Association of plasma apolipoproteins and levels of inflammation-related factors with different stages of Alzheimer’s disease: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objective Blood-based biomarkers for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are a ‘Holy Grail’ of AD research. Growing evidence shows that levels of apolipoproteins and various inflammation-related factors are altered in the peripheral blood of patients with AD. The purpose of this study was to clear and definite whether these biomarkers are differentially expressed at the early stages of AD, and could be a biomarker as an early diagnosis of the disease.

Design Observation study.

Setting This study was a part of the Sino Longitudinal Study on Cognitive Decline, an ongoing prospective cohort study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03370744) that centres on Xuanwu Hospital (Beijing, China) in cooperation with an alliance of 94 hospitals from 50 cities across China.

Participants In the present study, 416 right-handed Chinese Han subjects were recruited through standardised public advertisements from 2014 to 2019.

Outcome measures Concentrations of plasma apolipoproteins A1, apolipoprotein Cll (ApoCll), apolipoprotein E (ApoE), A-2-macroglobulin (A2M), complement C3 (C3) and complement factor H (FH) were determined using a commercial multiplex Luminex-based panel in normal controls (NC), subjective cognitive decline (SCD), mild cognitive impairment and AD groups.

Results For individual analysis, pairwise comparisons showed that: (1) For SCD versus NC, no biomarker showed significant difference; (2) For amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) versus NC, levels of ApoCll, ApoE, A2M, C3 and FH increased significantly; and (3) For AD versus NC, amounts of C3 increased. For models differentiating clinical groups, discriminant analysis was performed by including all protein markers, age, sex, genotype and education level in the model. This approach could distinguish between patients with aMCI (area under the curve (AUC): 0.743) and AD (AUC: 0.837) from NC.

Conclusion Our results suggest that concentrations of certain apolipoproteins and inflammation-related factors are altered at the early stage of AD, and could be useful biomarkers for early diagnosis.

Trial registration number NCT03370744.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- We used a more sensitive method to simultaneously detect the concentration of apolipoproteins and inflammation-related factors in the plasma of 416 participants at various clinical stages.
- Apolipoproteins and inflammation-related factors were altered at the early stage of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), and clinical information combined with these proteins had a high specificity to distinguish AD and mild cognitive impairment from normal controls.
- The sample size is relatively small, especially for patients with AD, which may negatively influence statistical power.
- The present study was a cross-sectional study. A longitudinal design investigating changes of these apolipoproteins and inflammation-related factors along with AD progression would definitely provide new insight into the roles of these protein markers.

INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common neurodegenerative disorder in the elderly that leads to dementia.1 Subjective cognitive decline (SCD) is regarded as the first clinical manifestation in the AD continuum, and describes self-experienced persistent cognitive decline compared with a previously normal status.2 SCD is prevalent in adults older than 60 years,3 and approximately 14% of patients with SCD convert to AD within 4 years.4 Amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) is an intermediate state between SCD and dementia. The prevalence of aMCI in adults older than 60 years is approximately 14%.5 For patients with aMCI, the annual rate of progression to dementia is approximately 10% to 15%,6 and individuals with aMCI may have a higher risk of developing AD.7

Apolipoproteins are a group of proteins related to cholesterol and lipid metabolism.8 9 The levels of apolipoproteins in the
important risk factor for AD. Studies show apolipoprotein A1 (ApoA1) and apolipoprotein CIII (ApoCIII) levels are significantly lower in AD. Studies on apolipoprotein E (ApoE) levels in blood are less consistent, but a recent meta-analysis supported decreased levels of blood ApoE in patients with AD, supporting its potential value as an important risk factor for AD.

Growing evidence indicates inflammation and complement dysregulation play essential roles in AD pathogenesis. A-2-macroglobulin (A2M) is a major component of the innate immune system and a chaperone protein that functions as a pan-protease inhibitor. Proteomic studies show an increase in plasma A2M concentration in patients with AD compared with controls. Complement C3 (C3) and complement factor H (FH) are key regulators of the complement system. Although a meta-analysis reported that differences in C3 and FH concentrations between AD and healthy controls are not significant in peripheral blood, a recent study showed differential levels of C3 and FH in patients with aMCI compared with healthy controls.

Based on the described research, concentrations of ApoA1, ApoCIII, ApoE, A2M, C3 and FH in peripheral blood were inconsistent, yet all proteins had already changed at the dementia stage of AD. Therefore, we hypothesised that these proteins may also be differentially expressed at an early stage and could be used as biomarker(s) for early diagnosis. Further, establishment of blood-based biomarkers that can be used to screen for SCD and aMCI are critical and would be beneficial for trials testing potential disease-modifying treatments. To test this hypothesis, we used a more sensitive method (ie, a commercial multiplex Luminex-based panel) to simultaneously detect the concentration of those six proteins in the plasma of 416 participants at various clinical stages.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

This study was a part of the Sino Longitudinal Study on Cognitive Decline, an ongoing prospective cohort study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03370744) that centres on Xuanwu Hospital (Beijing, China) in cooperation with an alliance of 94 hospitals from 50 cities across China. All protocols were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Subjects provided written informed consent. In the present study, 416 right-handed Chinese Han subjects were recruited through online media advertising. The inclusion criteria for NC included Han Chinese nationality, right-handedness, no cognitive complaints or any concerns, normal scores in standardised neuropsychological tests, a negative result for nervous system physical examinations, without any relevant medical histories or family histories, and no diseases revealed on accessory examinations that could cause cognitive decline. All diagnoses were performed by two neurologists from the Neurology Department, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University (Beijing, China).

The exclusion criteria were: (1) A history of stroke; (2) Current major psychiatric diagnoses such as severe depression and anxiety; (3) Other neurological conditions causing cognitive decline (eg, brain tumour, Parkinson’s disease, encephalitis or epilepsy) rather than AD spectrum disorders; (4) Other systematic diseases causing cognitive decline (eg, thyroid dysfunction, severe anaemia, syphilis or HIV); (5) A history of psychosis or congenital mental developmental delay; and (6) Cognitive decline caused by traumatic brain injury.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public will not be involved in the development of the research question or the design of the study. Patients will not be involved in the recruitment of participants or the conduct of the study. The general results will be disseminated to the public via media channels.
be disseminated to participants through public education activities.

Neuropsychological tests
All subjects underwent standardised clinical and neuropsychological evaluation. The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Montreal Cognitive Assessment-Basic (MoCA-B) were administered for global cognition.

APOE genotyping
Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes using TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China). Genotyping of two APOE single nucleotide polymorphisms was undertaken to determine the APOE genotype, which is comprised of three alleles (ε2, ε3, ε4). In brief, APOE was amplified with the following primers: 5'-ACGCGGGCCCGCCTGCTCAGAGG-3' (forward) and 5'-GGCGGTCCGCGGATGGCGAAGCG-3' (reverse), using the following conditions: 1 cycle of 98°C for 10 s, 35 cycles of 72°C for 5 s and 1 cycle of 72°C for 5 min. PCR was performed in a final volume of 30 µl, containing 10 pmol of forward and reverse primers and 50 ng of genomic DNA template, using PrimeSTAR HS DNA Polymerase with GC Buffer (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. APOE was then genotyped using the standard Sanger sequencing method (Sangon, Shanghai, China).

Measurement of protein markers in plasma samples
Venous blood was obtained from all participants. A trained technician drew blood from fasting participants in the morning using 10 mL EDTA tubes, and then centrifuged the samples at 2500×g for 10 min at 4°C within 30 min of blood collection. The extracted plasma sample was aliquoted and stored at −80°C until analysis. The relevant proteins were measured using a commercial Luminex xMAP Human Neurodegenerative Disease Magnetic Bead Panel kit (EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Plates were read on the Luminex 200 multiplexing instrument (Luminex Corp, Austin, Texas, USA). The assay sensitivity for ApoA1, ApoCIII, ApoE, A2M, C3 and FH was 0.3 ng/mL, 0.001 ng/mL, 0.003 ng/mL, 0.177 ng/mL, 0.012 ng/mL and 0.177 ng/mL, respectively. The intra-assay and interassay precisions were 10% and 20%, respectively.

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS V.22.0 (IBM Corp, New York, New York, USA). Data distribution was assessed for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Sex and APOE genotype distribution were analysed using the χ² test. Age was analysed using Tukey’s multiple comparison. MMSE Score, MoCA-B Score and education level were analysed using Dunn’s test for multiple comparisons. Multiple linear regression covariate with age, sex, APOE genotype and education were used to compare the plasma of six protein markers in different groups. Discriminant analysis and receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis were used to reveal the diagnostic ability of six protein markers combined with age, education, sex and APOE genotype. A two-sided value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Demographic data
Demographic and clinical information is given in table 1. In this study, 416 people were included. However, the protein values in 13 people appeared out of detectable concentration range, therefore these people were excluded, and the remaining 403 people were included in the study. In total 148 NC, 138 patients with SCD, 74 patients with aMCI and 43 patients with AD patients were included. Significant differences were observed between clinical groups in terms of age, sex, education level, MMSE Score and MoCA-B Score. There was a higher percentage of female subjects in the SCD group compared with the NC group. Patients with aMCI and AD were significantly older than NC subjects. Patients with aMCI and AD had a lower education level than NC subjects. Patients with aMCI and AD scored lower in MMSE and MoCA-B than NC subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Subject demographics and clinical features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>65/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>64.85±7.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>12.67±3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE Score</td>
<td>29.00 (28.00, 30.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA-B Score</td>
<td>26.00 (24.00, 28.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age and years of education are presented as mean±SD, MMSE and MoCA-B Scores are presented as median (IQR). χ² test was used for categorical variables and Tukey’s or Dunn’s test for continuous variables.

AD, Alzheimer’s disease; aMCI, amnestic mild cognitive impairment; MMSE score, Mini-Mental State Examination score; MoCA-B score, Montreal Cognitive Assessment-Basic score; NC, normal controls; SCD, subjective cognitive decline.
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Distribution of APOE genotypes in different groups is shown in table 2. Isoforms of ε2/ε2 genotypes were absent in SCD and AD groups, and accounted for 1% in NC and aMCI groups. The proportion of ε2/ε3 genotype, ε2/ε4 genotype and ε3/ε4 genotype in each group was about 11%, 3% and 30%, respectively; ε3/ε3 genotype was the highest expression level was found in all genotypes, of which 32.6% in group AD and 50% in other groups; ε4/ε4 genotype accounted for about 10% in groups of aMCI and AD.

Quantification of six protein markers
Participants with abnormal liver function were excluded based on history and there was no significant difference in the level of low-density lipoprotein in blood among the groups (F=0.609, p=0.545). The human neurodegenerative disease magnetic bead panel was chosen to simultaneously examine the amounts of ApoA1, ApoCIII, ApoE, A2M, C3 and FH in plasma. The kit contains quality control materials. The experimental results showed that the concentrations of these two quality control values were within the range shown in the manual, indicating that the data of each plate are reliable. Accessory holes were made for each plasma sample. Our results showed that ApoE, A2M, C3 and FH were detectable in all samples, while 3 samples (1 from aMCI and 2 from NC) from ApoA1 were lower than minimum detectable concentration and 13 samples (2 from SCD, 2 from aMCI and 9 from NC) from ApoCIII were higher than maximum detectable concentration, respectively. Multiple linear regression was performed using the covariates of age, sex, APOE genotype and education level to examine comparisons between groups. (1) For SCD versus NC, there were no significant differences; (2) For aMCI versus NC, increased ApoCIII, ApoE, A2M, C3 and FH were observed; and (3) For AD versus NC, increased C3 was observed (table 3; figure 1A–F).

Developing models to differentiate clinical groups
Discriminant analysis was performed by including all protein markers in the model and it was able to distinguish patients with aMCI (Wilk’s λ, 0.923; p=0.007) and AD (Wilk’s λ, 0.895; p=0.001) from NC.

To differentiate aMCI from NC, a D-score was calculated as follows: D-score=1.098 × A2M – 0.833×ApoA1 – 0.019×ApoCIII + 0.077×ApoE + 0.965×complement C3 – 0.661×FH. ROC analysis was also performed (sensitivity 0.614 and specificity 0.803) to distinguish between patients with aMCI from NC but including protein biomarkers with age, sex, APOE genotype and education level in the model. It showed that including protein markers into the model strengthened the discriminatory power (model with protein markers: area under the curve (AUC), 0.743; 95% CI 0.671 to 0.814; p<0.001; model

### Table 2 Distribution of APOE genotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>NC (n=148)</th>
<th>SCD (n=138)</th>
<th>aMCI (n=74)</th>
<th>AD (n=43)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ε2/ε2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε2/ε3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε2/ε4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε3/ε3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε3/ε4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε4/ε4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Six protein markers across different clinical states

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>NC (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>SCD (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>aMCI (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>AD (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>P value SCD vs NC</th>
<th>P value aMCI vs NC</th>
<th>P value AD vs NC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ApoA1</td>
<td>32.07±17.13</td>
<td>33.63±16.47</td>
<td>34.02±14.39</td>
<td>33.81±17.83</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoCIII</td>
<td>25.22±11.87</td>
<td>26.68±11.43</td>
<td>28.19±10.77</td>
<td>28.55±12.46</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoE</td>
<td>7.30±3.75</td>
<td>7.26±3.33</td>
<td>7.97±3.18</td>
<td>7.05±2.80</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2M</td>
<td>114.69±54.82</td>
<td>114.83±52.88</td>
<td>137.10±55.18</td>
<td>121.51±47.93</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.96±0.64</td>
<td>1.00±0.70</td>
<td>1.25±0.69</td>
<td>1.20±0.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH</td>
<td>23.42±9.29</td>
<td>23.37±10.28</td>
<td>25.67±8.28</td>
<td>22.55±6.24</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are expressed as mean±SD. Multiple linear regression with age, sex, APOE genotype and education level used as covariates. AD, Alzheimer’s disease; A2M, A-2-macroglobulin; aMCI, amnestic mild cognitive impairment; ApoA1, apolipoprotein A1; ApoCIII, apolipoprotein CIII; ApoE, apolipoprotein E; C3, complement C3; FH, complement factor H; NC, normal controls; SCD, subjective cognitive decline.
ROC analysis was also performed as follows: D-score = 1.016 × A2M − 0.442 × ApoA1 + 0.231 × ApoCIII − 0.211 × ApoE + 1.101 × complement C3 − 0.661 × FH. ROC analysis was also performed (sensitivity 0.667 and specificity 0.869) to discriminate between patients with AD from NC but including protein markers: AUC, 0.671; 95% CI 0.597 to 0.746; p<0.001; figure 2A).

To differentiate AD from NC, a D-score was calculated as follows: D-score = 1.016 × A2M − 0.442 × ApoA1 + 0.231 × ApoCIII − 0.211 × ApoE + 1.101 × complement C3 − 0.661 × FH. ROC analysis was also performed (sensitivity 0.667 and specificity 0.869) to discriminate between patients with AD from NC but including protein biomarkers with age, sex, APOE genotype and education level as covariates. Statistically significant p values are shown. aMCI, amnestic mild cognitive impairment; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; NC, normal controls; SCD, subjective cognitive decline.

DISCUSSION

A blood-based biomarker or biomarker set that aids early diagnosis of AD is of great importance. Many putative biomarkers have been reported, however their robust replication and clinical usefulness are still an enormous challenge. In the present study, concentrations of a set of apolipoproteins and inflammation-related factors were examined at various clinical stages of AD. It showed that some analyses were differentially expressed at the early stage of AD. A discriminating model with all protein markers, age, sex, genotype and education level included as covariates could distinguish between patients with aMCI (AUC: 0.743) and AD (AUC: 0.837) from NC.

Six protein markers, including ApoA1, ApoCIII, ApoE, A2M, C3 and FH were examined in the present study. Recent studies show that apolipoproteins play an important role in neurodegenerative progress. ApoE and ApoA1 have the potential ability to clear beta amyloid (Aβ) or prevent Aβ aggregation and thereby prevent induced neurotoxicity. ApoCIII can also bind to Aβ and indirectly regulate Aβ deposition in the brain. Inflammation and complement dysregulation are important components of AD pathogenesis. A2M is a major serum antiprotease that has the capacity to bind and regulate a variety of cytokines. In addition, A2M can also form a complex with Aβ and prevent Aβ fibril formation. Complement proteins such as C3 and FH can promote phagocytosis activity and induce Aβ clearance, while excessive complement activation can result in extreme cell damage and systemic inflammatory responses.

The levels of these six protein markers have been examined in AD plasma. Most studies have shown that concentrations of ApoA1 and ApoCIII levels are significantly lower in AD. Studies on ApoE levels in blood are less consistent, but a recent meta-analysis supported decreased levels of blood ApoE in patients with AD. Proteomic studies show an increase in plasma A2M concentration in patients with AD compared with controls. Although a recent meta-analysis showed that the differences in C3 and FH concentration between AD and healthy controls were not significant in peripheral blood. Nevertheless, the study included in this meta-analysis with a larger study population showed increased peripheral blood C3 concentration in AD. Levels of these markers at the early stage of AD are largely unexplored. A few studies have shown increased concentrations of ApoE, A2M, C3 and FH in patients with aMCI. In our study, amounts of these six proteins were examined in patients with SCD, aMCI and dementia. No marker showed significant differences at the SCD stage. Increased concentrations
were identified for A2M, ApoCIII, ApoE, C3 and FH at the aMCI stage, while only C3 remained upregulated at the AD stage. These results may reflect a dynamic change in these proteins in the process of disease development, and A2M, ApoCIII, ApoE, C3 and FH may play a reverse role in the AD stage. Our findings together with previous reports suggest that levels of these protein markers vary with clinical conditions.

Another interesting finding is that we managed to establish a model to distinguish between patients with aMCI and AD from NC by combining basic clinical information and levels of these protein markers. Diagnostic accuracy in distinguishing NC from aMCI was moderate (AUC: 0.743), and was better in distinguishing NC from AD (AUC: 0.837). Recently, Jia et al, reported that examination of blood exosomal Aβ42, T-tau, and P-T181-tau could be useful for AD and aMCI diagnosis.32 Compared with their neuronal-derived exosome-based strategy, our method is straightforward. Target proteins could be examined directly in plasma. Of note, all six protein markers were included as covariates in our model, although only some were differentially expressed significantly. In support of our approach to establish a model, Jia et al established a highly accurate diagnosis model by combining four different synaptic protein markers, changes in whose concentrations were minimal.35

There are some limitations to our studies. First, the sample size is relatively small, especially for patients with AD, which may negatively influence statistical power. Second, all the participants were recruited from Beijing, which may lead to a geographical bias. Third, there were some mismatches in terms of age and sex between clinical groups. Fourth, the diagnosis of SCD, aMCI and AD relied mainly on neuropsychological tests. Therefore, clinical misdiagnosis may not be fully avoided. Fifth, the sample size is relatively small, especially for patients with AD, which may negatively influence statistical power. Sixth, the number of different isoforms of gene carriers is uneven and the sample size was relatively small, therefore, increasing the number of different isoforms of gene carriers is helpful to systematically analyse the effects of different APOE gene isoforms on the levels of ApoE. Finally, the present study was a cross-sectional study. A longitudinal design investigating changes of these apolipoproteins and inflammation-related factors along with AD progression would definitely provide new insight into the roles of these protein markers. Considering these limitations, longitudinal multicentre cooperation should be promoted in future studies.

In this study, six proteins in plasma were detected simultaneously, which reduced the heterogeneity of independent detection and increased prediction efficiency. The results of the previous studies were verified using a more sensitive method, which provided a more reliable basis for changes in these proteins at different stages of AD.

CONCLUSION
Our results showed that a set of apolipoproteins and inflammation-related factors were differentially expressed at the early stage of AD, which could be used to create diagnostic models for AD and aMCI.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Rachel James, Ph.D., from Liwen Bianji, Edanz Group China (www.liwenbianji.cn/ac), for editing the English text of a draft of this manuscript.

Contributors YC designed the study, XY and YH recruited participants and performed the clinical investigation, TW, YY, C2 and CY performed the Luminex assay and genotyped APOE. TW and YY performed the data analysis and wrote the manuscript. All coauthors contributed to revising the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version for publication. The guarantor in this study is YC.

Funding This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Programme of China (nos. 2016YFC1308300, 2017YFC0909100, 2017YFC0909303, 2017YFC1302303), National Key R&D Program of China 2021YFC2501205, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 61633018, 81671246), and Science Innovation 2030 - Brain Science and Brain-Like Intelligence Technology Major Project #2021ZD0201100 Task #2021ZD0201101

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee and Institutional Review Board of Xuanwu Hospital (2017) 046.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. The data sets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs
Ting Wang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4205-749X
Xiaoni Wang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7545-4491
Ying Han http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3890-5927

REFERENCES