Active surveillance of chemotherapy-related symptom burden in ambulatory cancer patients via the implementation of electronic patient-reported outcomes and sensor-enabled vital signs capture: protocol for a decentralised feasibility pilot study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Remote patient monitoring (RPM) has emerged as a potential avenue for optimising the management of symptoms in patients undergoing chemotherapy. However, RPM is a complex, multilevel intervention with technology, workflow, contextual and patient experience components. The purpose of this pilot study is to determine the feasibility of RPM protocol implementation with respect to decentralised recruitment, patient retention, adherence to reporting recommendations, RPM platform usability and patient experience in ambulatory cancer patients at high risk for chemotherapy-related symptoms.

Methods and analysis This protocol describes a single-arm decentralised feasibility pilot study of technology-enhanced outpatient symptom management system in patients with gastrointestinal and thoracic cancer receiving chemotherapy and cancer care at a single site (MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston Texas). An anticipated total of 25 patients will be recruited prior to the initiation of chemotherapy and provided with a set of validated questionnaires at enrollment and after our 1-month feasibility pilot trial period. Our intervention entails the self-reporting of symptoms and vital signs via a HIPAA-compliant, secure tablet interface that also enables (1) the provision of self-care materials to patients, (2) generation of threshold alerts to a dedicated call-centre and (3) videoconferencing. Vital sign information (heart rate, blood pressure, pulse, oxygen saturation, weight and temperature) will be captured via Bluetooth-enabled biometric monitoring devices which are integrated with the tablet interface. Protocolised triage and management of symptoms will occur in response to the alerts. Feasibility and acceptability metrics will characterise our recruitment process, protocol adherence, patient retention and usability of the RPM platform. We will also document the perceived effectiveness of our intervention by patients.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► The present pilot study will allow researchers to delineate the feasibility of recruitment, acceptability and implementation of a remote patient monitoring platform for the active surveillance of and early intervention for chemotherapy-related symptoms.
► The study is limited to patients with gastrointestinal and thoracic cancers, so the results may not be generalisable to other solid organ or haematogenous cancers.
► The study is limited to patients at a single, high volume institution, which may limit the generalisability of the outcomes with regard to smaller hospitals and care systems.
► The study has been designed to include a diverse patient population, with respect to age, gender and race, which should allow the feasibility results to be generalised to a broad demographic of patients within gastrointestinal and thoracic cancers.

INTRODUCTION

The motivation for applying telemedicine and digital health tools in oncology has been evident for several years. However, their...
potential to transform clinical care is now beginning to be realised, partly due to the unparalleled scope of the SARS-CoV2 pandemic and need to maintain continuity of care within the context of quarantine and self-isolation. Technologies now in use include remote patient monitoring (RPM), apps, wearables and chatbots among others. RPM characterises the real-time acquisition and transmission of health-related data using home-based, sensor-enabled digital monitoring devices and mobile applications to assess vital signs, symptoms and other health-related outcomes. RPM has been successfully leveraged for the outpatient management of several chronic conditions including diabetes, heart failure, chronic wounds and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. RPM implementation in these clinical settings have been associated with reduced emergency room (ER) utilisation, improved quality-of-life, reduced healthcare spending and better symptom control.

Although overall mortality from cancer is declining, patients with cancer still face debilitating physical and psychosocial treatment side effects including fatigue, myalgias, pain, shortness of breath, sleep disturbance and depression. Unfortunately, clinicians often fail to recognise the incidence and severity of chemotherapy-induced symptoms, even in instances that mandate the reporting of treatment toxicities. Poor symptom management is associated with increased healthcare spending, and worse quality of life, clinical outcomes and overall survival. This has led to considerable interest in leveraging symptom self-reporting during ambulatory cancer care. Pioneering work by Basch et al identified statistically significant reductions in ER utilisation, chemotherapy disruptions, and gains in quality-adjusted survival. A recent phase III randomised controlled trial (RCT) by Absolom et al identified improved physical well-being (6 and 12 weeks) and self-efficacy (18 weeks) among patients with breast, colorectal or gynaecological cancers that were exposed to electronic self-reporting of symptoms during cancer treatment. Of note, there were no associated improvements in treatment delays, dose reductions, chemotherapy drug changes or hospital admissions in the treatment group.

However, despite evidence of RPM effectiveness, systematic approaches for symptom assessment, patient–provider communication and early intervention are still lacking. This is largely because the full integration of electronic patient-reported outcomes (ePROs) with a health system’s medical record and clinical informatics platform has not been widespread. As a result, clinicians do not have ‘real time’ access to patient generated-health data (eg, vitals signs and ePROs) and the prevailing care model for treatment-toxicities is largely patient-initiated and reactive that is, unable to proactively monitor and mitigate symptom burden before they escalate. RPM-enabled digital touch points can reassure patients that their treatment team is connected to them and informed about all aspects of their disease course. Furthermore, the American Society of Oncology has strongly advocated for the increased integration of standardised personal health information (eg, demographics, health status, treatment status, side effects and symptoms) in real time into routine inpatient and outpatient patient care. Unfortunately, the evidence for RPM efficacy in oncology is limited and most studies have excluded the caregivers’ experiences and involvement, which is not a realistic representation of the utility of remote monitoring in the oncology population.

We posit that the implementation of a RPM platform that facilitates ePRO capture and protocolised, point-in-time vital sign measurements has the potential to enhance real-time clinical decision making, improve health-related quality-of-life, lower symptom burden, mitigate treatment delays and engender greater patient engagement. Additionally, moving from an episodic care model to a more continuous care model has the potential of improving patient experience. At MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC), we have developed a technology and operational infrastructure for remotely monitoring chemotherapy symptoms in-between clinic visits. It entails the active surveillance of patient-reported biometrics (heart rate, blood pressure, temperature, oxygen saturation and weights) and adverse events (Patient-Reported Outcome-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (PRO-CTCAE)) by advanced care practitioners (ie, nurse practitioners), guided by backend threshold alerts for both vitals and ePROs. Automated self-care advice for patients and caregivers is also delivered across the platform. In this work, we articulate our framework for the development and pilot-testing of an RPM platform, for the active surveillance of treatment-related symptoms, in patients with gastrointestinal and thoracic cancers who are receiving neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy in the ambulatory setting. Lastly, the evolving COVID-19 pandemic has also catalysed greater awareness and implementation of decentralised clinical trials in the life sciences sector. This paradigm shift is in response to pandemic-related regulatory waivers for trial conduct, a need to preserve the availability of personnel protective equipment for hospital staff, and an acknowledgement of the high cost structure and limited patient access in traditional ‘brick and mortar’ trial infrastructure. We will also use the proposed pilot study to develop and implement a decentralised or virtual workflow for patient recruitment, education about the RPM platform, enrolment, symptom monitoring and study completion (figure 1).

AIMS
Primary aims
The primary aim of this external pilot study is to investigate the feasibility of protocol implementation (ie, recruitment process, evaluation of eligibility criteria, assessment of usability of technology platform) prior to a non-blinded, RCT of the effectiveness of technology-enhanced (ie, RPM with ePRO capture) outpatient
management of treatment-related symptoms. Our a priori specified feasibility objectives are as follows:

- Patient eligibility and recruitment—Defined as an approach-to-consent rate of >60% among eligible patients (ie, enrolment rate). Two patients per month, on average, should be consented into the programme.
- Adherence—Defined as >70% adherence with PRO-CTCAE surveys ≥4 days per week and >80% adherence to biometrics reporting ≥4 days per week.
- Implementation outcomes—The feasibility, acceptability and appropriateness of our intervention will be assessed via the following validated four-item psychometric tools: FIM (‘Feasibility of Intervention Measure’), AIM (‘Acceptability of Intervention Measure’) and IAM (‘Intervention Appropriateness Measure’). Each item is scored on a 5-point Likert scale (completely disagree to completely agree) and our pilot will be considered successful if the calculated mean scores for each of the implementation measures is >3.
- Feasibility outcomes—We will monitor the generation of an alert (red or yellow) after an abnormal vital sign or self-reporting of a severe symptom burden. We will also record the number of adverse events related to the use of the biometric devices that is, blood pressure cuff, weight scale, thermometer, etc. Lastly, we will track the clinical action that is associated with an alert generation, for example, phone consultation, video visit, care escalation to the ER.

**Secondary aims**

Following completion of the 1 month pilot reporting period, participants will also be invited to share their experiences with our RPM platform through the use of questionnaires.

1. Perceived effectiveness—Participating patients will be asked to respond to the following two questions: ‘I found the remote monitoring system helped me manage my symptoms’ and ‘I found that this remote monitoring system helped me better communicate with my care team’. Responses will be graded according to a Likert scale (1–5) based on these responses: ‘strongly disagree’, ‘disagree’, ‘neither agree nor disagree’, ‘agree’, ‘strongly agree’.

2. Usability—The validated Symptom Usability Scale (SUS) will be provided to patients to assess usability of the RPM platform. The scale is a reliable tool that consists of 10 questions regarding the usability of an electronic or technology system. Responses are on a 5-point Likert scale (1–5) and our RPM platform will be considered usable if the mean score is greater than 68, concordant with published work.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Setting, Patient population and eligibility criteria**

This will be a single-arm, single-institution pilot study in the gastrointestinal and thoracic medical oncology clinic at MDACC. Patient enrolment began on 1 July 2021 and is anticipated to conclude by 25 March 2022. We will approach English-fluent adults (≥18 years) with gastrointestinal (stomach, liver, gallbladder, bile duct, pancreas, small bowel, appendix, colon, rectum and anal) and thoracic (oesophageal and lung) cancers who are scheduled to initiate or continue outpatient chemotherapy at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center in Houston, Texas. There will be no restrictions or exclusions applied based on underlying tumour histology. Patients on combination chemotherapy and immunotherapy or combination chemotherapy and biologics will also be eligible for inclusion. We plan to recruit a diverse sample of patients (n=25), reflecting at least three patients of age ≥65 years, at least three patients from racial/ethnic minority groups and a balanced gender distribution.

**Figure 1** Process map of workflow for decentralised remote patient monitoring pilot study.
Patients will be invited by their oncologist providers to participate in the study based on the following published clinical criteria: (1) baseline comorbidities that increase risk of chemotherapy adverse events, (2) provider-identified social barriers to care, (3) inability to tolerate oral intake or aliment sufficient, (4) high tumour burden, (5) high levels of psychosocial distress or multiple symptomatic complaints, (6) recent ER visits or hospitalisations, defined as within the preceding 6 months, (7) recent dose reduction with initial antineoplastic treatment and (8) combined modality therapy for example, chemoradiation.37

Exclusions
Patients receiving investigational new drug treatments (ie, not yet approved by the Food and Drug Administration) or concurrently enrolled in a phase 1 clinical trials will be excluded due to the associated structured reporting and regulatory requirements. Patients with a requirement for inpatient infusion (ie, CAR-T cell therapy), living in institutional settings (ie, prison), with a history of dementia, physical disability or neurological deficits that prohibit their ability to report symptom burden will also be excluded. These disabilities include but are not limited to severe visual, hearing or cognitive impairments which prevent a patient from using the tablets and biometric devices, and an inability to stand that would prevent them from using the weight scale. Patients may participate if they do not have a caregiver or if their caregiver declines participation. Caregivers will participate only with consent of the patient.

RPM intervention
Eligible patients will be provided with an orientation on the Vivify platform for RPM programme (VivifyHealth, Plano, TX) that outlines programme goals, proper use of the equipment, and technical instructions for self-reporting. The process for identifying of eligible patients, patient and caregiver education, study consent, and RPM training materials will be implemented in a contactless fashion via electronic medical record (EMR)-enabled Zoom videoconferencing (figure 1). The ‘teach-back’ method will be used to ensure patient understanding.38

The Vivify platform is HIPAA-compliant, FDA-registered as a Class I Medical Device Data System that is commercially available and allows for transmission of biometric data to MDACC’s patient call centre (askMDAnderson), staffed by a dedicated team of oncology trained nurses and advanced care practitioners (ie, nurse practitioners and physician assistants). These clinicians have received additional training in the assessment and management of advanced cancer symptoms as well as the Vivify platform used in this study.

The Vivify platform includes a set of wireless, Bluetooth-connected biometric devices that are provided to patients, including a scale, blood pressure cuff, pulse oximeter, thermometer and tablet computer loaded with the Vivify mobile application and a measure of the frequency and severity of twelve treatment-related symptoms (ie, appetite loss, nausea, vomiting, cough, constipation, hot flashes, diarrhoea, dyspnoea, pain, neuropathy, fatigue and dysuria).19 39 40 Furthermore, if necessary, an internet hot-spot also will be provided. Consistent with our decentralised study design, these devices will be delivered directly to patients by mail from Vivify.34 Vivify will also be responsible for troubleshooting technical problems. The Vivify RPM biometric devices and tablet are comparable to commercially available devices that can be purchased by consumers. At the time of study completion, the kits will be picked up from the patient’s home by Vivify. Patients will be asked to take one reading per day (Monday through Friday) with each device, and to complete one symptom assessment per day (Monday through Friday) via the tablet. Weight assessments will be performed weekly. Study staff will monitor completion of daily device usage and ePRO completion via the Vivify dashboard and will contact patients to address potential technical issues if 3 or more days of data are missing. In our previous studies, this ‘digital navigation’ approach has resulted in early resolution of technical problems and improved data collection.31

As part of the informed consent (online supplemental file 1) and study onboarding process, patients will be asked to follow instructions for proactively seeking medical care that have been provided as part of patient education and by their healthcare team, and not to rely on any feedback received as a result of data submitted through the Vivify platform.

The Vivify platform supports the creation of algorithms to detect vital signs or symptom PROs that exceed pre-determined threshold values. Biometric or PRO data that exceed pre-specified threshold values will generated an alert email to the askMDAnderson staff (table 1).19 Our self-reporting system for symptom burden will be adapted from the National Cancer Institute’s CTCAE pertaining to the following common symptoms encountered during chemotherapy: constipation, diarrhoea, fatigue, dry mouth, decreased appetite, difficulty swallowing, nausea, pain, vomiting. These symptoms are graded on a 0 (non-present) to 4 (very severe) and the corresponding alert will be triggered by absolute values of greater than or equal to 2 (table 1).

The alerts, based on biometric and/or ePRO data, will also be transmitted in near real-time a secure, HIPAA-compliant Web dashboard that is accessible to askMDAnderson staff. On receipt of an alert email, the askMDAnderson staff will review the data via the Vivify dashboard and will provide follow-up and referral as clinically appropriate, using National Comprehensive Cancer Network symptom management guidelines as a point of reference for non-pharmacological recommendations.42 43

The Vivify platform is fully integrated with MDACC’s EMR (Epic, Madison, WI), allowing the primary clinical team to also have access to the patient-reported data. Lastly, the end-user license agreement associated with the Vivify device and study consent documents will reinforce that
patients should not substitute the RPM programme with
the need to notify their care team if they are experiencing
concerning symptoms. Following the initiation of a severe
symptom alert, this message will appear on the Vivify
platform: ‘The Chemo Remote Monitoring Program hours
are 8 a.m. to 8 p.m., Monday through Friday. If you are
cconcerned, need assistance after hours, or feel that
the symptoms are worsening, please contact your primary
oncologist office or go to your local emergency room. In
case of an emergency, call 911’.

Data collection
Using a combination of data abstraction from the EMR by
the study team and patient feedback via surveys, we hope
to collect the following information:
1. Patient demographics—age, race, sex, presence of
caregiver and marital status.
2. Clinical information—cancer histology, stage, loca-
tion, chemotherapy regimen, line of chemotherapy,
Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group status
3. Implementation and feasibility measures as outlined
above that is, consent rate, AIM, FIM, IAM, perceived
effectiveness rating and SUS score.

All data points will be collated and stored on a REDCap
(Research Electronic Data CAPture) database. REDCap
is a secure web application that is widely used in health
services research. It is able to support the administration
of surveys, data export from external sources such as an
EMR, and provides a user-friendly interface for data
entry.

Data analysis plan
Descriptive statistics (eg, means, medians, numbers,
percentages, ranges and SD) for demographic and
clinical characteristics of participants will be reported.
Response rates (ie, completion of required assessments),
most frequent symptoms, proportion of actioned alerts
will also be described. Graphical methods (eg, boxplots
and histograms) will also be employed to examine the
distributions of outcome measures. By design, the
present pilot study is not intended or powered to deter-
mine the efficacy of RPM in the outpatient management
treatment-related symptoms. This important scientific
question will be addressed with our planned RCT. Our
anticipated sample size of 25 will allow us to be relatively
precise in our conclusions with respect to continuous
implementation outcomes (FIM, AIM, IAM) and facil-
itate preliminary estimates for our larger trial. All data
analysis will be carried out with SAS, version 9.4 statistical
software (SAS Institute).

Patient and public involvement
The research team has engaged with the Patient and
Family Advisory Council (PFAC) during the conceptual-
isation and design phase of our pilot. Specifically, they
provided timely guidance on the patient-centeredness of
our research methods and the ways in which our antici-
pated pilot study results were meaningful. PFAC members
also provided information on the acceptability and feasi-
ibility of our schedule for patient self-reporting of PROs
and biometric data that is, daily Monday through Friday.
PFAC is a unique programme composed of patients,
survivors and caregivers, it serves as the patients’ ‘voice’
for institutional committees, operational projects, and
department-level initiatives. The PFAC will be retained
in an advisory capacity for the duration of both the pilot
and subsequent RCT. PFAC members were not directly
involved in study conduct or patient recruitment. Given
our implementation focus, we do not intend to distribute
pilot study results to participants. The study leadership
team (Drs Offodile and Peterson) plan to meet with the
PFAC two to three times a year to review and iterate study
plans and seek feedback with respect long-term sustain-
ability and implementation of RPM at MDACC.

Experience design
We used a human-centred design thinking approach to
anticipate the needs of our patients and created end-
to-end experiential touchpoints that would enable a
seamless experience on the programme.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
All study documents (ie, protocol, consent, educational
materials) have been approved by the MDACC Institu-
tional Review Board. Patients will be informed that their

Table 1  Threshold values for alerts on RPM platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biometric variable</th>
<th>Medium trigger</th>
<th>High trigger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP systolic (hypertension)</td>
<td>155–179</td>
<td>≥180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic (hypotension)</td>
<td>90–99</td>
<td>≤89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic (hypertension)</td>
<td>101–109</td>
<td>&gt;110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic (hypotension)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxy sat</td>
<td>&lt;94%</td>
<td>&lt;90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradycardia</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&lt;55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&gt;110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger stick</td>
<td>&lt;70, &gt;120</td>
<td>&lt;55, &gt;150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>&gt;100.5,</td>
<td>&gt;102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Loss of 10 pounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO measure for symptom burden</td>
<td>Medium trigger</td>
<td>High trigger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO-CTCAE value</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;3 or increase by more than 2 points from prior value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP, blood pressure; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; HR, heart rate; PRO, patient-reported outcome; RPM, remote patient monitoring.
protection is completely voluntary and that there will receive no compensation. They will also be assured that they will receive standard-of-care and shall be exposed to no negative consequences as a result of either their participation or refusal. All patients will be able to opt out of the study at any time and for any reason. We anticipate dissemination of our pilot and subsequent effectiveness trial results via presentations at national conferences and peer-reviewed publications in the relevant medical journals. No patient identifying information will be used in the publication of findings.

PROTECTION AGAINST RISKS TO PATIENT SAFETY

The present pilot study is of minimal risk to patients. We will use validated survey questionnaires, the content of which are not sensitive in nature. It is possible that our remote monitoring devices may incite anxiety, annoyance or distress in patients. However, we believe that the possibility of such adverse events is minimal. To reduce this risk of distress, during the onboarding and consent process, patients will be instructed to (1) not substitute routine medical care with the device readings and (2) contact their primary oncology care team if at any point they feel concern or worry. Furthermore, all patients will receive standard chemotherapy education, which includes explicit guidance as to when to seek urgent medical care. The Vivify devices are FDA approved, HIPAA-compliant, commercially available and meet the highest standards of protecting patient privacy. All data transmission will leverage standard encryption and security protocols. Vivify will not participate in the study design, patient recruitment, data interpretation, analysis, or dissemination of results. Patients and the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

All information extracted from the medical record will be entered onto coded data sheets which will be maintained in stored in approved locations. Each patient will be assigned a unique study identification number obtained on stored in approved locations. Each patient will be entered onto coded data sheets which will be maintained on password-protected Institutional computers, accessible only to the PI and collaborators. Only the PI and the collaborators will be participating in the collection and analysis of data. No patient identifying information will be used in presentation or publication of this material. On study termination, all data, questionnaires and remaining identifiers will be banked indefinitely in REDCap according to institutional policy for future use only in IRB-approved research settings. Lastly, all study personnel will undergo the requisite human subjects research training which includes procedures for maintaining patient confidentiality.

TRIAL STATUS

Pilot study is now open and recruiting patients.
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There is no place like home- A Pragmatic Effectiveness Trial of Technology-enhanced Outpatient Symptom Management to Reduce Acute Care Visits due to Chemotherapy-related Adverse Events

2020-0702

Subtitle: There is no place like home

Study Chair: Anaeze C. Offodile II, MD

Participant's Name

Medical Record Number

This is an informed consent and authorization form for a research study. It includes a summary about the study. A more detailed description of procedures and risks is provided after the summary.

STUDY SUMMARY

The goal of this research study is to learn if telemedicine and remote patient monitoring (RPM) can help cancer patients have better outcomes (such as fewer avoidable Emergency Room [ER] visits and hospitalizations, better quality of life, fewer symptoms, and fewer treatment delays) than those who receive usual care.

This study will be performed while you are receiving chemotherapy, and is being done to track how you respond to chemotherapy using these 2 different methods. The chemotherapy you receive will not be affected by your participation in this study.

This is an investigational study.

Taking part in this study may help improve your outcomes during and after chemotherapy. There may be no benefits to you on this study. Future patients may benefit from what is learned.

Your participation is completely voluntary. Before choosing to take part in this study, you should discuss with the study team any concerns you may have, including side effects, potential expenses, and time commitment. It is possible that wearing or being monitored
by the sensing devices (described later) may cause you to become upset, annoyed or distressed.

You can read a full list of potential side effects below in the Possible Risks section of this consent.

Your participation in the study will be over the course of 2 cycles of chemotherapy (up to 6 months).

There will be no cost to you for taking part in this study.

You may choose not to take part in this study.

1. STUDY DETAILS

Up to 600 patients receiving chemotherapy at MD Anderson will be enrolled in this study.

If you agree to take part in this study, you will be randomly assigned (like flipping a coin) to 1 of 2 groups:

- If you are in Group 1, you will receive the standard of care.
- If you are in Group 2, you will receive remote monitoring in addition to the standard of care.

You will have an equal chance of being in either group.

All patients in this study will complete questionnaires at the beginning of the study and at the end of each chemotherapy cycle. The questionnaires will be about your quality of life and your engagement in your own health care. The questionnaires should take between 15-20 minutes to complete. The questionnaires may be done over phone or email.

If you are in Group 2, you will receive the following RPM devices from Vivify to use at home:

- A blood pressure device to check your blood pressure and heart rate
- A weight scale to measure your weight
- A pulse oximeter to measure blood oxygen saturation
- A thermometer to measure body temperature
- A tablet computer to type in answers to questions about your chemotherapy symptoms. You will answer these questions every day while you are on study.

You will receive training about how to use these devices. You will be asked to use the devices each day during your chemotherapy treatment. It should take about 10-15 minutes to complete the tasks above each day. The study staff will call you after you initially receive the devices to make sure that they are working and will respond to any calls that you may have if you encounter device problems. After each chemotherapy
cycle, you will also be asked questions about how easy the devices were to use, and whether you encountered any problems using them.

The devices will electronically send encrypted (scrambled) information collected from you to a cloud-based platform that is managed by VivifyHealth, a private company that has contracted with MD Anderson to provide remote monitoring devices and services for patients.

MD Anderson staff in the patient call center will view this information using a secure, password-protected website. If the information indicates that you may be experiencing a medical problem, the staff will attempt to contact you by phone or text message.

After completion of your planned treatment cycles, all devices will be returned to the study staff or to VivifyHealth using pre-paid mail.

No matter which group you are assigned to, you will still receive the standard of care, which involves education for you and/or your primary caregiver about chemotherapy. In-person and video follow-up visits with the treating oncology team will be scheduled with you.

During the study, researchers may call to collect additional information about any ER visits or hospitalizations that you may have had. These calls should take about 5-10 minutes each time.

Information about your cancer diagnosis and treatment from your medical record will also be collected and stored in an electronic password-protected research database, which will be accessible only by the study staff. This information will help the study staff understand how the remote monitoring group participants are different, if at all, from those in the standard of care group. Any information that could be used to identify you will not be used. Only information about the group will be used in any publications. You will be asked to provide permission to access medical records if you have an ER visit or are hospitalized outside of MD Anderson.

If you have a caregiver, you will be asked if the study staff can contact him/her to take part in this study. Caregivers will be asked to complete the questionnaires about quality of life and caregiver burden at the beginning of the study and at the end of each chemotherapy cycle. If you have a caregiver who takes part in the study and who completes the questionnaire, the caregiver’s questionnaire responses will be linked with your data for analysis.

2. POSSIBLE RISKS

While on this study, you are at risk for side effects. You should discuss these with the study staff. The known side effects are listed in this form, but they will vary from person to person.
The questionnaires may contain questions that are sensitive in nature. You may refuse to answer any question that makes you uncomfortable. If you have concerns after completing any questionnaire, you are encouraged to contact the study staff.

Using the study devices (for example, the blood pressure device) should not take the place of your normal medical care, because the measurements may not always be accurate. If you become concerned about a device reading or a symptom you may be having, it is important that you contact your regular doctor as you typically would.

If any device is stolen or damaged, you will not have to pay for it. However, you must report the loss to the study staff right away. Please note that once you return the devices, any of your information that is stored on them will be deleted.

Using the internet for certain purposes outside of this study may put you at risk for identify theft. You should be careful in providing personal information on other websites.

Although every effort will be made to keep study data safe, there is a chance that your personal health information could be lost or stolen. All study data will be stored in password-protected computers and/or locked file cabinets. There will be no personal identifying information connected to your questionnaire answers. There are no plans to destroy the study data.

This study may involve unpredictable risks to the participants.

3. COSTS AND COMPENSATION

If you suffer injury as a direct result of taking part in this study, MD Anderson health providers will provide medical care. However, this medical care will be billed to your insurance provider or you in the ordinary manner. You will not be reimbursed for expenses or compensated financially by MD Anderson for this injury. You may also contact the Chair of MD Anderson's IRB at 713-792-6477 with questions about study-related injuries. By signing this consent form, you are not giving up any of your legal rights.

There are no plans to compensate you for any patents or discoveries that may result from your participation in this research.

You will receive no compensation for taking part in this study.

Additional Information

4. You may ask the study chair (Dr. Anaeze Offodile, at 713-563-6785) any questions you have about this study. You may also contact the Chair of MD Anderson's Institutional Review Board (IRB - a committee that reviews research studies) at 713-792-6477 with any questions that have to do with this study or your rights as a study participant.
5. You may choose not to take part in this study without any penalty or loss of benefits to which you are otherwise entitled. You may also withdraw from participation in this study at any time without any penalty or loss of benefits. If you decide you want to stop taking part in the study, it is recommended for your safety that you first talk to your doctor. If you withdraw from this study, you can still choose to be treated at MD Anderson.

6. This study or your participation in it may be changed or stopped without your consent at any time by the study chair, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), or the IRB of MD Anderson.

7. You will be informed of any new findings or information that might affect your willingness to continue taking part in the study, including the results of all of your standard tests performed as part of this research, and you may be asked to sign another informed consent and authorization form stating your continued willingness to participate in this study.

Future Research

Data
Your personal information is being collected as part of this study. These data may be used by researchers at MD Anderson and/or shared with other researchers and/or institutions for use in future research.

Before being used or shared for future research, every effort will be made to remove your identifying information from any data. If all identifying information is removed, you will not be asked for additional permission before future research is performed.

In some cases, all of your identifying information may not be removed before your data are used for future research. If future research is performed at MD Anderson, the researchers must get approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of MD Anderson before your data can be used. At that time, the IRB will decide whether or not further permission from you is required. The IRB is a committee of doctors, researchers, and community members that is responsible for protecting study participants and making sure all research is safe and ethical.

If this research is not performed at MD Anderson, MD Anderson will not have oversight of any data.

Authorization for Use and Disclosure of Protected Health Information (PHI):

A. During the course of this study, MD Anderson will be collecting and using your PHI, including identifying information, information from your medical record, and study results. For legal, ethical, research, and safety-related reasons, your doctor and the research team may share your PHI with:
   - Federal agencies that require reporting of clinical study data (such as the FDA, National Cancer Institute [NCI], and OHRP)
   - The IRB and officials of MD Anderson
• VivifyHealth
• Study monitors and auditors who verify the accuracy of the information
• Individuals who put all the study information together in report form

B. Signing this consent and authorization form is optional but you cannot take part in this study or receive study-related treatment if you do not agree and sign.

C. MD Anderson will keep your PHI confidential when possible (according to state and federal law). However, in some situations, the FDA could be required to reveal the names of participants.

Once disclosed outside of MD Anderson, federal privacy laws may no longer protect your PHI.

D. The permission to use your PHI will continue indefinitely unless you withdraw your authorization in writing. Instructions on how to do this can be found in the MD Anderson Notice of Privacy Practices (NPP) or you may contact the Chief Privacy Officer at 713-745-6636. If you withdraw your authorization, you will be removed from the study and the data collected about you up to that point can be used and included in data analysis. However, no further information about you will be collected.

E. A description of this clinical trial will be available on http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov, as required by U.S. Law. This Web site will not include information that can identify you. At most, the Web site will include a summary of the results. You can search this Web site at any time.
CONSENT/AUTHORIZATION
I understand the information in this consent form. I have had a chance to read the consent form for this study, or have had it read to me. I have had a chance to think about it, ask questions, and talk about it with others as needed. I give the study chair permission to enroll me on this study. By signing this consent form, I am not giving up any of my legal rights. I will be given a signed copy of this consent document.

SIGNATURE OF PARTICIPANT __________________________ DATE __________

PRINTED NAME OF PARTICIPANT __________________________

LEGALLY AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE (LAR)
The following signature line should only be filled out when the participant does not have the capacity to legally consent to take part in the study and/or sign this document on his or her own behalf.

SIGNATURE OF LAR __________________________ DATE __________

PRINTED NAME and RELATIONSHIP TO PARTICIPANT __________________________

WITNESS TO CONSENT
I was present during the explanation of the research to be performed under Protocol 2020-0702.

SIGNATURE OF WITNESS TO THE VERBAL CONSENT __________________________ DATE __________

PRESENTATION (OTHER THAN PHYSICIAN OR STUDY CHAIR)
A witness signature is only required for vulnerable adult participants. If witnessing the assent of a pediatric participant, leave this line blank and sign on the witness to assent page instead.

PRINTED NAME OF WITNESS TO THE VERBAL CONSENT __________________________

PERSON OBTAINING CONSENT
I have discussed this research study with the participant and/or his or her authorized representative, using language that is understandable and appropriate. I believe that I have fully informed this participant of the nature of this study and its possible benefits and risks and that the participant understood this explanation.

PERSON OBTAINING CONSENT __________________________ DATE __________

PRINTED NAME OF PERSON OBTAINING CONSENT __________________________
TRANSLATOR
I have translated the above informed consent as written (without additions or subtractions) into ____________________________ and assisted the people obtaining and providing consent by translating all questions and responses during the consent process for this participant.

NAME OF TRANSLATOR       SIGNATURE OF TRANSLATOR  DATE

☐ Please check here if the translator was a member of the research team. (If checked, a witness, other than the translator, must sign the witness line below.)

SIGNATURE OF WITNESS TO THE VERBAL TRANSLATION
(OTHER THAN TRANSLATOR, PARENT/GUARDIAN, OR STUDY CHAIR)  DATE

PRINTED NAME OF WITNESS TO THE VERBAL TRANSLATION