RCTs on pregnant DM patients

Study name registration number Design	Setting Place, setting and time	Population Inclusion / Exclusion criteria Characteristics	Intervention vs. Control Description with duration	Outcomes Primary and secondary	Results Longest follow-up period with intervention effects (IG vs. CG) with SD, 95%- CI or p value	Study name registration number Design		
Strategies to in	crease physical	l activity						
Embaby 2016	Egypt, urban,	at increased risk for GDM due to obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m ²), age:> 25 yrs,	n=40 100% female age (yrs): 29.2±3.8	IG: aerobic exercise program (walking on treadmill)	Fasting plasma glucose, Insulin level	Change to 37 th week of gestation: <u>FPG (mmol/I)</u> Benefit for IG: 4.26±0.67 vs. 5.07±0.54		
RCT	07/2014- 02/2015	20-24th gestational wks, multigravida, physically active with ≥ 1 of the following 3 characteristics: history of macrosomia, abnormal glucose tolerance during previous pregnancy or first grade relative with DM2 no hypertension, GDM, medications that affects insulin secretion, serious pulmonary disease, cardiac, renal impairment and malignancy	BMI (kg/m²):28.7±1.3 fasting glucose (mmol/l): 6.5±0.9 fasting insulin (IU/I): 15.78±1.58	three times weekly until the end of 37 wks of gestation + diet control. vs. CG: diet control with usual care given by obstetricians and midwives. Duration: appr. 4 months		(p=0.0001) Fasting insulin (IU/I): Benefit for IG: 10.59±1.10 vs. 12.43±1.44 (p=0.0001)		
Other non-pha	Other non-pharmacological therapies							
El-Shamy 2018	Egypt, urban	GDM, age: 20-30 yrs, gestational age: 24-26 wks, BMI ≤ 30 kg/m², singleton live	n=30 100% female age (yrs): 24.2±2.8	IG (n=15): acupressure + standard antenatal care	Primary: glycemic control, requirement for insulin,	Change over 3 months: 75 g OGTT (mg/dl): Fasting: 116.1±0.1 vs. 118.2 ± 0.7		
RCT	12/2016- 05/2017	fetus no high-risk pregnancy, bad	75 g OGTT (mg/dl): • fasting glucose: 129.05±0.6 • 2h postprandial: 146±1.65 BMI (kg/m²): 27±1.5	vs. <u>CG (n=15):</u> <u>s</u> tandard antenatal care only <u>Duration:</u> 12 weeks	insulin resistance Secondary: neonatal outcomes	2h postprandial: 125.3±1.2 vs. 127.3 ± 0.9 Complication (%): 5-min Apgar-Score < 7: 6.7 vs. 6.7 %		

NCT02979756 Cluster-RCT	Marocco, urban / rural, primary care, 11/2016- 02/2018	Health centres with ≥ 30 monthly antenatal care consultations and all pregnant women with newly diagnosed GDM no DM2, DM1	20 health centres n= 215 age (yrs):27.6±6.6 urban (%): 38.5 rural (%): 61.5	20 clinics were randomized → 10 in each group IG (n=120): first screening for GDM → positive tested women received counselling on nutrition and exercise VS. CG (n=95): routine practice	Primary: birthweight Secondary: maternal weight gain, glucose control, pregnancy complications.	Follow-up visits: 7.5±4.9 vs. 3.8±3.3 (p=0.001) FBG within the norm: better with IG <1/3 of all values: 7.6 vs. 32.6 % 1/3-2/3 of all values: 17.8 vs. 32.6 % >2/3 of all values: 74.6 vs. 34.8 % Macrosomia (birthweight>4000 g): 3.5 vs. 18.4 % (p<0.001)
Pharmacologica	al strategies					
Ashoush 2016	Egypt,	GDM, mothers with 26–32-	n=95	<u>IG (n = 47):</u>	Primary: successful	Until delivery:
DCT	urban,	week GDM (oral 2-h 75 G	100% female	metformin (initial total	maternal glycemic control	fasting glucose during treatment
RCT	tertiary care	glucose tolerance test) singleton pregnancies, failure	age (yrs): 31.8±3 HbA1c (%): 5.75 ±	dose 1000 mg/d with meals, increase by 500 or	Secondary: maternal BMI, glycemic control	(mg/dl): better with IG: during the last wk: 78±3.1 vs. 79.9±3.7
	01/2014-	of satisfactory glycemic	0.55	850 mg every 1 or 2 wks	parameters, maternal	(p=0.008)
	11/2014		75g OGTT (mg/dl) • fasting: 106.05±4.6 • 1h:310.25±11.6 • 2h:176.65±9.4 • BMI (kg/m²): 31.2±1.4	toward target or up to a maximum dose of 2500 mg/d until delivery, addition of insulin if needed) vs. CG (n = 48): regular insulin + neutral protamine Hagedorn (3:7) (starting dose 0.7 units /kg*d, adjusted to achieve adequate glycemic control at increments of 1 unit/10 mg glucose higher than the desired cut-off, short action insulin whenever needed) Duration: until delivery	weight gained during pregnancy, side effects to metformin, mode of delivery, gestational age at delivery, neonatal birthweight, macrosomia, neonatal hypoglycemia, neonatal death, congenital anomalies, admission to neonatal intensive care unit	 during the last 2 wks: 78.9±3.5 vs. 80.8±4.7 (p=0.029) maternal hypoglycaemia (%): no difference: 6.25 vs. 12.5 (p=0.254) neonatal hypoglycaemia (%): 12.8 vs. 14.6 (p=0.791) Maternal weight gain (Kg): 4.4±0.6 vs. 5.1±0.8 (p=0.001) neonatal congenital anomalies (%): 2.1 vs. 2.1 p= 0.747 headache (%): 27.3 (metformin+insulin) vs. 5.6 (metformin monotherapy) vs. 0% (insulin monotherapy) neonatal ICU admission (%): 8.5 vs. 10.4 (p= 0.514) Costs (Egyptian pounds): 89.66±0.96 vs. 174.9±11.1 (for monotherapies)

Beyuo 2015 ACTRN126140 00942651 RCT	Ghana, urban 01/2013- 12/2013	pregnant women with DM2 or GDM (plasma glucose ≥7 mmol/l after an overnight fast or plasma glucose concentration ≥11.1 mmol/l 2 hours after a 75 g glucose drink), 20-30 wks gestation, age: 18-45yrs, eligible for insulin therapy no T1DM, DM2 who have previously failed to achieve glycemic control on metformin monotherapy, allergies to metformin	n= 104 100% female age (yrs): 33.3±4.6 fasting glucose (mmol/l): 8 2HPG (mmol/l): 10.5 BMI (kg/m²): 3.1±6.6 type of diabetes: GDM (%): 65.9 DM2 (%): 34.0	IG (n=52): Metformin (starting with 500 mg / d, gradually increase over 2 wks to a maximum dose of 2500 mg/d, insulin was added if necessary) vs. CG (n=52): insulin treatment (daily dose 0.3 IU/kg, titrated to achieve the glycemic targets, if necessary, admission to the ward and therapy with soluble insulin) Duration: until delivery	Primary: 2-hour post prandial blood glucose (2HPG) Secondary: fasting glucose, 1HPG, maternal weight gain, pregnancy outcome and fetoneonatal outcomes.	Change from enrolment to delivery: glycemic control (mmol/l): fasting glucose: no difference: 6.42±0.98 vs. 6.62±1.57 (p=0.928) 1HPG: no difference: 8.95±1.27 vs. 9.62±1.44 (p=0.078) 2HPG: benefit for IG: 7.84±1.43 vs. 9.05±1.89 (p=0.004)
Ibrahim 2014	Egypt,	GDM or pre-existing DM,	n=90	IG (n=46):	Primary:	gylcemic control:
NCT01915550	urban	gestational age 20-34 wks with insulin resistance	100% female age (yrs): 29.8 ± 5.4	Metformin (1500 mg, raised to 2000 mg)	maternal gylcemic control (fasting glucose	 better for CG: 76.1 vs. 100 % reached glycemic control (p=0.001)
RCT	08/2011- 04/2012	No DM1, secondary diabetes or liver or renal impairment	BMI (kg/m²):31.83 ± 3.23 Gestational age: 28.7 ± 3.7 wks GDM: 43.3 % Pre-existing DM: 56.7 % with median duration of 4 (1-15) yrs	without increasing insulin dose Patients switched to CG if treatment was not successful to control blood glucose concentrations CG (n=44): insulin dose was increased according to the standard protocol	≤ 95 mg/dl and 2-HPG ≤ 120 mg/dl) Secondary: maternal bouts of hypoglycemia, need for another hospital admission for uncontrolled diabetes during pregnancy, gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, birth weight, birth trauma, congenital anomalies, Apgar score, neonatal hypoglycemia, need for neonatal intensive care unit admission, adverse neonatal outcomes	 13 vs. 18.2 % had readmission for poor glycemic control 6.5 vs. 22.7 % had bouts of maternal hypoglycaemia Complications: 23.3 vs. 30.8 % had fetal macrosomia 1 new-born in each group had congenital malformations 7 vs. 38.5 % had neonatal hypoglycaemia 18.6 vs. 41 % had NICU admission 0 vs. 5.1 % had stillbirths 11.6 vs. 25.6 % with respiratory distress syndrome

BMI: Body mass index; CG: Control group; CI: Confidence interval; DM: diabetes mellitus; DM2: type 2 diabetes; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; GDM: gestational diabetes; HbA1c: haemoglobin A1c; 1 / 2HPG: 1 / 2-hour post prandial blood glucose; IG: intervention group; n: number of participants; MD: mean difference; MDa: adjusted mean difference; OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RR: Relative risk; RRa: adjusted relative risk; SD: Standard-deviation; wks: weeks; yrs: years

Supplementary Table 4: Characteristics and results of studies on pregnant women with DM