<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is the study population clearly described?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Are competing alternatives clearly described?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Is a well-defined research question posed in answerable form?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the economic study design appropriate to the stated objective?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the structural assumptions and the validation methods of the model properly reported? (model-based)</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Is the chosen time horizon appropriate in order to include relevant costs and consequences?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the actual perspective chosen appropriate?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Are all important and relevant costs for each alternative identified?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Are all costs measured appropriately in physical units?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Are costs valued appropriately?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Are all important and relevant outcomes for each alternative identified?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Are all outcomes measured appropriately?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Are outcomes valued appropriately?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Is an incremental analysis of costs and outcomes of alternatives performed?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Are all future costs and outcomes discounted appropriately?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Are all important variables, whose values are uncertain, appropriately subjected to sensitivity analysis?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Do the conclusions follow from the data reported?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Does the study discuss the generalizability of the results to other settings and patient/client groups?</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Does the article indicate that there is no potential conflict of interest of study researcher(s) and funder(s)?</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Are ethical and distributional issues discussed appropriately?</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
<td>☥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total score</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** ☥ denotes that the signalling question was addressed in the study — in that case, a score was given to the study; ☥ denotes that the signalling question was not addressed in the study; ± = Unclear; + implies not applicable to the study.