

# BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email [info.bmjopen@bmj.com](mailto:info.bmjopen@bmj.com)

# BMJ Open

## Exploring the experiences and challenges for patients who have had, or are awaiting, a cranioplasty': A mixed-methods study Protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-048072
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Dec-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Mee, Harry; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Clement, Clare; University of Bristol, School of Social and Community Medicine; University of Bristol Anwar, Fahim; University of Cambridge, Division of Rehabilitation Medicine, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Whiting, Gemma; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Timofeev, Ivan; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Helmy, Adel; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Hutchinson, Peter; University of Cambridge, Academic Neurosurgery Kolias, Angelos; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery; Division of Neurosurgery, University of Cambridge,
Keywords:	Neurosurgery < SURGERY, REHABILITATION MEDICINE, Neurological injury < NEUROLOGY, Stroke < NEUROLOGY

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3 **Exploring the experiences and challenges for patients who have had, or are**  
4 **awaiting, a cranioplasty': A mixed-methods study Protocol**  
5  
6  
7

8 Mee H.<sup>1,2</sup>, Clement C.<sup>3</sup>, Anwar.F.<sup>2</sup>, Whiting G.<sup>1</sup>, Timofeev I.<sup>1</sup> Helmy A.<sup>1</sup>, Hutchinson P.<sup>1</sup>, Koliass. A.<sup>1</sup>  
9

- 10  
11 1. Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge &  
12 Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK  
13  
14 2. Division of Rehabilitation Medicine, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of  
15 Cambridge & Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK  
16  
17 3. Bristol Randomised Trials Collaboration, Bristol Trials Centre, University of Bristol, Bristol,  
18 UK,  
19

20  
21  
22 **Corresponding Author:**

23 Dr Harry Mee  
24 Box 167, Neurosurgery Department  
25 Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust  
26 Addenbrookes Hospital  
27 Hills Road  
28 Cambridge  
29 CB2 0QQ  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Email address: hwjm2@cam.ac.uk  
35  
36

37 **Word count:** 2745 (excluding abstract, article summary and references)  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Abstract

### Introduction

A cranioplasty is a widely practised neurosurgical procedure aimed at reconstructing a skull defect, but its impact on a patient's rehabilitation following a traumatic brain injury or stroke could be better understood.

### Objectives

To explore the views and experiences of patients and their relatives who have had or are awaiting a cranioplasty and to understand the current cranioplasty services and what the challenges may be to patient's recovery, rehabilitation, and social reintegration.

### Methods and analysis

A mixed-methods study. Data will be collected through focus groups with health care professionals (HCPs), and through semi-structured interviews with patients and their relatives, field notes, a researcher diary, and a patient questionnaire. Different perspectives will be brought together through method triangulation. Patient and relative data will be analysed using Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) and HCPs data will be analysed thematically using both deductive and inductive coding.

### Ethics and dissemination

There is limited literature regarding a patient's perception of the cranioplasty process, the potential impact on rehabilitation and how this may impact on their reintegration into the community. Findings from this study will provide an in-depth and comprehensive understanding of the impact a cranioplasty can have on patients and their families. Any new information generated from this study may be used towards future literature for a new series of cranioplasty, patient-focused, resources, both in print and on a digital platform

Ethical approval has been obtained from the Wales REC 7 ethics committee (Rec Ref: 19/WA/0315).

**Keywords:** Cranioplasty. Rehabilitation. Quality of life. Cosmesis

## Article Summary

### Strengths and Limitations of the study

- First qualitative study exploring the views and experiences of patients and their relatives who have had or are awaiting a cranioplasty.
- Provides an understanding of the current clinical challenges within different cranioplasty services through the views of health care professionals and how this may impact the patient's recovery, rehabilitation, and social reintegration.
- Knowledge generated from this study will help inform the multidisciplinary team on how to potentially improve cranioplasty services with the aim of improving patient care.
- The focus of this study is around cranioplasty following a TBI or Stroke, other types of brain injury that can result in a cranioplasty have not been discussed and would warrant further investigation.

### Introduction

Following a severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) or stroke, life-threatening brain swelling may develop. In these instances, a decompressive craniectomy (1,2) can be performed, which is an operation where a large skull piece is removed, to control brain swelling and prevent death. The two most common indications for a decompressive craniectomy are either a TBI or stroke. However, other indications include excision of tumours involving the skull, removal of bone flaps due to postoperative infection, and for the management of rarer causes of brain oedema and intracranial hypertension (3).

A cranioplasty is a neurosurgical procedure aimed at repairing the cranial defect (4) to help restore an intact cranial vault, for brain protection, to aid in the prevention of falls and for cosmetic purposes. It is considered in those patients who have undergone a craniectomy and survived acute care and treatment. It is often planned for when the patient is medically and surgically stable. The timing of the cranioplasty varies greatly, and in the United Kingdom it tends to be 6-12 months following the original craniectomy, but a growing trend for an earlier cranioplasty is resulting in timings within three months becoming increasingly common in some institutions. Apart from the apparent benefit of restoring a degree of mechanical protection to the brain, it can also improve neurological function (5), and there is a growing body of evidence showing that an early cranioplasty can enhance this effect.

However, there is very little evidence exploring how the cranioplasty affects a patient's recovery, rehabilitation, and social reintegration. A 'silent epidemic' is how Truelle et al. (6) describe TBI's with

1  
2  
3 the long-term cognitive, emotional and physical impairments, that can have such an effect on a  
4 patients quality of life through limitations of daily-life activities, self-image, and coping strategies.  
5  
6 These, often life-changing issues are also commonly seen in stroke survivors. Besides, for both TBI  
7 and stroke patients a significant skull defect with further neurosurgical intervention required during  
8 their rehabilitation, it is likely to put further strain on their recovery. It is therefore vital to explore and  
9 understand this context in more depth, not only for direct patient benefit but also for future planning of  
10 cranioplasty practices and services.  
11  
12  
13  
14

## 15 **Aim and Objectives**

### 16 **Study aims**

17 To explore the views and experiences of patients and their relatives who have had or are awaiting a  
18 cranioplasty and to understand the current clinical challenges within different cranioplasty services  
19 through the views of health care professionals and how this impacts the patient's recovery,  
20 rehabilitation and social reintegration.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

### 27 **Study objectives**

- 28 1. To explore how a cranioplasty impacts a patient's recovery, rehabilitation and social  
29 reintegration, including the influence of the timing of the cranioplasty, engagement in  
30 rehabilitation and potential psychosocial implications.  
31
- 32 2. To explore the views of healthcare professionals involved in the care of patients with  
33 craniectomy/cranioplasty and further understand current cranioplasty services and what the  
34 challenges to patient engagement and recovery may be.  
35
- 36 3. To explore the approaches of rehabilitation that team members use with patients pre and post  
37 cranioplasty and how the timing of cranioplasty and patient's engagement with rehabilitation  
38 can influence outcomes.  
39
- 40 4. To explore the views of patients regarding craniofacial cosmesis pre and post cranioplasty  
41 and how this may impact their engagement in the rehabilitation process and social  
42 reintegration and to understand the views of patients of a novel external cosmetic  
43 cranioplasty, currently under development.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

## 50 **Methods and analysis**

### 51 **Study design**

52 This is a mixed-methods study. Data will be collected through focus groups or interviews with Health  
53 Care Professionals (HCPs), semi-structured interviews with patients and their relatives, field notes, a  
54 researcher diary, and a patient questionnaire.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 A method of triangulation (7) will be adopted to be able to bring together the different methodological  
4 processes in this study; Interpretive phenomenological analysis (IPA) of the patient's story, a thematic  
5 analysis of the HCP's and the quantitative questionnaire will be undertaken.  
6  
7  
8

### 9 **Setting**

10 The study will be conducted across different clinical environments; both in acute services,  
11 rehabilitation centres and in the community to be able to capture a wide range of views and ensure  
12 the different stages of a patient's recovery and rehabilitation are explored.  
13  
14  
15

### 16 **Recruitment**

17 Patients, and if appropriate their relatives, will be identified, and recruited through hospital outpatient  
18 clinics, rehabilitation centres, brain injury charities and the UK cranial reconstruction registry. HCPs  
19 will be recruited through neuroscience centres, rehabilitation departments through social media  
20 platforms and conference networking.  
21  
22  
23

### 24 **Sampling**

25 Patients, relatives, and HCPs will be sampled purposively to capture the maximum variation of views and  
26 experiences. Patients and their relatives will be sampled from those known to have had a craniectomy  
27 and awaiting a cranioplasty and those who have already had a cranioplasty, regardless of the type or  
28 degree of disability. HCPs will be sampled according to their roles within the rehabilitation team and  
29 diverse experiences across all sites. The research questions will guide the number of participants, and  
30 sampling will stop when we have sufficient "information power" relevant to the study aims. (8) Sample  
31 sizes for focus groups and interviews will be guided by data saturation (9)(8). It is estimated that there  
32 will be between 35-50 participants in the study.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

### 41 **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

#### 42 **Inclusion:**

- 43 • Adult Patients (over 16) who have undergone a craniectomy and are either awaiting a  
44 cranioplasty or have had a cranioplasty for either a traumatic brain injury or stroke.
- 45 • The patient must be able to consent themselves to participate in an interview.
- 46 • Relatives of patients who are awaiting or have undergone a cranioplasty.
- 47 • Multidisciplinary team members in rehabilitation units, both acute and community-based who  
48 specialise in the rehabilitation of patients who have had a craniectomy +/- cranioplasty.
- 49 • Clinicians who treat and care for patients who have had a craniectomy +/- cranioplasty.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

#### 56 **Exclusion criteria**

- 57 • Patients 16 or younger who have undergone a craniectomy and are awaiting or have had a  
58 cranioplasty.  
59  
60

- Patients who cannot consent themselves for participation in the study.
- Non-English-speaking patients and participants.

All patients who meet the inclusion criteria will be considered. Their disability and recovery levels will be taken into account when planning and conducting interviews, and adaptive interview techniques will be used where appropriate (10).

### **Data collection**

Data collection from patients and their relatives will be from interviews and will be conducted face-to-face. Also, patients will be asked to complete the QOLIBRI questionnaire. Data collection from health care professionals will be from focus groups and interviews if preferred.

Both interviews and focus groups will be guided by flexible topic guides which will enable the researcher to focus on topics related to the research questions but also allow participants to raise and discuss issues of importance to them. Focus groups and interviews will be audio-recorded, with written consent, and transcribed verbatim. Patients who have sustained a TBI will be asked to complete the quality of life after brain injury questionnaire (QOLIBRI), which is a disease-specific measure of health-related quality of life after traumatic brain injury (6), and stroke sufferers will be asked to complete the abbreviated version. Patients will complete the appropriate questionnaire before the face to face interview, and this will guide the focus of the discussion but also enable a more in-depth analysis of the patient's experience, with the use of triangulation allowing for a broader understanding of the patient's journey to be explored. The field notes and researcher diary will enhance and compliment the data gained through the focus groups and interviews.

Focus groups will allow for the exploration of current practice and how this can impact the patient across different rehabilitation settings. They will help develop a rich understanding of participant views and experiences. Topics for discussion will include timing of the cranioplasty, cosmesis, engagement in the rehabilitation pathway and social reintegration. Interviews will enable in-depth exploration of the patient's journey and patients and their relative's views and experiences.

The quality of life after brain injury (QOLIBRI) questionnaire is an instrument that has been developed to assess health-related quality of life after traumatic brain injury (TBI) (11). There are 37 items covering 6 domains: 'Cognition', 'self', 'daily life & autonomy', 'social relationships', 'emotions' and 'physical problems'

### **Qualitative data analysis**

Gaining different perspectives from varying data collection methods will be brought together through method triangulation. The following data analysis techniques will be adopted as part of the study:



- Patient and relative data will be analysed using IPA (12) to gain a deep and well-rounded understanding of the patient's journey around the cranioplasty. Transcription will be verbatim, and the accounts read and re-read to allow the generation of new ideas and insights. Patterns and themes across the different participants will be investigated, and superordinate themes created that capture the shared experiences of the participants. On-going discussions will be had with the research team to ensure traceability and development of themes remains clear.
- HCP data will be analysed thematically (13) using both deductive and inductive coding to allow for analysis of known topics of interest but also allow for the generation of new information as the study proceeds. Disconfirming evidence and outlying data will be searched for to enhance the reliability and rigour of the analysis process and findings (14). Data will be compared across and within groups.
- The study field notes, and field diary will be both descriptive and reflective, and through a reflexive process will allow for a critical analysis of the influences posed by the researchers in the study (15).

The analysis will commence shortly after data collection, and emergent findings will be used to inform lines of enquiry in further data collection and analysis. Qualitative data analysis software (ATLAS.ti) (11) will be used to facilitate data management and analysis.

### **Quantitative data analysis**

Standardised interpretation method will be adopted for analysis of the QOLIBRI questionnaires, with the final scale between 0 and 100, (0 being the worst possible quality of life and 100 being the best). Total QOLIBRI score is used as a health-related quality of life factor, but the sub-categories can be analysed to provide greater detail in separate domains.

Triangulation strategies will be used, allowing the mixed methods of data sources to be brought together, allowing a more comprehensive, more complete picture to emerge from the study (16).

### **Data protection**

All data will be stored securely on password-protected computers and locked filing cabinets and will only be accessible to members of the research team. During transcription, transcripts will be anonymised with all identifiable information removed before analysis. Anonymised study information will be kept for 15 years following the conclusion of the study. Questionnaire data will be stored in a local site file and analysed by a study team member.

### **Patient and Public Involvement**

Development of the study and protocol has been guided by a local Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) panel, who advised on the use of interviews and focused groups with the study population and the use of technology. The format of the interview and focus groups was developed and changed as a result of the PPI group. On-going consultation on the best way to disseminate study results will be maintained.

## Ethics and dissemination

Consent will be required from all study participants before being interviewed or being part of a focus group. All patient interviews will be in person, with written consent gained for both the interview and questionnaire. Some AHP interviews and focus groups will be over the telephone; then verbal consent will be obtained.

In-depth interviews and focus groups may bring up sensitive topics and expose vulnerabilities. The study team are aware of this, with support before and after interviews available if required. Similarly, within staff interviews and focus groups confidentiality will be paramount, and it will be emphasised that what is discussed will remain confidential. For all interviews and focus groups if the participant is becoming increasingly distressed or upset, then the interview or focus group will be stopped, and the necessary support offered. If required, follow up phone calls could be arranged on an individual basis with a member of the study team.

Only patients with the capacity to consent for participation in the study will be eligible. Ethical approval has been obtained from the Wales REC 7 ethics committee (Rec Ref: 19/WA/0315).

This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki, adopted by the 18<sup>th</sup> World Medical Association (WMA) General Assembly, Helsinki, Finland, June 1964 and last revised by the 64<sup>th</sup> WMA General Assembly, Fortaleza, Brazil, October 2013.

The results of this study will be presented at national brain injury conferences and will be published in peer-reviewed, national and international journals.

## Discussion

There is limited literature regarding a patient's perception of the cranioplasty process, potential difficulties with rehabilitation before/after cranioplasty and how this may impact on their reintegration into the community. A study by Gopaul et al. (17) used the quality of life in brain injury questionnaire (QOLIBRI) in 105 TBI patients who had undergone a decompressive craniectomy and showed that anxiety and depression along with changes in cognition were the most significant challenges faced, but further qualitative research is warranted here to understand these interesting results better.

Any trauma or stroke can be a life-changing experience for not only the patient but also their friends and family. Severe brain injury as a result of trauma often leads to prolonged intensive care admissions, neurosurgical interventions and long periods of rehabilitation, and can lead to permanent disability and life-changing unexpected pressures. The risk of falls for patients following a brain-injury is always a concern for an MDT. Exacerbated following a craniectomy, due to physical impairments, often observed impulsive behaviours, cognitive impairments and dysequilibrium. A recent survey (18) showed the confidence of physiotherapists mobilising stroke patients post hemicraniectomy was lower than for those patients without a skull defect, demonstrating an understandable, more cautious approach with this cohort of patients. Patients post craniectomy may also be reluctant to engage in rehabilitation due to altered cosmetic appearance. This has the potential to impact on their rehabilitation pathway, self-esteem and mental health. If potential factors such as these and also, the timing of cranioplasty, difficulties with engagement in rehabilitation and social implications can be better understood then a patient's engagement in

1 rehabilitation has the potential to be maximised, and any potential barriers minimised, which would hopefully enable a  
2 more effective rehabilitation process for this cohort of patients.  
3  
4

## 5 **Expected impact of the study**

7 Findings from this study will provide an in-depth and comprehensive understanding of the impact a cranioplasty can  
8 have on patients and their families, including factors influencing recovery and engagement, the impact on  
9 rehabilitation pathways and cosmesis. Patients views as to the potential benefit of a novel external cranial plate to  
10 allow for improved cosmetic appearance has the potential to allow future development of the novel device. This will be  
11 the first study to explore the relationships, barriers and hurdles between these groups and further how patients view  
12 the cranioplasty and how this impacts their rehabilitation. Any new information generated from this study may be used  
13 towards future literature for a new series of cranioplasty, patient-focused, resources, both in print and on a digital  
14 platform. This work would be part of a broader collaboration, and this study would help inform this work.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

## 20 **Conclusions**

22 The cranioplasty is a routine and widely practised neurosurgical operation that is now starting to be considered as an  
23 integral part of a patient's rehabilitation. At a time when there is a multitude of issues that a TBI or stroke patient along  
24 with their families may have to adapt to, insight to some of the potential social barriers including issues related to  
25 social engagement, and cosmetic considerations would be beneficial. Currently, little is known about how this  
26 procedure impacts a patient's recovery, the patient's perceptions of rehabilitation pre and post cranioplasty and the  
27 broader issues of cosmesis and social reintegration. This study hopes to understand some of these issues and  
28 therefore help inform clinicians of some of the difficulties and perceptions that patients and their relatives may have.  
29 The study will also help develop future cranioplasty services both locally and nationally by bringing together views of  
30 HCP's from the various stages of the rehabilitation process.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

## 36 **Funding**

37 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.  
38  
39

## 40 **Author disclosure statement**

41 Nothing to declare.  
42

## 43 **Acknowledgements**

44 Peter Hutchinson is supported by a Research Professorship and Senior Investigator Award from the NIHR, the NIHR  
45 Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, a European Union Seventh Framework Program grant (CENTER-TBI; grant  
46 no. 602150), and the Royal College of Surgeons of England. Angelos Koliass is supported by a Clinical Lectureship,  
47 School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge and the Royal College of Surgeons of England.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

## 53 **References**

- 56 1. Hutchinson PJ, Koliass AG, Timofeev IS, Corteen EA, Czosnyka M, Timothy J, et al. Trial of Decompressive  
57 Craniectomy for Traumatic Intracranial Hypertension. *New Engl J Medicine*. 2016 Sep 22;375(12):1119–30.
- 58 2. Vahedi K, Hofmeijer J, Juettler E, Vicaut E, George B, Algra A, et al. Early decompressive surgery in malignant  
59 infarction of the middle cerebral artery: a pooled analysis of three randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Neurology*.  
60 2007 Mar;6(3):215–222.

3. Koliass AG, Kirkpatrick PJ, Hutchinson PJ. Decompressive craniectomy: past, present and future. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2013 Jul;9(7):405–415.
4. Aydin S, Kucukyuruk B, Abuzayed B, Aydin S, Sanus GZ. Cranioplasty: Review of materials and techniques. *J Neurosci Rural Pract*. 2011 Jul;2(2):162–7.
5. Malcolm JG, Rindler RS, Chu JK, Chokshi F, Grossberg JA, Pradilla G, et al. Early Cranioplasty is Associated with Greater Neurological Improvement: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neurosurgery*. 2018 Mar;82(3):278–288.
6. Truelle J-L, Koskinen S, Hawthorne G, Sarajuuri J, Formisano R, Wild KV, et al. quality of life after traumatic brain injury: The clinical use of the QOLIBRI, a novel disease-specific instrument. *Brain Injury*. 2010 Sep 9;24(11):1272–91.
7. Heale R, Forbes D. Understanding triangulation in research. *Evid Based Nurs*. 2013;16(4):98.
8. Malterud K, Siersma VD, Guassora AD. Sample Size in Qualitative Interview Studies. *Qual Health Res*. 2016;26(13):1753–60.
9. Sandelowski M. Sample size in qualitative research. *Res Nurs Health*. 1995;18(2):179–83.
10. Paterson B, Scott-Findlay S. Critical Issues in Interviewing People with Traumatic Brain Injury. *Qual Health Res*. 2002;12(3):399–409.
11. Riccardi F, Mizrahi T, Garcia ML, Korazim-Körösy Y, Blumsack A. Using ATLAS.ti in Qualitative Research for Analysing Inter-Disciplinary Community Collaboration. 2017;
12. Tuffour I. A Critical Overview of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: A Contemporary Qualitative Research Approach. *Journal of Healthcare Communications*. 2017;2(4).
13. Nowell LS, Norris JM, White DE, Moules NJ. Thematic Analysis. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 2017;16(1).
14. Antin TMJ, Constantine NA, Hunt G. Conflicting Discourses in Qualitative Research. *Field Methods*. 2015;27(3).
15. Jootun D, McGhee G, Marland GR. Reflexivity: promoting rigour in qualitative research. *Nurs Stand*. 2009;23(23):42–6.
16. Williamson GR. Illustrating triangulation in mixed-methods nursing research. *Nurse Researcher*. 2005;12(4):7–18.
17. Gopaul R, Wei ZD, Yan J, Gong FY, Xiao SW. Clinical study of quality of life of traumatic brain injury patients after decompressive craniectomy and related influencing factors. *Chin Neurosurg J*. 2016;2(1):33.
18. Budhdeo S, Meek T, Cosco TD, Turaga S, Chari A, Sharma N. Physiotherapist confidence level in mobilising stroke patients after decompressive hemicraniectomy: are helmets useful? *Biorxiv*. 2019;632604.

## Author statement

Dr H. Mee

[Hwjm2@cam.ac.uk](mailto:Hwjm2@cam.ac.uk)

Dr C. Clement

[c.clement@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:c.clement@bristol.ac.uk)

Dr F. Anwar

[fanwar@nhs.net](mailto:fanwar@nhs.net)

1 Ms G. Whiting  
2 [Gjw23@cam.ac.uk](mailto:Gjw23@cam.ac.uk)  
3

4  
5 Mr I. Timofeev  
6 [Ivan.timofeev@addenbrookes.nhs.uk](mailto:Ivan.timofeev@addenbrookes.nhs.uk)  
7

8  
9 Mr A. Helmy  
10 [Adel.helmy@addenbrookes.nhs.uk](mailto:Adel.helmy@addenbrookes.nhs.uk)  
11

12 Professor P. Hutchinson  
13 [Pjah2@cam.ac.uk](mailto:Pjah2@cam.ac.uk)  
14

15  
16 Mr A. Koliaş  
17 [angeloskoliaş@gmail.com](mailto:angeloskoliaş@gmail.com)  
18

19 Writing of manuscript: HM/CC  
20

21 Review and/or revision of manuscript: HM/CC/FA/GW/IT/AH/PH/AK  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For peer review only

# BMJ Open

## Exploring the experiences and challenges for patients undergoing cranioplasty: A mixed-methods study Protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-048072.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Sep-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Mee, Harry; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Clement, Clare; University of Bristol, School of Social and Community Medicine; University of Bristol Anwar, Fahim; University of Cambridge, Division of Rehabilitation Medicine, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Whiting, Gemma; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Timofeev, Ivan; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Helmy, Adel; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Hutchinson, Peter; University of Cambridge, Academic Neurosurgery Kolias, Angelos; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery; Division of Neurosurgery, University of Cambridge,
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Rehabilitation medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Qualitative research, Surgery
Keywords:	Neurosurgery < SURGERY, REHABILITATION MEDICINE, Neurological injury < NEUROLOGY, Stroke < NEUROLOGY, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

# Exploring the experiences and challenges for patients undergoing cranioplasty: A mixed-methods study Protocol

Mee H.<sup>1,2</sup>, Clement C.<sup>3</sup>, Anwar.F.<sup>2</sup>, Whiting G.<sup>1</sup>, Timofeev I.<sup>1</sup>, Helmy A.<sup>1</sup>, Hutchinson P.<sup>1</sup>, Kolias. A.<sup>1</sup>

1. Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge & Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK
2. Division of Rehabilitation Medicine, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge & Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK
3. Bristol Randomised Trials Collaboration, Bristol Trials Centre, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK,

## Corresponding Author:

Dr Harry Mee

Box 167, Neurosurgery Department

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust

Addenbrookes Hospital

Hills Road

Cambridge

CB2 0QQ

Email address: [hwjm2@cam.ac.uk](mailto:hwjm2@cam.ac.uk)

**Word count:** 3268 (excluding abstract, article summary and references)

# Abstract

## Introduction

Cranioplasty is a widely practised neurosurgical procedure aimed at reconstructing a skull defect, but its impact on a patient's rehabilitation following a traumatic brain injury (TBI) or stroke could be better understood. In addition, there are many issues that a TBI or stroke patient and their families may have to adapt to. Insight into some of the potential social barriers, including issues related to social engagement and cosmetic considerations, would be beneficial. Currently, little is known about how this procedure impacts a patient's recovery, the patient's perceptions of rehabilitation pre and post cranioplasty and the broader issues of cosmesis and social reintegration. This study hopes to understand some of these issues and therefore help inform clinicians of some of the difficulties and perceptions that patients and their relatives may have.

## Methods and analysis

A mixed-methods study. Data will be collected through focus groups with health care professionals (HCPs) and semi-structured interviews with patients and their relatives, field notes, a researcher diary, and a patient questionnaire. Different perspectives will be brought together through method triangulation. Patient and relative data will be analysed using Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis (IPA), and HCPs data will be analysed thematically using deductive and inductive coding.

## Ethics and dissemination

There is limited literature regarding a patient's perception of the cranioplasty process, the potential impact on rehabilitation and how this may impact their reintegration into the community. Findings from this study will provide an in-depth and comprehensive understanding of the impact a cranioplasty can have on patients and their families. New information generated from this study can be used to produce a new series of cranioplasty, patient-focused resources, both in print and on a digital platform. Ethical approval has been obtained from the Wales REC 7 ethics committee (Rec ref: 19/WA/0315).

**Keywords:** Cranioplasty. Mixed methods. Rehabilitation. Quality of life. Cosmesis



## Article Summary

### Strengths and Limitations of the study

- First mixed-methods study exploring the views and experiences of patients and their relatives who have had or are awaiting cranioplasty.
- Provides an understanding of the current clinical challenges within different cranioplasty services through the views of health care professionals and how this may impact the patient's recovery, rehabilitation, and social reintegration.
- Interpretive phenomenological analysis (IPA) studies are specific to the individuals' lived experiences and not necessarily a direct reflection in the broader cranioplasty cohort.
- The focus of this study is around cranioplasty following a TBI or Stroke; other types of brain injury that can result in a cranioplasty have not been discussed and would warrant further investigation.
- Knowledge generated from this study will help inform the multidisciplinary team on potentially improving cranioplasty services to improve patient care.

## Introduction

Following a severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) or stroke, life-threatening brain swelling may develop. In these instances, a decompressive craniectomy (DC) (1,2) can be performed, an operation where a large skull piece is removed, to control brain swelling and prevent death. The two most common indications for a DC are either a TBI or stroke. However, other indications include excision of tumours involving the skull, removal of bone flaps due to postoperative infection, and managing rarer causes of brain oedema and intracranial hypertension (3).

Cranioplasty is a neurosurgical procedure aimed at repairing the cranial defect (4) to help restore an intact cranial vault for brain protection, to aid in the prevention of falls by improving vestibular system equilibrium and mitigate against the syndrome of trephined, and for cosmetic purposes. It is considered in those patients who have undergone a craniectomy and survived acute care and treatment. It is often planned for when the patient is medically and surgically stable. The timing of cranioplasty varies greatly, and in the United Kingdom, it tends to be 6-12 months following the original craniectomy. However, a growing trend for an earlier cranioplasty results in timings within three months becoming increasingly common in some institutions. Apart from the apparent benefit of restoring a degree of mechanical protection to the brain, several studies suggest cranioplasty helps restore intracranial physiology, with a case study showing the integration of a wireless intracranial pressure monitor to aid in postoperative monitoring of these patients (5). These known pathophysiological manifestations and developing technologies help us better understand why there is often an improved neurological function (6) following cranioplasty, with a growing body of evidence showing that an early cranioplasty can enhance this effect further.

However, there is very little evidence exploring how cranioplasty affects a patient's recovery, rehabilitation, and social reintegration. A 'silent epidemic' is how Truelle et al. (7) describe TBI's with the long-term cognitive, emotional and physical impairments that can affect a patient's Quality of life through limitations of daily-life activities, self-image, and coping strategies. These, often life-changing issues are also commonly seen in stroke survivors. Besides, for TBI and stroke patients, a significant skull defect with further neurosurgical intervention is required during their rehabilitation, likely putting further strain on their

1 recovery. Therefore, it is vital to explore and understand this context in more depth, not only for direct patient benefit but also for  
2 future planning of cranioplasty practices and services.  
3  
4  
5

## 6 7 Aim and Objectives

### 8 9 Study aims

10 To explore the views and experiences of patients and their relatives who have had or are awaiting a cranioplasty and to understand  
11 the current clinical challenges within different cranioplasty services through the views of health care professionals and how this  
12 impacts the patient's recovery, rehabilitation and social reintegration.  
13  
14  
15

### 16 17 Study objectives

- 18 • To explore how cranioplasty impacts a patient's recovery, rehabilitation and social reintegration, including the influence of  
19 the timing of the cranioplasty, engagement in rehabilitation and potential psychosocial implications.
- 20 • To explore the views of healthcare professionals involved in the care of patients with craniectomy/cranioplasty and further  
21 understand current cranioplasty services and the challenges to patient engagement and recovery.
- 22 • To explore the approaches of rehabilitation that team members use with patients pre and post cranioplasty and how the timing  
23 of cranioplasty and patient's engagement with rehabilitation can influence outcomes.
- 24 • To explore patients' views regarding craniofacial cosmesis pre and post cranioplasty and how this may impact their  
25 engagement in the rehabilitation process and social reintegration and to understand patients' views of a novel external  
26 cosmetic cranioplasty currently under development.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

## 37 38 Methods and analysis

### 39 40 Study design

41 This is a mixed-methods study. Data will be collected through focus groups or interviews with Health Care Professionals (HCPs),  
42 semi-structured interviews with patients and their relatives, field notes, a researcher diary, and a patient questionnaire (Please see  
43 figure 1 for study overview).  
44  
45

### 46 47 Methodological underpinning

48 The two qualitative analytical processes being used in this study are: Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis (IPA)(8) and  
49 thematic analysis. IPA is informed by three key methodological approaches; phenomenology (study of structures of consciousness  
50 as experienced from the 1<sup>st</sup> person), hermeneutics (the theory of interpretation) and idiography (the study of individuals) (9).  
51 Phenomenology, was first introduced by Edmund Husserl, who argued we should interpret 'an experience' independent of our  
52 own beliefs, prior knowledge or the context and setting of that experience. It was later developed by Martin Heidegger (a scholar in  
53 the 1960s) who argued that it is not possible to take the subject's perceptions and experiences out of context from the real world.  
54 Instead, the interpretive approach helps examine contextual experiences in context with a particular environment and prior  
55 understandings. Therefore, IPA, using these methodological underpinnings allows for a commitment to explore, describe,  
56 interpret, and situate the participants' own experiences (10).  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Setting

The study will be conducted across different clinical environments, including acute hospital services, rehabilitation centres and the community, to capture a wide range of views and ensure the different stages of a patient's recovery and rehabilitation are explored.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

### Inclusion:

- Patients over 16 who have undergone a craniectomy and are either awaiting cranioplasty or have had cranioplasty for traumatic brain injury or stroke.
- The patient must be able to consent themselves to participate in an interview.
- Relatives of patients who are awaiting or have undergone a cranioplasty.
- Multidisciplinary team members in rehabilitation units, both acute and community-based, who specialise in rehabilitation patients who have had a craniectomy +/- cranioplasty.
- Clinicians who treat and care for patients who have had a craniectomy +/- cranioplasty.

### Exclusion:

- Patients 15 or younger who have undergone a craniectomy and are awaiting or have had a cranioplasty.
- Patients who cannot consent themselves for participation in the study.
- Non-English-speaking patients, relatives and HCPs.

All patients who meet the inclusion criteria will be considered. Their disability and recovery levels will be considered when planning and conducting interviews, and adaptive interview techniques will be used where appropriate (11).

## Recruitment and Sampling

Patients, relatives and HCPs will be sampled purposively to capture the maximum variation of views and experiences. They will be identified and recruited through hospital outpatient clinics, rehabilitation centres, brain injury charities and the UK cranial reconstruction registry, a prospective registry capturing implant data from the United Kingdom (12). Approximately 5-8 patients will be recruited, either awaiting a cranioplasty or following a cranioplasty, regardless of the type or degree of disability.

HCPs will be sampled according to their roles within the rehabilitation team and diverse experiences of caring and treating these patients across sites. The research questions will guide the number of participants, and sampling will stop when data saturation is reached (13)(14) it is estimated that there will be between 20-30 participants giving an overall study number of between 28-38.

## Data collection

Interviews will be conducted with patients and their relatives and will be conducted face-to-face. Focus groups or interviews if preferred by participants will be conducted with HCPs. Both interviews and focus groups will be guided by flexible topic guides developed based on the literature and study objectives. This will enable the researcher to focus on topics related to the research questions and allow participants to raise and discuss issues of importance to them. Box x outlines the topics for interviews with patients and their relatives. Focus groups and interviews will be audio-recorded, with written consent, and transcribed verbatim.

Patients who have sustained a TBI will also be asked to complete the Quality of life after brain injury questionnaire (QOLIBRI), a disease-specific measure of health-related Quality of life after traumatic brain injury (7), and stroke sufferers will be asked to complete the abbreviated version. The quality of life after brain injury (QOLIBRI) questionnaire is an instrument that has been

developed to assess health-related Quality of life after traumatic brain injury (TBI) (15). There are 37 items covering 6 domains: 'Cognition', 'self', 'daily life & autonomy', 'social relationships', 'emotions' and 'physical problems'. In this study, the QOLIBRI is being used as an additional data collection method to increase the understanding of the patient's experience. Patients will complete the appropriate questionnaire before the face-to-face interview and responses will be used to guide interview discussion.

Focus groups and interviews with HCPs will explore the current practice and how this can impact the patient across different rehabilitation settings. They will help develop a rich understanding of participant views and experiences. Topics for discussion will include timing of the cranioplasty, cosmesis, engagement in the rehabilitation pathway and social reintegration. In addition, the field notes and researcher diary will enhance and complement the data gained through the focus groups and interviews.

## Qualitative data analysis

The following data analysis techniques will be used:

### IPA

The purpose of using IPA is to focus on understanding cranioplasty from the perspective of the individual experience. This can provide a richer account of how an individual perceives and copes with what can be a complex and difficult part of their recovery. Each participants account is read and re-read with annotations and initial ideas recorded alongside the text, with thoughts, comments and observations forming the first part of a narrative. As part of an IPA analysis, it is essential to describe and interpret the data to understand the lived experience. Resultant generated themes develop during this iterative process with patterns and themes from the individual being connected with master themes identified before moving onto the next account. The data will be primarily analysed by (HM) but a second researcher (CC), will analyse a sub-set of the transcripts to add to study transparency and rigor. On-going discussions will be had between the research team to ensure the traceability and development of themes remains clear. Themes from individual accounts are then 'bracketed' (8) and once all accounts are analysed, patterns across the accounts can be explored. Subordinate themes which captured the shared experiences of participants can then be generated.

### Thematic analysis

HCP data will be analysed thematically (16). Thematic analysis provides a way of looking for patterns in data and connecting them to conceptualise themes (17) with comparisons being made across and within groups. This will allow for the analysis of general topics of interest and generate new information as the study proceeds. Both deductive and inductive coding will be used. Coding is the process undertaken to label and organise a qualitative dataset. Deductive coding entails having a pre-defined set of codes. For example, when a researcher knows the topics of interest in the data to analyse, these are assigned appropriately to the data set. In contrast, inductive coding is a ground up approach where codes are derived starts from scratch, from the data and themes are then developed.

#### Thematic analysis framework (17)

- Data familiarisation
- Generation of initial codes
- Theme are generated
- Reviewing themes
- Defining themes

### Field notes and diary

The study field notes and field diary will be both descriptive and reflective, and through a reflexive process will allow for a critical analysis of the influences posed by the researchers in the study (18).

1  
2  
3 The analysis will commence shortly after data collection, and findings will be used to inform lines of enquiry in further data  
4 collection and analysis. Qualitative data analysis software (ATLAS.ti) will facilitate data management and analysis.  
5  
6  
7

## 8 Quantitative data analysis 9

10 A standardised interpretation method will be adopted to analyse the QOLIBRI questionnaires, with the final scale between 0 and  
11 100 (0 being the worst possible Quality of life and 100 being the best). Total QOLIBRI score is used as health-related Quality of  
12 life, but the sub-categories can be analysed to provide greater detail in separate domains.  
13  
14  
15

## 16 Triangulation 17

18 The different types of data will be analysed separately and then be brought together through meta-matrix triangulation (19). This  
19 second-level analysis allows different data types to be linked together by creating a matrix into which the data is coded, allowing  
20 for themes to be generated. A triangulation strategy will allow the mixed data sources and findings to be brought together,  
21 allowing the formation of a more comprehensive and complete picture (20).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## 28 Discussion 29

30 There is limited literature regarding a patient's perception of the cranioplasty process, potential difficulties with rehabilitation  
31 before/after cranioplasty and how this may impact their reintegration into the community. A study by Gopaul et al. (21) used the  
32 Quality of life in brain injury questionnaire (QOLIBRI) in 105 TBI patients who had undergone a decompressive craniectomy and  
33 showed that anxiety and depression along with changes in cognition were the most significant challenges faced, but it was noted  
34 that further qualitative research was warranted here to understand these results better.  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 Any trauma or stroke can be a life-changing experience for the patient and their friends and family. Severe brain injury resulting  
40 from trauma often leads to prolonged intensive care admissions, neurosurgical interventions and long periods of rehabilitation and  
41 can lead to permanent disability and life-changing unexpected pressures. The risk of falls for patients following a brain injury is  
42 always a concern for an multidisciplinary team (MDT) which is often exacerbated following a craniectomy due to physical  
43 impairments, often observed impulsive behaviours, cognitive impairments and dysequilibrium. A recent survey (22) showed that  
44 the confidence of physiotherapists mobilising stroke patients post hemicraniectomy was lower than those without a skull defect,  
45 demonstrating a coherent, more cautious approach with this cohort of patients. We hypothesise that patients post craniectomy may  
46 be reluctant to engage in rehabilitation due to altered cosmetic appearance. This has the potential to impact their rehabilitation  
47 pathway, self-esteem and mental health. If potential factors such as these and the timing of cranioplasty, difficulties with  
48 engagement in rehabilitation and social implications can be better understood then a patient's engagement in rehabilitation has the  
49 potential to be maximised, and any potential barriers minimised, which would hopefully enable a more effective rehabilitation  
50 process for this cohort of patients.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

57 Cosmetic appearance following a DC can be challenging to overcome for some patients. Currently in its development phase, a  
58 novel external prosthesis intends to help patients overcome this anxiety by improving the skull contouring by applying this  
59 external prosthesis under a desired head garment. Critical to this development is the in-depth understanding of the patient's path  
60

1 from craniectomy to cranioplasty from their lived experience allowing for refinement and development of the external prosthesis  
2 if appropriate.  
3  
4

## 5 Study strengths and limitations

7 This is the first mixed-methods study exploring the views and experiences of patients and their relatives who have had or are  
8 awaiting cranioplasty which will provide important insights into the current clinical challenges from the perspectives of patients,  
9 their relatives and HCPs. Relating this to the potential impact on the patient's recovery and rehabilitation, will allow for improved  
10 development of patient care and cranioplasty services.  
11  
12

13 Limitations include the small sample size for IPA analysis, limited inclusivity of patients and cross-sectional nature of data  
14 collection. The lived experience of a small number of individuals, analysed in-depth using IPA may not be generalisable across a  
15 wider cohort. However, findings may be transferrable to patients with similar experiences and circumstance. By the very nature of  
16 a detailed, often lengthy, and sometimes challenging interview, it is only possible to enrol patients who can consent, who are  
17 cognitively able to engage in such discussions and who can write and who speak English. This may have excluded participants  
18 who may have different perspectives and experiences and limits inclusivity. In addition, it is common for patients to have other  
19 neurological disabilities, alongside their primary brain injury. These will be mitigated against as much as possible through  
20 purposive sampling and a range of time frames from cranioplasty. Collecting data as single time points limits insights into how  
21 participants views and experiences may change over time.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## 29 Expected impact of the study and future directions

30 Findings from this study will provide an in-depth and comprehensive understanding of the impact a cranioplasty can have on  
31 patients and their families, including factors influencing recovery and engagement, the impact on rehabilitation pathways and  
32 cosmesis. Patients' views on the potential benefit of a novel external cranial plate to allow for improved cosmetic appearance can  
33 allow future development of the novel device. This will be the first study to explore the relationships, barriers and hurdles  
34 between these groups and further how patients view the cranioplasty and how this impacts their rehabilitation. Any new  
35 information generated from this study will help in developing a new series of cranioplasty, patient-focused resources, both in print  
36 and on a digital platform. This work would be part of a broader collaboration, and this study would help inform this work.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 This study will help lay the foundations for a 5-year longitudinal study in cranioplasty follow up in terms of HRQoL, patient-  
43 reported complications, pain and cosmesis, which will be run in conjunction with the UK cranioplasty registry. In addition, the  
44 findings from this study will aid in the core areas of the cranioplasty health questionnaire, a patient-reported outcome measure  
45 specific to cranioplasty that is being developed for future clinical and academic work.  
46  
47  
48

## 49 Data protection

50 All data will be stored securely on password-protected computers and locked filing cabinets and will only be accessible to  
51 members of the research team. During transcription, transcripts will be anonymised with all identifiable information removed  
52 before analysis. Anonymised study information will be kept for 15 years following the conclusion of the study. Questionnaire data  
53 will be stored in a local site file and analysed by a study team member.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Patient and Public Involvement

Development of the study and protocol has been guided by a local Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) panel, who advised on the use of interviews and focus groups with the study population and technology. The format of the interview and focus groups was developed and changed due to the PPI group. On-going consultation on the best way to disseminate study results will be maintained.

## Ethics and dissemination

Consent will be required from all study participants before being interviewed, participating in a focus group or completing questionnaires. Some HCP interviews and focus groups will be over the telephone; then, verbal consent will be obtained.

In-depth interviews and focus groups may bring up sensitive topics and expose vulnerabilities. The study team are aware of this, with support before and after interviews available if required. Similarly, confidentiality will be paramount within staff interviews and focus groups, and it will be emphasised that what is discussed will remain confidential. For all interviews and focus groups, if the participant is becoming increasingly distressed or upset, the interview or focus group will be stopped, and the necessary support will be offered. If required, follow up phone calls could be arranged individually with a member of the study team. Data already collected will be retained.

Only patients with the capacity to consent for participation in the study will be eligible. Ethical approval has been obtained from the Wales REC 7 ethics committee (Rec Ref: 19/WA/0315).

This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki, adopted by the 18<sup>th</sup> World Medical Association (WMA) General Assembly, Helsinki, Finland, June 1964 and last revised by the 64<sup>th</sup> WMA General Assembly Fortaleza, Brazil, October 2013.

The results of this study will be presented at national brain injury conferences and published in peer-reviewed, national and international journals. Along-side this, a new set of cranioplasty, patient-focused resources, will be developed.

## Data Sharing

No additional data available.

## Funding

This work was supported by the BrainMedTech Co-operative from the Seedcorn Funding Competition 2019.

## Author disclosure statement

This study was designed and delivered in collaboration with the Bristol Trials Centre (BTC), a UKCRC Registered Clinical Trials Unit, receipt of National Institute for Health Research CTU support funding. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care.

## Acknowledgements

Peter Hutchinson is supported by a Research Professorship and Senior Investigator Award from the NIHR, the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, a European Union Seventh Framework Program grant (CENTER-TBI; grant no. 602150), and the Royal College of Surgeons of England. Angelos Kolias is supported by a Clinical Lectureship, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge and the Royal College of Surgeons of England. AH is supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, NIHR Brain Injury MedTech Co-operative and the Royal College of Surgeons of England.

## Author contribution

Writing of manuscript: HM/CC

Review and revision of the manuscript: HM/CC/FA/GW/IT/AH/PH/AK

## References

1. Hutchinson PJ, Kolias AG, Timofeev IS, Corteen EA, Czosnyka M, Timothy J, et al. Trial of Decompressive Craniectomy for Traumatic Intracranial Hypertension. *New Engl J Medicine*. 2016 Sep 22;375(12):1119–30.
2. Vahedi K, Hofmeijer J, Juettler E, Vicaut E, George B, Algra A, et al. Early decompressive surgery in malignant infarction of the middle cerebral artery: a pooled analysis of three randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Neurology*. 2007 Mar;6(3):215–222.
3. Kolias AG, Kirkpatrick PJ, Hutchinson PJ. Decompressive craniectomy: past, present and future. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2013 Jul;9(7):405–415.
4. Aydin S, Kucukyuruk B, Abuzayed B, Aydin S, Sanus GZ. Cranioplasty: Review of materials and techniques. *J Neurosci Rural Pract*. 2011 Jul;2(2):162–7.
5. Mitchell K-AS, Anderson W, Shay T, Huang J, Luciano M, Suarez JI, et al. First-In-Human Experience With Integration of Wireless Intracranial Pressure Monitoring Device Within a Customized Cranial Implant. *Oper Neurosurg*. 2020;19(3):341–50.
6. Malcolm JG, Rindler RS, Chu JK, Chokshi F, Grossberg JA, Pradilla G, et al. Early Cranioplasty is Associated with Greater Neurological Improvement: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neurosurgery*. 2018 Mar;82(3):278–288.
7. Truelle J-L, Koskinen S, Hawthorne G, Sarajuuri J, Formisano R, Wild KV, et al. Quality of life after traumatic brain injury: The clinical use of the QOLIBRI, a novel disease-specific instrument. *Brain Injury*. 2010 Sep 9;24(11):1272–91.
8. Smith JA, Flowers P, Larkin M. *Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and Research*. 2009.
9. Tindall L. J.A. Smith, P. Flower and M. Larkin (2009), *Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and Research*. *Qual Res Psychol*. 2009;6(4):346–7.



10. Tuffour I. A Critical Overview of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: A Contemporary Qualitative Research Approach. *Journal of Healthcare Communications*. 2017;2(4).
11. Paterson B, Scott-Findlay S. Critical Issues in Interviewing People with Traumatic Brain Injury. *Qual Health Res*. 2002;12(3):399–409.
12. Fountain DM, Henry J, Honeyman S, O'Connor P, Sekhon P, Piper RJ, et al. First Report of a Multicenter Prospective Registry of Cranioplasty in the United Kingdom and Ireland. *Neurosurgery*. 2021;
13. Sandelowski M. Sample size in qualitative research. *Res Nurs Health*. 1995;18(2):179–83.
14. Malterud K, Siersma VD, Guassora AD. Sample Size in Qualitative Interview Studies. *Qual Health Res*. 2016;26(13):1753–60.
15. Riccardi F, Mizrahi T, Garcia ML, Korazim-Körösy Y, Blumsack A. Using ATLAS.ti in Qualitative Research for Analyzing Inter-Disciplinary Community Collaboration. 2017;
16. Nowell LS, Norris JM, White DE, Moules NJ. Thematic Analysis. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 2017;16(1).
17. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol*. 2006;3(2):77–101.
18. Jootun D, McGhee G, Marland GR. Reflexivity: promoting rigour in qualitative research. *Nurs Stand*. 2009;23(23):42–6.
19. Wendler MC. Methodological Issues In Nursing Research. *Methodological Issues In Nursing Research*. 2001;4(35):521–5.
20. Williamson GR. Illustrating triangulation in mixed-methods nursing research. *Nurse Researcher*. 2005;12(4):7–18.
21. Gopaul R, Wei ZD, Yan J, Gong FY, Xiao SW. Clinical study of quality of life of traumatic brain injury patients after decompressive craniectomy and related influencing factors. *Chin Neurosurg J*. 2016;2(1):33.
22. Budhdeo S, Meek T, Cosco TD, Turaga S, Chari A, Sharma N. Physiotherapist confidence level in mobilising stroke patients after decompressive hemicraniectomy: are helmets useful? *Biorxiv*. 2019;632604.

### Author statement

Dr H. Mee

[Hwjm2@cam.ac.uk](mailto:Hwjm2@cam.ac.uk)

Msr C. Clement

[c.clement@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:c.clement@bristol.ac.uk)

Dr F. Anwar

[fanwar@nhs.net](mailto:fanwar@nhs.net)

1 Ms G. Whiting

2 [Gjw23@cam.ac.uk](mailto:Gjw23@cam.ac.uk)

5 Mr I. Timofeev

6 [Ivan.timofeev@addenbrookes.nhs.uk](mailto:Ivan.timofeev@addenbrookes.nhs.uk)

9 Mr A. Helmy

10 [Adel.helmy@addenbrookes.nhs.uk](mailto:Adel.helmy@addenbrookes.nhs.uk)

13 Professor P. Hutchinson

14 [Pjah2@cam.ac.uk](mailto:Pjah2@cam.ac.uk)

17 Mr A. Kolas


18 [angeloskolas@gmail.com](mailto:angeloskolas@gmail.com)

21 **Figure Caption**

22 Fig 1. Study flow diagram

For peer review only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



# BMJ Open

## Exploring the experiences and challenges for patients undergoing cranioplasty: A mixed-methods study Protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-048072.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Feb-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Mee, Harry; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Clement, Clare; University of Bristol, School of Social and Community Medicine; University of Bristol Anwar, Fahim; University of Cambridge, Division of Rehabilitation Medicine, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Whiting, Gemma; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Timofeev, Ivan; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Helmy, Adel; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences Hutchinson, Peter; University of Cambridge, Academic Neurosurgery Kolias, Angelos; University of Cambridge, Division of Neurosurgery; Division of Neurosurgery, University of Cambridge,
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Rehabilitation medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Qualitative research, Surgery
Keywords:	Neurosurgery < SURGERY, REHABILITATION MEDICINE, Neurological injury < NEUROLOGY, Stroke < NEUROLOGY, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

# Exploring the experiences and challenges for patients undergoing cranioplasty: A mixed-methods study Protocol

Mee H.<sup>1,2</sup>, Clement C.<sup>3</sup>, Anwar.F.<sup>2</sup>, Whiting G.<sup>1</sup>, Timofeev I.<sup>1</sup>, Helmy A.<sup>1</sup>, Hutchinson P.<sup>1</sup>, Kolias. A.<sup>1</sup>

1. Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge & Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK
2. Division of Rehabilitation Medicine, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge & Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK
3. Bristol Trials Centre, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK,

## Corresponding Author:

Dr Harry Mee

Box 167, Neurosurgery Department

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust

Addenbrookes Hospital

Hills Road

Cambridge

CB2 0QQ

Email address: [hwjm2@cam.ac.uk](mailto:hwjm2@cam.ac.uk)

**Word count:** 3553 (excluding abstract, article summary and references)

# Abstract

## Introduction

Cranioplasty is a widely practised neurosurgical procedure aimed at reconstructing a skull defect, but its impact on a patient's rehabilitation following a traumatic brain injury (TBI) or stroke could be better understood. In addition, there are many issues that a TBI or stroke patient and their families may have to adapt to. Insight into some of the potential social barriers, including issues related to social engagement and cosmetic considerations, would be beneficial. Currently, little is known about how this procedure impacts a patient's recovery, the patient's perceptions of rehabilitation pre and post cranioplasty and the broader issues of cosmesis and social reintegration. This study hopes to understand some of these issues and therefore help inform clinicians of some of the difficulties and perceptions that patients and their relatives may have.

## Methods and analysis

A mixed-methods study. Data will be collected through focus groups with health care professionals (HCPs) and semi-structured interviews with patients and their relatives, field notes, a researcher diary, and a patient questionnaire. Different perspectives will be brought together through method triangulation. Patient and relative data will be analysed using Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis (IPA), and HCPs data will be analysed thematically using deductive and inductive coding.

## Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval has been obtained from the Wales REC 7 ethics committee (Rec ref: 19/WA/0315).

There is limited literature regarding a patient's perception of the cranioplasty process, the potential impact on rehabilitation and how this may impact their reintegration into the community. The results of this study will be presented at national brain injury conferences and published in peer-reviewed, national and international journals.

**Keywords:** Cranioplasty. Mixed methods. Rehabilitation. Quality of life. Cosmesis

## Article Summary

### Strengths and Limitations of the study

- First mixed-methods study exploring the views and experiences of patients and their relatives who have had or are awaiting cranioplasty.
- Interpretive phenomenological analysis (IPA) studies are specific to the individuals' lived experiences and not necessarily a direct reflection in the broader cranioplasty cohort.
- In depth interviews allows for a detailed understanding of patients' lived experience.
- The focus of this study is around cranioplasty following a TBI or Stroke; other types of brain injury that can result in a cranioplasty have not been discussed and would warrant further investigation.
- Using a mixed method approach which includes qualitative and quantitative data and multiple analysis approaches will enable a more comprehensive understanding of how people understand and experience cranioplasty.

## Introduction

Following a severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) or stroke, life-threatening brain swelling may develop. In these instances, a decompressive craniectomy (DC) (1,2) can be performed, an operation where a large skull piece is removed, to control brain swelling and prevent death. The two most common indications for a DC are either a TBI or stroke. However, other indications include excision of tumours involving the skull, removal of bone flaps due to postoperative infection, and managing rarer causes of brain oedema and intracranial hypertension (3).

Cranioplasty is a neurosurgical procedure aimed at repairing the cranial defect (4) to help restore an intact cranial vault for brain protection, to aid in the prevention of falls by improving vestibular system equilibrium and mitigate against the syndrome of trephined, and for cosmetic purposes. It is considered in those patients who have undergone a craniectomy and survived acute care and treatment. It is often planned for when the patient is medically and surgically stable. The timing of cranioplasty varies greatly, and in the United Kingdom, it tends to be 6-12 months following the original craniectomy. However, a growing trend for an earlier cranioplasty results in timings within three months becoming increasingly common in some institutions. Apart from the apparent benefit of restoring a degree of mechanical protection to the brain, several studies suggest cranioplasty helps restore intracranial physiology, with a case study showing the integration of a wireless intracranial pressure monitor to aid in postoperative monitoring of these patients (5). These known pathophysiological manifestations and developing technologies help us better understand why there is often an improved neurological function (6) following cranioplasty, with a growing body of evidence showing that an early cranioplasty can enhance this effect further.

However, there is very little evidence exploring how cranioplasty affects a patient's recovery, rehabilitation, and social reintegration. A 'silent epidemic' is how Truelle et al. (7) describe TBI's with the long-term cognitive, emotional and physical impairments that can affect a patient's Quality of life through limitations of daily-life activities, self-image, and coping strategies. These, often life-changing issues are also commonly seen in stroke survivors. Besides, for TBI and stroke patients, a significant

1 skull defect with further neurosurgical intervention is required during their rehabilitation, likely putting further strain on their  
2 recovery. Therefore, it is vital to explore and understand this context in more depth, not only for direct patient benefit but also for  
3 future planning of cranioplasty practices and services.  
4  
5  
6  
7

## 8 Aim and Objectives 9

### 10 Study aims

11 To explore the views and experiences of patients and their relatives who have had or are awaiting a cranioplasty and to understand  
12 the current clinical challenges within different cranioplasty services through the views of health care professionals and how this  
13 impacts the patient's recovery, rehabilitation and social reintegration.  
14  
15  
16

### 17 Study objectives

- 18 • To explore how cranioplasty impacts a patient's recovery, rehabilitation and social reintegration, including the influence of  
19 the timing of the cranioplasty, engagement in rehabilitation and potential psychosocial implications.
- 20 • To explore the views of healthcare professionals involved in the care of patients with craniectomy/cranioplasty and further  
21 understand current cranioplasty services and the challenges to patient engagement and recovery.
- 22 • To explore the approaches of rehabilitation that team members use with patients pre and post cranioplasty and how the timing  
23 of cranioplasty and patient's engagement with rehabilitation can influence outcomes.
- 24 • To explore patients' views regarding craniofacial cosmesis pre and post cranioplasty and how this may impact their  
25 engagement in the rehabilitation process and social reintegration and to understand patients' views of a novel external  
26 cosmetic cranioplasty currently under development.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

## 38 Methods and analysis 39

### 40 Study design

41 This is a mixed-methods study. Data will be collected through focus groups or interviews with Health Care Professionals (HCPs),  
42 semi-structured interviews with patients and their relatives, field notes, a researcher diary, and a patient questionnaire (Please see  
43 figure 1 for study overview).  
44  
45  
46  
47

### 48 Methodological underpinning

49 The two qualitative analytical processes being used in this study are: Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis (IPA)(8) and  
50 thematic analysis. IPA is informed by three key methodological approaches; phenomenology (study of structures of consciousness  
51 as experienced from the 1<sup>st</sup> person), hermeneutics (the theory of interpretation) and idiography (the study of individuals) (9).  
52 Phenomenology, was first introduced by Edmund Husserl, who argued we should interpret 'an experience' independent of our  
53 own beliefs, prior knowledge or the context and setting of that experience. It was later developed by Martin Heidegger (a scholar in  
54 the 1960s) who argued that it is not possible to take the subject's perceptions and experiences out of context from the real world.  
55 Instead, the interpretive approach helps examine contextual experiences in context with a particular environment and prior  
56 understandings. Therefore, IPA, using these methodological underpinnings allows for a commitment to explore, describe,  
57 interpret, and situate the participants' own experiences (10).  
58  
59  
60



## Setting

The study will be conducted across different clinical environments, including acute hospital services, rehabilitation centres and the community, to capture a wide range of views and ensure the different stages of a patient's recovery and rehabilitation are explored.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

### Inclusion:

- Patients over 16 who have undergone a craniectomy and are either awaiting cranioplasty or have had cranioplasty for traumatic brain injury or stroke.
- The patient must be able to consent themselves to participate in an interview.
- Relatives of patients who are awaiting or have undergone a cranioplasty.
- Multidisciplinary team members in rehabilitation units, both acute and community-based, who specialise in rehabilitation patients who have had a craniectomy +/- cranioplasty.
- Clinicians who treat and care for patients who have had a craniectomy +/-cranioplasty.

### Exclusion:

- Patients 15 or younger who have undergone a craniectomy and are awaiting or have had a cranioplasty.
- Patients who cannot consent themselves for participation in the study.
- Non-English-speaking patients, relatives and HCPs.

All patients who meet the inclusion criteria will be considered. Their disability and recovery levels will be considered when planning and conducting interviews, and adaptive interview techniques will be used where appropriate (11).

## Recruitment and Sampling

Patients, relatives and HCPs will be sampled purposively to capture the maximum variation of views and experiences. They will be identified and recruited through hospital outpatient clinics, rehabilitation centres, brain injury charities and the UK cranial reconstruction registry, a prospective registry capturing implant data from the United Kingdom (12). Approximately 5-8 patients will be recruited, either awaiting a cranioplasty or following a cranioplasty, regardless of the type or degree of disability.

HCPs will be sampled according to their roles within the rehabilitation team and diverse experiences of caring and treating these patients across sites. The research questions will guide the number of participants, and sampling will stop when data saturation is reached (13)(14) it is estimated that there will be between 20-30 participants giving an overall study number of between 28-38.

## Data collection

Interviews will be conducted with patients and their relatives and will be conducted face-to-face. Focus groups or interviews if preferred by participants will be conducted with HCPs. Both interviews and focus groups will be guided by flexible topic guides developed based on the literature and study objectives. This will enable the researcher to focus on topics related to the research questions and allow participants to raise and discuss issues of importance to them. Box x outlines the topics for interviews with patients and their relatives. Focus groups and interviews will be audio-recorded, with written consent, and transcribed verbatim.

Patients who have sustained a TBI will also be asked to complete the Quality of life after brain injury questionnaire (QOLIBRI), a disease-specific measure of health-related Quality of life after traumatic brain injury (7), and stroke sufferers will be asked to

complete the abbreviated version. The quality of life after brain injury (QOLIBRI) questionnaire is an instrument that has been developed to assess health-related Quality of life after traumatic brain injury (TBI) (15). There are 37 items covering 6 domains: 'Cognition', 'self', 'daily life & autonomy', 'social relationships', 'emotions' and 'physical problems. In this study, the QOLIBRI is being used as an additional data collection method to increase the understanding of the patient's experience. Patients will complete the appropriate questionnaire before the face-to-face interview and responses will be used to guide interview discussion. For all interviews and focus groups if the participant/s are become increasingly distressed or upset, then the interview or focus group will be stopped and in these circumstances, the data excluded. This would be at the wishes of the participant.

Focus groups and interviews with HCPs will explore the current practice and how this can impact the patient across different rehabilitation settings. They will help develop a rich understanding of participant views and experiences. Topics for discussion will include timing of the cranioplasty, cosmesis, engagement in the rehabilitation pathway and social reintegration.

In addition, the field notes and researcher diary will enhance and complement the data gained through the focus groups and interviews.

## Qualitative data analysis

The following data analysis techniques will be used:

### IPA

The purpose of using IPA is to focus on understanding cranioplasty from the perspective of the individual experience. This can provide a richer account of how an individual perceives and copes with what can be a complex and difficult part of their recovery. Each participants account is read and re-read with annotations and initial ideas recorded alongside the text, with thoughts, comments and observations forming the first part of a narrative. As part of an IPA analysis, it is essential to describe and interpret the data to understand the lived experience. Resultant generated themes develop during this iterative process with patterns and themes from the individual being connected with master themes identified before moving onto the next account. The data will be primarily analysed by (HM) but a second researcher (CC), will analyse a sub-set of the transcripts to add to study transparency and rigor. On-going discussions will be had between the research team to ensure the traceability and development of themes remains clear. Themes from individual accounts are then 'bracketed' (8) and once all accounts are analysed, patterns across the accounts can be explored. Subordinate themes which captured the shared experiences of participants can then be generated.

### Thematic analysis

HCP data will be analysed thematically (16). Thematic analysis provides a way of looking for patterns in data and connecting them to conceptualise themes (17) with comparisons being made across and within groups. This will allow for the analysis of general topics of interest and generate new information as the study proceeds. Both deductive and inductive coding will be used. Coding is the process undertaken to label and organise a qualitative dataset. Deductive coding entails having a pre-defined set of codes. For example, when a researcher knows the topics of interest in the data to analyse, these are assigned appropriately to the data set. In contrast, inductive coding is a ground up approach where codes are derived starts from scratch, from the data and themes are then developed.

#### Thematic analysis framework (17)

- Data familiarisation
- Generation of initial codes
- Theme are generated
- Reviewing themes
- Defining themes

## Field notes and diary

The study field notes and field diary will be both descriptive and reflective, and through a reflexive process will allow for a critical analysis of the influences posed by the researchers in the study (18).

The analysis will commence shortly after data collection, and findings will be used to inform lines of enquiry in further data collection and analysis. Qualitative data analysis software (ATLAS.ti) will facilitate data management and analysis.

## Quantitative data analysis

A standardised interpretation method will be adopted to analyse the QOLIBRI questionnaires, with the final scale between 0 and 100 (0 being the worst possible Quality of life and 100 being the best). Total QOLIBRI score is used as health-related Quality of life, but the sub-categories can be analysed to provide greater detail in separate domains.

## Triangulation

The different types of data will be analysed separately and then be brought together through meta-matrix triangulation (19). This second-level analysis allows different data types to be linked together by creating a matrix into which the data is coded, allowing for themes to be generated. A triangulation strategy will allow the mixed data sources and findings to be brought together, allowing the formation of a more comprehensive and complete picture (20).

## Discussion

There is limited literature regarding a patient's perception of the cranioplasty process, potential difficulties with rehabilitation before/after cranioplasty and how this may impact their reintegration into the community. A study by Gopaul et al. (21) used the Quality of life in brain injury questionnaire (QOLIBRI) in 105 TBI patients who had undergone a decompressive craniectomy and showed that anxiety and depression along with changes in cognition were the most significant challenges faced, but it was noted that further qualitative research was warranted here to understand these results better.

Any trauma or stroke can be a life-changing experience for the patient and their friends and family. Severe brain injury resulting from trauma often leads to prolonged intensive care admissions, neurosurgical interventions and long periods of rehabilitation and can lead to permanent disability and life-changing unexpected pressures. The risk of falls for patients following a brain injury is always a concern for an multidisciplinary team (MDT) which is often exacerbated following a craniectomy due to physical impairments, often observed impulsive behaviours, cognitive impairments and dysequilibrium. A recent survey (22) showed that the confidence of physiotherapists mobilising stroke patients post hemicraniectomy was lower than those without a skull defect, demonstrating a coherent, more cautious approach with this cohort of patients. We hypothesise that patients post craniectomy may be reluctant to engage in rehabilitation due to altered cosmetic appearance. This has the potential to impact their rehabilitation pathway, self-esteem and mental health. If potential factors such as these and the timing of cranioplasty, difficulties with engagement in rehabilitation and social implications can be better understood then a patient's engagement in rehabilitation has the potential to be maximised, and any potential barriers minimised, which would hopefully enable a more effective rehabilitation process for this cohort of patients.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
Cosmetic appearance following DC can be challenging to overcome for some patients. A novel external prosthesis, which would fit within a series of head garments to aid with skull contouring is being developed and the opinion of patients is key to its success. A number of prototypes made from varying materials, with a range of head garments will be shown to patients for views and feedback. This will be part of the interview around the broader subject of cosmesis and will be critical to the development and functionality of the external prosthesis.

## 10 Study strengths and limitations

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
This is the first mixed-methods study exploring the views and experiences of patients and their relatives who have had or are awaiting cranioplasty which will provide important insights into the current clinical challenges from the perspectives of patients, their relatives and HCPs. Relating this to the potential impact on the patient's recovery and rehabilitation, will allow for improved development of patient care and cranioplasty services.

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
Limitations include the small sample size for IPA analysis, limited inclusivity of patients and cross-sectional nature of data collection. The lived experience of a small number of individuals, analysed in-depth using IPA may not be generalisable across a wider cohort. However, findings may be transferrable to patients with similar experiences and circumstance. By the very nature of a detailed, often lengthy, and sometimes challenging interview, it is only possible to enrol patients who can consent, who are cognitively able to engage in such discussions and who can write and who speak English. This may have excluded participants who may have different perspectives and experiences and limits inclusivity. In addition, it is common for patients to have other neurological disabilities, alongside their primary brain injury. These will be mitigated against as much as possible through purposive sampling and a range of time frames from cranioplasty. Longitudinal evaluation of patients is very important in being able to better understand the impact of cranioplasty. It is not possible to follow up the same patient over a long period of time in this study, however, different patients will be interviewed regardless of time point from cranioplasty and so individual views will be captured at different time points. Wider education and training should be available for HCP's but is beyond the scope of this study. Hopefully the knowledge generated will help to bridge this gap.

## 41 Expected impact of the study and future directions

42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
Findings from this study will provide an in-depth and comprehensive understanding of the impact a cranioplasty can have on patients and their families, including factors influencing recovery and engagement, the impact on rehabilitation pathways and cosmesis. Patients' views on the potential benefit of a novel external cranial plate to allow for improved cosmetic appearance can allow future development of the novel device. This will be the first study to explore the relationships, barriers and hurdles between these groups and further how patients view the cranioplasty and how this impacts their rehabilitation. Any new information generated from this study will help in developing a new series of cranioplasty, patient-focused resources, both in print and on a digital platform. This work would be part of a broader collaboration, and this study would help inform this work.

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
This study will help lay the foundations for a 5-year longitudinal study in cranioplasty follow up in terms of HRQoL, patient-reported complications, pain and cosmesis, which will be run in conjunction with the UK cranioplasty registry. In addition, the findings from this study will aid in the core areas of the cranioplasty health questionnaire, a patient-reported outcome measure specific to cranioplasty that is being developed for future clinical and academic work.

## Data protection

All data will be stored securely on password-protected computers and locked filing cabinets and will only be accessible to members of the research team. During transcription, transcripts will be anonymised with all identifiable information removed before analysis. Anonymised study information will be kept for 15 years following the conclusion of the study. Questionnaire data will be stored in a local site file and analysed by a study team member.

## Patient and Public Involvement

Development of the study and protocol has been guided by a local Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) panel, who advised on the use of interviews and focus groups with the study population and technology. The format of the interview and focus groups was developed and changed due to the PPI group. On-going consultation on the best way to disseminate study results will be maintained.

## Ethics and dissemination

Consent will be required from all study participants before being interviewed, participating in a focus group or completing questionnaires. Some HCP interviews and focus groups will be over the telephone; then, verbal consent will be obtained.

In-depth interviews and focus groups may bring up sensitive topics and expose vulnerabilities. The study team are aware of this, with support before and after interviews available if required. Similarly, confidentiality will be paramount within staff interviews and focus groups, and it will be emphasised that what is discussed will remain confidential. For all interviews and focus groups, if the participant is becoming increasingly distressed or upset, the interview or focus group will be stopped, and the necessary support will be offered. If required, follow up phone calls could be arranged individually with a member of the study team. Data already collected will be retained.

Only patients with the capacity to consent for participation in the study will be eligible. Ethical approval has been obtained from the Wales REC 7 ethics committee (Rec Ref: 19/WA/0315).

This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki, adopted by the 18<sup>th</sup> World Medical Association (WMA) General Assembly, Helsinki, Finland, June 1964 and last revised by the 64<sup>th</sup> WMA General Assembly Fortaleza, Brazil, October 2013.

The results of this study will be presented at national brain injury conferences and published in peer-reviewed, national and international journals. Along-side this, a new set of cranioplasty, patient-focused resources, will be developed.

Findings from this study will provide an in-depth and comprehensive understanding of the impact a cranioplasty can have on patients and their families. New information generated from this study can be used to produce a new series of cranioplasty, patient-focused resources, both in print and on a digital platform.

## Data Sharing

No additional data available.

## Funding

This work was supported by the BrainMedTech Co-operative from the Seedcorn Funding Competition 2019.

## Author disclosure statement

This study was designed and delivered in collaboration with the Bristol Trials Centre (BTC), a UKCRC Registered Clinical Trials Unit, receipt of National Institute for Health Research CTU support funding. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care.

## Acknowledgements

Peter Hutchinson is supported by a Research Professorship and Senior Investigator Award from the NIHR, the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, a European Union Seventh Framework Program grant (CENTER-TBI; grant no. 602150), and the Royal College of Surgeons of England. Angelos Koliaş is supported by a Clinical Lectureship, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge and the Royal College of Surgeons of England. AH is supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, NIHR Brain Injury MedTech Co-operative and the Royal College of Surgeons of England. CC works with the Bristol Trials Centre (BTC), a UKCRC registered clinical trials unit which is in receipt of National Institute for Health Research CTU support funding.

## Author contribution

Writing of manuscript: HM/CC

Review and revision of the manuscript: HM/CC/FA/GW/IT/AH/PH/AK

## References

1. Hutchinson PJ, Koliaş AG, Timofeev IS, Corteen EA, Czosnyka M, Timothy J, et al. Trial of Decompressive Craniectomy for Traumatic Intracranial Hypertension. *New Engl J Medicine*. 2016 Sep 22;375(12):1119–30.
2. Vahedi K, Hofmeijer J, Juettler E, Vicaut E, George B, Algra A, et al. Early decompressive surgery in malignant infarction of the middle cerebral artery: a pooled analysis of three randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Neurology*. 2007 Mar;6(3):215–222.
3. Koliaş AG, Kirkpatrick PJ, Hutchinson PJ. Decompressive craniectomy: past, present and future. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2013 Jul;9(7):405–415.
4. Aydin S, Kucukyuruk B, Abuzayed B, Aydin S, Sanus GZ. Cranioplasty: Review of materials and techniques. *J Neurosci Rural Pract*. 2011 Jul;2(2):162–7.
5. Mitchell K-AS, Anderson W, Shay T, Huang J, Luciano M, Suarez JI, et al. First-In-Human Experience With Integration of Wireless Intracranial Pressure Monitoring Device Within a Customized Cranial Implant. *Oper Neurosurg*. 2020;19(3):341–50.
6. Malcolm JG, Rindler RS, Chu JK, Chokshi F, Grossberg JA, Pradilla G, et al. Early Cranioplasty is Associated with Greater Neurological Improvement: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neurosurgery*. 2018 Mar;82(3):278–288.

- 1 7. Truelle J-L, Koskinen S, Hawthorne G, Sarajuuri J, Formisano R, Wild KV, et al. Quality of life after traumatic brain injury:  
2 The clinical use of the QOLIBRI, a novel disease-specific instrument. *Brain Injury*. 2010 Sep 9;24(11):1272–91.
- 3
- 4
- 5 8. Smith JA, Flowers P, Larkin M. *Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and Research*. 2009.
- 6
- 7
- 8 9. Tindall L. J.A. Smith, P. Flower and M. Larkin (2009), *Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and*  
9 *Research*. *Qual Res Psychol*. 2009;6(4):346–7.
- 10
- 11
- 12 10. Tuffour I. A Critical Overview of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: A Contemporary Qualitative Research  
13 Approach. *Journal of Healthcare Communications*. 2017;2(4).
- 14
- 15
- 16 11. Paterson B, Scott-Findlay S. Critical Issues in Interviewing People with Traumatic Brain Injury. *Qual Health Res*.  
17 2002;12(3):399–409.
- 18
- 19
- 20 12. Fountain DM, Henry J, Honeyman S, O'Connor P, Sekhon P, Piper RJ, et al. First Report of a Multicenter Prospective  
21 Registry of Cranioplasty in the United Kingdom and Ireland. *Neurosurgery*. 2021;
- 22
- 23
- 24 13. Sandelowski M. Sample size in qualitative research. *Res Nurs Health*. 1995;18(2):179–83.
- 25
- 26
- 27 14. Malterud K, Siersma VD, Guassora AD. Sample Size in Qualitative Interview Studies. *Qual Health Res*. 2016;26(13):1753–  
28 60.
- 29
- 30
- 31 15. Riccardi F, Mizrahi T, Garcia ML, Korazim-Körösy Y, Blumsack A. Using ATLAS.ti in Qualitative Research for Analyzing  
32 Inter-Disciplinary Community Collaboration. 2017;
- 33
- 34
- 35 16. Nowell LS, Norris JM, White DE, Moules NJ. Thematic Analysis. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 2017;16(1).
- 36
- 37
- 38 17. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol*. 2006;3(2):77–101.
- 39
- 40 18. Jootun D, McGhee G, Marland GR. Reflexivity: promoting rigour in qualitative research. *Nurs Stand*. 2009;23(23):42–6.
- 41
- 42
- 43 19. Wendler MC. Methodological Issues In Nursing Research. *Methodological Issues In Nursing Research*. 2001;4(35):521–5.
- 44
- 45
- 46 20. Williamson GR. Illustrating triangulation in mixed-methods nursing research. *Nurse Researcher*. 2005;12(4):7–18.
- 47
- 48 21. Gopaul R, Wei ZD, Yan J, Gong FY, Xiao SW. Clinical study of quality of life of traumatic brain injury patients after  
49 decompressive craniectomy and related influencing factors. *Chin Neurosurg J*. 2016;2(1):33.
- 50
- 51
- 52 22. Budhdeo S, Meek T, Cosco TD, Turaga S, Chari A, Sharma N. Physiotherapist confidence level in mobilising stroke patients  
53 after decompressive hemicraniectomy: are helmets useful? *Biorxiv*. 2019;632604.
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58

## 59 Author statement

60 Dr H. Mee

1 [Hwj2@cam.ac.uk](mailto:Hwj2@cam.ac.uk)

2  
3 Msr C. Clement

4 [c.clement@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:c.clement@bristol.ac.uk)

6  
7 Dr F. Anwar

8 [fanwar@nhs.net](mailto:fanwar@nhs.net)

10  
11 Ms G. Whiting

12 [Gjw23@cam.ac.uk](mailto:Gjw23@cam.ac.uk)

14  
15 Mr I. Timofeev

16 [Ivan.timofeev@addenbrookes.nhs.uk](mailto:Ivan.timofeev@addenbrookes.nhs.uk)

18  
19 Mr A. Helmy

20 [Adel.helmy@addenbrookes.nhs.uk](mailto:Adel.helmy@addenbrookes.nhs.uk)

22  
23 Professor P. Hutchinson

24 [Pjah2@cam.ac.uk](mailto:Pjah2@cam.ac.uk)

26  
27 Mr A. Kolas

28 [angeloskolas@gmail.com](mailto:angeloskolas@gmail.com)

30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35 **Figure Caption**

36 Fig 1. Study flow diagram



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

