of the babies in the study will be stroked by a parent before the blood test, and half will be stroked afterwards. Before the study, your baby’s details will be entered into a computer programme that will randomly select whether you should stroke your baby before or after the blood test. Where required we will demonstrate on a doll the speed, location and duration of the stroking. Before we start the study we will make sure you know how to complete the stroking in the correct way. As part of the study, we will also ask you to complete a short questionnaire; the first part will be before the study, the second part at the end. The questionnaire overall should take approximately 15 minutes.

We will assess your child’s responses to the blood test by measuring their brain activity. We will also video your child’s face, and measure other responses such heart rate, breathing rate and oxygen saturations. We will monitor your child before, during and after the blood test for approximately one hour.

We will use the following recording measures for your child:

**Measuring brain activity**

**Electroencephalography (EEG):** EEG is a portable imaging system to measure brain activity. It involves gently placing electrodes (small discs) on the head using a paste that can be washed off with soap and water. EEG is routinely used on the neonatal unit, children’s wards and clinics.

**Measuring other responses**

**Vital sign monitoring:** Small adhesive discs will be placed on your child’s chest to measure changes in breathing rate and heart rate (this is called an ECG).

**Videoing your child:** We will also video your child during the study. This is so that we can assess changes in facial expression and body movements, and record the exact timing of the blood test.

We may ask if you are happy for us to use these images for teaching, publicity and/or in scientific journals. If you agree, we will take separate consent for this as your child’s face would be present in the video footage. This is an optional part of the study and is not essential. If we do not use the images, this will not affect your child’s care or stop your child participating in this research.

5. **Are there any additional risks or benefits for my child?**

Recording a video of your child is non-invasive and does not present any risk. EEG and ECG have been used clinically for over 20 years without any adverse effects. All studies have a dedicated team of healthcare professionals and researchers that will ensure the safety of your child at all times. We are not aware of any risks for your child taking part in this study.

The research data collected will not be routinely reviewed by a doctor. If any clinically significant findings are identified at the time of the study then the research team will report these to the clinical care team for further review.

There are no direct benefits of taking part in the study. This study is designed to gather information, to help improve the care we provide for babies in the future. If your child becomes distressed, the research study will be paused or stopped. Any clinically required procedures will still go ahead if the clinician looking after your child feels that this is appropriate.
6. **What information will be collected about me and my child?**

We will collect relevant medical (e.g. age), environmental (e.g. medical ward where study was conducted), demographic (e.g. post code) and social (e.g. ethnicity) information about your child from their medical notes. This information helps us to determine which factors may influence the way a baby cope with pain. We will also collect vital sign data (such as heart rate and breathing rate), recordings of their facial expressions and body movements and changes in brain activity caused by the blood test. We will ask you to complete a questionnaire.

All information and videos that are collected during this research study will be stored confidentially. Each baby will be allocated a study number which will be used to label the data. This study forms part of an educational programme.

7. **What will happen to the results?**

Results will be analysed and published in a journal. All publications will be made available on our website [https://neuroimaging.paediatrics.ox.ac.uk](https://neuroimaging.paediatrics.ox.ac.uk). The findings may also be used for teaching or academic research presentations. No identifying information will be presented about you or your child, unless you have provided specific consent for us to use videos or images of your child in this way.

8. **What will happen to my data and my child’s data?**

We will use the information about you and your child in order to conduct this study. Research is a task that we perform in the public interest. The University of Oxford, as Sponsor, is the data controller. This means that we, as University of Oxford researchers and collaborators, are responsible for looking after the information collected and using it properly. We will use the minimum personally-identifiable information possible. We will keep identifiable information about you and your child for up to 12 months after the study has finished. This excludes any research documents with personal information, such as consent forms and facial expression recordings, which will be held securely at the University of Oxford for 21 years after the end of the study.

Data protection regulation provides you with control over your personal data and how it is used. When you agree to your information being used in research, however, some of those rights may be limited in order for the research to be reliable and accurate. Further information about your rights with respect to your personal data is available at [http://www.admin.ox.ac.uk/councilsec/compliance/gdpr/individualrights/](http://www.admin.ox.ac.uk/councilsec/compliance/gdpr/individualrights/). You can find out more about how we use your information from the contacts in section 12.

Research data may be shared with other researchers, both here and abroad. Responsible members of the University of Oxford and Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust may be given access to data for monitoring and/or audit of the study to ensure we are complying with regulations.

9. **Who is organising and funding this research?**

This study is sponsored by the University of Oxford and has been funded by the Wellcome Trust and the charity BLISS. Your doctor will not be paid for including you in this study.

10. **Who has reviewed the study?**

All research in the NHS is looked at by an independent group of people, called a Research Ethics Committee, to protect participants’ interests. This study has been reviewed and given favourable opinion by the London - South East Research Ethics Committee.

11. **Comments or concerns during the study**

The University has arrangements in place to provide for harm arising from participation in the study for which the University is the Research Sponsor. NHS indemnity operates in respect of the clinical
treatment with which your child is provided. If you wish to complain about any aspect of the way in which you have been approached or treated during the course of this study, you should contact Dr Ravi Poorun (details below) or the University of Oxford Clinical Trials and Research Governance (CTRG) office (tel: 01865 616480, email: ctrg@admin.ox.ac.uk).

The Patient Advisory Liaison Service (PALS) is a confidential NHS service that can provide you with support for any complaints or queries you may have regarding the care you receive as an NHS patient. PALS is unable to provide information about this research study. The Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital PALS team can be contacted on: Tel: 01392 402093, Email: rde-tr.PALS@nhs.net, https://www.rdehospital.nhs.uk/patients-visitors/patient-advice-liaison-service-pals/

12. Participation in future research
As we are interested in how your child’s response to pain changes as they grow, we may ask if we can contact you in the future, to ask if you would be happy for your child to take part in other similar research studies run by our research team. If you agree that we can contact you about other research studies we will ask you to complete an optional additional consent item on the Consent Form used when you agree for your child to participate in the study. We will record your contact details, and these will be kept in a separate electronic database from the rest of the research data. This database is password-protected and can only be accessed by members of the research team.

Your contact details will not be passed onto anyone outside of the research team. All contact will come from the research team in the first instance. You can opt-out of this at any point by contacting Prof Rebeccah Slater (details below). Your agreement for us to contact you does not form any obligation to participate in future research.

What will happen to my data?
If you have provided optional additional consent to be contacted about future studies, we will store your contact indefinitely unless you choose to opt-out at any point.

13. Contact for further information
Chief Investigator: Dr Eleri Adams eleri.adams@ouh.nhs.uk 01865 221356
Principal Investigator: Dr Ravi Poorun r.poorun@exeter.ac.uk 01392 406980

You can also access further information about research or parent support from the following groups:

- BLISS: UK based charity https://www.bliss.org.uk/
- SSNAP (Support for the Sick Newborn And their Parents): Oxford based charity https://www.ssnap.org.uk

Thank you for reading this leaflet.
Consent Form (Oxford)

Study ID: ____________________________

Infant’s name: ________________________

Study Title: Parental touch trial (Petal)

Chief Investigator: Dr Eleri Adams

Principal Investigator: Prof Rebeccah Slater

Please initial each box

1. I confirm that I have read and understood the information sheet (v. _____, dated / / ), for the above study. I have had the opportunity to ask questions and have had these answered satisfactorily.

2. I understand that my participation and my child’s participation is voluntary and that me and my child are free to withdraw at any time, without giving any reason, without our medical care or legal rights being affected.

3. I understand that relevant sections of my child’s medical notes and data collected during the study may be looked at by individuals from the University of Oxford or Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust, where it is relevant to my child’s taking part in this research. I give permission for these individuals to access to my child’s records.

4. I agree to my child being videoed during the study. I understand that recorded images will not be used for public use, only analysis. No identifiable information, including video recordings or imaging, will be used in any publications/presentations. Only anonymised data will be published or presented at meetings.

5. I agree for the collected data to be used for teaching or academic research presentations.

6. I agree to me and my child taking part in the above study.

7. I agree to complete a parental questionnaire related to my child’s study.

OPTIONAL

8. I consent to being approached in the future about other similar research studies, by the research team, that my child may be eligible for. I understand that agreeing to be contacted does not oblige me or my child to participate in any further studies.

9. I agree to the images/videos of my child recorded during this study being used for publications and presentations.

Name of parent: ________________________

Name of investigator taking consent: ________________________

Relationship to baby: ________________________

Signature: ________________________

Date: ________________________

__________________________

__________________________

1 to be kept as part of the study documentation (original)
1 copy for parent
1 copy with hospital notes
**Consent Form (Exeter)**

**Study ID:**

**Infant’s name:**

**Study Title:** Parental touch trial (Petal)

**Chief Investigator:** Dr Eleri Adams  
**Principal Investigator:** Dr Ravi Poorun

Please initial each box

1. I confirm that I have read and understood the information sheet (v. [ ], dated / / ), for the above study. I have had the opportunity to ask questions and have had these answered satisfactorily.

2. I understand that my participation and my child’s participation is voluntary and that me and my child are free to withdraw at any time, without giving any reason, without our medical care or legal rights being affected.

3. I understand that relevant sections of my child’s medical notes and data collected during the study may be looked at by individuals from the University of Oxford, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust or Royal Devon University Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, where it is relevant to my child’s taking part in this research. I give permission for these individuals to access to my child’s records.

4. I agree to my child being videoed during the study. I understand that recorded images will not be used for public use, only analysis. No identifiable information, including video recordings or imaging, will be used in any publications/presentations. Only anonymised data will be published or presented at meetings.

5. I agree for the collected data to be used for teaching or academic research presentations.

6. I agree to me and my child taking part in the above study.

7. I agree to complete a parental questionnaire related to my child’s study.

**OPTIONAL**

8. I consent to being approached in the future about other similar research studies, by the research team, that my child may be eligible for. I understand that agreeing to be contacted does not oblige me or my child to participate in any further studies.

9. I agree to the images/videos of my child recorded during this study being used for publications and presentations.

---

**Name of parent:**  
**Name of investigator taking consent:**

**Relationship to baby:**  
**Signature:**

**Date:**

---

1 to be kept as part of the study documentation (original)  
1 copy for parent  
1 copy with hospital notes  
1 copy with PI
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SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Included in manuscript</th>
<th>Section/item</th>
<th>ItemNo</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative information</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial registration</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protocol version</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Date and version identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sources and types of financial, material, and other support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roles and responsibilities</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td>Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>Name and contact information for the trial sponsor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5c</td>
<td>Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| 5d | Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee) |

**Introduction**

| X | Background and rationale | 6a | Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention |
| X | Objectives | 7 | Specific objectives or hypotheses |
| X | Trial design | 8 | Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory) |

**Methods: Participants, interventions, and outcomes**

| X | Study setting | 9 | Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained |
| X | Eligibility criteria | 10 | Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and individuals who will perform the interventions (eg, surgeons, psychotherapists) |
| X | Interventions | 11a | Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be administered |
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11b Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening disease)

11c Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence (eg, drug tablet return, laboratory tests)

11d Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial

X Outcomes 12 Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended

X Participant timeline 13 Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure)

X Sample size 14 Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations

X Recruitment 15 Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size

Methods: Assignment of interventions (for controlled trials)

Allocation:
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<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Sequence generation</td>
<td>16a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allocation concealment mechanism</td>
<td>16b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>16c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Blinding (masking)</td>
<td>17a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods: Data collection, management, and analysis

| X | Data collection methods | 18a | Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol |
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18b Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols

X Data management 19 Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol

X Statistical methods 20a Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol

20b Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses)

20c Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation)

Methods: Monitoring

X Data monitoring 21a Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed

21b Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial
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X  Harms 22  Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct

Auditing 23  Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor

The project management group (RS, RP, AB, AH, DC, MC, FM) meets monthly throughout the trial to oversee trial conduct and recruitment.

Ethics and dissemination

X  Research ethics approval 24  Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval

Protocol amendments 25  Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)

The Investigator will submit and, where necessary, obtain approval from the relevant parties for all substantial amendments to the original approved documents.

X  Consent or assent 26a  Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32)

26b  Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable

X  Confidentiality 27  How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Declaration of interests 28</th>
<th>Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site</th>
<th>Only the project team will have access to the final trial dataset. After the publication of results, anonymised data can be made available to other researchers upon reasonable request.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access to data 29</td>
<td>Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators</td>
<td>The University of Oxford has a specialist insurance policy in place which would operate in the event of any participant suffering harm as a result of their involvement in the research (Newline Underwriting Management Ltd, at Lloyd’s of London). NHS indemnity operates in respect of the clinical treatment which is provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ancillary and post-trial care 30</td>
<td>Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation</td>
<td>Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Dissemination policy 31a</td>
<td>Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions</td>
<td>Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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31b Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers

31c Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code

Appendices

Informed consent materials 32 Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates

Biological specimens 33 Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable

No biological specimens are collected.

*It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons “Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported” license.