Impacts of the national health guidance intervention for obesity and cardiovascular risks on healthcare utilisation and healthcare spending in working-age Japanese cohort: regression discontinuity design
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Increases in obesity and cardiovascular diseases contribute to rapidly growing healthcare expenditures in many countries. However, little is known about whether the population-level health guidance intervention for obesity and cardiovascular risk factors is associated with reduced healthcare utilisation and spending. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of population-level health guidance intervention introduced nationally in Japan on healthcare utilisation and spending.

Design Retrospective cohort study, using a quasiexperimental regression discontinuity design.

Setting Japan’s nationwide employment-based health insurers.

Participants Participants in the national health screening programme (from January 2014 to December 2014) aged 40–74 years.

Predictors Assignment to health guidance intervention (counselling on healthy lifestyles, and referral to physicians as needed) determined primarily on whether the individual’s waist circumference was above or below the cut-off value in addition to having at least one cardiovascular risk factor.

Primary and secondary outcome measures Healthcare utilisation (the number of outpatient visits days, any medication use and any hospitalisation use) and spending (total medical expenditure, outpatient medical expenditure, and inpatient medical expenditure) within 3 years of the intervention.

Results A total of 51 213 individuals within the bandwidth (±6 cm of waist circumference from the cut-off) out of 113 302 screening participants (median age 50.0 years, 11.9% woman) were analysed. We found that the assignment to the national health guidance intervention was associated with fewer outpatient visit days (−1.3 days; 95% CI, −11.4 to −0.5 days; p=0.03). We found no evidence that the assignment to the health guidance intervention was associated with changes in medication or hospitalisation use, or healthcare spending.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ First study to investigate the effect of a national health guidance intervention on healthcare spending and utilisation of care, using a robust quasiexperimental causal design.

⇒ Nationwide health screening data and medical claims data in Japan.

⇒ Some variations in the national health guidance intervention.

⇒ Secondary outcome of any hospitalisation including hospitalisations due to non-cardiovascular diseases.

Conclusion Among working-age, male-focused Japanese from a health insurer of companies of civil engineering and construction, the national health guidance intervention might be associated with a decline in outpatient visits, with no change in medication/hospitalisation use or healthcare spending.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity and obesity-related diseases, such as diabetes and hypertension, are the major causes of disease burdens and increasing health expenditures in many countries. In the USA, annual health expenditures relating to obesity, diabetes and hypertension are US$ 147 billion,1 US$ 237 billion2 and US$ 131 billion,3 respectively. In addition, individuals with obesity have a higher risk of coronary heart disease, stroke and cancer, further contributing to higher disease burdens and health expenditures. At the global level, the prevalence of obesity has been increasing in most countries, regardless of their sociodemographic indices,4 causing 4 million deaths annually, two-thirds of which are due to cardiovascular diseases. Despite...
the magnitude of this public health problem and major efforts to address this issue, no policies or interventions that effectively reduce the rate of obesity at the population level have been discovered.

Policy-makers in many countries are turning to preventive care as a promising intervention for curbing the rapidly growing disease burdens of obesity and related health expenditures. Among existing interventions, population-level health screening programmes for obesity and cardiovascular risk factors have attracted attention and have been implemented in many countries, including China,5 Denmark8 9 (therefore, of limited generalisability) or observational studies lacking sufficient consideration of unmeasured confounding10 11 (eg, studies that compared individuals who self-selected to receive interventions vs those who did not). Therefore, it remains unclear whether lifestyle interventions targeted at high-risk populations identified through population-level health screening are effective for lowering healthcare spending in the long term.

The purpose of this study is to examine the effects of population-level health guidance intervention on healthcare utilisation and spending. In doing so, we compared individuals whose waist circumference values were just above and below the eligibility cut-off level using the quasi-experimental regression discontinuity (RD) design. The RD design allows us to examine the causal impact of the national health guidance intervention, because individuals just above and below the cut-off value were very similar in many characteristics, and the only major difference among them was whether or not they received the intervention.

**METHOD**

**Data source**

Data were obtained from one of Japan’s largest employment-based health insurers (a national sample of employees of a civil engineering and construction company) collected between January 2014 and December 2019, and developed by linking three databases: annual health screening data, enrolment data and medical claims data. The health screening data included information on demographics (age (continuous) and gender (binary)), weight (continuous), body mass index (BMI) (continuous), waist circumference (continuous), systolic and diastolic blood pressure (continuous), haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) (continuous), triglyceride (continuous), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (continuous), medication use (binary) and smoking status (binary). Baseline variables were measured using the results of the first screening in 2014. Visit days for outpatient care, medical expenditure (including medications) and any hospitalisation were measured by medical claims data in subsequent years (2015–2019). Medication use was measured by a self-reported questionnaire at a health screening in subsequent years (2015–2019).

**National health guidance intervention**

If a participant had one or more cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, hyperglycaemic and dyslipidaemia), and a waist circumference larger than the cut-off value (85 cm for men and 90 cm for women) or a BMI higher than or equal to 25, he/she was assigned to the health guidance intervention. Those who were assigned to the health guidance intervention were notified by the health insurer and received health guidance from trained instructors (many of whom were qualified dietitians or public health nurses). Participants with medication use (antihypertensives, antidiabetics or antihyperlipidemic drugs) were not assigned to health guidance. All subjects who underwent the health screening received a summary report of their screening results in the mail, regardless of whether they were assigned to the health guidance.

The national health guidance intervention in Japan consists of an initial interview (at least 20 min of individual support or 80 min of group support), followed by continuous support for 3–6 months. The instructor provides explanations about lifestyle improvements (mainly exercise and diet) and recommends medical treatment if it is needed. The health guidance intervention assignment process is shown in online supplemental efigure 1. Detailed information about the national health guidance intervention is described in the previous study.12

**Participants**

A total of 113 418 individuals, between 40 and 74 years old, received baseline health screening during the period from January 2014 to December 2014. After excluding those whose waist circumference measurements were missing from the data (n=116), we analysed 113 302 participants.

**Healthcare utilisation and healthcare spending**

Healthcare utilisation and spending were defined as visit for outpatient care (days), medication use (hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidaemia), hospitalisation, total medical expenditure (dollars), medical expenditure for outpatient care (dollars) and medical expenditure for inpatient care (dollars), using medical claims data and follow-up screening results from January 2015 to December 2019. To convert the Japanese yen to US$, we used the rate on 8 January 2021 (1 US$=103.92 Japanese yen). Our main outcomes were the sum of those outcomes for 3 years (from January 2015 to December 2017). We also examined those outcomes from year 1 (2015) to year 5 (2019). Note that lifestyle consultations provided by
health insurers are not medical treatments and thus not covered by public health insurance. Therefore, they are not included in our utilisation and spending measures.

**Statistical analysis**

To estimate the effect of health guidance intervention on healthcare utilisation and spending, we used an RD design, which uses a clinical or policy decision rule that participants are differentially assigned to the intervention or control group according to an arbitrarily defined cut-off value for a continuous variable. In our RD analysis, waist circumference was used as the assignment variable. In the national health guidance intervention, participants with a waist circumference above the cut-off value (85 cm in men and 90 cm in women) were more likely to receive the health guidance intervention than those below it. The RD analysis compares individuals whose values of the assignment variable (waist circumference) are just above and below the cut-off level. The RD analysis allows us to estimate causal effects because individuals just above or below the cut-off value were very similar in most characteristics, except whether or not they received the intervention. In our main analyses, we used the data-driven approach to determine the optimal bandwidths for each outcome variable in the RD analyses. We used a fuzzy RD analysis, which allows for situations in which the assignment variable does not completely determine the intervention but is determined probabilistically.

Our RD analysis estimated causal effects of the intervention on healthcare utilisation and spending for 3 years (from 2015 to 2017), using a local polynomial (cubic function) regression-discontinuity estimation with robust bias-corrected CIs to avoid overfitting of the data and misinterpretation of the effect. To further control for potential confounders, we included age, gender, current smoking status (yes/no) and baseline medication use as covariates in the model. We used a triangular kernel function that gives more weight to subjects near the threshold. Because only 0.05% (25 individuals) have missing data with covariates of smoking status and baseline medication use, we conducted complete case analysis. We estimated both the effect of assignment to the intervention (ie, intention-to-treat (ITT) effects) and the effect of receipt of the intervention (ie, the treatment-on-the treated (ToT) effect). For all analysis, we used Stata V.17.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). To conduct RD analysis, we used the rdrobust command in Stata.

**Secondary analysis**

We conducted several secondary analyses. First, we described a histogram of waist circumference and tested whether waist circumference changed smoothly at the cut-off value by McCrary’s density test. Second, we described the proportion of participants assigned to the health guidance intervention based on the values of their waist circumferences to show how the probability of the assignment changed discontinuously around the cut-off value of the waist circumferences. Third, to test the smooth continuity of observed covariates at the cut-off of waist circumference, we described the RD plots using covariates as the outcome variable and waist circumference as the running variable. Fourth, we examined the effect of health guidance intervention on healthcare utilisation and hospitalisation spending in each year from 2015 (year 1) to 2019 (year 5). Fifth, we conducted subgroup analysis according to gender. Finally, to examine whether the estimated effects were sensitive to the selection of bandwidths, we conducted RD analyses using different bandwidths.

**Patient and public involvement**

This research was performed without patient involvement.

**RESULTS**

**Participant characteristics**

We analysed 51,213 individuals within the bandwidth of waist circumference (±6 cm from the cut-off) out of 113,302 health screening participants. The median age was 50 years, and 11.9% were woman. Table 1 shows participant characteristics within the bandwidth.

**Effect of the health guidance intervention on healthcare utilisation and hospitalisation**

Figure 1 shows RD plots of healthcare utilisation and spending. According to those RD plots, we did not find significant discontinuity of outcomes at the cut-off of waist circumference. Table 2 shows that assignment to the health guidance intervention (ITT effect) was associated with fewer visit days for outpatient care (−1.3 days, 95% CI: −11.4 to −0.5, p value=0.03). We found no evidence that assignment to health guidance intervention was associated with medication use, hospitalisation, total medical expenditure, medical expenditure for outpatient care or medical expenditure for inpatient care. Table 3 shows that the effect of receipt of the health guidance intervention (ToT effect) was greater on visit days for outpatient care (−10.6 days, 95% CI: −42.7 to +18.7, p value=0.45), compared with that of assignment to the intervention (ITT effect). We found no evidence that the receipt of health guidance intervention was associated with medication use, hospitalisation or total medical expenditure.

**Secondary analysis**

We found no evidence of discontinuity of waist circumference at the cut-off (online supplemental efigure 2). Around the cut-off of waist circumference, the proportion of participants assigned to the health guidance intervention dramatically increased from 19.6% (−1 to 0 cm from the cut-off) to 80.2% (0 to 1 cm from the cut-off). The proportion of those who received the intervention increased from 4.2% (−1 to 0 cm from the cut-off) to 12.7% (0 to 1 cm from the cut-off) (online supplemental efigure 3). We found no evidence of discontinuity of observed covariates at the cut-off of waist circumference.
These results support the validity of our RD analysis. Except for visit days for outpatient care in year 2 (−1.0 days, 95% CI: −4.7 to −0.5, p value=0.01), we did not find significant associations of the assignment to the national health guidance intervention with healthcare utilisation and spending in each year from 2015 (year 1) to 2019 (year 5) (online supplemental etable 1). According to the subgroup by gender, the point estimates of the effect of assignment to the intervention were larger in women than those in men, with larger CIs (online supplemental etable 2). The estimated effects were qualitatively unaffected when we used different bandwidths (online supplemental etable 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Using nationwide claims data linked with information from the national screening programme, we found that Japan’s national health guidance intervention was associated with fewer outpatient visit days. On the other hand, we found no evidence that the health guidance intervention was associated with medication use, hospitalisations

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of participants in the national health screening programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total N=113302</th>
<th>Within bandwidth of 6 cm N=51213</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>49.4 (44.4–57.4)</td>
<td>50.0 (44.8–58.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>30263 (26.7%)</td>
<td>6111 (11.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference, cm</td>
<td>83.5 (77.3–90)</td>
<td>85.1 (82.5–88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above the cut-off, n (%)</td>
<td>46701 (41.2%)</td>
<td>24214 (47.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index*</td>
<td>23.5 (21.3–25.8)</td>
<td>24 (22.8–25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (SBP), mm Hg</td>
<td>124 (113–134)</td>
<td>125 (115–135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (DBP), mm Hg</td>
<td>77 (69–85)</td>
<td>78 (71–86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride, mg/dL</td>
<td>98 (68–149)</td>
<td>109 (78–159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>60 (50–72)</td>
<td>57 (49–67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), %</td>
<td>5.5 (5.3–5.7)</td>
<td>5.5 (5.3–5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting blood glucose (FBG), mg/dL</td>
<td>94 (87–102)</td>
<td>95 (88–103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>34186 (30.2%)</td>
<td>17233 (33.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive drug, n (%)</td>
<td>18414 (16.3%)</td>
<td>8860 (17.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidiabetic drug, n (%)</td>
<td>5241 (4.6%)</td>
<td>2325 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihyperlipidemic drug, n (%)</td>
<td>10556 (9.3%)</td>
<td>4987 (9.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP≥130 mm Hg, DBP≥85 mm Hg or antihypertensive drug</td>
<td>50963 (45.0%)</td>
<td>24934 (48.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG≥100 mg/dL, HbA1c≥5.6% or antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>52559 (46.4%)</td>
<td>24651 (48.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride≥150 mg/dL, HDL cholesterol&lt;40 mg/dL or antihyperlipidemic drug</td>
<td>36613 (32.3%)</td>
<td>18842 (36.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in metres squared.

---

**Figure 1** Healthcare utilisation and spending for 3 years according to assignment to health guidance intervention: regression discontinuity plots. The dots and error bars indicate sample means and 95% CIs, respectively. The vertical solid line indicates the cut-off of waist circumference, which is an assignment variable for health guidance intervention.
or healthcare spending. These findings indicate that the current structure of Japan’s national health guidance intervention has a limited impact on growing health expenditures and highlights the importance of improving the design of the national health guidance intervention based on robust evidence to make it more effective.

There are several potential reasons why we found that the national health guidance intervention was associated with fewer outpatient visit days. First, participants who are under the health guidance intervention have opportunities to interact with an instructor, who may be able to solve minor health issues, thereby replacing physician visits. This hypothesis is supported by the Patient’s Behavior Survey by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, which found that 25.8% of patients visited an outpatient clinic despite the lack of any symptoms because of concern, recommendations by family and friends, and referrals after undergoing a health screening programme.16 Second, a previous study12 found a small reduction in participants’ weight due to the lifestyle intervention, which may have clinical benefits among those individuals near the cut-off value of waist circumference, possibly leading to fewer outpatient visit days. Lastly, the participants of the national health guidance intervention may have the chance to reflect on their lifestyles, which in turn may improve their health status and reduce outpatient visits.10

We found no evidence that the national health guidance intervention changed healthcare spending, and there are several mechanisms that may explain this finding. First, the implicit assumption behind promoting preventive care is that early interventions for individuals with a high risk of diseases could reduce future healthcare spending due to treatments of those conditions. However, the national health guidance intervention introduced in Japan may not be effective in preventing future incidences of cardiovascular diseases, and thereby leading to its lack of association with healthcare spending. This hypothesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Association of assignment to the national health guidance intervention with healthcare utilisation and healthcare spending (3 years cumulative) using fuzzy regression discontinuity design* (ITT effect)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare utilisation</td>
<td>Bandwidth,† cm (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit days for outpatient care, days‡</td>
<td>6.1 (44 333)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication use,§ percentage points (pp)‡</td>
<td>6.8 (47 016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation, percentage points (pp)‡</td>
<td>6.9 (47 726)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare spending</td>
<td>Total medical expenditure, dollars‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical expenditure for outpatient care, dollars‡</td>
<td>5.7 (41 081)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical expenditure for inpatient care, dollars‡</td>
<td>6.3 (44 986)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*We used the data-driven approach to determine the optimal bandwidths for each outcome variables we have investigated. †We selected optimal bandwidths for each outcome by data-driven approach. ‡We adjusted for age, gender, current smoking and baseline medication use. §Any medication includes drugs for hypertension, hyperglycaemic and hyperlipidemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Association of receipt of the national health guidance intervention with healthcare utilisation and healthcare spending (3 years cumulative) using fuzzy regression discontinuity design* (treatment-to-treat effect)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare utilisation</td>
<td>Bandwidth,† cm (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit days for outpatient care, days‡</td>
<td>8.2 (55 458)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication use,§ percentage points (pp)‡</td>
<td>8.8 (57 815)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation, percentage points (pp)‡</td>
<td>9.1 (60 250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare spending</td>
<td>Total medical expenditure, dollars‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical expenditure for outpatient care, dollars‡</td>
<td>7.3 (50 940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical expenditure for inpatient care, dollars‡</td>
<td>6.7 (46 974)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*We used the data-driven approach to determine the optimal bandwidths for each outcome variables we have investigated. †We selected optimal bandwidths for each outcome by data-driven approach. ‡We adjusted for age, gender, current smoking, and baseline medication use. §Any medication includes drugs for hypertension, hyperglycaemic and hyperlipidemia.
is supported by a previous work showing that the national health guidance intervention had no impact on cardiovascular risk factors, such as blood pressure, HbA1c level and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level. Second, the cut-off value of waist circumference used to determine the population who received the health guidance intervention may be relatively low. Our data show that the current waist circumference cut-off values are close to the median waist circumferences for men (online supplemental efigure 2), indicating that a large number of healthy individuals received the intervention. It is possible that focusing the intervention on a population at higher risk may be more effective in lowering healthcare utilisation and spending. Lastly, the current intervention may not effectively incentivise payers to improve health outcomes or reduce health expenditures. The current intervention incentivizes payers based on the quantity of support provided, such as initial interviews and telephone follow-ups, while being agnostic about changes in individual health outcomes. Incentivising the performance achieved (eg, improvements in cardiovascular risk factors) may be more effective than incentivising the quantities of inputs used.

Our findings provide valuable evidence regarding the impact of health guidance interventions. A randomised control study conducted in one region in Denmark found that regular preventive health checks did not have any effects on primary and secondary healthcare utilisation, but rate ratios for daytime outpatient visits initially increased and gradually decreased. Another quasi-experimental study in Austria used regional variations in the exposure to screening recommendations as an instrumental variable and concluded that no association between health screening and health expenditure existed in the long run. However, prior studies were limited in that they evaluated regional programmes (as opposed to the evaluation of a national programme in our study), and used observational designs that could not account for unmeasured confounders. To our knowledge, no study to date has investigated the effect of a national health guidance intervention on healthcare spending, medication use or hospitalisations.

In summary, we found that the national health guidance intervention in Japan was associated with fewer outpatient visit days, but was not associated with changes in healthcare spending, medication use or hospitalisations. Ultimately, the effectiveness of these interventions hinges on the actual designs and structures of the interventions, and these findings suggest that the national health guidance intervention needs to be re-evaluated to improve its efficiency and effectiveness.

Conclusions

The current intervention may be relatively low. Our data show that the current waist circumference cut-off values are close to the median waist circumferences for men (online supplemental efigure 2), indicating that a large number of healthy individuals received the intervention. It is possible that focusing the intervention on a population at higher risk may be more effective in lowering healthcare utilisation and spending. Lastly, the current intervention may not effectively incentivise payers to improve health outcomes or reduce health expenditures. The current intervention incentivizes payers based on the quantity of support provided, such as initial interviews and telephone follow-ups, while being agnostic about changes in individual health outcomes. Incentivising the performance achieved (eg, improvements in cardiovascular risk factors) may be more effective than incentivising the quantities of inputs used.

Our findings provide valuable evidence regarding the impact of health guidance interventions. A randomised control study conducted in one region in Denmark found that regular preventive health checks did not have any effects on primary and secondary healthcare utilisation, but rate ratios for daytime outpatient visits initially increased and gradually decreased. Another quasi-experimental study in Austria used regional variations in the exposure to screening recommendations as an instrumental variable and concluded that no association between health screening and health expenditure existed in the long run. However, prior studies were limited in that they evaluated regional programmes (as opposed to the evaluation of a national programme in our study), and used observational designs that could not account for unmeasured confounders. To our knowledge, no study to date has investigated the effect of a national health guidance intervention on healthcare spending, medication use or hospitalisations.

In summary, we found that the national health guidance intervention in Japan was associated with fewer outpatient visit days, but was not associated with changes in healthcare spending, medication use or hospitalisations. Ultimately, the effectiveness of these interventions hinges on the actual designs and structures of the interventions, and these findings suggest that the national health guidance intervention needs to be re-evaluated to improve its efficiency and effectiveness.

Author affiliations

1Human Health Sciences, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
2Department of Government, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
3Graduate School of Economics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
4Division of General Internal Medicine and Health Services Research, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA
5Department of Health Policy and Management, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, California, USA

Twitter Yusuke Tsugawa @ytsugawa1
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Health Insurance Association for Architecture and Civil Engineering Companies (Kunio Mizuta and Akio Yoda) for their support in developing the database.

Contributors

SF, MM and YT conceived and designed the study, interpreted the data and drafted the initial manuscript. SF analysed the data. All authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final manuscript. SF is the guarantor. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Funding

This study received no support from any organisation. YT was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/NIMHD Grant R01MD013913 and NIH/NIAD Grant R01AG068633 for other work not related to this study. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funders.

Competing interests

None declared.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval

The Institutional Review Board of Kyoto University approved this study (R0817).

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

No data are available.

Supplemental material

This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and completeness of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access

This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Shingo Fukuma http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8379-8761
Mitsuru Mukaigawara http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6530-2083
Yusuke Tsugawa http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1957-4833

REFERENCES