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ABSTRACT

Introduction Firefighting is a hazardous occupation, where firefighters are involved in life-threatening situations, being placed under tremendous physical strain, while wearing heavy and insulated equipment to protect them from chemicals, fumes and high temperatures. This necessitates that firefighter stay in good physical condition and maintain adequate cardiovascular fitness to cope with these stressors and perform their duties with minimal health risks. The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to determine the effect of cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters.

Methods and analysis All study types and designs will be included and appraised. The following electronic databases will be searched: PubMed/Medline, Scopus, Web of Science, Embase, EBSCOHost and CINAHL, with no restrictions on publication date. The literature search will be conducted comprehensively to enable the capturing of as many relevant articles as possible but will be limited to English-language papers only. A combination of the appropriate terms (search string) will be used to ensure the inclusion of the relevant components of the participants, exposure, comparison and outcome. A researcher-generated form with the key characteristics of each study will be used to retrieve all relevant details from the selected studies for initial eligibility screening. The Rayyan Intelligent Systematic Review tool will be used to screen and select studies for inclusion, and information from the included studies will be captured on the researcher-generated data extraction form. The appraisal tool for cross-sectional studies (AXIS) checklist and the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme toolkit will be used to conduct the methodological assessment of each study. Data will be analysed using Review Manager V.5.3. Generated results will be presented using a combination of figures, graphs and tables. The synthesis of quantitative data (using a meta-analysis methodology) will involve the integration of quantitative findings from multiple studies to achieve coherence.

Ethics and dissemination This study obtained ethical clearance from the University of the Western Cape Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (BM21/10/9). We will disseminate the findings of in peer-reviewed journals and at national and international conferences. The protocol will form part of a chapter for a doctoral thesis.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ A strength of this review is the use of reference methodologies to guide the study design, from study selection to synthesis.
⇒ A further strength of this review is the planned inclusion of studies that were conducted in various global regions and fire departments.
⇒ Additionally, all types of study designs and methodologies will be included in this review.
⇒ A limitation of this study is that considerable heterogeneity may be introduced through firefighters’ age and gender.
⇒ A further limitation of this review is that only English-language articles will be included, which may lead to the exclusion of some relevant studies.

INTRODUCTION

Firefighting is a hazardous occupation, where firefighters are involved in life-threatening situations and routinely exposed to high temperatures, physical and psychological strain, hazardous chemicals, fumes and other health hazards.1 2 These severe conditions necessitate that firefighters wear protective equipment, including breathing apparatus that is heavy and insulated, putting tremendous strain on their cardiovascular system.3 Apart from extinguishing fires, firefighters also have additional strenuous work duties, such as rescuing people in dangerous situations and providing first aid and emergency medical services while working irregular hours.3 4 These types of strenuous working conditions place significant strain on firefighters’ musculoskeletal and cardiovascular...
systems, predisposing them to higher risk of severe injuries and sudden cardiac events while on duty.14–19

Many firefighters have multiple cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors, primarily obesity, hypertension and dyslipidaemia, inadequate health-related physical fitness and numerous musculoskeletal health concerns affecting optimal functioning, such as previous injuries, moderate-to-severe musculoskeletal pain or discomfort, which significantly and negatively affects occupational performance.11–19 Throughout firefighters’ careers, they develop multiple CVD risk factors, negatively affecting their cardiovascular health, most notably physical inactivity, obesity, hypertension and dyslipidaemia.11 20–25 which deteriorate as they age.20–25 The literature in the USA indicated that among emergency services professionals, firefighters have the highest percentage of mortality (45%) due to sudden cardiac death, with the majority related to underlying CVD risk factors.14 15 These sudden cardiac events are also due to inadequate health-related physical fitness that results in overexertion and increased cardiovascular strain.20–22 Health-related physical fitness includes body composition, cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength, muscular endurance and flexibility.20 Body composition, cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and endurance have been reported to decrease throughout their careers and as they age, particularly those that are physically inactive.29–34 Maintenance of good overall levels of health-related physical fitness is crucial for career longevity and overall well-being in firefighters.23–36

In addition, firefighters have been reported to have the highest incidence of musculoskeletal injuries among all emergency services personnel.1 Firefighters performing fire suppression routinely exceed their maximum heart rates for prolonged periods of time, placing tremendous stress on their cardiovascular system. Coupled with the additional stressors, such as worsening cardiovascular health metrics, most frequently; physical inactivity, hypertension, dyslipidaemia and obesity, and poor health-related physical fitness, specifically cardiorespiratory fitness and muscular endurance, may lead to significant morbidity and mortality.5 37–39 Lower physical fitness levels cause increased risk for musculoskeletal injuries in firefighters.35 40–42 In addition, worsening cardiovascular health, increased cardiovascular risk profiles, deteriorating musculoskeletal health, especially moderate to severe pain and discomfort in the lower limbs, and poor health-related physical fitness, particularly cardiorespiratory fitness, significantly and negatively affects firefighters’ occupational performance.11–19

**Occupational performance in firefighters**

Occupational performance is an important public and personal consideration, as substandard occupational fitness significantly increases the likelihood of cardiovascular and musculoskeletal adverse events while on duty.23 45 44 Reduced occupational task performance is indicative of firefighters who are not fit for active duty and may be at increased risk of cardiovascular and musculoskeletal injuries. The simulated tasks in firefighting, such as the stair climb, hose drag and victim drag place significant strain on the cardiovascular and musculoskeletal systems that incorporate all aspects of physical fitness.23 45 46–48 However, a review of the effects of cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness on occupational performance has not been previously investigated. In the current review, as in previous literature, occupational performance will be quantified as firefighters passing or failing each individual occupational performance tasks and/or the overall ability test. In addition, the overall time taken, in seconds, to perform each simulated occupational task and the completion time of the overall occupational ability test will be assessed. These will include tasks such as the stair climb, hose drag and pull, equipment carry, forcible entry, equipment hoist, ladder raise and extension, and victim drag.14 45 49–51

**Purpose and justification for this review**

This study originated from the challenges firefighters face globally and, in particular, in South Africa. A concerning number of firefighters are at increased cardiovascular disease risk, with worsening musculoskeletal health and physical fitness negatively impacting their occupational performance.16 52–55 These factors reduce the ability of firefighters to cope with the physical strain of firefighting, which many firefighters have described as being comparable with the physical demands of elite sportspersons.56 There have been no previous systematic reviews investigating the effects of cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters, which motivated the need for the present study. The intention of this review includes, among others, informing policy makers in South Africa of the need for corrective action and developing strategies to improve and maintain the cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness of firefighters.

**Aims, objectives and research questions**

**Review aim**

The aim of this systematic is to determine the effects of cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters.

**Research question**

What effects do cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness have on the occupational performance of firefighters?

**Review objectives**

The objectives of the study are:

1. To investigate the effects of cardiovascular health on the occupational performance of firefighters.
2. To investigate the effects of musculoskeletal health on the occupational performance of firefighters.
3. To investigate the effects of physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters.
4. To investigate the relationship between cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
The guidelines for Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology studies and Quality of Reporting of Meta-analysis will guide the methods when conducting the review. When considering studies for this review, the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines for systematic reviews will be followed, and the outcomes for each step will be described in a flow diagram.

Study characteristics
We have chosen to address firefighters’ occupational performance, which we describe as performance on simulated tasks or firefighter simulation protocols. This review will have a particular focus on the relationship between cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and health-related physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters. The study design of choice is a quantitative systematic review, assessing the relationship between the aforementioned variables on occupational performance in adult, full-time firefighters. All study types and designs will be included and appraised accordingly.

Participants
Full-time firefighters that are 18 years or older.

Exposures
Cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and health-related physical fitness in relation to the occupational performance of firefighters.

Outcomes
1. Cardiovascular health measures related to the occupational performance of firefighters.
2. Musculoskeletal health measures related to the occupational performance of firefighters.
3. Health-related physical fitness measures related to the occupational performance of firefighters.

Inclusion criteria
1. Studies that recruit full-time adult firefighters, with no limitations to publication year.
2. Cross-sectional, observational and experimental (intervention) study designs.
3. Studies investigating the effects of cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and/or health-related physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters.
4. Studies available in full-text.

Exclusion criteria
1. Studies focusing on other outcome measures as the main exposures or outcomes.
2. Systematic reviews or other types of reviews.
3. Articles that are non-English.

Search strategy
A detailed literature search will be conducted to identify studies investigating the effects of cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters. Relevant studies, irrespective of publication date, will be searched, with guidance from a specialist librarian.

The team will be made up of three main contributors:
1. Reviewer I (JR) will be the primary investigator, who will take responsibility for all aspects of the review and independently extract the data, verify the data collected, analyse the results, grade the quality of the data and write up the first review draft.
2. Reviewer II (RN) will be responsible for independently extracting the data, verifying the data collected, analysing the results and grading the quality of the data.
3. Reviewer III (LL) will be the adjudicator and resolve any disagreements between the two independent reviewers.

Electronic literature search
The literature search for this systematic review will be conducted comprehensively to enable the capturing of as many relevant articles as possible but limited to English papers only. The following journal databases will be searched: PubMed/Medline, Scopus, Web of Science, Embase, EBSCOHost and CINAHL, with no limitation to publication year. Keywords and medical subject heading terms will be used in various arrangements depending on the specific database. A combination of the appropriate terms (search string) will be used to ensure the inclusion of the relevant components of the participants, exposure, comparison and outcome. The details of the search strategy in PubMed are given further. The search strategies for the other databases are presented in online supplemental appendix 1.

Search terms in PubMed
pain” OR “chronic pain” [All Fields] OR “acute pain” [All Fields] “acute injury” [All Fields] OR “muscular health” [MeSH] 4. “physical fitness” [MeSH] OR “exercise” [All Fields] OR “physical exertion” [All Fields] OR “muscular strength” OR “muscular endurance” OR “aerobic fitness” OR “cardiorespiratory fitness” OR “cardiorespiratory capacity” OR “VO\textsubscript{2}max” OR “aerobic fitness” OR “power” 5. “work performance” [All Fields] OR “endurance” [All Fields] OR “fitness” [All Fields] OR “work performance” [MeSH Terms] AND “work classification” [All Fields] OR “occupational health” [MeSH] OR “employee health” [MeSH] OR “health, industrial” [MeSH] OR “industrial health” [MeSH] OR “safety, occupational” [MeSH] OR “safety, occupational” [MeSH] OR “simulated work tasks” OR simulated “firefighting” OR “CPAT” OR “physical ability test” 6. #1 AND #2 OR #1 AND #3 OR #1 AND #4 OR #1 AND #5 OR #1 AND #2 AND #3 OR #1 AND #2 AND #4 OR #1 AND #3 AND #4 OR #1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4 OR #2 AND #5 OR #3 AND #5 OR #4 AND #5

**Grey literature**

The search strategy will be completed by searching the following databases for grey literature: Google, Google Scholar and Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations. JR and RN will search the reference lists of identified articles to identify potential titles of articles possibly meeting the inclusion criteria.

**Study selection**

All studies, as full-text articles, that meet the inclusion criteria will be selected for screening. Every attempt will be made to contact the authors for full-text articles or missing data. Thereafter, the full-text articles will be assessed independently by two reviewers using the Rayyan Intelligent Systematic Review tool. When screening the studies, three categories will be used, namely, included, excluded and unsure. Any uncertainties regarding study inclusion will be discussed between the two reviewers. In the event of disagreement, a discussion will be held with the third reviewer and resolved by the latter.

The first step in conducting this review includes performing the literature search, which involves: (1) searching all preselected databases to identify and screen the titles and abstracts of potential studies for eligibility; (2) compiling the search outputs into a reference software, namely, Mendeley Desktop V.1.19.8; (3) removing any duplicates; (4) screening of full-text articles against the inclusion criteria and determining the final studies for inclusion in the review; (5) extracting the data from the included studies using a predesigned data extraction form; and (6) performing a meta-analysis using Review Manager V.5.3 for the analyses, interpretation and reporting the results of the review.

**Data extraction and management**

A researcher-generated data extraction form (online supplemental appendix 2), for extracting the key characteristics of each study, will be used by the two reviewers. Thereafter, information of included studies will be captured on the researcher-generated data extraction form (online supplemental appendix 3). The information extracted will be, first, the general study details, such as authors, date of study publication, study title, study design and country of study, the exposure assessed and the outcome measures. Second, the study characteristics will be collected, such as sampling method and sample size, and details of the participants (number of participants, age, gender, years of experience, marital status and core job description). Lastly, the details of exposure and the outcome variables will be extracted, that is, the study must report on at least one of the exposure variables in relation to firefighter occupational performance.

**Critical appraisal of included studies**

The appraisal tool for cross-sectional studies (AXIS) checklist and the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) toolkit (Middle Way, Oxford, UK) (https://casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists/) will be used to conduct the methodological assessment of each study included. The CASP toolkit (Middle Way, Oxford, UK) has been previously used in systematic reviews on firefighters and tactical personnel to assess study methodologies and allows for fair and equitable assessment of a variety of study types. The AXIS toolkit was shown to be a reliable and valid tool for assessing the quality of cross-sectional studies. Both questionnaires assess for overall methodological quality and validity of the studies. For questions that are answered dichotomously, an article will be awarded a point of ‘1’ for each question that is answered ‘yes’, and scored a ‘0’ if ‘no’ or ‘can’t tell’. Where the CASP checklist requires written grading, the question will be adapted to a dichotomous rating and, if a rating is not possible, then the question will be excluded from the checklist. The Rayan systematic review manager will be used to record the decisions of the two reviewers. Again, a third reviewer will be used to resolve any disagreements between reviewers.

**Publication bias**

To assess publication bias, the Begg’s funnel chart will be used to perform a visual inspection and evaluation of publication bias on the selected data.

**Data synthesis and analysis**

The aim of the data analysis and synthesis is to describe, analyse and draw conclusions about the research evidence and to assess the effects of cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters. The synthesis of quantitative data (using a meta-analysis) involves the integration of quantitative findings from multiple studies to achieve coherence. Achieving coherence allows a more
profund understanding of the exposure being investigated and the outcome thereof, in this case, firefighter occupational performance. In addition, a systematic review and meta-analysis allows for determining the generalisability and applicability of the results of the review to a certain context or population. An inherent limitation of using observational studies, which will make up the majority of the studies in the present review, is that there is usually high heterogeneity between studies and will require more careful consideration when synthesising data, as compared with randomised controlled trials.

Once the systematic search of literature is complete and all relevant documents are identified, the process of analysing and synthesising the data will begin. For this review, a systematic synthesis of the results obtained from the literature will be used. The use of a systematic review synthesis allows the researcher to identify, evaluate and summarise similar study findings of all relevant individual studies. For dichotomous data, the risk ratio and OR will be generated, whereas for continuous data, the standardised mean difference of estimation will be used to estimate the relationship between the cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness measures on the occupational performance of firefighters.

Measures of exposure effect
Data will be imported into Review Manager V.5.3 and then analysed. The outcome measure (occupational performance) will be considered as categorical or continuous variables, where applicable. The meta-analyses will be performed on each of the subgroups and is explained in the subsequent section on subgroup analysis. The random-effects model will be used, where significant heterogeneity is found. The effectiveness of the interventions will be calculated as standard mean difference and 95% CI.

Assessment of heterogeneity
Heterogeneity will be evaluated using the χ² test and I² test. Heterogeneity will be identified through visual inspection of the forest plots to judge the extent of CI overlap, including the I² statistic, which calculates heterogeneity across studies. This will measure the impact of heterogeneity of the meta-analysis. The following will be used to explain I² statistics:
1. 0%–30%: may not be important.
2. 31%–60%: may indicate moderate heterogeneity.
3. 61%–80%: may indicate substantial heterogeneity.
4. 81%–100%: considerable heterogeneity.

In the case of identified heterogeneity, possible reasons will be determined by assessing individual studies and subgroup characteristics. A meta-analysis will be favoured by a low degree of heterogeneity. However, if there is significant heterogeneity of the included studies, then a descriptive interpretation of the results will be presented. If homogeneity is found in the studies, then a pooled effect will be determined and a fixed-effects model will be used. However, if studies have several intervention effects, then a random-effects model will be preferred.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity
The authors anticipate the following characteristics to introduce clinical heterogeneity, that is, firefighters' age, gender, marital status, years of experience and core job description, and plan to implement subgroup analysis, where possible. Although all exposures will be measured during firefighters' work or simulated performance, the methods used could be different, which will require comparing and converting certain measurements to produce similar findings for comparison. The authors will use Review Manager for the subgroup analysis if there are adequate numbers of included studies.

Presenting and reporting of results
Generated results will be presented using a combination of figures, graphs and tables. This will include the methods and steps of how studies were sourced and selected using the PRISMA guidelines. Excluded studies and the reasons for exclusion will be tabulated and further explained in the methodology section of the systematic review. In addition, summary tables will be created, if the use of forest plots is not possible or appropriate.

Patient and public involvement
It was not appropriate or possible to involve patients or the public in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
This study has been granted ethical clearance by the University of the Western Cape (BM21/10/9) and has been registered onto PROSPERO (CRD42021258898). There will be no direct engagement with human subjects. Accessible and published data will be used in the study; thus, no confidentiality or ethical procedures need to be considered for this review.

The results of this review will be obtainable via the University of the Western Cape’s repository (https://kikapu.uwc.ac.za/). We will disseminate the findings of in peer-reviewed journals and at national and international conferences. The protocol will form part of a chapter for a doctoral thesis. The information gathered will also be presented in webinars and to local firefighting organisations.

Study status
The study is expected to commence in July 2022 and be completed by December 2022.

DISCUSSION
To the best of the authors’ knowledge, no conclusive evidence exists on the relationship between cardiovascular health, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness on the occupational performance of firefighters. As a
consequence, all reviews performed on this subject are at risk of different types of heterogeneity due to various research designs, study settings, as well as of unavoidable bias due to the complicated nature of sampling firefighters, such as the variability in the age, sex and job description of firefighters. The inclusion of only English-language articles may result in the exclusion of relevant studies.

The results of this systematic review can help clarify the relationship between cardiovascular health metrics, musculoskeletal health and physical fitness on occupational performance, either individually or as a collective impact. This review is expected to make a significant contribution to the international scientific literature and will assist policy makers in developing intervention strategies to promote health, wellness and career longevity of firefighters in South Africa, and globally. In addition, the proposed review will assist researchers who wish to design novel primary or secondary studies concerning this issue and, potentially, aid in identifying research gaps for further studies. Reference methodologies will be used to guide the study design from study selection to the synthesis of results, significantly improving the overall reliability and reducibility of the study results. The broad inclusiveness of the current systematic review, such as not having a publication date limit and including studies from multiple global regions, increases the potential applicability and generalisability of the results. In addition, the inclusion of all types of study designs and methodologies allows for a broader scope of applicable articles for selection and screening.
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