Effectiveness and characteristics of physical fitness training on aerobic fitness in vulnerable older adults: an umbrella review of systematic reviews


ABSTRACT

Objectives To present an overview of effectiveness and training characteristics of physical training on aerobic fitness, compared with alternative or no training, in adults aged over 65 years with various health statuses, providing a basis for guidelines for aerobic training of vulnerable older adults that can be used in geriatric rehabilitation.

Design An umbrella review of systematic reviews that included both randomised controlled trials and other types of trials.

Data sources MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL and the Cochrane Library were searched on 9 September 2019.

Eligibility criteria for selecting studies We included systematic reviews reporting on physical training interventions that are expected to improve aerobic fitness, presenting results for adults aged 65 years and older, describing at least one of the FITT-characteristics: Frequency, Intensity, Time or Type of exercise, and measuring aerobic fitness at least before and after the intervention.

Data extraction and synthesis Two independent reviewers extracted the data and assessed the risk of bias. A narrative synthesis was performed.

Results We included 51 papers on 49 reviews. Positive effect of training on aerobic fitness was reported by 33 reviews, 11 reviews remained inconclusive and 5 reviews reported no effect. Training characteristics varied largely. Frequency: 1–35 sessions/week, Intensity: light–vigorous, Time: <10–120 min/session and Types of exercise: many.

Conclusion Physical fitness training can be effective for vulnerable older adults. Exercise characteristics from current existing guidelines are widely applicable, although lower frequencies and intensities are also beneficial. For some conditions, adjustments are advised.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42020140575.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ This review of systematic reviews provides a summary of the scientific literature on training of aerobic fitness in adults with a wide variety of health statuses.
⇒ This review focuses on training characteristics, effects of aerobic fitness and adverse events.
⇒ The narrative analyses do justice to the diversity of vulnerabilities in older adults.
⇒ An important challenge is the interpretation of the large variety of interventions, outcomes and description of the training characteristics within the studies.

INTRODUCTION

Geriatric rehabilitation can be defined as diagnostic and therapeutic interventions aimed at restoring functional ability or enhancing residual physical function in vulnerable older people with disabling impairments. Patients in geriatric rehabilitation are vulnerable with regards to their health status, typically characterised by a wide range of frailty, comorbidity and disability. Ageing is associated with physiological changes that result in reductions in functional capacity, such as a reduction in aerobic fitness and in muscle performance.

This deterioration can be a cause of disabling impairments, but hindering functional recovery. Therefore, the training of functional capacity can be considered an essential focus for geriatric rehabilitation. An important element of functional capacity is aerobic fitness, that is, the ability of the circulatory and respiratory systems to supply oxygen during sustained physical activity. This can be improved through a number of therapeutic interventions such as walking, rowing and cycling.

There are several international guidelines that provide exercise recommendations for
improving aerobic fitness in healthy (older) adults or in adults with a specific disease or condition. In general, these recommendations are based on the training principle of progressive overload. This principle implies that training should impose a greater load on the body than it is normally accustomed to and should increase throughout a training programme. Exercise below a minimum training load will not challenge the body sufficiently enough to result in increased physical fitness. This relation between training load and gain in physical fitness is not linear. Training itself has a ceiling effect: the closer the patients’ fitness approaches their personal ceiling, the greater the training intensity needed for improvement. Conversely, if the training load is too high, it can lead to adverse effects, for example, a decrease in training effect, myocardial infarction and in extreme cases, sudden cardiac death. It is thus important to find the optimal equilibrium between under-training and over-training.

Training load is determined by the Frequency, Intensity and Time of training. Together with the Type of exercise performed, these characteristics are referred to as the FITT-characteristics, which are used for exercise prescription. Exercise intensity, for example, expressed as the proportion of maximal oxygen uptake, is the most important of these four characteristics as it has the largest influence on the training load and, therefore, on the exercise dose. Frequency refers to how often the exercise is performed, usually represented in the number of sessions per week. Time is the length of the physical activity, typically expressed in minutes per session. The Type of exercise refers to the specific physical activity performed, such as walking or swimming.

Although guidelines provide a multitude of exercise recommendations, they lack specific recommendations on aerobic exercise for the vulnerable group of patients in geriatric rehabilitation. These patients often face problems regarding frailty, comorbidity or disability, and their interaction. Further, the underlying problems are wide-ranging. It is unclear whether and how the FITT-characteristics of, for example, the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM), apply to this group. This is important as application of inappropriate FITT-characteristics may lead to adverse events or to suboptimal training, resulting in the inadequate recovery of independence. As well as a lack of specific training guidelines for vulnerable older adults, an overview of the evidence with regards to physical fitness training in vulnerable older adults is also lacking. Currently, there are several reviews available reporting on the effect of physical fitness training on aerobic fitness in healthy older adults, or in older patients with specific diagnoses. The combination of the body of evidence of such systematic reviews regarding both healthy and impaired older adults might help to improve the exercise prescription in vulnerable older adults who are undergoing geriatric rehabilitation. Therefore, the research questions for this study are:

1. What is the effect of physical fitness training on aerobic fitness outcomes compared with alternative or no training in adults over 65 years old with various health statuses?
2. What are the training characteristics in studies that showed an improvement in aerobic fitness in adults over 65 years old?

METHODS
Design
An umbrella review was performed and reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Before the start of the study, a review protocol was created and registered at the PROSPERO International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews, that is provided in online supplemental file A. Deviations from the protocol are reported in relevant parts of this methods section.

Data sources
We performed a systematic computerised search to identify systematic reviews reporting on the effect of physical fitness training on aerobic fitness in older adults. Four electronic databases were searched from their inception: MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL and the Cochrane Library. The search strategy for MEDLINE is provided in online supplemental file B. We adapted the search strings accordingly for the other databases. The search was conducted on 9 September 2019.

Eligibility criteria
We included reviews that met all of the following criteria: (1) the review was a systematic review, according to our minimal criteria that the search strategy and inclusion and exclusion criteria were described; (2) the reported intervention was physical training that was expected to improve aerobic fitness; (3) the review had to present results for adults aged 65 years and older; (4) the description of the intervention contained at least one of the FITT-characteristics: Frequency, Intensity, Time or Type of exercise; (5) aerobic fitness was measured at least before and after the intervention.

The design of the studies included in the systematic reviews could be either randomised controlled trials (RCTs) or other types of studies (non-RCTs), such as before–after studies, non-randomised or quasi-randomised trials. We did this to ensure that we did not miss relevant studies on vulnerable patients for which RCT designs may not be feasible. We only included reviews published in English, with no restrictions on publication year.

Data extraction and synthesis
Two investigators (DV and EBS) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts. Potentially relevant articles were identified and the full texts were retrieved for independent assessment using the inclusion criteria. Any disagreements were resolved by consensus or in consultation.
with a third reviewer (KHLG) when necessary. The validated Joanna Briggs Institute Data Extraction Form for Systematic Reviews and Research Syntheses was used and adapted for data extraction. Data from each included systematic review were extracted independently by both reviewers (DV and EBS). Results were compared and any discrepancies were resolved through discussion. We tabulated review characteristics, such as participants, setting, number of included studies and review results, such as effect of training on aerobic fitness, and training characteristics using the FITT-characteristics. Our primary outcome was aerobic fitness by any measure, for example: VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} and VO\textsubscript{2}\text{peak}, 6-min walk test (6MWT), endurance capacity with Graded Exercise Testing, exercise tolerance with a BORG scale, heart rate response during a graded or incremental exercise test and its recovery and muscle fatigue measured as the decline in maximal power and electromyography activity after an incremental exercise test.

The methodological quality of each included review was assessed independently by the two reviewers (DV and EBS), using the second version of ‘A MeaSurement Tool to Assess systematic Reviews’ (AMSTAR 2). The results of the quality assessment were compared and any discrepancies were resolved through discussion.

A narrative synthesis was used to describe the characteristics of aerobic fitness training and the effect on aerobic fitness in older or frail patients. A narrative analysis enables us to handle the expected large variety in health statuses, interventions and outcomes. For the evaluation of the effect on aerobic fitness, reviews were classified into one of the following categories: ‘positive effect’, ‘negative effect’, ‘inconclusive’ or ‘no effect’, depending on the effect on aerobic fitness outcomes, such as VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} or 6MWT. Reviews, both meta-analyses and narrative reviews, were classified as ‘positive’ if all of the comparisons, or at least all of the comparisons with non-exercise controls, had a statistically significant positive result. Reviews with narrative analyses were also classified as ‘positive’ if at least 75% of the included comparisons had a statistically significant positive result. The same criteria (in the other direction) were used for classifying reviews as ‘negative’. Reviews, both with meta-analyses and with narrative analyses, were classified as having ‘no effect’ if none of the comparisons had a statistically significant effect. Further, reviews were classified as ‘inconclusive’ if the comparisons returned mixed results: some statistically significant positive results alongside not significant results. This classification of reviews was not described in-depth in the PROSPERO protocol.

In addition, two subgroup analyses were performed. The first focused on reviews that specifically reported on dose-response relationships of aerobic fitness interventions to explore optimal training characteristics of aerobic fitness. This was not explicitly stated in the PROSPERO protocol, but was added as further insights into dose effects are important for optimal exercise prescription. The second, predefined subgroup analysis explored the effects of aerobic fitness training in groups with specific health statuses or diagnoses, such as trauma patients and patients with respiratory diseases. In this second analysis, only reviews with complete FITT-characteristics and with a risk of bias analysis were included. In the analyses of smaller subgroups, the reviews with incomplete reporting of FITT-characteristics could represent an inaccurate picture as it is unknown if the not-reported FITT-characteristics are within the range of the other reviews in the same subgroup. Moreover, we exclude studies with an unknown risk of bias as it is impossible to judge the quality of these studies in the analyses.

Patient and public involvement
No patient involved.

Data sharing statement
All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information.

RESULTS
The PRISMA flowchart can be found in figure 1. It shows that 2978 records were screened and 62 articles were assessed for full-text analysis. We finally included 51 articles in the narrative synthesis, of which 3 were based on the same data, leaving 49 individual systematic reviews for analysis. Online supplemental file C shows the characteristics of the included reviews, and online supplemental file D describes the interventions and a
summary of the evidence from the included reviews. A list of excluded reviews is presented in online supplemental file E.

Quality assessment
The quality of included reviews is presented in online supplemental file F. According to the AMSTAR 2 ratings, sources of bias were, for example, lacking a report of a Patient group, Intervention condition, Comparison condition and Outcome(s) (PICO) (14 reviews), no reported protocols prior to the start of the study (35 reviews) and no adequately explained decision to include RCTs, non-RCTs or their combination (44 reviews). Other sources of bias were: an incompletely described or incomprehensible literature search strategy (45 reviews) and the absence of a list of excluded studies in 41 reviews. A risk of bias analysis was performed in 40 reviews, but in only 13 did the authors take this risk of bias into account when discussing their results.

Participants
The total number of participants was 28,085 with a median number of 399 and a range of 92–5230 participants per review. Only one review did not report the number of included patients. Due to large differences in reporting methods, we were not able to calculate a mean age for all of the participants. However, the mean age per review, at least for the subgroup of studies that reported on aerobic fitness outcomes, was at least 65 years. Gender was reported in only half of the included reviews. Reviews differed largely with respect to the health conditions of the studied population, varying from healthy participants to frail, hospitalised or institutionalised participants and many reviews focused on patients with specific diseases, such as heart failure or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The experimental setting was unclear in the majority of studies. The settings that were reported mainly concerned community-dwelling older adults and, to a lesser extent, institutionalised patients, hospitalised patients or a mixed group.

Characteristics of the interventions
Of the included reviews, 30 reported on all of the FITT-characteristics of the underlying intervention studies. The frequency of interventions ranged from one session per week to five sessions per day. The exercise intensity was measured in several ways, for example, via estimated heart rate value, a percentage of the maximum workload or walking speed or a predefined experienced exertion. The duration of training sessions lasted from several minutes to 120 min per session. The most common type of intervention was a mixed aerobics exercise programme. Mixed programmes were either combinations of different aerobic exercises or aerobic exercises combined with alternative forms of training, such as strength training. Walking and cycling were usually the major aerobic components in these mixed programmes. Both were also widely used as single interventions. Other interventions consisted of: dancing, Pilates, interactive gaming, Nordic walking and rowing. The total duration of programmes ranged from 4 days to 2 years.

Outcome measures
Twenty-three of the included reviews reported multiple outcome measures of aerobic fitness, mainly a combination of distance covered (in metres) on various walking tests and VO₂ max or VO₂peak, which were both measured in different ways. The distance covered during walking tests was reported as the sole outcome measure of aerobic fitness for 16 reviews, and the VO₂ max or VO₂peak was the sole outcome measure in 8 reviews. In the remaining two reviews, the outcome measure was not specified. Further, less commonly used outcome measures were time to reach a predefined rate of perceived exertion and the peg-and-ring test, among others.

Effect of physical training on aerobic fitness
The effect of training on aerobic fitness is displayed in table 1. Twenty-nine reviews contained a meta-analysis. Twenty-two of these reviews were classified as having a ‘positive effect’, three were ‘inconclusive’ and four were classified as having ‘no effect’. Of the narrative reviews, 11 reviews were classified as having a ‘positive effect’, 8 were ‘inconclusive’ and only 1 showed ‘no effect’. None of the reviews was classified as having a ‘negative effect’.

Subgroup analysis: dose-response relationships
Four reviews reported that they could not draw conclusions about dose-response relationships.26 37 41 48 One review found no dose-response relationships between physical training and aerobic capacity in older patients with heart failure.75 Three publications, by the same authors and all based on the same 41 underlying studies, reported on dose-response relations of cardiorespiratory interventions in sedentary older adults.51–53 In their most recent review, they concluded that a maximal gain in VO₂ max could be induced by aerobic training at a mean intensity of 66%–73% of heart rate reserve (HRR), when engaging in 40–50 min per session for 3–4 days per week for 30–40 weeks. The older adults began attaining VO₂ max improvements at lower training intensities of 35%–50% of HRR, and at a training length of at least 20–24 weeks. The studies in these reviews are 23 RCTs and 18 non-RCTs. The authors did not account for a risk of bias when interpreting the results.

Subgroup analyses: categories with specific health status or diagnosis
The reviews with complete FITT-characteristics and with a risk of bias analysis could be divided into nine categories according to health status or diagnoses of their participants: (healthy) older adults (N=1), frail older adults (N=2), older adults hospitalised for an acute medical illness (N=1), cardiovascular disease (N=5), cognitive disorders (N=2), oncological disease (N=3), respiratory disease (N=7) and trauma (N=1). Three studies, reporting on participants with mixed conditions, were not included.
Table 1  Effect of aerobic fitness training according to health status or diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Healthy) older adults</th>
<th>Frail older adults</th>
<th>Hospitalised after critical illness</th>
<th>Cardiovascular disease</th>
<th>Cognitive impairment</th>
<th>Metabolic disease</th>
<th>Oncological disease</th>
<th>Respiratory disease</th>
<th>Trauma</th>
<th>Mixed*</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MA: positive effect for all comparisons</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40 52 65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22 44 45 69 75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA: positive effect, only for comparisons with non-exercise controls</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAn: positive effect for all studies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38 54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36 48</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAn: positive effect, only for all studies with non-exercise controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAn: positive effect for &gt;75% of all studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal positive results</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA: inconclusive</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAn: inconclusive</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36 73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>64 77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal inconclusive results</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA: no effect</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAn: no effect</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal not significant results</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reviews of studies with multiple health conditions/diagnoses, for example, with healthy and frail participants and participants with impaired balance.
MA, meta-analysis; NAn, narrative analysis.
Cardiovascular diseases

The group of cardiovascular diseases consisted of patients after heart surgery, with peripheral arterial disease or with an abdominal aortic aneurysm.43 64 66 69 77 Two reviews focused on patients after heart surgery. One found a positive effect on aerobic fitness after the training programme in an uncontrolled before–after study.69 The other review on heart surgery patients showed inconclusive results from additional aerobic or resistance training added to standard aerobic cardiac rehabilitation.66 Two reviews investigated peripheral artery disease, of which one reported a positive effect and the other inconclusive results. One review showed positive effects on pain-free walking distance after a training programme consisting of walking at an intensity that evoked severe claudication pain.65 Another review demonstrated inconclusive results both in walking tests and in VO_{2}peak compared with non-exercise controls, and no effect when aerobic exercise was compared with other types or intensities of exercise.64 Both the type and intensity of the training differed from the positive review: the types consisted of (treadmill) walking, lower limb aerobics, pole striding and arm cranking at a vigorous intensity or at an intensity that evoked moderate-to-maximum claudication pain.64 One review reported on the effect of preoperative exercise for patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm and showed inconclusive results.77

Cognitive disorders

Both reviews showed a positive effect on walking tests.36 58 The severity of cognitive disorders varied from mild cognitive impairment to dementia. Blankevoort et al reported better outcomes for programmes with a longer duration,36 and Lam et al showcased an effective increase in training in studies with an intensity of 30%–60% of VO_{2}max or 40% HRR that gradually progressed to 85%.58

Oncological diseases

One review reported a positive effect in patients with prostate cancer.72 The other two reviews had inconclusive findings for patients with colorectal cancer,20 and small-cell lung cancer.15 The review showcasing a positive effect seemed to have a higher frequency and intensity than the inconclusive reviews.

Respiratory disease

The group concerning respiratory diseases consisted of patients with COPD, non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis or non-malignant, dust-related respiratory diseases.21 43 50 59 61–63 75 76 Five reviews studied patients with moderate-to-severe COPD. Positive effects on aerobic fitness were found for aerobic training both in patients with stable COPD and in patients shortly after an exacerbation, and both for home-based and for outpatient rehabilitation.21 63 76 The effect of (additional) resistance training is not clear; one review showed an inconclusive effect,68 while another study showed no statistically significant effect.69 The review on non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis was judged to be inconclusive, with positive effects on 6MWT but no effect on VO_{2}max.39 The review on patients with non-malignant, dust-related respiratory disease demonstrated positive effects.43

Trauma patients

The last category consisted of trauma patients with hip fractures in one review.57 Low-frequency and moderate intensity programmes showed no effect on aerobic fitness.

Adverse events

Twenty reviews intended to report on adverse events, but they all concluded that there was a lack of information on adverse events in the underlying studies.15 21 22 24–37 41 43–45 50 58 59 60 62 65 67 70 72 76 Of these 20 reviews, 9 reviews either found no adverse events,21 34 36 39 43 60 62 72 or no difference in the presence of adverse events, compared with non-exercise controls.22 45 Seven reviews reported no serious35 41 44 76 or very few15 58 67 adverse events. One review reported serious adverse events that occasionally resulted in discontinuation of the exercise and even resulted in one death.57 The training programmes described in this review were for individuals with severe hypertension, mixed diagnoses or patients with heart failure, and had a frequency of three sessions per week, lasting 20–60 min for a duration of 12–24 weeks and were of light-to-vigorous intensity.

Description of excluded studies

Eleven of the 62 full-text papers were excluded after assessment (figure 1). The most important reasons for exclusion in this phase were the lack of aerobic outcomes, a participant mean age of under 65, or a lack of a subgroup analysis for this age criterion (see online supplemental file E).
DISCUSSION

This umbrella review was set up to study the effectiveness and characteristics of physical fitness training on aerobic fitness in vulnerable older adults and included 51 papers on 49 individual systematic reviews (N=28 085 participants). The majority of the included reviews found a statistically significant positive effect of physical fitness training on aerobic fitness. We found a large heterogeneity in the reported FITT-characteristics of the included interventions. Only one review found dose-effect relations for healthy older adults. For almost all categories of researched health statuses, studies with positive effects of physical fitness training were found with some variation in the FITT-characteristics reported between the categories.

Comparison with current guidelines

For older adults, the ACSM published general recommendations for physical activity (in conjunction with the American Heart Association (AHA)) and specific critical issues for exercise and training. Guidelines for aerobic training in the position stand were derived from one of the papers based on the review of Huang et al. in this umbrella review. It states that ‘aerobic exercise training programmes of sufficient intensity (>60% of pre-training VO\textsubscript{max}), frequency, and length (>3 sessions per week for 16 weeks) can significantly increase VO\textsubscript{max} in healthy middle-aged and older adults’. Recently, expert guidelines were published for exercising in older adults, including slightly adjusted FITT-criteria and modality-specific adaptations. Our review shows that for healthy older adults the guidelines of ACSM/AHA still apply to a great extent, although lower frequencies of two to three sessions per week are also beneficial. Another finding from our review is that for most groups of older adults with impaired health, cardiorespiratory fitness can be improved with programmes that are offered less frequently and with less intensity than the ACSM/AHA guidelines prescribe. This lower intensity is in line with the expert guidelines of Izquierdo et al. Also in accordance with Izquierdo and colleagues, for the most vulnerable older adults, short sessions were most appropriate. The latter raises the question as to whether training load is best determined by separate FITT-characteristics or whether it should be merged in an overarching measure that is based on an interdependency between Frequency, Intensity and Time. In such an overarching measure, the underlying FITT-characteristics can be adjusted to a patient’s needs as long as the combination of the characteristics meets the conditions of the overarching measure.

Adverse events

Due to a lack of information on adverse events in the reviews, no firm conclusions can be drawn about safety, although the available information indicates that serious adverse events rarely occur.

Interpretation of results in the context of physiological principles of training

Aerobic fitness is the ability of the circulatory and respiratory systems to supply oxygen to the tissues. The transport of oxygen consists of several steps from ventilation of the alveoli to extraction of the oxygen from the blood at the tissue level. In normal ageing, changes in the respiratory, cardiovascular and musculoskeletal systems lead to a decrease in aerobic fitness that can be enhanced by specific health conditions. Impairment of one step in the aerobic pathway may be compensated by other steps. This means that the training of aerobic fitness in vulnerable older adults can focus on either improvement of impaired steps in the oxygen transport pathway, or on improvement of other, compensating steps. An example of a mechanism of improvement of the impaired step is seen in the reviews concerning peripheral artery disease, where training at an intensity that induces severe claudication pain seems to be more beneficial for increasing (pain-free) walking distance than training at a moderate pain level or at a certain percentage of VO\textsubscript{max}. Intensity beyond the pain threshold may lead to an increase in the local production of collateral blood vessels. An improved vascularisation of the lower limbs leads to an increase in the oxygen delivery and thus contributes to improved aerobic fitness. The mechanism in which training focuses on the improvement of compensating steps is also expected for patients with COPD, where the lung function decrease is irreversible. Therefore, it is likely that training which emphasises improvement of cardiovascular or muscle functioning will be successful in improving aerobic fitness in this patient group. From this perspective, it seems surprising that we only found inconclusive results for resistance training, with no effect on VO\textsubscript{max} and positive results on 6MWT and the peg-and-ring test. These results show that although the VO\textsubscript{max} cannot improve (due to irreversible lung damage), submaximal performance (6MWT, peg-and-ring test) can improve through compensating mechanisms.

Our review shows that for frail older adults, short session durations, from as little as 8 min, are beneficial when they include both aerobic and resistance training. Most programmes were progressive in time or intensity. This suggests that the programmes were fit to the abilities of the frail older adults, and thus able to provide a suitable stimulus for improvement of aerobic fitness. The combination of aerobic and resistance training suggests that multiple steps in the aerobic pathway are trained leading to a general improvement of the aerobic pathway.

Another finding of our review is the fact that many reviews included studies with short intervention durations. A deeper exploration of those studies revealed improvements in aerobic fitness for interventions with a duration of less than 6 weeks (not reported in the results section). Generally, the cardiovascular system is the major limiting factor in aerobic fitness, and adaptations to the cardiovascular system are expected after at least 6 weeks.
review suggest that in those patients the improvements in aerobic fitness are induced by capillary and/or mitochondrial adaptations that can be initiated within 14 days of endurance training.85

In box 1, we summarise our interpretation of the findings of this review, in comparison with the existing guidelines.

**Strengths and limitations**

An important strength of our review is our conceptual approach to the ‘geriatric rehabilitation population’. This population is characterised by a combination of older age and vulnerability, with a large degree of heterogeneity, which is difficult to operationalise within inclusion criteria. For that reason, we decided to use an age criterion (>65 years), and to include reviews with a large variety of health statuses, which may influence the degree of vulnerability. A second strength is the use of a narrative approach which enabled us to make the variety in the evidence visible, instead of reducing the evidence to a simplified number that may not be applicable to a specific situation. Through these choices, we aimed to do justice to the heterogeneity of vulnerability in older adults.

Our review has several limitations. First, there is significant heterogeneity among the designs of the studies included in the reviews, ranging from RCTs to studies without a control group. This results in evidence of varying scientific quality, including a great variety in the risk of bias. Second, in the subgroup analyses on categories with specific health statuses, we excluded the reviews with incomplete FITT-characteristics and the reviews without a risk of bias. This decision had the disadvantage that not all of the available evidence was used for our final conclusions of these subgroup analyses. Nevertheless, this decision led to better justified evidence. A third limitation is the fact that the reported training prescription may not always reflect the actual performed training. Authors should make an effort to report on these measures as well. A last limitation is the large variation of the interventions, the outcomes and the description of the FITT-characteristics across studies, in particular with regards to the intensity. Intensity is described with robust measures (such as percentage of VO₂peak, and their derivative measures, such as percentage of maximal heart rate), with measures that depend on multiple body functions (for example, a percentage of the speed on a given walking test) and lastly with measures that are hard to interpret or compare, such as ‘comfortable walking speed’ or an unspecified ‘moderate-to-high’ intensity.

Due to this large heterogeneity in intensity measures, it is difficult to ascertain which measure is the best representation of intensity of aerobic fitness training. Training should be based on an intensity that enforces physiological adaptations. For this purpose, the so-called ventilatory thresholds have been proposed, which represent the extent to which the aerobic system is able to meet the energy demand. The aerobic thresholds are dependent on aerobic fitness and can be used for safe and personalised exercise prescription.79 These thresholds are not so much determined by a fixed percentage of, for example VO₂max, but require specialised equipment that is usually not available in exercise settings for vulnerable older adults. In just two of the reviews, were these thresholds used.19 35 The development of easily accessible methods to establish the ventilatory thresholds could contribute to a more personalised prescription of exercise intensity.

**Recommendations**

Future research should focus on easily accessible methods that reflect relevant markers of aerobic exercise intensity more appropriately, such as based on the ventilatory thresholds, and on the feasibility of an overarching measure for training load that relates to the FITT-characteristics. The effect of aerobic training programmes with low frequencies combined with light intensities

---

**Box 1 Summary of the evidence**

**Healthy older adults**
- The guidelines of ACSM and AHA apply to a great extent, although lower frequencies of two to three sessions per week are also beneficial.
- Optimal training programmes are three to four sessions per week, ranging from 40 to 50 min, for 32 to 36 weeks at a moderate-to-vigorous intensity of 66%–73% of HRR.

**Vulnerable older adults: general**
- For almost all researched health conditions, aerobic fitness can improve through training.
- High frequencies, short sessions and low intensity seem appropriate for the most vulnerable older adults. For example, after acute medical illness, heart surgery or exacerbation COPD.
- For most patient groups, aerobic fitness can improve in programmes with a lower frequency and intensity than ACSM and AHA guidelines prescribe.

**Vulnerable older adults: specific health conditions**
- For frail older adults aerobic fitness can improve in programmes with shorter sessions and programmes that consist of both aerobic and resistance training.
- For patients after cardiovascular surgery and for patients with COPD there is no evidence that the addition of extra aerobic or resistance training to an aerobic training programme does improve cardiovascular fitness.
- For patients with peripheral artery disease walking at an intensity that evokes severe claudication pain improves (pain-free) walking distance.
- For patients with non-malignant, dust-related diseases low frequencies (two to three per week) and relatively short sessions (15–30 min) are advised.
- For patients with non-small-cell lung cancer vigorous intensities are advised.
- For patients after trauma training programmes with a low frequency, relatively short sessions and programme duration do not improve their cardiovascular fitness.
- For patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm there is no evidence that exercise improves aerobic fitness.

ACSM, American College of Sports Medicine; AHA, American Heart Association; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HRR, heart rate reserve.

---

CONCLUSIONS
In conclusion, physical fitness training can be an effective intervention to improve aerobic fitness in older adults in general, and also in the majority of categories of older adults with specific health statuses or diagnoses, including the most frail and vulnerable older adults. The effective training characteristics of Frequency, Intensity, Time and Type comply to a great extent to the guidelines of the ACSM and the AHA. For vulnerable older adults, we found evidence that lower frequencies of two to three sessions per week and lower intensities were most beneficial, for most categories. For some conditions, specific adjustments to the FITT-characteristics are advised. These findings can be used for better exercise prescription for vulnerable older adults in general, and thus the specific group of patients in geriatric rehabilitation.
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