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ABSTRACT

Introduction Population-wide interventions offer a pathway to tuberculosis (TB) and leprosy elimination, but ‘real-world’ implementation in a high-burden setting using a combined approach has not been demonstrated. This implementation study aims to demonstrate the feasibility and evaluate the effect of population-wide screening, treatment and prevention on TB and leprosy incidence rates, as well as TB transmission.

Methods and analysis A non-randomised ‘screen-and-treat’ intervention conducted in the Pacific atoll of South Tarawa, Kiribati. Households are enumerated and all residents ≥3 years, as well as children <3 years with recent household exposure to TB or leprosy, invited for screening. Participants are screened using tuberculin skin testing, signs and symptoms of TB or leprosy, digital chest X-ray with computer-aided detection and sputum testing (Xpert MTB/Rif Ultra). Those diagnosed with disease are referred to the National TB and Leprosy Programme for management. Participants with TB infection are offered TB preventive treatment and those without TB disease or infection, or leprosy, are offered leprosy prophylaxis. The primary study outcome is the difference in the annual TB case notification rate before and after the intervention; a similar outcome is included for leprosy. The effect on TB transmission will be measured by comparing the estimated annual risk of TB infection in primary school children before and after the intervention, as a co-primary outcome used for power calculations. Comparison of TB and leprosy case notification rates in South Tarawa (the intervention group) and the rest of Kiribati (the control group) before, during and after the intervention is a secondary outcome.

Ethics and dissemination Approval was obtained from the University of Sydney Human Research Ethics Committee (project no. 2021/127) and the Kiribati Ministry of Health and Medical Services (MHMS). Findings will be shared with the MHMS and local communities, published in peer-reviewed journals and presented at international conferences.

INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB, caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB)) is a leading infectious disease killer globally and in the Pacific, while leprosy (caused by Mycobacterium leprae) elimination remains a major challenge as well. It is estimated that TB killed ~1.5 million people in 2020, despite being a preventable and curable disease. At the 2018 United Nations High-Level Meeting on TB, world leaders committed to step up TB elimination efforts, with improved TB case finding and expanded use of TB preventive treatment (TPT) identified as key interventions in the global ‘End TB strategy’. Historical population-wide TB elimination programmes demonstrated considerable success and are enjoying renewed attention, with specific emphasis on active case finding and prevention strategies. A randomised controlled trial in Vietnam recently demonstrated that population-wide active TB case finding can achieve substantial reductions in TB incidence and transmission. Historic studies and modelling projections suggest that this effect, and its durability, can be enhanced by concurrent treatment of TB infection, but data on ‘real-life’ implementation remain scarce. Given WHO
endorsement of integrated active TB case finding and preventive treatment approaches,\textsuperscript{10-15} there is urgency to develop implementation models that demonstrate feasibility and population impact.

At the 2020 census, around 59% of the i-Kiribati population lived on the capital atoll of Tarawa.\textsuperscript{16} Of all TB cases detected in Kiribati in 2020, 69% (267 of 385) were from Tarawa—equating to an estimated TB incidence of >500/100 000 population, the threshold incidence level above which the WHO recommends population-wide screening interventions.\textsuperscript{17} MTB strain typing data\textsuperscript{18} and the dominance of young adults among TB cases indicate high levels of community transmission,\textsuperscript{19} which emphasises the need for case finding and prevention strategies to be combined in order to prevent rapid reinfection. Leprosy rates in Kiribati, and South Tarawa in particular, are also among the highest in the world (>200 cases/100 000 population, compared with the WHO elimination threshold of 10/100 000 population) and have been rising rapidly after a nadir in the late 1990s when elimination efforts were discontinued.\textsuperscript{2} Modelling studies done in Kiribati indicate that a single population-wide active case finding intervention, combined with ‘universal leprosy prophylaxis’ using single-dose rifampicin (SDR), could dramatically decrease leprosy prevalence with a sustained effect.\textsuperscript{20}

Although both TB and leprosy pose daunting public health challenges in this resource-limited setting, their co-occurrence within a geographically defined and isolated population presents a unique opportunity to evaluate combined elimination efforts. The aim of the proposed study is to achieve major reductions in TB and leprosy incidence and transmission in South Tarawa over the medium term, providing a pathway to future elimination. If successful, the proposed study will provide a template for TB and leprosy elimination efforts throughout the Pacific and in other remote settings.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study design**

The Pathway to the Elimination of Antimicrobial Resistant and Latent tuberculosis in the Pacific (PEARL) study is a before and after evaluation of a population-wide systematic screening intervention, combined with a comprehensive treatment and prevention programme for both TB and leprosy. It is executed in close collaboration with the Kiribati Ministry of Health and Medical Services (MHMS).

**Setting**

Kiribati is a lower-middle-income country of ~120 000 people residing on low-lying atolls and islands in the western Pacific Ocean, with the lowest gross domestic product per-capita of any country in Oceania.\textsuperscript{21} The country has among the highest TB incidence rates in the world,\textsuperscript{22} and is one of 23 WHO priority-countries for leprosy control.\textsuperscript{23} Small numbers of people have been diagnosed with drug-resistant (DR-) TB (7 out of 385 cases in 2020) with limited evidence of community transmission of DR-TB. The prevalence of HIV infection is low, and no cases of TB/HIV coinfection were reported in 2019. However, there is a high burden of non-communicable disease, with the adult diabetes prevalence exceeding 20%,\textsuperscript{24} high rates of childhood malnutrition and substantial levels of smoke exposure from cooking fires and cigarette smoking.\textsuperscript{25}

As of November 2021, no confirmed cases of COVID-19 have been recorded in Kiribati, and no mask-wearing or social distancing measures have been employed. However, these practices and protocols are in place if required, should the COVID-19 pandemic spread to Kiribati. A COVID-19 vaccination programme commenced in May 2021, which is on track to achieve full vaccination coverage of >90% of the adult population by early 2022. Future public health measures to control the spread of COVID-19 will be determined by the Kiribati MHMS based on ongoing assessment of the risk posed and according to the National COVID-19 preparatory and response plan, and the standard operating procedures in place (Kiribati MHMS, unpublished).

Tarawa atoll serves as the capital of Kiribati. Residents of villages in South Tarawa and the small communities of Buota and Abatao at the southernmost end of North Tarawa are included in the intervention and hereunder referred to as ‘South Tarawa’. South Tarawa accommodates ~59% of the i-Kiribati population and has the highest rates of TB and leprosy disease. Betio Islet in particular is the most densely populated area in the Pacific with an estimated population density of >15 000 people/km$^2$.

TB case management is provided by the National TB Programme (NTP). TB diagnostics available in South Tarawa include smear microscopy, rapid genotypic testing and chest X-ray (CXR), but culture facilities are unavailable. Sputum samples can be sent to the regional reference laboratory in Adelaide, Australia, for culture and susceptibility testing. However, this is problematic due to logistical issues and high rates of bacterial overgrowth. Most people with TB are hospitalised during the first few weeks of treatment at the local government hospital (Betio and Tungaru hospitals). Household contact investigation is advised, but not universally implemented because of resource constraints. National guidelines recommend TPT for household contacts for whom TB disease has been excluded, but implementation and uptake are again inconsistent. Leprosy is diagnosed using clinical assessment and microscopy, with genotypic diagnosis available by sending punch biopsy samples to the regional reference laboratory in Christchurch, New Zealand. Curative treatment, occupational therapy and chronic disease management is provided by the National Leprosy Programme (NLP). Postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) of household contacts using SDR has been scaled up in recent years with the help of the Pacific Leprosy Foundation (PLF).\textsuperscript{2}
in all participants aged ≥3 years. Mantoux method and sputum collection is attempted for those aged <10 years, with symptoms suggestive of TB. The TST can be read up to 96 hours (4 days days) after placement if the 2-day day follow-up is missed. HH, household; LPT, leprosy preventive therapy; LTBI, latent TB infection; NTP, National TB Programme; TB, tuberculosis; TPT, TB preventive treatment; TST; tuberculosis.

### Study population
The study population comprises all residents of South Tarawa. All adults, adolescents and children aged three and above are included (table 1). Children aged less than 3 years are included if they have documented household contact with someone who had TB (in the past 1 year), or leprosy (at any time since they were born). Household contact is defined as being between two people who have regular meals prepared in the same kitchen. Members of the study population are only excluded if they prefer not to participate.

### Systematic screening procedures
All households are enumerated based on location and demographic data collected during the 2020 census (Kiribati National Statistics Office 2021, unpublished). Study staff visit each residence, collect GPS coordinates, ascertain eligibility in a brief household survey conducted with the household head, and invite eligible participants for screening. During the first clinic visit, study personnel obtain written informed consent from adult participants ≥18 years of age, or parents/legal guardians in those aged <18 years, with verbal assent from children aged 10–18 years. Identifying information is collected at registration, including a photograph and a biometric identifier to aid future re-identification (facial coordinate scan 'health selfie', Simprints Technology Limited, Cambridge, UK). Study nurses complete a short TB symptom questionnaire and a brief physical examination for signs and symptoms suggestive of TB disease (visible lymph node mass or gibbus) or leprosy (suggestive skin lesions or altered shape of face, nose, ears, hands or feet).

A tuberculin skin test (TST) is placed using the Mantoux method and sputum collection is attempted in all participants aged ≥10 years; age-appropriate diagnostic specimens may be collected in children <10 years who have symptoms suggestive of TB, but it is not part of the screening procedure. Sputum specimens are tested using Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra, recently endorsed by WHO as a sensitive front-line diagnostic test. A digital CXR is performed on everyone aged ≥10 years, and children aged <10 years with symptoms suggestive of TB. CXR interpretation is conducted with computer-aided detection (CAD) software certified for use in TB screening (CAD4TB v6, Diagnostic Image Analysis Group, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands). The use of CAD software for TB screening is recommended by recent WHO guidelines, and good experiences with implementation have been reported in a variety of settings. Screening CXRs for participants aged <15 years will also be interpreted by study medical staff, with the age threshold for CAD interpretation to be re-evaluated as evidence and experience accumulates during the intervention period.

At a second clinic visit 2 days later, the TST is read and considered positive if induration is ≥10 mm, or ≥5 mm if the participant has had household contact with a person with infectious TB in the past 12 months. The CXRs of all participants with a CAD score of ≥50 (and a random selection of those with scores <50), MTB detected on Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra or symptoms suggestive of TB will be reviewed by a study doctor. The CAD threshold score will be adjusted if necessary to optimise screening performance in the study population. Patients

---

### Table 1 Study inclusion and exclusion criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>► Everyone aged ≥3 years.</td>
<td>► Refuse participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>► Children aged &lt;3 years who have had household contact with someone with TB in the past 12 months, or with someone with leprosy since birth.</td>
<td>►</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TB, tuberculosis.
with bacteriologically confirmed or clinically diagnosed TB disease or leprosy will be referred to the Kiribati NTP or NLP for treatment, with close coordination between study and programme clinicians.

TPT eligibility

Participants with a positive TST or children <5 years with recent household contact are considered for TPT if they do not have TB disease and have not completed a course of TB treatment or TPT within the last 12 months. Potential TPT contraindications (eg, allergies to TB medicines, risk factors for hepatotoxicity) are assessed using a brief questionnaire. There is a high prevalence of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection among older people in Kiribati; routine HBV vaccination introduced in the 1990s reduced infection rates among younger people. A point-of-care HBV rapid test (Determine HBsAg 2) is performed in all participants aged 40–59 years who agree to TPT and are otherwise at low risk (liver function testing is done in everyone ≥60 years), since they are at increased risk of HBV infection and possible liver disease. Participants diagnosed with HBV infection receive a liver function test prior to TPT initiation and are referred to the HBV treatment programme for management.

Hepatotoxicity risk assessment

Baseline serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) testing is conducted in all participants aged ≥60 years, or with identified risk factors for hepatotoxicity, and results stratified according to hepatotoxicity risk (table 2). Participants with ALT ≥3 x upper limit of normal (ULN) are not offered TPT, those with ALT 2–3 x ULN are offered TPT (if bilirubin <2 x ULN) with repeat ALT testing after 3–4 weeks, and participants with ALT <2 x ULN (or no need for a baseline test) are offered TPT without further ALT monitoring. All participants receive information on the signs and symptoms of hepatotoxicity, guidance to limit alcohol and kava intake and access to a ‘hotline’ linked to a rapid evaluation service.

TPT regimens and treatment initiation

Participants who are eligible, have no contraindications and accept TPT, are offered a choice of short-course rifamycin-based regimens according to clinical characteristics, patient preference and availability. Currently used regimens are: 12 weekly doses of isoniazid and rifapentine (3HP), 4 months of daily rifampicin (4R) or 3 months of daily isoniazid and rifampicin (3RH, preferred for young children due to availability of child-friendly water-dispersible formulations). Dosing is chosen according to recommendations from the WHO and the Kiribati NTP. In participants with a documented history of household contact with someone diagnosed with DR-TB, TPT using 6 months of daily levofloxacin is considered under expert guidance. New evidence and normative guidance supporting the use of shorter TPT regimens may be released during the study. Consistent with the implementation approach, additional regimens may be offered to participants in collaboration with the Kiribati NTP and with updated ethical approval. All medicines used in the study will be obtained from WHO prequalified manufacturers, procured from the Global Drug Facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Hepatotoxicity risk category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Known viral hepatitis</td>
<td>Any risk factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Known HIV infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Chronic liver disease</td>
<td>No risk factors present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Alcohol/kava excessive use*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Age ≥60 years</td>
<td>Not done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT baseline test result (if any risk factors)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility for TPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring while on TPT†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other reasons for TPT ineligibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Everyone ≥40 years with a positive TST who agrees to TPT and is otherwise at low risk is tested with an HBV rapid antigen test. †Everyone on TPT receives adherence and adverse event counselling, a TPT passport (online supplemental files S4 and S5), as well as access to community-based treatment monitoring and adherence support. ‡Excessive use’ defined as ≥3 days/week and/or (for alcohol) getting drunk every week. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HBV, hepatitis B virus; TB, tuberculosis; TPT, TB preventive treatment; TST, tuberculin skin test; ULN, upper limit of normal.
Community-based TPT adherence support and monitoring

At each stage of treatment initiation, adherence support, treatment monitoring, management of adverse events and assignment of treatment outcome, participants are provided with appropriate counselling on risks, benefits and options available. A detailed patient information sheet is provided (online supplemental file S3) together with group and/or individual counselling with the aid of an illustrated flip chart and a ‘TPT passport’ (online supplemental files S4 and S5) to assist adherence and adverse event monitoring. After taking the first dose under direct supervision, TPT will be self-administered with use of pragmatic adherence support strategies tailored to the particular study community. Medicines are dispensed in 4–8 weeks intervals, or according to patient preference and adherence. All participants who commence TPT are visited once after 3–4 weeks to support adherence and screen for adverse events, and again when they near TPT completion to assess treatment adherence and either extend treatment or assign a treatment outcome. Additional supportive visits are scheduled as needed. Assessment of adherence is performed and recorded at each visit by interviewing the participant, reviewing the TPT passport and counting remaining pills. Treatment completion is determined according to WHO recommendations (table 3).

Detection and management of adverse events

This is an implementation study that uses medicines recommended by the Kiribati NTP and which have been shown to be safe and effective.\textsuperscript{10,33–38} Adverse events associated with the study intervention (defined as any untoward medical occurrence in a study participant) are similar to those encountered in routine programme delivery. Misidentification and misclassification will be minimised through adequate planning and testing of all procedures, using ongoing quality assurance measures built into a secure Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) database\textsuperscript{39} and close oversight from local study coordinators. Linkage to care for referrals to government treatment programmes (TB, leprosy, hepatitis) will be monitored.

Participants receiving TPT will have access to a team of community health workers, a ‘TPT hotline’, and a walk-in service for adverse event assessment and management. Study staff are trained to identify the most common and most severe adverse events associated with TPT, with a particular focus on hepatotoxicity. Drug-related adverse events are triaged and referred for further medical evaluation as appropriate, including liver function testing. If needed, referral and linkage to urgent care services is available.

The following drug-related adverse events will be recorded and reported as part of the study:

► Drug-induced liver injury (DILI—defined as suggestive symptoms plus ALT $>$3 times ULN or ALT $>$5 times ULN without symptoms) while on TPT.

► Drug-related adverse events resulting in TPT interruption or cessation.\textsuperscript{40}

► All serious adverse events among people taking TPT (SAEs, as defined by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council).\textsuperscript{41}

Anyone with DILI during treatment or with an abnormal ALT (≥2 × ULN) at baseline will receive an HBV rapid test (if not previously performed). In addition, blood drawn from participants with DILI and matched controls will be stored (with additional consent) for expanded risk factor determination, using an efficient nested case–control study design.

Other adverse events that will be recorded include:

► Misclassification or misinterpretation of screening results resulting in mistreatment.\textsuperscript{42}

► Postpositive screen treatment delay for TB disease (>7 days) and leprosy (>14 days).

**Leprosy prophylaxis**

All participants who do not commence any other form of treatment are eligible for leprosy prophylaxis using SDR. Those who commence treatment for TB or leprosy, or TPT using 3HP or 3RH, already receive multiple doses of a rifamycin as part of those regimens, which constitutes effective leprosy prophylaxis. Contraindications (box 1) are assessed and if none are found SDR is given as directly observed treatment, dosed according to WHO age and weight bands (with dispersible tablets for children <25 kg).\textsuperscript{42}

SDR is well tolerated and widely used for PEP in household contacts of people with leprosy\textsuperscript{43,44} and recognised as an effective measure to reduce leprosy prevalence if applied at a population scale in high incidence settings.\textsuperscript{20,44,45} Among published studies evaluating leprosy prophylaxis, SDR was reported to be safe with no observed SAEs,\textsuperscript{43} and we are not aware of any

### Table 3 Criteria for TB preventive treatment completion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Expected duration</th>
<th>Expected total doses</th>
<th>Minimum doses for completion</th>
<th>Maximum time for completion</th>
<th>TPT incomplete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3HP (weekly)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>&lt;8 doses after 12 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3RH (daily)</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>&lt;40 doses after 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4R (daily)</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5 months</td>
<td>&lt;68 doses after 4 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H: isoniazid; P: rifapentine; R: rifampicin; TB: tuberculosis; TPT: TB preventive treatment.
SAEs reported during programmatic implementation. Offering either SDR, 3HP, 3RH, TB treatment and/or leprosy treatment to every participant equates to a single mass drug administration intervention.

Ongoing screening of close contacts of leprosy cases, with PEP provision, will continue beyond the study intervention, supported by the PLF. The PLF have conducted leprosy contact tracing and PEP using SDR in Kiribati since 2010 and are committed to continue this work.

Outcome measures

Primary outcomes

The first primary outcome measure of this study is the difference between TB case notification rates in South Tarawa, recorded from NTP data, during the 12 months before the intervention (2020) and the 12 months after it concludes (2024/5). The denominator is the population of South Tarawa, as reported by the National Statistics Office. This outcome measure has been chosen to reflect the programmatic intent of the intervention, and the aim of the study to effect a step-change in TB prevalence as a pathway to elimination. Annual TB notification rates will be monitored throughout the study period and are expected to first increase as a result of active case finding, before declining towards the end of the intervention and after its completion.

The second primary outcome measure is estimated annual risk of TB infection (ARTI) among primary school children in South Tarawa, measured by TST before and 6 months after the intervention. This outcome measure has been chosen to assess the impact of the intervention on community transmission of TB. Together, the primary outcomes allow us to assess the public health and epidemiological impact of the TB intervention.

Secondary outcomes

1. Population prevalence of TST positivity in different age bands.
2. Description of the disease spectrum and risk factors observed among people with TB and/or leprosy.
3. Comparison of TB case notification rates in South Tarawa (the intervention site) and the rest of Kiribati (control) before, during and after the intervention.
4. Percentage of participants retained at each step along the ‘cascade of care’ (invited to screening, TST placed, TST read, completed screening, offered TPT, commenced TPT, completed TPT).
5. Diagnostic yield of mobile digital CXRs with CAD, compared with sputum testing using Gene Xpert MTB/RIF ultra, in patients who had both tests performed.
6. Incidence rate of DILI among people taking TPT, and the population attributable fraction of HBV infection and other documented risk factors.
7. Difference in leprosy case notification rates in South Tarawa, recorded from NLP data, during the 12 months before the intervention (2020) and the 12 months after its conclusion (2024/2025).
8. Comparison of leprosy case notification rates in South Tarawa (the intervention site) and the rest of Kiribati (control) before, during and after the intervention.
9. Spatial analysis of TB and leprosy cases detected during the intervention, including data visualisation (mapping and interpolation), identification of spatial clusters, and spatial statistical modelling.
10. Documenting the cost of the combined intervention, as well as TB and leprosy components separately, to estimate the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio in comparison to modelled outcomes without the intervention.

Sample size

For the TB and leprosy case notification rate outcomes, the sample size is the whole population of South Tarawa (total population 65 566 at the 2020 census, Kiribati National Statistics Office 2021). Additional analysis of the sensitivity of our study design to detect an effect on TB and leprosy case notification rates is included as online supplemental files S6 and S7). The ARTI outcome is calculated from estimated TB infection prevalence using the formula ARTI=1 – (1 – TB infection prevalence)\(1/\alpha\), where \(\alpha\)=mean age, estimated as 1.8%/year in primary school-aged children (mean age 9 years, TB infection prevalence 15%).\(^{47}\) The ability to detect a 50% reduction in ARTI with a power of 0.8 (alpha of 0.05), comparing two samples of children at baseline and after the intervention, requires a sample size of 2580 children at each measurement (G*Power V.3.1).\(^{48}\) Primary school children will be representatively sampled from the same age categories and schools at both measurements.

Governance

The Study Management Committee (responsible for day-to-day running and monitoring of the study) is composed of the Kiribati-based medical lead, an i-Kiribati doctor nominated by the Kiribati MHSM, the Kiribati-based nursing lead, the study coordinator, the overall study lead and the education coordinator. All SAEs are reported within 1 week of its recognition to the University of Sydney Human Research Ethics Committee and the Kiribati MHSM by the study coordinator. An independent Data Safety and Monitoring Board will assess study progress and the adverse events recorded and reported as described above. Figure 2 provides an overview of governance arrangements.

---

Box 1  Contraindications to single-dose rifampicin

- History of liver or kidney disease.
- Known pregnancy.
- Known allergy to rifampicin.
- Signs and/or symptoms of leprosy.
- Signs and/or symptoms of TB.
ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Ethical issues

Adults aged ≥18 years provide written informed consent (online supplemental file S8). Written parental or caregiver consent is provided for children and adolescents aged <18 years, with those aged 10–18 years asked to provide verbal assent that is recorded. Participants may voluntarily withdraw from the study at any time. All records are strictly confidential, and all study data will be collected on password-protected electronic devices. A complete database will be stored on a high security data repository administered by the University of Sydney in Sydney, Australia. Relevant data will be extracted for the Kiribati MHMS and NTP as required for clinical or public health purposes, and made available at study completion for future patient care and population benefit.

Particular attention is given to handling of biometric data, which has been included in the study procedures after close consultation with the Kiribati MHMS and extensive collaboration with the biometric identification provider. Biometric identifiers are captured in a standalone mobile application and stored in a secure database maintained by the biometric identification provider, which is separate from the study database and inaccessible to users. Records in the two databases are linked by a unique, randomly generated identifier. When study staff use biometric identification to retrieve a participant record, the linking identifier is accessed by the biometric identification application without further input by the user. Participants are free to refuse or withdraw consent to record biometric identifier data, while still participating in the study. Participants are specifically asked for consent to share biometric identification data with the Kiribati MHMS, and are free to refuse or withdraw.

Dissemination

Study findings will be presented at international conferences and submitted for publication in peer-reviewed journals. Findings will also be disseminated through the websites of collaborating organisations and in writing to donors. Editable resources developed for the study will be posted on the study website for free-to-use access: www.thepearlstudy.org. Future authorship will include all substantial contributors to the work and there will be a statement of the role of the funder and any potential conflicts of interest. There will be due recognition and acknowledgement of study participants, local study staff and contributions made by i-Kiribati colleagues. There is an overarching commitment to involve i-Kiribati colleagues in all aspects of the study design and execution and to invest in local capacity building. Interim and final reports will be shared with the Kiribati MHMS, with regular updates provided to the i-Kiribati public and study participants.

Patient and public involvement

There was no patient involvement in the design of the study. The study is strongly aligned with national priorities in Kiribati, which recognises TB and leprosy as major infectious disease challenges.49 The study was informed by the need for an urgent TB and leprosy control solution in Kiribati, as articulated by the Kiribati MHMS. A community Stakeholder Engagement Group comprised of i-Kiribati TB and leprosy survivors, local lay leadership and health practitioners will provide community feedback and guidance throughout the intervention period. Patient advisors will be thanked in the acknowledgements of future study publications. Testing TB elimination strategies in the Pacific is also aligned with the ‘Regional Framework for Action on Implementation of the End TB Strategy in the Western Pacific’.50 The framework calls for a paradigm shift in TB control and articulates a need to ‘integrate diagnosis and management of LTBI into systematic screening for TB disease among high-risk populations’.50,51

Training, monitoring and evaluation

Building skills, knowledge and workforce capacity is seen as an essential component of the study. Regular staff development training will be conducted by the Australian Respiratory Council. Senior research staff and the Kiribati MHMS will conduct ongoing internal monitoring. Data quality control and critical review of processes will be performed on a weekly basis throughout the study by the data manager. Protocol compliance, recruitment, screening and treatment practice, and laboratory processes will be externally reviewed on a quarterly basis, with physical inspection whenever possible.
Data management and analysis

Data will be collected offline in a standardised fashion and captured on electronic tablets, with daily upload into a secure web-based REDcap database. Participants will be assigned a unique study identifier at enrolment, which will be matched with identifying data including a photograph and a biometric identifier (Simprints, Cambridge, UK). This will add accuracy to retrieval of patient records and reduce misidentification. The data manager will review data uploads on a daily basis. At the end of the intervention and while awaiting conduct of the final TST survey among school children, we will complete data cleaning and start analysis. At this time, we will also consolidate the transfer of knowledge and skills and focus on assisting the Kiribati MHMS with longer term planning. A detailed data analysis plan will be drafted focusing on the primary and secondary outcome measures articulated above. In order to assess the durability of the effect we will track annual TB and leprosy case notification numbers beyond the end of the project, which should also inform future interventions and complementary studies.

DISCUSSION

Ambitious action is needed to change the course of the global TB epidemic, and to make up ground lost to the COVID-19 pandemic. Scaling up access to systematic screening and TPT for high-burden communities has been identified as a key intervention to achieve this, and is reflected in multilateral targets and commitments. For example, at the UN High Level Meeting on TB held in 2018, countries committed to providing 20 million courses of TPT to HIV-negative adult household contacts. Less than 1% of this target has been met, despite abundant evidence that these individuals are at high risk of developing TB and perpetuating the cycle of transmission at the community level.

Recently published WHO guidelines on systematic screening for TB recommend that population level systematic screening can be adopted where the estimated incidence is above 500/100,000 — this threshold is met in South Tarawa. Current WHO TPT guidelines state that population-based TB infection testing and treatment is not considered feasible in the absence of locally available tests for TB infection and reliable tests to rule out TB disease, and while it is recognised that it may assist TB elimination efforts, the public health benefit remains unproven. A population-wide intervention to diagnose and treat TB disease and infection was recently implemented in the Marshall Islands; the “TB and Leprosy Free Majuro” project demonstrated the safety and feasibility of this approach in a Pacific context. For leprosy, WHO acknowledges that universal leprosy prophylaxis, in addition to active case finding, can be valuable to assist elimination efforts, which presents a major opportunity for combined TB and leprosy elimination efforts.

Implementing a comprehensive TB elimination strategy in South Tarawa has important benefits for TB control. First, population-wide active case finding will facilitate early TB disease detection and treatment, reducing associated morbidity and mortality and limiting ongoing TB transmission within the community. Second, detection and treatment of TB infection will reduce future disease reactivation and greatly increase the durability of the positive impact achieved by the intervention. The feasibility of such an ambitious project is highly dependent on support from the people of South Tarawa, strong political commitment from the Kiribati government and cooperation with relevant partners and stakeholders. Third, this study will provide detailed information on the prevalence of TB infection, active TB and leprosy, and document the impact of population-wide screening approaches to inform modelling of TB and leprosy control strategies in the Pacific.

Beyond the domain of TB control, this study will also strengthen the health system of Kiribati. Updated household-level and individual-level information collected during the study will facilitate public health interventions in other disease areas as well. Leprosy screening is included in the main intervention, and a proportion of participants will be screened for HBV; these activities will be accompanied by system changes that align the efforts of the three disease control programmes and could serve as an example in other areas. Strengthened laboratory and radiology capacity will have benefits for the health system overall, for example, expansion of access to genotypic diagnosis and digital X-ray systems with CAD software would both be of direct benefit in the event of a COVID-19 outbreak. Ultimately, this study is aligned with national and regional priorities for health system strengthening and universal healthcare.

Study limitations include the reliance on case notification rates as a primary outcome measure, which reflects the operational nature of the intervention. Early diagnosis and treatment of cases through active case finding using sensitive tests may increase the measured effect, due to lead time bias. The provision of TPT to all participants with TB infection will reduce the number of incident disease cases; also adding to potential lead time bias. However, the impact measured will reflect ‘real world’ reductions achieved and monitoring TB incidence rates before, throughout, and for an extended period after the intervention will allow us to reflect on the potential impact of lead time bias and to assess the durability of the reductions achieved. Comparing ARTI before and after the intervention provides an objective marker of community transmission, which is not affected by lead time bias. This could also be supplemented by a third ARTI assessment 3–5 years after study completion to assess the durability of the effect, if resources are available.

The PEARL study benefits from extensive collaboration with the Kiribati MHMS, NTP, NLP and other agencies, as well as established partnerships with the PLF, the WHO Western Pacific Regional Office (Manila, Philippines), the office of the WHO Representative in the South Pacific (Suva, Fiji), the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and UNICEF. This cooperation is not only essential to the success of the study but also acts as a model for future collaborative healthcare initiatives in Kiribati and other Pacific Island countries.

The Kiribati government and people of South Tarawa have shown strong commitment to the elimination of TB and leprosy. The Kiribati Ministry of Health and Medical Services (MHMS) has been a key partner in the implementation of the intervention. The Kiribati government has committed to the “TB and Leprosy Free” initiative, which aims to eliminate both diseases on the island. The Kiribati government and people of South Tarawa have also demonstrated a strong commitment to the elimination of TB and leprosy. The Kiribati Ministry of Health and Medical Services (MHMS) has been a key partner in the implementation of the intervention. The Kiribati government has committed to the “TB and Leprosy Free” initiative, which aims to eliminate both diseases on the island.
and the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade among others. In addition, extensive community engagement, communication and mobilisation forms the cornerstone of study implementation. Pacific island countries and territories like Kiribati are in a unique position, given their geographic isolation and limited population size, to ‘lead the way’ by implementing ambitious elimination strategies that serve as proof-of-principle for others to learn from and replicate.

The PEARL study addresses the need for rigorous implementation science to assess the feasibility and impact of population-wide active case finding and treatment, combined with testing and treatment for TB infection, to durably reduce the TB burden in high incidence settings. The study also explores complementarity between TB and leprosy elimination efforts, with the promise of developing scalable strategies suitable for remote settings with high disease burdens.
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Supplementary Material

Figure S1. PEARL TB and leprosy screening algorithm

FU – follow-up; HH - household; LTBI - latent TB infection; N - no; NTP - National TB Program; TB - tuberculosis; TPT - TB Preventive Treatment; TST - Tuberculin Skin Test; Y - yes
3HP - 3-months weekly rifapentine and isoniazid; 3RH - 3-months daily rifampicin and isoniazid; AE - adverse event; CXR - chest X-ray; FU - follow-up; HR2 - higher risk group 2; LFT - liver function test; LTB - latent TB infection; NTP - National TB Program; POC - point-of-care; TB - Tuberculosis; TPT - TB Preventive Treatment; TST - Tuberculin Skin Test; ULN - upper limit of normal
S3. Participant Information Form

PEARL PARTICIPANT INFORMATION
Finding and preventing TB and leprosy in Tarawa

Dear participant,

We would like to invite you (and your child if relevant) to be evaluated for TB and leprosy, with treatment to be provided as appropriate. This document provides information about the intervention, but we will also explain things in person.

Please read this Participant Information sheet carefully and ask questions about anything that you don’t understand or want to know more about. You may refuse participation and this will not be held against you or affect any future access to healthcare.

What is this intervention about?

TB is a disease caused by germs that are coughed into the air by someone who is ill with TB. Most people who are infected with the TB germ do not become ill and do not even know that they are infected, this is referred to as latent or ‘sleeping’ TB. Sometimes sleeping TB can wake up and make you ill, which may also spread the germ to others. This intervention aims to eliminate TB from Tarawa by identifying and treating all people with TB and those with sleeping TB who may become ill in future. At the same time we are also trying to eliminate leprosy, by treating people with leprosy and preventing leprosy in others.

Who is doing this?

The study is carried out by researchers at the University of Sydney, Australia, in close collaboration with the Kiribati Ministry of Health and Medical Services (MHMS). The study is funded by the Australian Medical Research Future Fund and fully supported by the Kiribati government.

What will happen?

This study involves screening for TB (both ‘sleeping TB’ and TB disease) and leprosy. Screening will include looking at your skin, doing a TB skin test, having a chest X-ray and providing a sputum sample. People who are ill with TB or leprosy will be referred to the TB and Leprosy Programme for appropriate treatment. People with ‘sleeping TB’ will be offered TB preventive treatment (TPT) and those without any illness or TB infection will be offered leprosy prophylaxis.

People diagnosed with sleeping TB (this is common and is expected in 20-30% of people) will be offered treatment for sleeping TB. Treatment is for three months and is usually safe, but we will have to perform a few checks. A study nurse will ask some personal questions, including questions about previous and current illnesses, medications used, drinking of alcohol or kava, and questions about pregnancy if you are a woman. People between 40-59 years of age will be given a finger prick to test for hepatitis B infection. Those with hepatitis B infection or any other risk factors for TB treatment will need to have a small amount of blood drawn to make sure their liver function stays healthy during the time that they are treated for sleeping TB.

How much of my time will it take?

We will try to waste as little of your time as possible. To complete the TB and leprosy screening will require you (and your whole household) to be seen on two separate days. This is to complete all the necessary documentation and tests. It is expected that this will take about 2-3 hours of your time on each of these days. These diagnosed with sleeping TB will need to take tablets once a week for 12 weeks (or daily for 3 months in children). Tablets will be given out at the mobile health clinic and are free of charge.
Who can take part?

Every person older than 3 years of age living in Tarawa and Betio islet is invited to take part. People younger than 3 years of age who live in a house that shares a kitchen with someone who has had TB or leprosy in the past 12 months are also invited to take part.

Do I have to be in the study? Can I withdraw from the study once I’ve started?

Taking part in this study is strongly recommended to help us get rid of TB and leprosy across Tarawa. However, participation is completely voluntary and you do not have to take part. Your decision will not affect your current or future relationship with the researchers, or the Kiribati Ministry of Health and Medical Services (MHMS).

If you decide to take part in the study and then change your mind later, you are free to withdraw at any time. You can do this by visiting the study clinic and speaking with a study nurse or doctor who will ask you some questions and give you advice on how to stay healthy from TB in the future.

If you decide to withdraw from the study, we will not collect any further information from you. Information that we have already collected will be kept in our study records and may be included in the study results.

Are there any costs or risks?

All tests and treatment are provided free of charge and study clinics will be conveniently situated to be easily accessible.

If treatment for ‘sleeping TB’ is provided it is normal to feel a bit tired and to have bright orange urine while you are taking the tablets. Rarely people may develop more serious symptoms that mainly affects the liver, which is why we do the additional testing described above. We will explain all of this to you in detail before providing you with any treatment.

What are the benefits?

There are major benefits to yourself and the wider community
- You (and your child) will get treatment for TB or leprosy – if required
- You (and your child) will get treatment for sleeping TB (TPT) – if required
- You (and your child) will get treatment to help keep leprosy away
- You will help to eliminate TB and leprosy from Tarawa
- You will help other Pacific Island nations to learn from Tarawa

Is my data safe?

Medical information will be kept in private MHMS medical records or with the PEARL team. Data collected during the intervention will only be shared with the Kiribati MHMS as relevant and will otherwise be kept confidential. The information may be included in reports and publications, but your name and personal information will never be shared.

Where can I get further information about the intervention?

Further information about the study can be found on our website www.thepearlstudy.org or by speaking to any of the study personnel.

Will I be informed about the results?


The results of the study will be reported to the MHMS and shared with the residents of Tarawa by radio announcement and other means, it will also be accessible on the website [www.thepearlstudy.org](http://www.thepearlstudy.org).

**What if I have a complaint or any concerns about the study?**

This research has been reviewed and approved by an independent group of people called a Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) at the University of Sydney and the Kiribati Ministry of Health and Medical Services.

If you are concerned about the way this study is being conducted please inform the study team; we want to learn and hear how we can improve things. If you wish to make a complaint to someone independent then please contact any of the people listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Contact Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terotia Tabwaka Kelese</td>
<td>Human Resource Officer, Republic of Kiribati</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:ttabwaka@gmail.com">ttabwaka@gmail.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Manager, Ethics Administration, University of Sydney:</td>
<td>Telephone: +61 2 8627 8176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:human.ethics@sydney.edu.au">human.ethics@sydney.edu.au</a></td>
<td>Fax: +61 2 8627 8177 (Facsimile)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND COOPERATION.**

The PEARL Research Team with the support of the Kiribati National TB and Leprosy Control Programmes

**On behalf of the Kiribati Ministry of Health and Medical Services**

............................................................

Eretii Timeon
Director of Public Health
S4. 3HP TB Preventive Treatment (TPT) Passport

**TPT Passport**

Name: ____________________________
Start date: ___/___/____
Dose: [ ] 3 tablets [ ] 2 tablets
Schedule: Take once per week on the same day

**Things to look out for**

**NORMAL AND HARMLESS**
- Bright orange urine, sweat or tears

**GET HELP IF THIS DOES NOT GO AWAY**
- Headache or sore throat
- Dizzy or light-headed

**STOP MEDICINE AND GET HELP**
- Yellowing eyes or skin
- Nausea, vomiting
- Stomach pain
- Unusual tiredness
- Loss of appetite

**How to get help**
- Contact a CHOW in your community
- Call the PEARL hotline: XXX XXX XXX
- Visit a screening clinic to see a nurse if very unwell - go to the hospital

**Missed a day?**
- Take your tablets as soon as you remember
- Store your tablets out of the sun and out of reach from children

**12 doses to treat sleeping TB**

**12-week visit:** ___/___/____

**16-week visit:** ___/___/____

**Pearl staff sign:**

**TBM passport**
- Take your tablets with water - never with alcohol
- Take your tablets on the same day every week

**4-week visit:** ___/___/____

**8-week visit:** ___/___/____

Treatment Completion Date:
S5. 3RH TB Preventive Treatment (TPT) Passport

**TPT Passport**

Name: ____________________________
Start date: ______/____/____
Dose: □ 3 tablets    □ 2 tablets

Take once per day every day.

3 months to treat sleeping TB

**Missed a day?**
Keep giving tablets every day until finished.

**Store tablets out of the sun and out of reach from children.**

**Things to look out for in kids**

**NORMAL AND HARMLESS**
- Bright orange urine, sweat, tears or nappies

**GET HELP IF THIS DOES NOT GO AWAY**
- Nausea, vomiting, stomach pain
- Unusual tiredness
- Loss of appetite

**STOP MEDICINE AND GET HELP**
- Yellowing eyes or skin
- Face or body rash

**How to get help**

Contact a CHOW in your community
Call the PEARL helpline - XXX XXX XXX
Visit a screening clinic to see a nurse
If very unwell - go to the hospital

**Treatment Completion Date:**

**PEARL staff sign:**
Estimated alpha for effect on TB and leprosy case notifications

For study outcomes measures relating to the case notification rate (CNR) before and after the intervention, the sample size is the entire population of South Tarawa and the outcome is measured programmatically through routine service delivery. The population of South Tarawa is estimated to be 65,566 in 2021 and 71,091 in 2025 (Kiribati NSO, SPC SDD). TB CNR was 0.42% for South Tarawa in 2020 (Kiribati NTP, unpublished, November 2021) and 0.16% for leprosy (Pacific Leprosy Foundation, unpublished, November 2021). Population wide active case finding and prevention interventions for TB have achieved a reduction in case notification rate of approximately 50% in Vietnam and the Republic of the Marshall Islands (Marks et al, NEJM, 2019; RMI MoHHS and USCDC, unpublished, 2021). In Indonesia, impact on case notifications was also approximately 50% among participants in a population wide screening and prevention project (Tiwari et al, BMC ID, 2018). Using a two-tailed z-test to approximate Poisson regression in a large sample and with power of 0.8, the estimated alpha for an anticipated reduction in CNR by 50% is <0.001 for TB and leprosy. The estimated alpha is plotted for a range of effect sizes in Figures S1 and S2, which demonstrates adequacy of the sample/population size. Although the study is sufficiently powered to demonstrate a major short-term reduction in CNR, we will interpret the results with due consideration for the overall goals of achieving a durable public health impact and with careful consideration of the limitations in attribution inherent in a quasi-experimental analysis.

Figure S6. Estimated alpha as a function of post-intervention TB case notification rates (expressed as a proportion of the population), with pre-intervention population of 65,566 and post-intervention population of 71,091; baseline case notification rate of 0.42% (420/100,000 population) and power of 0.8.
Figure S7. Estimated alpha as a function of post-intervention TB case notification rates (expressed as a proportion of the population), with pre-intervention population of 65,566 and post-intervention population of 71,091; baseline case notification rate of 0.16% (160/100,000 population) and power of 0.8.
S8. Informed Consent Form

**INFORMED CONSENT PROCESS FORM**

**Date of informed consent**

* Date of informed consent

**Does the participant have capacity to consent?**

* Yes
* No - young person < 18 years of age
* No - disability
* No - other reason

**Name of Consenting Guardian**

* Name of Consenting Guardian

**Relationship of consenting guardian**

* Relationship of consenting guardian

**Does the participant assent to participate in the study?**

* Yes
* No

**Does the participant/primary caregiver agree to participate in study?**

* Yes
* No

**Can participant/caregiver read Kiribati?**

* Yes
* No

**Can participant/caregiver read English?**

* Yes
* No

**Participant information given and all participant questions answered?**

* Yes
* No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant is aware that this is a public health intervention endorsed and supported by the Kiribati Ministry of Health and Medical Services?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* must provide value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant is aware that screen may involve providing sputum or blood samples?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* must provide value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0. No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date &amp; Time Participant/caregiver signed</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Written Consent Form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* must provide value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* must provide value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✍️ Add signature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Other comments |  |