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# 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Title</strong></th>
<th>SYNchronizing Exercises, Remedies in Gait and Cognition @Home (SYNERGIC@Home/SYNERGIE~Chez soi): Feasibility of a Home-Based Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial to Improve Gait and Cognition in Individuals at Risk for Dementia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Background &amp; Rationale</strong></td>
<td>In Canada, it is estimated that there are currently over 500,000 older adults living with Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias (ADRDs). Encouragingly, close to a third of ADRD cases could be prevented by addressing modifiable risk factors. Physical exercise and cognitive training are emerging interventions that have the potential to enhance cognitive function and mobility in older adults with Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI). The SYNERGIC trial (SYNchronizing Exercises, Remedies in Gait and Cognition), a large multi-site randomized control trial, showed promising preliminary data that combined aerobic exercise and progressive resistance training (AE+RT) with cognitive training (NEUROPEAK™) had a better effect on cognition than a balance and toning control (BAT) intervention and control cognitive training with web search and video (WS+V) activities. While these interventions were provided face to face in a research facility, little is known about the feasibility of providing these multi-domain interventions in older adults at home.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Study Design** | This feasibility study is a factorial design Randomized Control Trial (RCT) in which participants will be randomized (in blocks of 4) into one of four arms:  

- **Arm 1:** Combined exercise (AE+RT) + Cognitive training (Neuropeak)  
- **Arm 2:** Combined exercise (AE+RT) + Control cognitive training (WS+V)  
- **Arm 3:** Control exercise (BAT) + Cognitive training (Neuropeak)  
- **Arm 4:** Control exercise (BAT) + Control cognitive training (WS+V)  

Note: The active interventions are in bold. Arm 4 has the active control interventions. |
Study Duration | Estimated duration of entire trial period is approximately 24 months.
--- | ---
Number of Participants | N = 64 community-dwelling older adult participants.
Target Population | All Participants:
| • Ages 60-90.
| • Has a Family Physician or a Nurse Practitioner.
| • Internet access (have regular access to email), technology ability (able to send and receive emails), and access to a home computer and/or laptop computer device.
| • Self-reported levels of proficiency in English and/or French for speaking and understanding spoken and written language.
| • Able to comply with scheduled home-based assessments, interventions, treatment plan, and other trial procedures.
| • Able to ambulate at least 10 meters independently with or without a walking aid.
| • Being at risk of developing dementia:
| a) **Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI).** Diagnosis of Mild Cognitive Impairment, in accordance with the Comprehensive Assessment of Neurodegeneration and Dementia COMPASS-ND study definition (see Table 1).
| b) **Subjective Cognitive Impairment (SCI).** Diagnosis of Subjective Cognitive Impairment, in accordance with COMPASS-ND study definition (see Table 1).
| c) **Cognitively Intact with Risk Factors.** Cognitively intact based on COMPASS-ND definition (in Table 1) AND have a history of **two or more risk factors** for dementia, defined as the following:
| ▪ Obesity
| ▪ Hypertension
| ▪ Diabetes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ▪ A diagnosis of dementia.  
| ▪ Participants living in Nursing Homes or Adult Residential Facilities (Special Care Homes) will be excluded.  
| ▪ Serious underlying disease, which, in the opinion of the study physician excludes engagement in interventions or may interfere with the participant’s ability to participate fully in the study.  
| ▪ Has had surgery within the last two months or has planned surgery in the coming 12 months that, deemed by the study physician, could interfere with the participant’s vision, hearing, mobility or any other ability to participate in the study.  
| ▪ Has a history of intracranial surgery.  
| ▪ Regular Benzodiazepine use by a participant that the study physician determines to be significant enough to interfere with the participants ability to participate in the assessments and interventions in the study will be excluded.  
| ▪ Presence of major depression, schizophrenia, severe anxiety or drug/alcohol abuse or other medical illness that would prohibit them from safely participating in the study or may cause harm to the participant.  
| ▪ ▪ Physical Inactivity  
| ▪ ▪ Cardiovascular disease  
| ▪ ▪ First-Degree Family History of Dementia  
| ▪ ▪ Dyslipidemia  
| ▪ ▪ Poor sleep  
| ▪ ▪ Poor diet  

- Preserved activities of daily living, operationalized as a score >14/23 on the Lawton-Brody Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)³ scale and confirmed by clinician’s interviews.
- Must be medically able to participate in the study’s exercise training program, as determined by the physician for clearance to participate in combined exercise training program.
### Study Goal and Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Goals:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- To examine feasibility and provide preliminary data on delivering combined physical exercise and cognitive training at home in older adults at risk of ADRD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- To examine participant’s preference for each intervention type and to correlate this with subsequent adherence across the trial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- To assess whether the combination of physical exercise with cognitive training is more effective than the individual interventions in improving cognition, frailty, mobility, sleep, diet, and mood.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Objectives:

**Primary Feasibility Objectives.** Is it feasible to implement a 16-week home-based, multi-domain intervention program aimed at reducing the risk of ADRD in community-dwelling older adults and improving their global health?

- **Adherence.** Adherence of study participants will be defined as attendance to a minimum of 75% of study assessment sessions.
• Secondary Feasibility Objectives: Will participants adhere to the study protocol? How satisfied will participants be with the study at the end of the trial? What (if any) adverse events will occur during the trial?

• Recruitment. A successful recruitment rate is defined as the ability to recruit (and consent) a minimum of 75% of the total recruitment goal of 64 participants across all sites during the enrollment period.

• Retention. A successful retention rate is defined as a minimum of 75% of the total number of recruited participants continuing to trial completion (at the immediate post intervention follow up session).

• Experience and Satisfaction. Experience and satisfaction will be defined as the results expressed by study participants in responses given to semi-structured interview questions that are designed using Kirkland’s four-level model. Used in numerous settings for program evaluation, this framework consists of four dimensions: reaction, learning, behavior, and results.

• Adverse Events. An adverse event is defined as any incident or adverse outcome that is unexpected, and related or possibly related to participation in the research study.

• Data Loss. Data loss due to technical failures, personnel errors, and participant non-compliance will be assessed. A minimum acceptable rate of missing data will set at <20%.

Primary Analytic Objectives. In order to determine if affinity for any one intervention is an important factor in participants’ adherence to the study interventions, we designed the Intervention Preference Questionnaire (IPQ, Appendix A) that will be used to answer the question: Is interest level for a given intervention type correlated with subsequent adherence to the intervention? We will also use the IPQ to examine preference attitudes: Which intervention type (physical exercise or cognitive training) do the
Do their attitudes change after completing the active interventions versus the control interventions?

**Secondary Analytic Objectives.** What is the estimated effect size (ES) of the interventions on cognitive improvement? What is the standard deviation of the outcome variable?

- **Cognitive Improvement.** The ES for cognitive improvement will be defined using Cohen’s descriptors: 0.2 = small; 0.5 = moderate; 0.8 = large.

- **Mobility Improvement.** Similarly, the ES for mobility improvement will be defined using Cohen’s descriptors: 0.2 = small; 0.5 = moderate; 0.8 = large.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Primary Feasibility Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>Adherence to Interventions.</strong> Defined as the mean percent of all Intervention sessions attended of the 48 planned sessions per participant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary Analytic Outcome

- **Preference.** The primary analytic goal of SYNERGIC@Home is to assess the relationship between participants’ adherence to the interventions and their affinity for each intervention going into the trial. All participants will be given the Intervention Preference Questionnaire (IPQ, Appendix A) prior to implementation of the intervention at baseline (T0) and after the 4mo intervention (T4).
• **Recruitment Enrollment Rate**: Defined as the total percent of enrolled participants relative to number of people screened for eligibility.

• **Enrollment Retention Rate**: Defined as the total percent of enrolled participants who continue throughout the trial and participate in outcomes assessments.

• **Assessment Tolerability**: Defined as no voluntary dropouts occurring either during or between baseline assessment and prior to allocation to an intervention group.

• **Trial Experience**: Defined as participants’ qualitative responses to semi-structured open-ended questions aimed at providing insights on their overall trial experience within the context of the Kirkland evaluation framework.

• **Adverse Events**: Frequency cross-tabulation of AE severity versus AE relation to trial.

• **Data Loss**: Defined as data lost due to technical failures, personnel errors or participant non-compliance.

**Secondary Analytic Outcomes**

• **Cognitive Functioning**. Cognitive outcomes will be measured using the Cognitive Functional Composite 2 (CFC-2), the telephone version of the Telephone Cognitive Screening (TCogS), the remote version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), and select items from the Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive (ADAS-Cog Plus) as part of our additional cognitive outcomes.

  o **CFC-2**. The CFC consists of the following validated tests. The first three tests originate from the ADAS-Cog 13, which has been used as a primary outcome measure in numerous trials with individuals at risk for ADRDs and has recently been shown to be valid for remote use: ADAS-Cog Immediate Word Recall, ADAS-Cog Delayed Word Recall, ADAS-Cog...
Orientation, Clinical Dementia Rating scale Sum of Boxes cognitive portion (CDR-SB Cog), the Lawton-Brody Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) and the Functional Activities Questionnaire (FAQ).

- **Additional Cognitive Outcomes.** Additional cognitive outcomes include the Oral Trail Making Test (TMT) A & B\(^{10}\), the 15-item Boston Naming Test (BNT)\(^{11}\), Logical Memory I & II\(^{12}\), ADAS-Cog Word Recognition\(^{7,9}\), the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (DKEFS) phonemic fluency test, and The Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (DKEFS) semantic fluency test\(^{13}\), the Digit Span Backward Test\(^{14}\), and oral version of the Digit Symbol Modalities Test\(^{15}\).

- **Clinical and Mobility Outcomes.** Medications, blood pressure, heart rate, exercise routines, gait speed, dual task gait parameters, Sit to Stand Test (STST) performance, fear of falling, and fall history using self-reports of falls on a fall calendar.

- **Sleep Patterns.** Sleep habits will be assessed using the 18-item Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI-18) and the Work and Sleep Diary (WSD)\(^{16}\).

- **Diet Habits.** Diet habits will be assessed using the 14-item Mediterranean Diet Assessment (MDA-14) a short questionnaire for Vitamin D intake, and the Eating Pattern Self-Assessment.

- **Functional Independence and Activity Level.** Additional descriptors of functional health and independence will also be tested including: the activities of daily living--using the Lawton-Brody Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) scale, the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE), the Life Space Questionnaire (LSQ), and the Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS).

- **Mental Health and Well-Being.** Mental health and well-being will be assessed using the Short Form quality of life questionnaire (SF-36),
the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7 (GAD 7), Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-30), and the COVID-19 Questionnaires.

- **Health Literacy.** Health literacy will be assessed using the Short Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults (STOFHLA).
- **Technology Use and Ability.** Participant’s level of technology use and ability will be assessed using the Functional Assessment of Currently Employed Technology Scale (FACETS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Analysis Plan</th>
<th>Primary Analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1- Primary Feasibility Outcome: Adherence to the interventions will be analyzed using a one-sample t-test that will test the hypothesis that participants complete at least 36 of the 48 (75%) scheduled interventions sessions. This test will be used to determine of the adherence is similar to hypothesize, better than hypothesized or worse than hypothesized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2- Primary Analytic Outcome: We will examine the relationship between interest level in and adherence to the interventions using Pearson’s r. This analysis will tell us if adherence to the trial is related to participants’ affinity for any one or more interventions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Significance**

In today’s technological age, it is becoming more possible than ever to conduct impactful research with participants virtually. A home-based intervention program for older adults at risk for ADRDs has the advantages of allowing participants the freedom, flexibility and comfort to participate from their home—and may potentially lead to enhanced recruitment, retention and reduce social isolation.
2. ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND:** Nearly half a million Canadians live with Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias (ADRDs), and approximately one third of those cases could have been prevented with early intervention. Early intervention is best applied in pre-dementia states such as in individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI)\(^1\),\(^17\),\(^18\) and those at risk for developing dementia\(^19\)-\(^21\). Physical exercise and cognitive training are emerging interventions that have the potential to enhance cognitive function and mobility in older adults with MCI. The SYNERGIC trial (SYNchronizing Exercises, Remedies in GaIt and Cognition), a large multi-site randomized control trial, showed promising preliminary data that individuals in an active exercise intervention combining aerobic exercise with progressive resistance training (AE+RT) and in a cognitive training program (NEUROPEAK\(^\text{TM}\)) had better cognitive outcomes than a balance and toning control (BAT) intervention paired with a control cognitive intervention consisting of website searching and watching a simple video (WS+V)\(^22\),\(^23\). While these interventions were provided face to face in a research facility, little is known about the feasibility of delivering these multi-domain interventions at home in older adults at risk for developing ADRDs. Thus, the primary goals of the SYNERGIC@Home feasibility study are to assess the feasibility of the home-based approach and to evaluate the relationship between participant’s intervention preferences and their subsequent adherence. Secondary objectives will include the effect of the interventions on cognition, frailty, mobility, sleep, and diet.

**METHODS:** The SYNERGIC@Home feasibility trial is a randomized control trial (RCT) that will follow a 2 x 2 factorial design, with a 16-week home-based intervention program of combined physical exercises with cognitive training. Sixty-four participants will be randomized in blocks of four to one of the following four arms: 1) combined exercise (AE+RT) + cognitive training (NEUROPEAK\(^\text{TM}\)); 2) combined exercise (AE+RT) + control cognitive training (WS+V); 3) Control exercise (BAT) + cognitive training (NEUROPEAK\(^\text{TM}\)); and 4) Control exercise (BAT) + control cognitive training (WS+V). SYNERGIC@Home will be implemented entirely virtually through video and phone conferencing. Baseline, immediate post-intervention follow-up, and 6-month post-intervention follow-up assessments will include measures of cognition, frailty, mobility, sleep, diet, and psychological health. For primary feasibility objectives, we will obtain measures of recruitment and retention rates. For primary analytic objectives, we will
examine the distribution of preference ratings and determine if there is a relationship between preference for a given intervention and subsequent adherence. A series of secondary analytic outcomes examining the potential effect of the individual and combined interventions on cognitive, mobility, and general well-being will be measured at both baseline and follow-up. If we find a relatively equal split in sex our sample, we will conduct gender-based analyses as additional, exploratory research.

**EXPECTED RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:** The SYNERGIC@Home trial will establish the feasibility of a combined multimodal intervention program delivered at home in older adults. Similarly, it will estimate the frequency and strength of participant preference for different interventions and delineate the relationship between intervention preference and subsequent adherence. It will also build capacity for and pilot the delivery of multi-domain interventions using an entirely home-based protocol with individuals at risk for ADRDs. The SYNERGIC@Home trial will inform future larger scale studies on the feasibility and success of implementing home-based interventions for individuals at risk for ADRDs. Insights gained from this feasibility trial will be instrumental in developing various other at home, remote, and virtual intervention programs for community-dwelling older adults.

**Keywords:** Exercise, cognitive training, intervention preference, cognition, gait, dementia, elderly, home-based intervention program.
3. BACKGROUND

In 2015, over 46 million people lived with Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias (ADRDs) worldwide, with 1 new case appearing every 4.1 seconds\(^1\). The cost associated with these cases is over a trillion Canadian dollars\(^{1,24,25}\). There is no cure for dementia\(^26\). Recently, there has been an important shift in interventional studies on ADRDs to targeting early stages or pre-dementia states, such as individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI)\(^{27,28}\). The SYNERGIC Trial (SYNchronizing Exercises, Remedies in Gait and Cognition) implemented a multi-domain intervention study design on individuals with MCI at various sites across Canada in Ontario, Québec, and British Columbia\(^22\) in both English and in French. The success of the SYNERGIC trial has warranted pilot testing of a similar intervention design to be provided at home across other sites. This protocol is the new application of the SYNERGIC@Home (SYNERGIE~chez soi) feasibility trial—a home-based version of the protocol to be implemented by researchers in New Brunswick. SYNERGIC@Home (SYNERGIE~chez soi) will assess the feasibility of a protocol and intervention future home-based intervention programs. It has added assessments of preference to evaluate the relationship between preference for interventions and subsequent adherence, and it will ultimately inform on the logistics of delivering a remote, home-based intervention to individuals at risk for developing ADRDs.

3.1 RATIONALE OF THE INTERVENTIONS

The preliminary success of the original SYNERGIC program, as well as similar interventions in the literature, have illustrated that non pharmacological interventions to enhance cognition for older adults at risk of developing ADRDs that include physical exercise and cognitive training are very promising\(^{21-23,29}\). The rationale for each type of intervention to improve cognition in older adults at risk for developing ADRDs is as follows.

3.1.1 Physical Exercise

Aerobic exercise (AE) and progressive resistance training (RT) have been shown to improve cognitive outcomes, along with improved physical capacity and mobility in older...
adults.\textsuperscript{30-33} Both, AE\textsuperscript{34} and RT\textsuperscript{35} trials have reported positive results in improving cognitive performance, with consistent findings also observed after AE interventions lasting more than 3 months.\textsuperscript{30,36} RT has been studied less extensively than aerobic training in older adults, particularly in those at risk for developing ADRDs.

### 3.1.2 Cognitive Training

Cognitive training delivered using the NEUROPEAK\textsuperscript{TM} protocol of the SYNERGIC trial (e.g., a computer based cognitive process training) may improve cognition, mobility, and postural control in older adults. The NEUROPEAK\textsuperscript{TM} program will be used by participants via a program downloaded onto participant’s home computers and/or iPad/Android tablet and will consist of a dual-task cognitive training regimen designed by our group that has demonstrated that this type of training can also improve balance in healthy older adults.\textsuperscript{37} The rationale for implementing cognitive training in both the SYNERGIC trial and this SYNERGIC@Home trial stems from a plethora of recent research suggesting that improvements in brain plasticity occur after cognitive training.\textsuperscript{38-40}

### 3.1.3 Combined Physical Exercise and Cognitive Training

In addition to the benefits of each intervention alone—there is growing evidence that combining them may lead to a synergic effect as shown in the preliminary analyses of the SYNERGIC trial.\textsuperscript{41-43} A recent systematic review of the literature on randomized control trials found that sequential and simultaneous combinations of physical exercise and cognitive training show positive effects on cognition compared to exercise alone or cognitive training alone. Factors such as intervention intensity and frequency were found to be important in facilitating positive outcomes post intervention.\textsuperscript{44} Mechanistically, improvements in cognitive functioning are likely the result of changes in neurological factors that improve the brain’s functional and structural integrity.

Interventions that include both cognitive and physical exercises show marked benefits to the brain’s structural integrity and can be instrumental in delaying
neurodegeneration. Combined physical exercise and cognitive training interventions have also been shown to confer improvements in gait parameters, such as walking speed in older adults. A recent systematic review conceptualizing the literature on combined exercise and cognitive training interventions showed that combined interventions significantly improve gait speed, cognitive functioning, and balance in individuals with MCI.

Based on the literature supporting the efficacy of cognitive and exercise-based interventions with individuals at risk for ADRDs—we plan to implement similar interventions in older adults at risk for ADRDs. The critical difference between the SYNERGIC@Home study and other intervention programs discussed thus far is the home-based, virtual nature of SYNERGIC@Home. Thus, the primary goal for the SYNERGIC@Home feasibility study is to evaluate the feasibility of administering a combined exercise and cognitive training home-based program through remote interfaces for older adults at risk for developing ADRDs.

3.1.4 Rationale for Polygenic Hazard Score Testing

MCI is alarmingly prevalent in older populations with over half of individuals with MCI progressing to dementia within five years. There is a growing body of recent evidence suggesting that a cluster of genetic risk factors are associated with the onset of dementia. Specifically, in genome wide association studies (GWAS), a specific allelic expression in 31 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) appears to be effective in quantifying individual differences in age-specific risk for dementia; this allelic combination is termed an individual’s Polygenic Hazard Score (PHS), or sometimes referred to as an individual’s Polygenic Risk Score (PRS). In light of the fact that participants in the SYNERGIC@Home study will predominantly consist of individuals at risk for dementia (such as individuals with MCI), one of the research goals of the study is to assess the distribution of PRS/PHS in the study sample. This data will be instrumental in delineating research questions pertaining to efficacy of the study interventions as a function of cognitive risk. Any analyses done with PRS/PHS data will be conducted only during the analysis stage of the research project and will only be...
done by research personnel within the study team. The PRS/PHS is currently in the research stages and is not part of routine clinical care at this time.

3.2 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE SYNERGIC@HOME TRIAL

In addition to the convenience of participating in research from the comfort of one’s home, there are critical health considerations that uniquely justify the home-based nature of the SYNERGIC@Home feasibility study. In light of the COVID-19 pandemic of 2020 and the associated risks of exposure for older populations, SYNERGIC@Home allows for safe administration of interventions for older individuals at risk for ADRDs. To ensure the safety of our participants, we are planning to administer all interventions (including exercise and cognitive training) using a home-based protocol. The primary platform that we will use is Zoom for Healthcare©. Members of the research team will conduct the video-conferences with participants using Zoom for Healthcare© which protects participants’ confidentiality through a secured encryption method. Study participants will be assisted by research team members to set up the easy to use Zoom platform on their personal computers or laptop devices. This home-based approach will allow participants to connect with the research team remotely. This feat will not only address the feasibility goals of SYNERGIC@Home, but it will also give older individuals an opportunity to connect with others. This is particularly important at a time during which physical distancing measures may be contributing significantly to the isolation and loneliness in older populations at this time.

We plan to pioneer a flexible home-based program for at-risk individuals and demonstrate the feasibility of implementing this innovative trial with researchers in New Brunswick. SYNERGIC@Home will obtain valuable insights on the logistics of a home-based intervention program in individuals at risk for developing dementia. The insights gained from this feasibility study can be applied to inform future larger scale projects with similar goals. SYNERGIC@Home will be among the first to pilot a home-based combined exercise and cognitive training program in a randomized control trial for older adults at risk for developing ADRDs.
4. RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND OBJECTIVES

All feasibility objectives are consistent with current recommendations on conducting feasibility trials. The overarching question is: Is it feasible to implement a 16-week home-based, multi-domain intervention program to improve health and reduce the risk of ADRDs in community-dwelling older adults?

4.1 PRIMARY FEASIBILITY OBJECTIVES

It is well known that the benefits of exercise, whether physical or cognitive, can only be realized if one engages in the practice. Our primary feasibility outcome is to answer the question: Will participants adhere to the study protocol? Is it feasible to implement a 16-week home-based, multi-domain intervention program to improve health and reduce the risk of ADRDs in community-dwelling older adults?

4.1.1 Intervention Adherence

Minimum acceptable adherence of study participants will be defined as attendance to at least 75% of intervention sessions.

4.2 SECONDARY FEASIBILITY OBJECTIVES

Our secondary feasibility objectives are aimed at evaluating a variety of other feasibility outcomes to answer questions such as: How difficult is it to recruit seniors to a home-based intervention, and do they remain in the study for its duration? Will they tolerate the extensive battery of testing at baseline? How satisfied will participants be with the interventions? What (if any) adverse events are related to the intervention(s)? What is the rate of data loss/missing data?

4.2.1 Recruitment Rate

A successful recruitment rate is defined as the ability to recruit and consent a minimum of 75% of the total recruitment goal of 64 participants during the enrollment period.
4.2.2 Retention Rate
A successful retention rate is defined as a minimum of 75% of the total number of consented participants continuing to intervention completion (at the immediate post intervention follow up session).

4.2.3 Assessment Tolerability
Successful assessment tolerability is defined as no voluntary dropouts occurring either during or between baseline assessment (both clinical and activity assessment batteries) and prior to allocation to an intervention group.

4.2.4 Trial Experience
Trial experience will be defined as a participant's overall experience and satisfaction with the presentation, organization, content, and participation in the SYNERGIC@Home feasibility study.

4.2.5 Adverse Events
Frequency of Adverse Events (AEs) will be documented throughout the trial and analyzed by severity of the AE and suspected relationship to the trial to determine if AEs are greater than chance in the active treatment arms.

4.2.6 Data Loss
Data loss due to technical failures, personnel errors, and participant non-compliance will be assessed. A minimum acceptable rate of missing data will set at <20%.

4.3 PRIMARY ANALYTIC OBJECTIVES
In order to determine if affinity for any one intervention is an important factor in participants' adherence to the study interventions, we designed the Intervention Preference Questionnaire (IPQ, Appendix A) that will be used to answer the question: Is interest level for a given intervention type correlated with subsequent adherence to the intervention?

We will also use the IPQ to examine preference attitudes: Which intervention type (physical exercise or cognitive training) do the majority of participants prefer over the other? What proportion of participants have no particular preference for either
intervention? Do participants adhere better if they receive the active treatments they prefer? Do their attitudes change after completing the active interventions versus the control interventions?

4.4. SECONDARY ANALYTIC OBJECTIVES

What is the estimated effect size (ES)? What is the standard deviation of the outcome variable?

4.4.1. Cognitive Improvement
The ES for cognitive improvement will be defined using Cohen’s descriptors: 0.2 = small; 0.5 = moderate; 0.8 = large.

4.4.2. Mobility Improvement.
Similarly, the ES for mobility improvement will be defined using Cohen’s descriptors: 0.2 = small; 0.5 = moderate; 0.8 = large.

5. METHODS/DESIGN

5.1 STUDY DESIGN

5.1.1 Treatment Arms
The SYNERGIC@Home feasibility trial is a home-based, randomized, phase II, four-arm factorial design (2x2), double-blind control study. The SYNERGIC@Home feasibility trial will be administered virtually through Zoom for Healthcare® (an online video conferencing platform). A total of 64 participants at risk for ADRDs, aged 60 to 90 years of age will be enrolled and randomized, block randomization by four, into one of four arms (Figure 1), with 16 participants in each arm. Details pertaining to intervention and control conditions for both physical exercise and cognitive training are described in section 8.

Arm 1: Combined exercise (AE+RT) + Cognitive training (Neuropeak™).
Arm 2: Combined exercise (AE+RT) + Control cognitive training (WS+V).
Arm 3: Control exercise (BAT) + **Cognitive training (Neuropeak™)**.
Arm 4: Control exercise (BAT) + Control cognitive training (WS+V).

Note: Experimental conditions are in bold. Arm 4 includes only the control interventions.

**Figure 1. Design of the SYNERGIC@Home trial.**

### 5.1.2 Study Sequence and Duration

Participants will mainly be informed through clinicians as well as recruitment pamphlets in the community or by advertisement on different medias (see 5.2.5 Strategies for Recruitment), potential participants who express an interest in learning more about the clinical trial will be contacted by the research coordinator for the study. A general overview of the study will be discussed and a Prescreening Questionnaire will be completed. This will be used to determine if the participant is eligible to be screened. This will also provide information about why potentially interested individuals are not...
able to be screened. This will provide useful information to inform future recruitment efforts in future studies testing these interventions.

During this prescreen, potential participants will be asked if they would prefer to participant in this study in either French or English. This study has the capacity to offer this in both official languages in New Brunswick. Those who wish to participate in English will be directed to the research coordinator site in Horizon Health Network and those who would prefer to participate in French will be directed to the research coordinator the site in Vitalité Health Network.

Following prescreening, informed consent will be obtained and assessments will be done during multiple visits: Screening, Baseline (T0), Immediate post intervention follow-up at 4 months (T4), and 6-month post-intervention follow-up (T10).

- Screening Assessment – This assessment will be completed over four separate time:
  - **Consent and clinical screening**: The potential participant meets virtually (via [Zoom for Healthcare](#)) with the Clinical Research Coordinator/nurse and completes the consenting process. The study physician will be available to answer questions that require physician involvement during the informed consent process. Consent forms will be sent to participants via email if participant has access to a printer and scanner and via mail otherwise. Consenting participants will provide written consent and send back with regular mail their signed consent form. After the research coordinator received the consent, a copy will be sent back to the participant and the assessments will be done by the Clinical Research Coordinator. This is expected to take 2 hours.
  - **Activity (mobility) screening**: The participant meets virtually (via [Zoom for Healthcare](#)) with the Kinesiology Research Assist who will conduct a battery of mobility and lifestyle assessments (see section 6.4.7). This is expected to take 2 hours.
  - **Clinical Case Conference and enrollment**: The participant will meet again virtually (via [Zoom for Healthcare](#)) with the Clinical Research
Coordinator/Nurse and the Study Physician who will review the results of all of the assessments and finalize the inclusion and exclusion criteria. This is expected to take 1 hour. If the participant is eligible, their baseline assessment visits are scheduled.

- Baseline Assessment (T0) – will be done within 2 weeks of successful enrollment.

- Part of the baseline assessment will consist of actigraphy monitoring for sleep and physical activity levels and two separate assessment visits:
  - **Actigraphy monitoring:** Participants will wear an ActiGraph monitor on their wrist at all times (except when bathing) for 10 consecutive days before their baseline assessment, to measure their sleep patterns and daily activity levels (see section 6.4.7). The instructions and materials needed for this monitoring will be mailed out to the participant and the research coordinator, who will meet with the participant to review the instructions.
  - **Clinical assessment:** The participants meets virtually (via Zoom for Healthcare©) with the Clinical Research Coordinator/Nurse who will conduct additional assessments (see Table 2). This is expected to take 2 hours.

- Randomization occurs after the Baseline assessment by allocating the participant to a treatment group from a pre-determined block-randomized sequence (see section 8.3).

- Intervention Phase (T0-T4) – Will start within 2 weeks of completion of the Baseline Assessment. The intervention will continue 3x per week for 16 weeks (see Section 8), for a total of 48 virtual sessions.

- Immediate Post-Intervention Assessment (T4) –Within 2 weeks of completion of the 16 week intervention, participants will wear the ActiGraph for 10 consecutive days. They will also undergo clinical and activity assessment in two separate visits, as described for baseline. (See Table 2) Each assessment visit is expected to take 2 hours.

- Six month Post Intervention Assessment (T10) – Within 2 weeks of the 6 month date after completion of the intervention the participants will wear the ActiGraph
again for 10 consecutive. They will also have the clinical and activity assessments in two separate virtual visits repeated. See Table 2. Each assessment visit is expected to take 2 hours.

Figure 2 shows the sequence of activities and their expected durations.

* Time between clinical and activity sessions will be kept within 3 days with an allowable range of 1-7 days.

**Figure 2. Participant timeline through the trial.**

### 5.1.3 Setting
Participants will be recruited from across the entire province of New Brunswick, Canada. Participants must be residing and have a mailing address in New Brunswick. They will be living in their own homes in the community. Participants can be either Anglophone or Francophone. All study assessments and interventions will be done virtually (via video conferencing through Zoom for Healthcare©), in the language of the
participant's choice, by a research team member from the University of New Brunswick (Fredericton), Université de Moncton, Horizon Health Network, and/or Vitalité Health Network.

5.2 STUDY POPULATION

The target recruitment is N = 64 older adults aged 60 to 90 years old at risk of developing ADRDs who meet the following inclusion and exclusion criteria. Medical and clinical information will be collected by self-report by the participant. If clarification is needed regarding this clinical information, contact will be made with the participant's primary care physician/provider with the consent of the participant. Although we will make every effort to recruit equal numbers of Anglophone and Francophone participants, due to provincial distribution it may be expected that only 25-30% of recruits will be Francophone, therefore we will set a minimum recruitment of Francophone participants at 18 and maximum Anglophone recruitment at 46.

5.2.1 Inclusion Criteria

Participants must meet each of the following criteria for enrolment into the study:

- Age 60 to 90 years old.
- Has a Family Physician or a Nurse Practitioner.
- Has internet access (and have regular access to email), and the technology ability (able to send and receive emails).
- Resides in their own home/apartment in the community.
- Has access to a home computer and/or a laptop computer device.
- Self-reported levels of proficiency in English and/or French for speaking and understanding spoken and written language.
- Able to comply with scheduled home-based assessments, interventions, and other trial procedures.
- Able to ambulate at least 10 m independently with or without a walking aid.
- Being at risk of developing dementia:
  a) **Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) Group.** Diagnosis of Mild Cognitive Impairment, in accordance with the criteria used in the Comprehensive
Assessment of Neurodegeneration and Dementia (COMPASS-ND) study\(^2\) (Table 1).

b) **Subjective Cognitive Impairment (SCI) Group.** Diagnosis of Subjective Cognitive Impairment, in accordance with the COMPASS-ND study\(^2\) definition (Table 1).

c) **Cognitively Intact with Risk Factors Group.** Cognitively Intact based on COMPASS ND study\(^2\) definition (Table 1) **AND** have a history of **two or more risk factors** for dementia, defined as the following (Table 1):

- **Obesity**: Defined as a Body Mass Index (BMI) > 30 kg/m\(^2\) (as derived from the National Institute of Health BMI calculator\(^5\))
- **Hypertension**: Defined as a documented Systolic Blood Pressure > 140 mm Hg, OR a physician’s diagnosis of hypertension, OR presence of physician prescribed medical treatment for hypertension, OR other approaches to treatment for hypertension (i.e., diet or exercise).
- **Diabetes**: Defined as a physician’s diagnosis of diabetes, OR presence of physician prescribed medical treatment for diabetes, OR other approaches to treatment for diabetes (i.e., diet or exercise).
- **Cardiovascular disease**: Defined as a physician’s diagnosis of angina, myocardial infarction, coronary revascularization or other arterial revascularization, stroke, transient ischemic attack and/or peripheral vascular disease.
- **Physical inactivity**: Defined as inactive, whereby active is defined as engaging in a minimum of 20-30 minutes of physical activity causing sweating and breathlessness, at least two times per week.
- **First-degree family history of dementia**: Defined as a physician’s diagnosis of dementia in a first-degree relative, including a parent, sibling, or child.
- **Dyslipidemia**: Defined as a documented total cholesterol > 6.5 mmol/L, OR a physician’s diagnosis of hypercholesterolemia, OR presence of physician prescribed medical treatment for
hypercholesterolemia, OR other approaches to treatment (e.g. diet, exercise).

- **Poor sleep**: Defined as a score of 6 or higher on the PSQI-18 (higher scores indicate poorer sleep).
- **Poor diet**: Defined as a score of 7 or less on the MDA-14.

- Must be medically able to participate in the study’s exercise training program, as by the study physician for clearance to participate in combined exercise training program.

- Preserved activities of daily living, operationalized as a score of > 14/23 on the Lawton-Brody Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) scale\(^3\) and confirmed by clinician’s interviews.

**Table 1.** Canadian Consortium on Neurodegeneration in Aging (CCNA) Criteria for Cognitively Intact with risk factors, and Subjective and Mild Cognitive Impairment from COMPASS-ND\(^2\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Core Diagnostic Criteria</th>
<th>Operationalized as</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitively Intact (CI) with risk factors</td>
<td>Absence of SCI and/or MCI based on below definitions, with two or more known risk factors for dementia.</td>
<td>Not having SCI or MCI, and having at least two (2) of the following risk factors:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Physical inactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• First-degree family history of dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Dyslipidemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Poor sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Poor diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective Cognitive Impairment (SCI)(^3)</td>
<td>Self-experienced persistent decline in cognitive capacity in comparison with a previously normal status and unrelated to an acute event.</td>
<td>Answer “yes” to both of the following questions: “Do you feel like your memory or thinking is becoming worse?” and “Does this concern you?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal age-, sex-, and education-adjusted performance on standardized cognitive tests, which are used to classify mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or prodromal Alzheimer’s Disease (AD).</td>
<td>Global Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale = 0, Logical Memory II above Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) education-adjusted cutoffs (≥ 9 for 16+ years of education; ≥ 5 for 8-15 years of education; ≥ 3 for 0-7 years of education); Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive(ADAS-Cog) word list recall score &gt;5; Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) total score ≥25.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI)\(^\text{27}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concern regarding a change in cognition.</th>
<th>Report from patient and/or informant of such.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impairment in one or more cognitive domains.</td>
<td>One or more of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Logical memory below ADNI cutoffs ((\geq) 9 for 16+ years of education; (\geq) 5 for 8-15 years of education; (\geq) 3 for 0-7 years of education).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ADAS-Cog word list recall &lt;6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• MoCA score 13-24 inclusive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Global CDR &gt;0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not demented.</td>
<td>Global CDR (\leq) 0.5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2.2 Exclusion Criteria

Participants who meet ANY of the following criteria will be excluded from the study:

- A diagnosis of dementia
- Participants living in Nursing Homes or Adult Residential Facilities (Special Care Homes) will be excluded.
- Serious underlying disease, which, in the opinion of the study physician excludes engagement in interventions or may interfere with the participant’s ability to participate fully in the study.
- Has had surgery within the last two months or has planned surgery in the coming 12 months that, deemed by the study physician, could interfere with the participant’s vision, hearing, mobility or any other ability to participate in the study.
- Has a history of intracranial surgery.
- Regular Benzodiazepine use by a participant that the study physician determines to be significant enough to interfere with the participants ability to participate in the assessments and interventions in the study will be excluded.
- Presence of major depression, schizophrenia, severe anxiety or drug/alcohol abuse or other medical illness that would prohibit them from safely participating in the study or may cause harm to the participant.
- Current Parkinsonism or any neurological disorder with residual motor deficits (e.g. stroke with motor deficit), active musculoskeletal disorders (e.g. severe
osteoarthritis of lower limbs) or history of knee/hip replacement affecting gait performance during the baseline assessment.

- Severe visual and/or auditory impairment, which, according to the vision and hearing assessment, precludes the participant from engaging in the trial.
- Intention to enroll in other clinical trials during the same time period.
- Active participation in an organized and planned exercise program involving aerobic exercise and/or resistance training regimen in previous 6 months.

5.2.3 Screen Failures
Screen failures are defined as participants who have completed the Screening Visit but do not meet the inclusion criteria for any of the three populations under study (MCI, SCI, or CI with risk factors). These participants who have failed the screening criteria are ineligible for participation and will be informed that they do not meet the study’s inclusion criteria and they will be thanked for their time. They will be encouraged to try to participate in future studies for which they may be eligible and they will have an opportunity to ask questions pertaining to their screening for SYNERGIC@Home.

5.2.4 Study Care Partner
All participants will be asked about whether they wish to have a study care partner such as a spouse, close friend, or relative participate along with them in the trial. Specifically, the care partner’s role will be to participate in assessments such as the CDR (as in Table 1) as it requires a study care partner. Care partners will be specifically told that their only role is to help us complete the CDR. If the participant does not have a care partner on the day of their assessment (someone to attend the virtual visit with them), the informant portion of the assessment (the CDR) can be completed by phone. This will be arranged and completed by the site research coordinator.

A participant will not be excluded from the study if they do not have access to or wish to have a study care partner. However, if the individual during screening is deemed to have MCI or SCI, or the study physician determines that their participation without a study care partner would be a risk—then the participant will be asked to name a study care partner for their participation in the trial.
We believe that in certain instances, such as in the case of couples, some study care partners may also want to be a participant, however because participants are meant to be blinded as to which experimental condition they are in—we will ask that care partners remain as care partners and do not occupy the role of participant in the study.

5.2.5 Strategies for Recruitment
Community dwelling older adults from both Anglophone and Francophone communities throughout New Brunswick will be recruited using recruitment methods and tools included in Appendix B. These recruitment materials will be available in both official languages. Interested participants will be directed to contact study personnel through the NB-PALM website. A dedicated email address (synergic@unb.ca) will be established. The following recruitment tools will be used to inform potential study participants living throughout New Brunswick about the study:

- Flyer (Appendix B) for posting on various community organization websites, and healthcare provider websites, social media, and in physical offices.
- Email (Appendix B) for distribution to potential study participants referred by others.
- Paid newspaper advertisements (Appendix B) in selected local newspapers.

These tools will be applied in various ways to reach potential study participants. The offices of primary care physicians/providers and specialists will be provided with a study flyer for posting. They will be invited to refer potential participants from their practices. An information handout (See Appendix B) describing the study will be used to familiarize providers with the study. Interested participants can be directed to contact study personnel through the NB-PALM website and visit the dedicated SYNERGIC@Home study page.

Participants currently enrolled in the COMPASS ND cohort study in Saint John, NB will also be contacted to ask about their interest in participating. A follow-up email (Appendix B) will be sent to these potential participants.

Existing community resources such as the Seniors’ Centres, Community Health Centres, and Community Mental Health Centres as well as recreation facilities and
libraries will be provided with study information to post on social media (if available) and news/what’s happening section of their websites (if available) and / or distribute to their membership via email or hard copy or digital newsletters. The Community Developers working in the Vitalité and Horizon Health Networks have many contacts and connections with formal and informal community groups and networks. Study flyers and a generic email will be provided for distribution to these organizations with whom they are connected. Study information will be provided to two particular provincial programs: Senior Goodwill Ambassador Program and Go Ahead Seniors/Aînés en Marche, both of which provide physical activity and lifestyle modification programs to community dwelling older adults. Similar organizations will also be contacted and invited to distribute information about the study.

Study flyers will be sent to the leadership of provincial English and Francophone seniors’ organizations including the Association francophone des aînées et des aînés du Nouveau-Brunswick and NB Senior Citizen’s Federation as well as community partners such as the NB Alzheimer’s Society for posting on their websites and social media platforms. Targeted provincial organizations like the NB Society of Retired Teachers and Société des Enseignantes et des Enseignants Retraités Francophones du Nouveau-Brunswick (SERFNB) also have websites as well as local branches to whom the study flyer and generic email will be provided for distribution.

Paid newspaper advertisements will be purchased in selected urban and community-based rural newspapers.

When a member of the research team receives an expression of interest email from a potential study participant through the NB-PALM website or other referral sources as listed above, a generic email and/or study flyer and consent package will be sent by email. Once a study participant is ready to give consent, a first contact discussion guide (Appendix B) will be followed by research personnel to ensure that a consistent approach is used to obtain participants’ consent.

5.2.6 Strategies for Retention
Retention of participants will be pursued through various methods. News about the study will be posted on the NB-PALM website and participants will be encouraged to
visit the page dedicated to the SYNERGIC@Home. Research personnel will be provided with key messages to use in their interactions with study participants to keep them informed.

Participants that do not comply with the intervention schedule may be withdrawn from the study at the discretion of the research team. Research Assistants will make all efforts to allow participants to have flexibility with their intervention schedules and participants will be allowed to make up missed intervention dates within the week that they occur. Since this is a feasibility study, intervention schedule deviations will be closely tracked but no rigid rule of number of missed interventions before withdrawal occurs will be employed. Each case will be individually evaluated and the benefit of the doubt given in an attempt to observe the compliance behaviour patterns of participants across the entire 16 week intervention duration.

5.3 ASSESSMENTS TOOLS

Participants in all four arms will have a series of validated assessments performed at the Screening, Baseline (T0), Immediate post intervention follow-up at 4 months (T4), and 6-month post-intervention follow-up (T10), as shown in * Time between clinical and activity sessions will be kept within 3 days with an allowable range of 1-7 days.

Figure 2. All elements of each assessment will be collected via video conferencing (Zoom for Healthcare©). All assessments are itemized in Table 2 (below).

All participants will also be given an ActiGraph (ActiGraph GT9X©) device, a measuring tape, some exercise materials (such as resistance bands or a stretching mat). Please see the complete list in Appendix B). These items will be delivered and picked up by a secure mailing and parcel service or secure courier. The ActiGraph device will be worn on the participant’s wrist, hip, or ankle for 10 consecutive days, at three separate time points (baseline, immediate post intervention follow up and 6 month post intervention follow up). These devices will be used to measure nightly sleep patterns and daily activity levels.

Table 2. Assessments across Study Visits for SYNERGIC@Home Trial
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Clinical Screening</th>
<th>Physician Conference</th>
<th>Activity T0</th>
<th>Activity T4</th>
<th>Activity T10</th>
<th>Activity T10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant Informed Consent</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Partner Informed Consent</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Health and Medical History</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Vitals</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical History¹</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Summary / Diagnostic Validation</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Cognitive Screening TCogS</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full MoCA via Audio-Visual Conference</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Functional Composite (CFC-2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-Cog 3 Immediate Word Recall</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-Cog 3 Delayed Word Recall</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-Cog 3 Orientation</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) Cognitive</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Activities Questionnaire</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Cognitive Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Trail Making Test (Part A &amp; B)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Naming Test</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical Memory I &amp; II</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-Cog Word Recognition</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Clinical Screening</td>
<td>Physician Conference</td>
<td>Activity T0</td>
<td>Activity T4</td>
<td>Activity T10</td>
<td>Activity T10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKEFS Phonemic Fluency Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKEFS Semantic Fluency Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span Backward Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Symbol Modalities Test-Oral Version</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet Assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Diet Assessment (MDA-14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Pattern Self-Assessment (EPSA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D Intake Questionnaire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI-18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus Sleep Diary (CSD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep and Activity Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional and Activity Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Space Questionnaire (LSQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawton-Brody IADL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health and Well Being</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Form Quality of Life Questionnaire SF36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geriatric Depression Scale (GAD-30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 Questionnaires</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Literacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Clinical Screening</td>
<td>Physician Conference</td>
<td>Clinical T0</td>
<td>Activity T0</td>
<td>Clinical T4</td>
<td>Activity T4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Test of Func. Health Literacy in Adults STOFHLA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology Ability Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACETS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gait and mobility Assessments(^2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usual Gait</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Dual Task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Task Gait Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Minute Sit to Stand Test (STST)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Active Questionnaire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Calendar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention Preference</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference Questionnaire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological Markers(^3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygenic Hazard Score (PHS)</td>
<td>Any point throughout trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Exit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Questionnaire</td>
<td>At time of finishing/exiting trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Full history collected at Clinical Screening and updated thereafter.
\(^2\)Gait velocity assessed using Actigraphy (ActiGraph GT9X).
\(^3\)Self-collected via an optional saliva sample.
6. OUTCOMES

6.1 PRIMARY FEASIBILITY OUTCOMES

6.1.1 Intervention Adherence
Measured as the mean percent of all Intervention sessions attended of the 48 planned sessions per participant.

6.2 SECONDARY FEASIBILITY OUTCOMES

6.2.1 Recruitment Enrollment Rate
Measured as the total percent of enrolled participants relative to number of people screened for eligibility.

6.2.2 Enrollment Retention Rate
Measured as the total percent of enrolled participants who continue throughout the trial and participate in outcomes assessments as follows (see Figure 3):

- Enrollment retention: of those enrolled participants, the % who complete immediate post intervention follow-up (T4) assessment, and;
- Follow-up retention: of those who complete the immediate post intervention follow-up (T4) assessment, the % of participants who complete the 6-month post-intervention follow-up (T10) assessment.

6.2.3 Assessment Tolerability
Measured as the number of voluntary dropouts occurring either during or between baseline assessment (both clinical and activity assessment batteries) and prior to allocation to an intervention group.
6.2.4 Trial Experience

A mixed methods approach will be used to explore participant experience after the trial. Trial experience is defined as participants’ qualitative responses to semi-structured open-ended questions aimed at providing insights on their overall trial experience within the context of the Kirkland evaluation framework.

6.2.5 Adverse Events

Frequency cross-tabulation of AE severity versus AE relation to trial.

6.2.6 Data Loss

Defined as data lost due to technical failures, personnel errors or participant non-compliance. Technical failures resulting in data loss include problems with electronic equipment or internet communications, for example. Personnel errors would include issuing improperly configured equipment, scheduling errors, and protocol deviations (omitting assessments, for example) that result in data loss. Participant non-compliance would encompass data loss due to participants not following instructions or omitting responses on surveys, for example.
6.3 PRIMARY ANALYTIC OUTCOMES

6.3.1 Intervention Preference

The primary analytic goal of SYNERGIC@Home is to assess the relationship between participants’ adherence to the interventions and their affinity for each intervention going into the trial. All participants will be given the Intervention Preference Questionnaire (IPQ, Appendix A) prior to implementation of the intervention at baseline (T0) and after the 4mo intervention (T4).

The IPQ asks participants various questions about their affinity for the offered interventions by quantifying interest level and preferences for the interventions. When administered at T0 (prior to randomization) we will explain to participants that their responses on the questionnaire will not in any way influence the intervention group they will be randomly assigned to.

The IPQ has five questions. Question 1 asks participants to rate their interest level in each intervention type (exercise training and cognitive training independently) on a 0-10 visual analog scale. Question 2 asks participants to rate their preference between the two interventions on a 5-point scale:

-2=Strong preference for Exercise training;
-1=Slight preference for Exercise training;
0=No preference;
1=Slight preference for Cognitive training;
2=Strong preference for Cognitive training.

Questions 3 to 5 are open ended questions that will provide context to participants’ responses from questions 1 and 2.

Validation: The intervention preference questionnaire has been created specifically for this feasibility trial, thus it has not been previously validated.
6.4 SECONDARY ANALYTIC OUTCOMES

6.4.1 Demographic Information and Medical History

Demographic information, chronic diseases, vascular risk factors (VRFs), medical history, medications, fall history using self-reports of falls on a fall calendar will be collected at the screening visit. In addition, medical vitals will be assessed including weight, height, blood pressure and heart rate (using a simple blood pressure cuff that will be provided to the participant).

**Validation:** This information will be collected by self-report and will be done via video conference. While medical history taking have not been systematically evaluated in this setting it is commonly used in remote telemedicine and is considered an acceptable practice and a reasonable alternative to face to face history taking. We are confident that results will be similar to those assessed in person. We are confident that participants will be able to adequately measure their vitals and report the findings to the study personnel.

6.4.2 Cognitive Testing

Cognitive outcomes will be measured using the Cognitive Functional Composite 2 (CFC-2), the Telephone Cognitive Screening (TCogS), the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), and select items from the Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive (ADAS-Cog Plus).

**TCogS and MoCA.**

- **The Telephone Cognitive Screening TCogS** is a widely used tool that measures cognitive function in older individuals. The telephone version of the CogS has been standardized and will be administered via video conferencing. It consists of a 26-point assessment that measures orientation, registration, attention and calculation, recall, and language with lower scores indicating cognitive impairment[55,56].

  **Validation:** The TCogS will be administered using the standardized and validated telephone version[56-58] via video conferencing.
• **The Full MoCA via Audio-Visual Conference** consists of a 30-point test assessing the following items: short term memory recall, visuospatial abilities, executive functioning, phonemic fluency, verbal abstraction, attention, concentration, working memory, language, and orientation\(^59\).

  **Validation:** The remote version of the MoCA will be administered using the validated online full MoCA (version 8.1) via audio-visual conference\(^58,60\).

**Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR).** The CDR is a validated 5-point composite scale used in longitudinal Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) research to characterize cognitive and global function performance applicable to AD and related dementias\(^61\). Information is obtained through a semi-structured interview of the patient and a reliable informant or collateral source (e.g. family member). The three cognitive domains include memory, orientation, and judgment/problem solving and the three functional domains include community affairs, home and hobbies and personal care. The five possible scores for each domain \([0, 0.5, 1, 2, \text{ and } 3]\) represent a range of impairment (e.g. score of 0 represents no impairment and a score of 3 represents severe impairment).

  **Validation:** The CDR is a questionnaire which can be administered via any interface (face-to-face or video conferencing). We will administer it via video conferencing. Clinical experience dictates that this method of delivery of the CDR will be sufficient.

**Cognitive Functional Composite (CFC-2).** The CFC consists of the following tests\(^5,6\). The first three tests originate from the ADAS-Cog 13, which has been used a primary outcome measure in numerous trials with individuals at risk for developing ADRDs\(^7,8\).

  a) **ADAS-Cog Immediate Word Recall.** Participants are presented with 10 high imagery words and are given three trials to learn and recall them. The average of the 3 trials is computed for the final score.

    **Validation:** This is a subtest of the ADAS-cog, which has been validated for remote, virtual use\(^9\).

  b) **ADAS-Cog Delayed Word Recall.** Participants are asked to recall the 10 high imagery words presented during the immediate word recall task after a delay of approximately 5 to 10 minutes.
**Validation:** This is a subtest of the ADAS-cog, which has been validated for remote, virtual use.

c) **ADAS-Cog Orientation.** Participants are asked 8 questions pertaining to their identity, the place, and the time.

**Validation:** This is a subtest of the ADAS-cog, which has been validated for remote, virtual use.

d) **Clinical Dementia Rating Sum of Boxes (CDR-SB) Cognitive portion.** The CDR is being administered in full for this trial. The sum of boxes score simply reflects the total score from all domains assessed. The CFC-2 includes the CDR-SB for all cognitive portions, which consists of a sum of scores obtained from the following CDR domains: memory, orientation, and judgement & problem solving.

**Validation:** The CDR is a questionnaire which can be administered via any interface (face-to-face or video conferencing). We will administer it via video conferencing. Clinical experience dictates that this method of delivery will be sufficient.

e) **Functional Activities Questionnaire.** This questionnaire will be administered as part of the functional assessments of this trial. It measures participant’s ability to engage in instrumental activities of daily living via questionnaire assessing activities such as preparing meals and managing personal finances. Responses range from 0 (normal ability) to 3 (dependent for functioning) with total scores ranging from 0 to 30. For the CFC-2 total score, this score will be added to obtain a total CFC-2 composite score.

**Validation:** This assessment of functional independence is collected via questionnaire, which can be administered via any interface (face-to-face or video conferencing). We will administer it via video conferencing.

**Additional Cognitive Outcomes.** We will also administer additional cognitive outcomes including the following:

- **The Oral Trail Making Test (TMT) A & B** is a two-part test that assesses attention speed, and mental flexibility and has been widely used in clinical settings for assessing deficits in attention and executive functioning. The oral version of the Trail Making Test provides an assessment of sequential set-
shifting without the motor and visual demands of the written Trail Making Test.\(^\text{10}\)
For Part A, participants are asked to count from 1 to 25 as quickly as possible.
For Part B, participants are asked to switch between number and letter in sequential order (e.g. 1-A, 2-B, 3-C) until the number 13 is reached. Scoring is the total time to complete each part.

**Validation:** The oral trail making tests A & B are validated assessments that can be conducted remotely without the need for the traditional paper and pencil face-to-face modality.\(^\text{10}\) We will administer them both using video conferencing.

- **The Boston Naming Test (BNT)** assesses visual confrontational naming and asks participants to name simple line drawings of objects.\(^\text{11}\)

  **Validation:** To our knowledge, the BNT has not yet been validated for remote, virtual, or phone use, thus we show participants each item on the screen during the video conference. It is noteworthy that this mode of administration (in comparison to face-to-face-assessment) has not been methodically validated.

- **Logical Memory I & II (Story A)** from the Wechsler memory scale assesses memory and free recall\(^\text{63}\). This test will be completed via video conferencing in which the participant will be instructed to listen to a story and repeat it back after it has been read to the best of his/her ability. The participant will then be asked to recall the story approximately 30 minutes later.

  **Validation:** Because this test is an auditory test to begin with (i.e., it does not require visual stimuli such as paper and pencil questionnaires), it can be administered using any modality (face-to-face or via video conference). We will conduct it via video conferencing.

- **ADAS-Cog Word Recognition.** Participants are presented with a list of 12 words and are then asked to identify the words among a list of distractor words.

  **Validation:** This is a subtest of the ADAS-cog, which has been validated for remote, virtual use\(^\text{9}\).

- **DKEFS Phonemic (Letter) Fluency.** The Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (DKEFS) phonemic fluency test measures phonemic verbal fluency, whereby participants are given 60 seconds to produce as many words that begin with the letter C, followed by a second 60 second trial with the letter “F”, and a third 60 second trial with the letter “L”\(^\text{13}\).
Validation: This test has been validated for telephone use, as results are statistically similar to those done face-to-face. We will administer it via video conferencing.

- **DKEFS Semantic Fluency Test.** The Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (DKEFS) semantic fluency test measures speed and flexibility of verbal thought, whereby participants are asked to name as many items as possible in a specified category (vegetables and animals). Unique responses during the first minute of each category are counted. Validation: This test has been validated for telephone use. We will administer it via video conferencing.

- **Digit Span Backward Test.** The digit span test is an auditory attention task, in which participants are asked to recall a series of numbers forward and backward. Validation: This test has been validated for telephone use.

- **Digit Symbol Modalities Test-Oral Version.** This is a timed task that gives participants 120 seconds to orally match geometric figures with specific numbers according to a defined key (specifying which symbols are assigned to which numbers) that is provided at the top of the stimulus page. Validation: The oral version of this test has been validated. We will administer it via video conferencing.

### 6.4.3 Sleep Patterns

Sleep habits will be assessed using the 18-item Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI-18) and the unpublished Work and Sleep Diary (WSD).

**Validation:** Both sleep assessments are done via validated questionnaires which can be administered via any interface (face-to-face or video conferencing). We will administer them via video conferencing.

### 6.4.4 Diet Patterns

Diet habits, food consumption, and nutrition intake will be assessed using the 14-item Mediterranean Diet Assessment (MDA-14), the Eating Pattern Self-Assessment (developed by the CCNA team), and a short questionnaire for Vitamin D intake.
Validation: All diet assessments are done via questionnaires which can be administered via any interface (face-to-face or video conferencing). We will administer them via video conferencing.

6.4.5 Functional Independence and Activity Level
Additional descriptors of functional health and independence will also be tested including: the activities of daily living—using the Lawton-Brody Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) scale\(^3\), the physical activity scale for the elderly (PASE)\(^69\), and the Life Space Questionnaire (LSQ)\(^70\).

Validation: All of the above assessments of functional independence and activity level are collected via questionnaires which can be administered via any interface (face-to-face or video conferencing). We will administer them via video conferencing.

Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS). We will also obtain a measure of clinical frailty using the Clinical Frailty Scale. This will allow for a determination of the clinical frailty of the participants. This assessment will be performed by the Clinical Research Coordinator/nurse using the 9-point CFS instrument. Excluding the last two categories which are not applicable to our sample (bedridden), it is effectively the validated 7-point CFS\(^71\).

Validation: The use of the CFS by remote video conferencing has not been evaluated but it is thought that this will be a reasonable way to gather information needed to determine the CFS score. The information needed is obtained by history and self-report from the participant.

Lawton-Brody Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) scale. The IADL will be administered as part of the functional assessments of this trial and serve as an inclusion criterion of preservation of function (score > 14/23). It measures participant’s ability to engage in instrumental activities of daily living via questionnaire assessing activities such as preparing meals and managing personal finances\(^3\). Total scores range from 0 to 23, with 23 being totally independent.
6.4.6 Psychiatric Health and Well-Being

Psychiatric health and well-being will be assessed using the Short Form quality of life questionnaire (SF-36)\(^{72}\), the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7 (GAD-7)\(^{73}\), Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-30)\(^{74}\), and the COVID-19 Questionnaires—that aim to delineate the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic of 2020\(^{75}\). An additional New Brunswick (NB) COVID 19 questionnaire will also be administered. This tool has been adapted from a telephone survey conducted by Ability NB used to evaluate the effect of COVID 19 on participants living in the community who have physical disability.

**Validation:** The psychiatric health and well-being assessments (SF-36, GAD-7, and GDS-30), are well-established questionnaires, which can be administered via any interface (face-to-face or video conferencing); we will administer them via video conferencing. The COVID-19 questionnaires have been specifically developed during the pandemic of 2020. They have not yet been validated. We will administer them via video conferencing.

6.4.7 Health Literacy

Health Literacy will be assessed using the abbreviated version of the Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults (TOFHLA)\(^{108}\). The short version, STOFHLA, consists of 2 prose passages and 4 numeracy items.

**Validation:** A preliminary study demonstrated that the results of the S-TOFHLA administrated through a computer were equivalent to those when administered on paper.\(^{109}\) We will administer the S-TOFHLA in a digital format, over video conferencing.

6.4.8 Technology Ability and Use

To assess the extent to which participants are comfortable with and familiar with basic technology, we will administer the Functional Assessment of Currently Employed
Technology Scale (FACETS)\textsuperscript{76}. The FACETS is a 10-item questionnaire with possible responses falling on a Likert-type scale, and higher scores indicating more frequent use of technology domains\textsuperscript{76,78}. While the FACETS will not be used as part of the eligibility criteria, we feel that it will be a worthwhile endeavor to delineate the potential change in technology use over the course of the home-based remote trial.

\textit{Validation:} The FACETS is typically administered via questionnaires which can be administered via any interface (face-to-face or video conferencing). We will administer it via video conferencing.

6.4.9 Gait and Mobility Assessments

The Get Active Questionnaire (GAQ)\textsuperscript{53} will be used at screening to ensure it is safe for participants to exercise, and will be reviewed by the intervention RA (after allocation) when tailoring the participant’s intervention to their level of function.

Gait performance will be recorded using actigraphy, which can be used to determine spatiotemporal gait parameters and can be simply placed on the participant’s hip. Specifically, gait parameters will be measured using the ActiGraph GT9X (the same device they use for sleep and activity monitoring), during which participants engage in a series of gait tasks via video conferencing with a study Kinesiology Research Assistant. If video conferencing poses any issues on participant’s the ability to position the screen to allow the researcher to visualize the trial—then phone communication will commence instead. In all walks, participants will start 1 meter before the beginning of the 6-meter allocated space and continue to travel 1 meter past the end of the space. If a 6-meter space is not available, then participants will be asked to use a 3 meter corridor within their home and for analyses, we will extrapolate based on this subset data. The procedure of allowing extra space prior to and after the walking distance is in place to ensure steady state walking and to minimize any effects of acceleration and de-acceleration during the course of the walk\textsuperscript{79}. The reason for a 3 meter minimum distance is because this distance has been shown to sufficiently measure gait speed in older adults\textsuperscript{80}. To avoid tripping or falls, participants will be instructed to walk on a smooth surface with no barriers.
Validation: Reliability has been previously established for this protocol in people at risk for developing ADRDs and those with MCI and an instructive video can be found at the “www.gaitandbrain.com/resources” as the Guidelines for Gait Assessments in CCNA. However, the virtual administration of this procedure has not yet been validated, thus the SYNERGIC@Home study will be the first to test its feasibility and its use at home.

The dual-task conditions selected are based on previous research which demonstrated that counting backwards requires both working memory and attention and naming animals is related to verbal fluency, which relies on semantic memory. The evaluator will record any counting errors during walking so that it can be compared with the same mental tasks while seated. The seated assessments will be timed at 10 seconds and will be performed in the beginning of all cognitive assessments (at least one hour prior to the dual task gait condition) to prevent practice effects in dual-task gait performance. Seated gait assessments will be assessed via video conferencing, whereby participants are asked to complete the cognitive portion of the dual task gait test while seated. Gait assessments will be then follow and will also be conducted using video conferencing, whereby participants are asked to walk towards the camera while engaging in the cognitive tasks listed above. For details pertaining to the dual task protocol, please see our detailed manual of procedures.

- **Seated Dual Task.** Participants will be first asked to complete the cognitive tasks involved in the dual-task conditions, while seated. Specifically, participants will be asked to name as many animals as they are able to, count backwards by 1’s, and count backwards by 7’s while seated. This will be used as a comparison to determine the extent to which the dual-task reduces performance (their dual-task cost).

- **Single-Task Gait Assessment.** Gait velocity will be assessed as the time taken to walk a specified distance (minimum 3 meters) using actigraphy (ActiGraph® GT9X Systems, Inc.). This method has been used in previous studies with older adults to measure gait parameters. Participants will be instructed to measure a space (minimum 5 meters) in their home and to connect with the research team via video conferencing during the gait assessments. Their gait velocity will be
measured 3 times. Gait variability of spatial and temporal gait variables (stride
time, stride length, double support time and step width) will be measured and the
coefficient of variation calculated (CV = (standard deviation / mean) x 100). The
CV is a standardized measure of variability allowing comparison of gait variables
measured in different units, having different means and range of values.

- **Dual-Task Gait Assessment.** Following single-task gait, participants will perform
three walks, once each under the following dual-task conditions: walking while
naming animals, counting backwards from 100 by 1’s, and counting backwards
from 100 by 7’s. Gait walks will occur within participant’s homes, ideally in a large
corridor or living space—but even in small spaces of at least 3 meters are
suitable. Dual-tasking assessments will permit calculation of dual-task cost for all
gait variables of interest.\(^{85,86}\).

Additional measures of gait and mobility that we will assess include falls (via a falls
calendar) and mobility (via the one-minute sit-to-stand test). Both are described in detail
below.

- **Falls.** A fall is defined as ‘unintentionally coming to rest on the ground, floor, or
other lower level and not due to a seizure, syncope, or an acute stroke’\(^{87}\). Events
caused by overwhelming environmental hazards (e.g., being struck by a moving
object) are not considered a fall. Recurrent falls are defined as ‘two or more
events in a 12-month period’. Falls will be recorded throughout the trial, in which
participants will be provided with a falls calendars, on which they will record any
falls that have occurred, and the research team will collect them monthly. Study
staff will make a final decision of whether a fall event occurred based on the
provided information about the fall, and may include follow-up discussion with
participant and study partner if applicable. Falls will only be monitored during the
active 4mo intervention period.

*Validation:* the falls calendar is intended for participants to use on their own, thus
its administration does not differ as a function of face-to-face or remote
assessments.
• **Mobility.** To further evaluate mobility, participants will be performing the one-minute sit to stand test (STST) while being assessed via video conferencing by a research team member. 

  *Validation:* While the one-minute STST has been validated for use in face-to-face settings, there are no validations to our knowledge of its use in remote settings.

### 6.4.10 Biological Markers: Polygenic Hazard Score (PHS)

PHS will be collected via saliva samples that participants will self-collect at any point in time throughout the trial. That is, participants will be mailed an unopened saliva sample collection kit from DNA Genotek© (a Canadian bio sample collection company). Participants will be monitored and assisted during the sample collection process by a research team member. There are specific instructions that must be adhered during saliva collection (such as the requirement that the sample is collected in the morning prior to consuming any food or brushing one’s teeth). These instructions will be shared with participants and they will be coached via video conferencing on how to collect, store, and ship their sample. Participants will be notified that providing a saliva sample is optional and they may refuse to do so and still continue their participation throughout the trial. Once collected, participants will be instructed to mail the unidentified sample in a mailing kit with a UNB return address to the lab in which analyses will take place. Samples will be sent to the Clinical Genomics Centre in the Mount Sinai Hospital, 600 University Ave, Toronto, ON M5G 1X5, Canada and will be processed under the guidance of Dr. Kathy Siminovitch.

The saliva sample will measure the following:

- **Biomarkers of ADRDs:** Polygenic Hazard Score (PHS). PHS is derived from a panel of 31 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and has been shown to robustly predict the 10 year odds ratio of ADRDs.

The genetic content known as DNA, or deoxyribonucleic acid, will be analyzed in order to learn about genetic information that may increase a person’s risk for developing dementia. This test is part of the overall outcome measure and is not a diagnostic test.
Study participants will not receive results of this test. This test is not currently a standard of normal clinical care and is still under research to determine its utility in clinical practice.

7. STUDY INTERVENTIONS

7.1 INTERVENTION DESCRIPTION

All participants will participate in home-based intervention sessions of 90 minutes per session three times per week for 16 weeks (48 sessions), while in communication with the research team via Zoom for Healthcare©. This period of time for combined interventions of exercise and cognitive training has been conducted in previous studies in a clinical environment with significant and promising results\textsuperscript{90,91}, but has yet to be tested with a home-based delivery approach. Each session will last approximately 90 minutes and will consist of 20-25 minutes cognitive training (NEUROPEAK\textsuperscript{TM}) or the cognitive training control followed by approximately 60 minutes of combined exercise intervention (AE and RT) or BAT control exercise.

Cognitive interventions: Active (NEUROPEAK\textsuperscript{TM}) or Control (Website searching/video watching (WS+V)) will be set up remotely by the research team for the participant, allowing the participant to complete the cognitive training on her/his own. There will be a research assistant available online to assist with technical questions during this testing.

Exercise interventions: Active (Aerobic Exercise + Resistance Training (AE+RT)) or Control (Balance and Toning (BAT)) will be conducted under the direct supervision and coaching of a certified exercise physiologist with certification from the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology (CSEP; or equivalent certification). These certified trainers will administer the exercise interventions in a one trainer to one participant ratio. All arms will have an equal volume and frequency of contact over the entire duration of the study. To avoid potential imbalances in exposure time, control conditions for exercise and cognitive training will have the same duration as the active interventions.
7.2 INTERVENTIONS

7.2.1 Active Exercise Intervention: Aerobic Exercise + Resistance Training (AE+RT)

The combined aerobic exercise and resistance training intervention (AE+RT) will be home-based and held three times per week between Monday and Saturday, ensuring that it is not on three consecutive days. Whenever possible, the research coordinator will ensure that the days of the week in which interventions occur are consistent within participants (i.e., a given participant may have a training schedule of Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays every week, or alternatively Tuesdays, Thursdays and Saturdays). Staff trained and certified in exercise training will supervise all sessions on a one-to-one trainer to participant ratio remotely. Trainers will connect virtually using video conferencing with participants and will coach them throughout the entire session for all sessions.

Difficulty of aerobic and resistance exercise will be tailored to their individual functioning level, with constant monitoring by the trainers. For this reason the intervention RA will be required to review the participant’s Get Active Questionnaire completed at the screening assessment.

The exercise program described here has been developed by a trained and certified Kinesiologist. As such, it adheres to all safety guidelines and precautions necessary in developing such programs. 3 (below) presents a general overview of the active exercise intervention (AE+RT) regimen structure with the approximate time taken to complete each portion.

Table 3. General overview of active intervention exercise regimen structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Type of Exercise</th>
<th>Duration (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warm Up</td>
<td>Marching in one place with arm swings for 1 minute</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dynamic Hamstring Stretching: 15 per side</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shoulder Circles: 15 per direction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 Arm Reaches</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Torso Twists: 15 per direction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ankle Circles: 15 per direction per side</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Side Stepping for 1 minute</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Warm Up

The first 5-10 minutes of the intervention exercise session will consist of a general warm-up using dynamic stretches, which include marching in place, various stretching warm up exercises, and quarter squats.

### Strength training

Following the general warm-up, participants will execute the strength-training portion by performing progressive strengthening exercises (including pushes and pulls using resistance bands, and chair stands). Participants will complete 7
exercises which target major muscles, including quadriceps, hamstrings, chest, back, abdominals, and synergists such as biceps and triceps. Exercise dose characteristics will be structured to elicit the greatest muscular fitness benefits with a general starting regimen consisting of 1-2 sets of high repetition, low resistance training for the first 1 to 5 weeks of the intervention. Following this, weeks 6 to 10 will consist of 2 sets of moderate repetition, moderate resistance training. And finally, weeks 11 to 16 will consist of 1-2 sets of low repetition, high resistance training. For a visual depiction of the strength training progression across the 16 weeks, please see Table 4 (below).

Table 4. Example progression of strength training guideline across intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weeks</th>
<th>Sets</th>
<th>Repetitions</th>
<th>Resistance Bands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 to 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to 10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 to 15</td>
<td>Band Intensity will increase throughout the trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 to 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 presents a general guideline demonstrating the overall progression goals of the intervention. However, realistically there are significant individual differences in starting ability and mobility levels. Therefore, while the exercise physiologist will aim to follow the progression guideline of Table 4—individualized and tailored progressive training regimens may be necessary. Therefore, the certified exercise physiologist who developed the exercise program for SYNERGIC@Home has also recommended a series of progressions across the intervention that are tailored to suit individuals at varying levels of ability. These ability levels will be assessed by the site exercise physiologist at the outset of the study. Three main progressions will be offered for each muscle group to increase challenge throughout the training period for individuals of each starting mobility and exercise ability level. All participants will be instructed to rest 30-60 seconds between sets. Training prescription for all exercises was made in accordance to the ACSM guidelines for strength development in older adults (ACSM, 1998). For details pertaining to the tailored training prescription by baseline ability, please see Table 5 (below).

Table 5. Tailored resistance training prescription by mobility and exercise ability.
### Low Fitness/Mobility Ability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle Group</th>
<th>Starting Exercise</th>
<th>Moderate Progression</th>
<th>High Progression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps</td>
<td>Seated leg press with resistance band</td>
<td>Add resistance</td>
<td>Progress to sit-to-stand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest</td>
<td>Seated chest press (light band)/chest fly (light band)</td>
<td>Add Resistance</td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 down, 3 up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamstrings</td>
<td>Standing hamstring curl/hip raise</td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 down, 3 up) + (hip raise)</td>
<td>Add resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Back</td>
<td>Scapular squeeze/scapular wall hold</td>
<td>Seated resistance tube row/seated reverse fly (light band)</td>
<td>Add resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid/Low Back</td>
<td>Reverse Snow angels</td>
<td>Include legs simultaneously</td>
<td>Progress to pullover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominals</td>
<td>Bird Dog variation (arms/legs separate)/dead bug variation</td>
<td>Progress to include simultaneous movements of limbs</td>
<td>Longer hold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Average Fitness/Mobility Ability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle Group</th>
<th>Starting Exercise</th>
<th>Moderate Progression</th>
<th>High Progression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps</td>
<td>Squat/wall squat (knee pain)</td>
<td>Add resistance (either with normal bands or thigh bands to activate glutes)</td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 down, 3 up)/pulse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest</td>
<td>Counter Push-Up (incline approximately 45)/chest fly (mod band)</td>
<td>Reduce incline (shorter surface)</td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 down, 3 up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamstrings</td>
<td>Resistance Tube hamstring curl/single-leg hip raise</td>
<td>Add resistance/Lengthen rep time (count 3 down, 3 up)</td>
<td>Change surface of planted foot (e.g. foam, bosu, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Back</td>
<td>Standing Resistance Tube Row/Reverse Fly (mod band)</td>
<td>Add resistance</td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 out, 3 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid/Low Back</td>
<td>Resistance Tube Lat Pullover</td>
<td>Add resistance</td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 out, 3 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominals</td>
<td>Incline Plank/bird dog progressions (simultaneous legs/arms)/dead bug progressions</td>
<td>Reduce incline towards horizontal/banded bird dog/deadbug</td>
<td>Longer hold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### High Fitness/Mobility Ability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle Group</th>
<th>Starting Exercise</th>
<th>Moderate Progression</th>
<th>High Progression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps</td>
<td>Split Squat/lunges/walking lunges</td>
<td>Add resistance/change footing</td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 down, 3 up)/pulse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on this supplemental material which has been supplied by the author(s).

**Chest**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Modifiers</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floor Push-Ups (from knees or feet)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 down, 3 up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chest fly (hard band)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Add resistance band/change hand positioning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hamstrings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Modifiers</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Romanian deadlift</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 down, 3 up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Add resistance band/change hand positioning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Upper Back**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Modifiers</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standing single arm resistance tube row/single arm reverse fly (at reasonable resistance)</td>
<td>Add resistance</td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 out, 3 in)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mid/Low Back**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Modifiers</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resistance Tube Lat Pulldown (high anchor, seated, kneeling, standing depending on set-up)</td>
<td>Add resistance</td>
<td>Lengthen rep time (count 3 out, 3 in), change arm position/grip</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abdominals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Modifiers</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forearm Plank/Hollow Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hand plank/lower legs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dynamic plank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Aerobic Exercise.** The aerobic training portion will consist of 10-20 minutes of moderate intensity activity. Participants will be given one of two instructional, at home, exercise videos specifically designed for aerobic and cardiac fitness for older adults to complete via YouTube. Each video is approximately 15 minutes in length and participants will be encouraged to pause or slow down as needed; thus we expect the aerobic training to take approximately 20 minutes to complete. All participants will be monitored via video conferencing by a certified exercise physiologist while partaking in the YouTube home-based exercise. Participants will alternate between the following two videos in order to reduce boredom and maintain their interest.

**Video 1:** [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aViIzXtqi8c&t=167s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aViIzXtqi8c&t=167s)

**Video 2:** [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=afvTMIT_ZTc](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=afvTMIT_ZTc)

French adaptations for Francophone participants are as follows:

**French Video 1:** [https://youtu.be/nk0LcCl_UJQ](https://youtu.be/nk0LcCl_UJQ)

**French Video 2:** [https://youtu.be/5Ml5QWHc7I](https://youtu.be/5Ml5QWHc7I)
Intensity will be set using the talk-test, whereby participants state in short sentences and Ratings of Perceived Exertion (RPE; 4-6 on Borg’s 10-point scale). This intensity score will allow us to individually tailor and modify exercises based on the participant’s rating.

**Cool Down.** Each session will end with a five-minute cool down, which will consist of the following stretches (each held for 20-30 seconds); quadriceps stretch, hamstring stretch, calf stretch, 2 hip stretches, static torso rotation, seated side bend, back and shoulder stretch, chest stretch, triceps stretch, and neck stretch.

### 7.2.2 Control Exercise Intervention: Balance and Toning (BAT)

Participants assigned to the BAT control exercise condition will take part in home-based balance and toning exercises, while supervised by a trainer through the video conferencing platform as outlined for the intervention exercise group. The format of the control exercises including the duration of activities and the amount of coaching devoted will mirror that of the intervention condition. However, in the control condition, exercises will be devoted to improving muscle tone and flexibility, without improving strength, and cardiorespiratory capacity. Resistant load and number of repetitions will not progress across exercise sessions, unless participants were unable to complete required repetitions at the beginning of the intervention. All BAT sessions will include a simple stretching mat (rather than progressive resistance bands) that will be sent to participants at the study outset. For a general overview of the BAT program, please see Table 6 (below).

**Table 6.** General overview of control BAT regimen structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Type of Exercise</th>
<th>Duration (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warm Up</td>
<td>Marching in one place with arm swings for 1 minute</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dynamic Hamstring Stretching: 15 per side</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shoulder Circles: 15 per direction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 Arm Reaches</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Torso Twists: 15 per direction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ankle Circles: 15 per direction per side</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Side Stepping for 1 minute</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 Quarter Squats</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The session will start with the same 5-10-minute warm-up completed in the combined AE and RT group.

**Balance and Toning.** This will be followed by a variety of balance and toning exercises that will target the entire body. These activities are designed to match the intervention condition with respect to the time and duration—but they are not intended to physically challenge participants or progress in any way across the trial.

**Stretching.** Like the intervention condition, participants will alternate between two Youtube videos—but rather than an aerobic portion, the video will consist of a stretching
session geared toward older adults. The following are the two videos that participants in
the control condition will be presented with in alternating order.

Video 1: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eHXbj2Uq8mM

Video 2: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zVCqkqj4f4I

Cool Down. All participants in the BAT condition will end with cool down stretching that
is identical to the active intervention condition.

7.2.3 Cognitive Training: NEUROPEAK™
The cognitive training intervention will take place remotely using a tablet or computer-
based multimodal and multi-domain dual-task training with memory load. Participants
will be instructed on how to access the program from their home computer and will be
asked to complete the cognitive training program called NEUROPEAK™ on their home
computer prior to each exercise training session. Specifically, participants will be
assisted by research staff in connecting to the platform from their home computer/tablet.
The research assistant will connect with the participant via Zoom for Healthcare© in
order to assist with the technical questions and offer technical assistance.
NEUROPEAK™ has several cognitive training modules but for this study the custom-
written program consists of a dual-task training program developed at University of
Western Ontario for neurorehabilitation, which has been used in previous Canadian
studies98-100. The cognitive training includes dual-task training that requires participants
to maintain and prepare for many response alternatives (working memory) and to share
attention between two concurrent tasks (divided attention). Difficulty of cognitive training
is tailored to their individual functioning level. The training uses a custom-written
program developed for neuro-rehabilitation and has been used in previous research
trials for cognitive82,83 and mobility outcomes39. Cognitive training will take 30 minutes at
maximum to complete, and each participant will perform the cognitive training in their
own home with no assistance for the cognitive training tasks, but will have the
opportunity to ask for help on setting up the program or technical questions. The
participant will be asked to do this training in a quiet room within their home to reduce
any potential distractions.
During each cognitive training session, participants will perform one of two different visuo-motor tasks, which include sets of visual stimuli (e.g., letters, numbers, animals, vehicles, fruits, celestial bodies) and respective hand-button correspondences (i.e., keys that are to be tapped on either the right or the left side of the screen). Participants are instructed to perform these tasks as fast as possible, while maintaining accuracy. Tasks will be performed both separately and concurrently so that task-set cost and dual-task cost can be isolated, allowing us to determine the rate at which accuracy decreases when task demands are high. At each session, task combination for the sets of stimuli will change (from a total 18 combinations). Training will also include online feedback as well as a histogram of daily performance (a simple graph showing progression but without specific numbers) to encourage improvement.

**7.2.4 Control Cognitive Training: Web Search and Video (WS+V)**

The cognitive training control home-based sessions will last a maximum of 20-25 minutes to align with the same time frame as the cognitive training group. Participants will alternate between 2 different tasks (touristic searching using internet and video watching) completed using the same method as the intervention cognitive training (i.e., on a computer within a quiet room in their home). In the first session, participants will receive a short introductory lesson on how to navigate the internet. For the touristic searching using internet, participants will be required to find 3 hotels, 3 touristic places, and 3 restaurants of their own preference in a city assigned by the instructor (a new city will be selected each session). They will also need to include the respective addresses of those places on their log sheet.

For the video watching task, participants will watch a National Geographic video on YouTube selected by the instructor with a different video selected for each session. They will watch the video for 20 minutes and during the remaining 5 minutes they will answer the following questions on their log sheet: 1) What is the video about? 2) What is the most important information in your opinion? 3) Create a question based on the video and answer your own question. Regardless of whether or not participants have completed the above control cognitive training tasks, they will be stopped at 25 minutes.
7.3 RANDOMIZATION

Upon completion of the baseline assessments (T0), participants will be randomly allocated to one of the four study arms (as shown in Figure 1). Randomization will be completed by Nellie Kamkar, the study Research Coordinator located at Lawson Research Health Institute in Parkwood Hospital, London Ontario, who will distribute randomization codes (using a random number generator) to determine the treatment arm to which each participant is allocated. Assessors and Research Assistants administering the interventions will be blinded and as such, only Nellie Kamkar and Andrew Sexton (the project manager at the University of New Brunswick) will have access to the randomization lists.

7.3.1 Method

The randomization sequence of the participants will be generated centrally using a simple excel formula that generates a random number within a sequence. A block randomization by four will be applied to ensure an appropriate balance of the participants between each arm. Permuted blocks will be employed to ensure balance over time. This trial includes 4 possible treatment arms: 1) AE+RT and NEUROPEAK™; 2) AE+RT and WS+V; 3) BAT and NEUROPEAK™; 4) BAT and WS+V. Simple randomization will not necessarily ensure that an equal number of participants will be allocated to each group (for example, we may randomly have a large proportion of participants in one group and very few or none in another). Block randomization ensures that this does not occur. Every four participants will be put into a block. For example, the first block (Block A), will consist of our first participant whose treatment arm allocation will be determined using a random number ranging from 1 to 4 (each representing the respective arms listed). Let’s assume that this number happened to be 3 (BAT and NEUROPEAK™). Then, for the next participant in the block, a random number ranging from 1 to 3 will be generated (with all treatment arms except the BAT and NEUROPEAK™). Now, the number 1 represents AE+RT and NEUROPEAK™ (like before), the number 2 represents AE+RT and WS+V (also like before). But the number 3 represents BAT and WS+V (what used to be arm 4). This ensures that the second participant will be randomly allocated to a different arm than the first participant.
third participant in Block A will be randomly assigned to one of the two remaining arms and the fourth participant will be assigned to the last remaining arm.

7.3.2 Procedure
Each participant will have an allocated sequential randomization number. After the baseline assessment, the SYNERGIC@Home Research Coordinator at UNB (not involved in measurement or intervention) will access the randomization list to determine the arm allocation for the participant. The Research Coordinator will maintain a separate file stored in SharePoint (accessible only by the Coordinators and PI’s) that links the participant’s ID with their treatment group allocation.

7.4 BLINDING
In order to minimize a source of bias, this is a double-blinded study. Research personnel performing the outcome assessments will be blinded to group allocation. Participants will be blinded to the intervention received and study hypotheses.

7.4.1 Maintaining Blinding
Only the designated Research Assistants (RAs) delivering the interventions will know the treatment group that participants belong to. As part of the training for RAs during on-boarding (in our trial SoP), they will be informed of the importance of blinding and instructed to avoid conversing with participants in a way that could reveal their group membership.

Participants will be informed at consent and reminded at enrollment of the importance of blinding and that they should refrain from discussing their treatment program with friends and family and especially with others they may know that are participating in the study.

7.4.2 Unblinding
If it is medically necessary to un-blind a participant during the trial, the RA assigned to doing the assessments or interventions will contact the study Physician and Principal Investigators to discuss the reason for the code to be broken. If it is deemed relevant to unblind the participant the study Physician will contact the Research Coordinator to break the blinding. The participant will then withdraw from the study.
7.4.3 Debriefing
At the end of the trial (immediately after participants complete their T10 assessment), participants will be unblinded such that a research assistant divulges the exact condition that the participant was randomly allocated to. During this debriefing session, participants will have an opportunity to ask questions and to give feedback.

7.5 EARLY WITHDRAWAL
Participants will be withdrawn from the study if: 1) they no longer wish to continue their participation in the study (voluntary withdrawal), or 2) in the opinion of one of the study physicians, it is medically necessary to withdraw the participant (medically necessary withdrawal).

Voluntary withdrawal
Participants who inform their Intervention Research Assistant (RA) that they wish to voluntarily withdraw will be asked by the Intervention Coordinator (to protect blinding) if they would be willing to continue their participation in either intervention on its own and return for their follow-up assessments. For example, a participant who indicates that s/he would like to withdraw from the exercise intervention will subsequently be asked if s/he would be willing to continue with the cognitive training intervention on its own, and to return for T4 and T10 assessments. Or if they wish to discontinue both interventions, s/he would be asked if they would agree to return for T4 and T10 assessments.

If the participant remains in the study with either of these scenarios, they will not be withdrawn from the study provided they agreed to at least the T4 assessment. Voluntary non-adherence will be captured by entering 0 values in their intervention logs for the remainder of the weekly session(s) they withdrew from. These participants will also be considered eligible for the one-on-one interviews planned after the T10 assessment.

If the participant wishes to completely withdraw from the study, s/he will be asked to complete the Exit Survey (which will be mailed out to them) and will subsequently be withdrawn from the study. Voluntary non-adherence will be captured by entering 0 values in their intervention logs for the remainder of the weekly session(s) they withdrew from, and intention-to-treat applied to imputing follow-up assessment scores.
Medically necessary withdrawal

Medically necessary withdrawals may be required if participants experience unanticipated AEs or SAEs that cannot be readily ameliorated and would, in the judgement of a study physician, place the participant at risk of harm if they continued to participate in the study. Changes in medication or health status during the course of the study are other reasons for consideration of medically necessary withdrawal.

Upon first recognition of a medical issue being experienced by a participant, the Intervention RA will immediately notify the Intervention Coordinator, Clinical Research Coordinator/ Nurse, and the Lead Study Physician, who will provide direction to the Intervention Coordinator as to the whether or not the intervention should continue, be modified or stopped within 24 hours of receiving the information. A follow up telephone or videoconference session will be arranged as soon as possible (24-48 hours during the week) to review the situation, which may or may not involve the participant. The purpose of this meeting will be to arrive at a decision related to withdrawal of the participant to protect their health and welfare and to ensure that participant is receiving appropriate care.

If it is deemed medically necessary to withdraw the participant, the Clinical Research Coordinator/Nurse and/or Study Physician will meet with the participant (if not already) to follow up with the participant and review the reasons for withdrawal and to inquire about the elements of the study that may have led to their change in health status. If willing, the participant will be asked to complete the Exit Survey either verbally during that meeting or have it mailed out to them for them to return to the Clinical Coordinator and will subsequently be withdrawn from the study. These participants adherence will be measured relative to their time in the study, and intention-to-treat applied to imputing follow-up assessment scores.

7.6 MIXED METHODS DESIGN: EXPERIENCE OF STUDY PARTICIPANTS

One of the secondary feasibility objectives as described at the outset aims to measure the experience of study participants who have participated in this intervention trial being conducted in home-based, on-line settings using Zoom for Healthcare©. Using key concepts such as satisfaction, knowledge gained, motivation/commitment, adherence,
and benefits, and challenges, we will collect data about the feasibility of conducting a home-based, on-line intervention trial with an older, community-dwelling population.

7.6.1 Mixed Methods Design
An explanatory sequential mixed methods design will be used where qualitative data will be collected to explore quantitative findings. This design is implemented in two phases where initially data collected using a quantitative instrument in the first phase is followed by a qualitative phase. Using mixed methods enables the quantitative results to be “sequentially” explored in more detail through this phase two qualitative approach.

A questionnaire (Appendix D) will be administered to the 64 study participants upon completion of the study intervention (T4). Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with study participants using the guide in Appendix E following the completion of their six month post-intervention follow-up assessment (T10).

7.6.2 Data Collection Instruments
Questions developed for both the quantitative and qualitative instruments were constructed using Kirkpatrick’s (1975) framework—a four-level model that has been used to assess participants’ benefits and experiences with different types of programs. This framework consists of four dimensions as illustrated in Table 7 and has been used in numerous settings to conduct a process-focused program evaluation.

Table 7. Kirkpatrick’s Framework for Evaluation of Participant Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Possible Areas for Exploration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reaction (to research study)</td>
<td>• How did participants feel about components of the study?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Were participants satisfied with the research team members implementing the intervention(s)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kirkpatrick’s Framework for Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Possible Areas for Exploration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning</strong> (new knowledge / skills; what knowledge / skills unlearned)</td>
<td>• What new knowledge and skills were learned? Any new knowledge about how to improve thinking and memory?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Did participants become aware of new evidence-informed practices that required them to 'unlearn' skills? For example, was there new learning with respect to physical exercise?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behaviour</strong> (change in behaviour as a result of participating in the research study)</td>
<td>• What does the participant identify as changes in behaviours as a result of participating in the study?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What new skills were learned?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What were motivators to change?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results</strong> (Measurable outcomes)</td>
<td>• Benefits identified by participants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.6.3 Participant Exit Questionnaire

The purpose of using a quantitative instrument (Appendix D) is to obtain a snapshot of the study circumstances and logistics from the participants’ perspective. Upon completing the study intervention (at T4) each participant will be sent a one-page, short-form questionnaire via email. This questionnaire consists of 19 closed-ended questions using a 5 point Likert scale and one open-ended question. The questions consist of alternating positive and negative statements which collect participants’ impressions about their experience and satisfaction with various elements of this study; i.e., such as using a computer or video-conferencing to complete the intervention and assessments. Study participants will either return the scanned questionnaire by email or mail a completed hard copy to the research coordinator using a stamped, self-addressed envelope.

7.6.3.1 Quantitative Data Analysis

The results of these questionnaires will be analyzed using a standard statistics software program such as SPSS. Descriptive statistics for the anonymized questionnaires will be compiled such as the number of responses, the percentages for each question, and the group mean and standard deviation.
7.6.4 Participant Semi-Structured Interview

A semi-structured interview guide has been developed (Appendix E) consisting of questions that ask participants to comment on their study experiences. For example, the benefits of this research approach for exercise and cognitive training programs including their reaction to the type of training they completed, their user satisfaction, the ease of participation in a virtual setting, the quality of information received; and support provided by research team members and the extent of burden and fatigue from completing the assessments will be explored.

7.6.4.1 Qualitative Data Analysis

Transcribed data from the interviews will be uploaded into NVivo, a qualitative software program used for data analysis by the team’s qualitative researchers. Transcripts will be divided amongst the qualitative researchers. These team members will code the interview data, initially independently, and then meet as a group to arrive at a consensus of codes. Following coding of the data, through thematic analysis, themes and sub-themes will be generated to identify participants’ perspectives of the feasibility, experience and satisfaction with this type of virtually delivered study. Study participants will be invited to review and validate the themes generated; this validation adds rigor to analysis, which ensures that the researchers “got it right”.

7.6.5 Triangulation

The mixed methods design promotes methodical rigor. For this aspect of the study, triangulation of the findings takes place from two perspectives. Collecting both quantitative and qualitative data gives more insight than any one method will provide. In addition, having more than one member of the research team conduct the semi-structured interviews can significantly enhance the credibility of the findings and is particularly important for decreasing bias in gathering, analyzing data and/or reporting study findings.

7.7 COMPENSATION

In recognition for the participant’s time commitment they will be given $50.00 after the immediate post-intervention follow-up (T4) assessment and $50.00 the 6-month post-intervention follow-up (T10), for a total amount of $100. Compensation will be in the form of cash.
form of gift cards to local grocers (Sobeys and Atlantic Superstore) and gas stations (Irving Circle K and Ultramar) of the individual’s choice, or equivalent cash value paid by cheque.

8. STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

8.1 SAMPLE SIZE AND POWER ANALYSIS

A total of 64 participants will be enrolled in the SYNERGIC@Home study. Participants will be randomly allocated to each of the four arms with 16 participants per arm. Power analysis was calculated a-priori using G*Power 3.1 based on our primary analytic goal of assessing the relationship between intervention preference and subsequent adherence. Specifically, we plan on examining correlations among continuous variables with a final total sample size needed of 48 (25% loss) and with one-tailed analyses at $\alpha = .05$ for two correlation tests (equivalent to a two-tailed test at $\alpha = .1$), thus we will have 96% power to detect a moderate to large effect size (of .5 or larger) and 82% power to detect an effect size of .4 or larger. For any $r$ greater than .6, power will be well over 99%, meaning that we will have greater than 99% power to explain a minimum of 36% of the variability in our dependent variable.

8.2 PLANNED DATA ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics for demographic and baseline characteristics will be provided with means and standard deviations, or medians and the interquartile range where appropriate, for continuous characteristics, and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Analysis will be conducted as intention-to-treat (ITT) and as per-protocol analysis (PPA).

8.2.1 Primary Feasibility Outcomes

Adherence to the interventions will be analyzed using a one-sample t-test that will test the hypothesis that participants complete at least 36 of the 48 (75%) scheduled interventions sessions. This test will be used to determine of the adherence is similar to hypothesize, better than hypothesized or worse than hypothesized.
8.2.2 Secondary Feasibility Outcomes

Enrollment recruitment target of 75% will be tested using a Chi-square goodness-of-fit test ($\alpha=.05$) of actual distribution (# eligible and # screen fails) versus hypothesized distribution (75% and 25% of N). This test will be used to determine if the achieved distribution of eligible participants is similar to that hypothesized, significantly better than that hypothesized, or significantly lower than that hypothesized.

To answer the research questions pertaining to trial retention, we will examine proportions reaching our 75% enrollment retention target at the immediate post-intervention follow-up (T4) assessment and the 75% follow-up retention target at the 6-month post-intervention follow-up (T10) assessment with 95% confidence intervals (when possible). In addition, Chi-square good-of-fit test will also be used to quantify the significance of the difference between the observed and hypothesized proportions.

Assessment tolerability will use descriptive statistics (counts) to describe how many and under what circumstances (documented in CRF notes) that participants decided to drop out of the trial, not because of the interventions, but because of the extensive battery of testing they must undergo in order to start the trial.

Descriptive statistics will be used to analyze the quantitative Exit survey to determine where on the spectrum of satisfaction (completely unsatisfied to completely satisfied) participants fall in terms of the trial components (see Appendix D). Data will be analyzed using a two-way ANOVA on exercise intervention (active and control) and cognitive intervention (active and control) to determine if there is a significant interaction effect induced by the combined active treatments.

Adverse events will be analyzed using a Chi-square cross-tabulation analysis between AE severity and AE relation-to-trial. We will use this analysis to test the hypothesis that there is a relationship between AE severity and being in the trial. Furthermore, we will stratify the sample by treatment arm and use a Chi-square goodness-of-fit test to determine if AEs are distributed differently across treatment arms against the null hypothesis of an even distribution (no relation to treatment arm).
8.2.3 Primary Analytic Outcomes

For primary analytic outcomes examining the relationship between interest level in and adherence to the interventions, we will correlate interest level (responses given on the Intervention Preference Questionnaire, See Appendix A) for each intervention with adherence rates calculated from trial logs, using Pearson’s r. This analysis will tell us if adherence to the trial is related to participants’ affinity for any one or more interventions.

- **Interest in the Interventions**: Question 1 on the survey rates their interest in each intervention independently, **INT_EX** and **INT_CT**, on a 0-10 scale.

- **Intervention Preference**: The second question rates their relative preference for either intervention. This will generate a single variable that gives the relative preference (-2 to 2 scale), **PR**, where low scores prefer exercise and high scores prefer cognitive training. Because we will administer preference survey at baseline and then at 4mo, we will have two measures **PR1** and **PR2**. The difference scores (**dPR=PR2-PR1**) would be negative if their preference moved toward exercise, and positive if it moved toward cognitive training.

- **Intervention Allocated**: The treatment arms can be represented by two dummy (0,1) variables **EX_ARM** and **CT_ARM**.

- **Adherence to Interventions**: Adherence to the interventions at the end of the trial, **AD_EX** and **AD_CT**, is a continuous scale variable (% exercise and cognitive training sessions attended, respectively).

8.2.3.1 Analysis Plan

_What is the Relationship between Adherence and Intervention Interest?_ For each of the two interventions we will calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient (\(\rho_{X,Y}\)) with a one-tailed alpha of .05.

\[
H_0: \rho_{X,Y} = 0, \quad H_1: \rho_{X,Y} > 0, \text{ where } X=\text{INT_EX} \text{ and } Y=\text{AD}
\]

\[
H_0: \rho_{X,Y} = 0, \quad H_1: \rho_{X,Y} > 0, \text{ where } X=\text{INT_CT} \text{ and } Y=\text{AD}
\]

Rejection of the null hypothesis for either test will allow us to conclude that interest level in the intervention type prior to the trial explains a significant amount of variance in adherence to the trial. Failure to reject the null hypothesis would suggest that prior...
attitudes about the interventions does not influence how well they adhere to the interventions.

8.2.3.2 Other Analyses

**Which intervention type (physical exercise or cognitive training) do the majority of participants prefer over the other?** To answer this question we will use a single-sample t-test to test if the mean PR is directionally biased from the middle score (no preference).

**What proportion of participants have no particular preference for either intervention?** To answer this question we will compute the proportion of participants that selected “Equal preference” response.

**Do their attitudes change after completing the active interventions versus the control interventions?** To answer this question we will calculate the mean preference change dPR and test whether it is different from zero using a single-sample t-test.

**Do participants adhere better if they receive the active treatments they prefer?**

Because some participants will be randomly assigned to the active intervention that matches their preference and others will not (will get the control version of the intervention), we will transform the preference score into a logical variable PR_MET (1=preference met, 0=preference not met).

\[
\text{if (PR}1 < 3 \text{ and EX}_A\text{RM}=1) \text{ or (PR}1 > 3 \text{ and CT}_A\text{RM}=1), \]
\[
\text{then PR}_M\text{ET}= 1, \text{ else PR}_M\text{ET}=0
\]

We will test the hypothesis that

\[H_0: \rho_{X,Y} = 0, \quad H_1: \rho_{X,Y} \neq 0, \text{ where } X=PR\_M\text{ET} \text{ and } Y=AD\]

Rejection of the null hypothesis \((p<.05)\) will allow us to conclude that adherence to the interventions is significantly influenced by receiving the active intervention they prefer.
8.2.4 Secondary Analytic Outcomes
Clinical and activity assessments will yield a rich source of information for quantifying effect sizes of trial outcomes. We will calculate Cohen’s d effect sizes (mean difference/standard deviation) for cognitive, mobility and lifestyle outcomes (e.g., diet and sleep) listed in Table 2.

All statistical tests will be two-tailed, and a p-value of less than 0.05 will be considered to indicate statistical significance. All calculations will be made using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 23.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and Stata (Stata Statistical Software: Release 14. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP.).

8.3 FREQUENCY OF THE DATA ANALYSES
Preliminary analysis will be performed after finishing recruitment to ascertain descriptive characteristics at baseline assessment. Interim efficacy analyses will be performed when recruitment is reaching 50% of target sample (N = 32) and final efficacy analysis will be performed at the end of the trial (N = 64, but 48 are need for final analyses), as no safety issues are anticipated in this study.

9. ADVERSE EVENTS

9.1 DEFINITIONS

9.1.1 Adverse Event (AE)
An adverse event (AE) is any untoward medical occurrence in a subject that may present itself during the conduct of a research study and which may or may not have a causal relationship with the study procedures. An AE can therefore be any unfavourable or unintended sign (e.g., including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease temporarily associated with a study procedure. An AE may be a
new illness, worsening of a sign or symptom of a condition, or an effect from a study procedure.

9.1.2 Serious Adverse Event (SAE)
A serious adverse event (SAE) is any untoward medical occurrence that:

- Results in death
- Is life-threatening, i.e., the subject was at immediate risk of death at the time of the event; it does not include any event which hypothetically might have caused death if it had occurred in a more severe form.
- Requires inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization. Hospitalizations and/or surgical procedures that are scheduled to occur during the study period, for an illness or disease that existed before subject enrolment in the trial, will not be considered AEs provided the pre-existing condition did not deteriorate (e.g., surgery performed earlier than the planned date).
- Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity

Medical and scientific judgement should be exercised in deciding whether expedited reporting is appropriate. In other situations, such as important medical events that may not be immediately life-threatening or result in death or hospitalization but may jeopardize the subject or may require intervention to prevent one of the other outcomes listed in the definition above. These should also usually be considered serious.

9.2 CLASSIFICATION

9.2.1 Severity
Adverse events will be classified as mild, moderate or severe in severity as follows:

- **Mild**: Discomfort noticed but no disruption of normal daily activity.
- **Moderate**: Discomfort sufficient to reduce or affect normal daily activity.
- **Severe**: Incapacitating with inability to work or perform normal daily activity.

The term "severe" is often used to describe the intensity (severity) of a specific event (as in mild, moderate, or severe myocardial infarction); the event itself, however, may be of relative minor medical significance (such as severe headache). This is not the
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same as “serious”, which is based on subject/event outcome or action criteria usually associated with events that pose a threat to a subject’s life or functioning. Seriousness (not severity) serves as a guide for defining regulatory reporting obligations.

9.2.2 Attribution
The relationship of the AE to study procedure will be assessed by the investigator to be not related, unlikely, possible, probable or definite, as follows:

- **Not related**: No relationship between the AE and the study procedure, judged clearly and incontrovertibly due to extraneous causes such as concomitant medication(s) or the subject’s clinical state.
- **Unlikely**: The AE is more likely due to an alternative explanation such as concomitant medication(s), concomitant disease(s) and/or the time relationship suggests that a causal relationship is unlikely.
- **Possible**: The AE might be due to a study procedure. An alternative explanation such as concomitant medication(s), concomitant disease(s) is inconclusive. The time relationship is reasonable therefore the causal relationship cannot be excluded.
- **Probable**: The AE might be due to a study procedure. An alternative explanation such as concomitant medication(s), concomitant disease(s) is less likely. The time relationship is suggestive, i.e. it is confirmed by de-challenge.
- **Definite**: The AE cannot be reasonably explained by an alternative explanation such as concomitant medication(s), concomitant disease(s). The time relationship is very suggestive, i.e. it is confirmed by de-challenge and re-challenge.

For the purposes of safety analyses, all SAEs classified with a relationship to a study procedure of possible, probable or definite will be considered study-related events.

9.3 PROCEDURES FOR AE AND SAE REPORTING

9.3.1 Adverse Event (AE) Reporting
All AEs experienced by the subject between the signing of the Informed Consent and discontinuation of the study will be reported. All AEs must be recorded in the CRF.
both serious and non-serious AEs, the investigator must determine both the intensity of
the event and the relationship of the event to study procedures.

9.3.2 Serious Adverse Event (SAE) Reporting
All SAEs will be recorded in the CRF starting from the time of the signing of the
Informed Consent up to and including the end of study. All SAEs, regardless of the
relationship to study procedures, must be reported within one working day of site
personnel being notified of the occurrence of the event.

SAE forms will be provided to each study site. The initial SAE report should include at a
minimum: subject number, a narrative description of the event, and an assessment by
the investigator of the intensity of the event and relationship of the event to study drug.
The initial SAE report received from the site should be complete as soon as possible. A
complete follow-up SAE report must be submitted when the information, not available at
the time of the initial report, becomes available. The sponsor (or designee) may request
SAE follow-up information.

Any SAE that occurs at any time after completion of the study, which the investigator
considers to be related to study procedures, must be recorded in the CRF.

All SAE will be submitted to the REB.

9.3.3 Period of Observation
All AEs should be monitored to determine the outcome or until the investigator
considers it medically justifiable to terminate follow-up.

All SAEs should be monitored until resolved or until the SAE is clearly determined to be
due to a subject’s stable or chronic condition or intercurrent illness(es).

10. ETHICAL AND OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

This study is conducted in compliance with International Conference on Harmonization
Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP) and all applicable regulatory requirements. This
SYNERGIC@Home study will undergo review and approval from the Research Ethics
Committees/Boards of Vitalité Health Network In Moncton, New Brunswick, Horizon
Health Network in Fredericton, New Brunswick, the University of New Brunswick in Fredericton New Brunswick, and Université de Moncton in Moncton, New Brunswick.

10.1 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

10.1.1 Informed Consent
When potential participants have self-identified as being interested in learning more about the study to decide if they want to participate, the Clinical Research Coordinator/Nurse will contact the individual to discuss an overview of the study. If they are interested in pursuing more information the informed consent will be emailed or mailed to them for their review. Potential participants will be given a copy of the informed consent form in their language of choice.

After the potential participant agrees to be considered for recruitment the clinical research coordinator/nurse will arrange a time for a more detailed videoconference meeting for the Screening Visit. Opportunity for discussion of the study and Informed consent will be provided and all questions will be answered. The informed consent will be completed and signed prior to beginning any study related assessments/procedures. Signing of the consent will be done via videoconference and then returned by mail using a stamped, self-addressed envelope to the clinical research coordinator/nurse who will then sign it and file the original with the participant research documents. A final signed copy of the informed consent will be provided to the participant either by email or mail depending on their choice.

Optionally, the participant will be asked to show the clinical research coordinator/nurse their signed informed consent form over the video-call so that they can ascertain and verify that the form has been signed in all the appropriate locations. Once verified, the clinical research coordinator/nurse will consider the participant to be consented for the study and will proceed with scheduling the study assessments and procedures and will not be required to wait until the returned mailed consent form is received before proceeding with the study.
10.1.2 Confidentiality and Privacy
Participants’ private and identifiable information will be held in strict confidence and will not be shared outside the research team, with the exception of enforcement of applicable civil or federal laws. Research team members will only have access to private and identifiable information on a need-to-know basis or as necessary for carrying out their study tasks.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, many research team members will be working from a home environment. All RAs involved in assessing or delivering interventions to study participants will be provided a secure UNB laptop administered by the study Project Manager. The study laptop may only be used for study related activities and must be used for all videoconferencing activity and data storage. All research coordinators in the Health Network will be working within their institutions or from a home environment. They will be provided a secure Health authority laptop administered by Service New Brunswick. All connection will be protected behind the institution firewall. Research team members and investigators will be prohibited from discussing participant cases or sharing of private and identifiable information by email or non-secure videoconferencing.

10.1.3 Biospecimen Collection Privacy
To ensure participant privacy and confidentiality in biospecimen collection, storage, shipment, participants will be instructed to print their study ID number on their saliva sample box (rather than their name) and to ensure that their name or any personally identifiable information is not indicated on their sample box. They will be given mailing materials to pack their sample in and will be given instructions on how to mail the sample back for analysis. This is in accordance with standard operating procedures for storing, shipping, and handling of bio-samples for research purposes.

10.2 STUDY SAFETY AND MONITORING COMMITTEE
There will be a Study Safety and Monitoring Committee that will consist of all NB-PALM principal investigators and site physicians, project manager and research coordinator(s), as well as a physician not associated with the study (TBD) and a community member (TBD). This committee will be responsible to receive all reports of AEs and SAEs.
reported for any participant as well as to monitor the overall operations of the entire research project. A log of these reports will be kept and reviewed regularly to monitor the safety of the clinical trial.

10.3 RISK MANAGEMENT AND SAFETY MONITORING PLAN

All participants will be monitored by trained research staff, and should any adverse events arise, the research team directly working with the participant will notify the Clinical Research Coordinator/Nurse, who will gather and document the appropriate information and will contact the Physician Principal Investigator and/or Study Physician. Adverse events will be documented as described above in Section 10.

Participants will be given a phone number and e-mail address to contact if there is an adverse event, or they may report AEs at the start of their training session with the RAs delivering their interventions. There will be a member from the research team available to assist with this Monday to Friday 0800-1600 (excluding statutory holidays). All participants will be encouraged to use the contact information provided to them to ask any non-urgent questions and address their concerns throughout the entirety of the study trial.

In order to ensure that participant safety is the utmost focus of the research project, we have put forth the following plan and answered the following risk management and safety monitoring questions:

10.3.1 Safety Monitoring

Participant safety will be regularly monitored during each assessment and intervention session using an ongoing paper log. This log will be filled out by the study assessor conducting the intervention session and she/he will insert detailed session notes pertaining to the events that transpired during each event. This log will be reviewed by the clinical research coordinator/nurse and if there are any concerns it will be reported to the physician principal investigator and/study physician. These will be reported to the Safety and Monitoring Committee on a monthly basis.
10.3.2 Withdrawal for Safety Reasons
During their intervention sessions which occur three times per week, participants will be monitored by the Research Assistant administering the intervention. Any concerns that are medical in nature will be communicated to the Clinical Research Coordinator/nurse. Further information will be collected from the patient by the nurse and the physician principal investigator/study physician will be notified. Follow up on any medical matters will be done by the nurse and/or physician as required. If further medical care is needed the participant will be referred to their primary care physician/provider for follow up. A decision regarding early withdrawal from the study will be made by the principal investigator/study physician and all the appropriate document will be completed.

10.3.3 Study-wide Stopping Rules
In light of the fact that this intervention program has been implemented previously in the SYNERGIC trial, it is unlikely that this study would be required to stop early due to safety concerns. However, SYNERGIC@Home will be conducted remotely so it is possible that adverse events may arise that are not anticipated requiring the entire study to stop. The decision to stop the study early will rest with Study Safety and Monitoring Committee.

10.4 INCIDENTAL FINDINGS
Incidental findings include any previously undiagnosed medical finding observed throughout the trial, identified purely accidentally within the research trial. Any incidental findings observed throughout the trial will be addressed by the Clinical Research Coordinators/Nurse and Physician Principal Investigator/Study Physician. All incidental findings will be appropriately documented. Depending on the finding the participants’ primary care physician/provider will be contacted so that appropriate follow up and care if necessary is received. All findings and their follow-up actions will be documented and monitored until it has been resolved or as long as the participant remains in the study.

10.5 PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS
A protocol deviation occurs when the activities of the study deviate from that which is detailed in the study protocol. All research staff will make it their priority to ensure that
the protocol is abided by as closely as possible. However, in the event that a participant deviates from the protocol, a protocol deviation form (see Appendix I) will be filed and details pertaining to the deviation will be noted in a hard copy stored in locked cabinets on the UNB campus. Attempts will be made to return to study procedure as outlined in the protocol as much as possible and as swiftly as possible.

10.6 DATA MANAGEMENT AND STORAGE

10.6.1 Primary Source Data
Primary source data will be stored using SharePoint, a secure platform through the University of New Brunswick to which only designated research staff have access. Primary source data are defined as the copies of the original hard copy assessment forms completed by the research team member conducting the assessments along with any hard copy self-report questionnaires and other study document sent by a participant of collected by the site research coordinators. Hard copies of any data collection forms will be stored in locked cabinets located at the workplaces of study research staff and accessible only by study staff.

10.6.2 Secondary Source Data
Upon completion of the study, all data collected in paper form with the unique identification numbers will be uploaded to the Longitudinal Online Research and Imaging System (LORIS) system (https://ccna.loris.ca/) at the McGill Centre for Integrative Neuroscience, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec. The LORIS is an OPEN SOURCE toolset framework for storing and processing behavioural, clinical, neuroimaging and genetic data. LORIS is designed to simplify management of large datasets acquired over time in a longitudinal study, and at different locations in a multi-site study. It provides a secure web-based access to data validation and quality control modules, as well as visualization and basic statistical tools. The LORIS servers in which the data is stored are physically located on the McGill University campus, in a secure data facility. Study staff will enter data into LORIS via web-portal.

10.6.3 Video and Audio Recording
All study procedures including intervention sessions (physical activity and cognitive training) will occur via Video Conferencing using Zoom for Healthcare®. The screening
and baseline (T0), immediate post-intervention follow-up (T4), and 6-month post-intervention follow-up (T10) assessments will be video and audio recorded. In addition, a subset of 3 intervention sessions will be selected to be video recorded per participant for quality control. Anytime during which participants will be video recorded, they will be told ahead of time that their session will be video recorded.

The audio and video recordings will only be accessed by members of the research team to verify the data that is needed for populating the assessment forms. Once scores are verified from video and audio recordings, they will be transferred to the Case Report Forms and data will be input into a data collection sheet (Appendix F) for input to LORIS as described in section 11.6.2. Data will only be linked to each study participant’s unique study identification number. The audio and video recordings will be stored at UNB on a secure Sharepoint server and discarded after the data has been transferred. Recordings will never be shared, uploaded or distributed to any individuals or organizations outside of the research team. Data obtained from the ActiGraph GT9X devices (i.e., gait parameters, heart rate, and sleep cycle data) will also be stored at UNB on a secure Sharepoint server and discarded after it has been transferred.

Participant names will not be associated with their video recording and participants will be asked to set their Zoom for Healthcare© user password as their initials. Video and audio recordings will be discarded after their data has been extracted.

**10.7 FUTURE USE OF STORED SPECIMENS AND DATA**

Biological samples will be stored at the Clinical Genomics Centre in the Mount Sinai Hospital, 600 University Ave, Toronto, ON M5G 1X5, Canada and will be processed under the guidance of Dr. Kathy Siminovitch. Approximately half of the samples will be used for planned analyses (polygenic hazard score (PHS) testing). The rest may be available for investigators who wish to perform further analyses on the whole cohort or a subset. Participants will be asked if they are willing to be contacted at a later date to be asked whether or not they consent to have their sample biobanked for future research use. Only participants who consent being contacted at the later date, and then consent to biobanking their sample for future studies will have their sample analyzed for other purposes, the samples form patients who didn’t agree for this biobanking will be
destroyed. Access to these samples will be regulated by the Biological Sample Access Committee which is made up of members of CCNA (members list available on request). Requests for access will be assessed for feasibility, scientific rigour, and alignment with the consent of the participants. In order to be granted access to samples, investigators must agree that the data they generate from the samples will be included in the larger CCNA database on LORIS within 2 years of sample batch receipt. Samples will be shared within Canada only for a period of 3 years after the last sample has been collected. After that 3-year period, they will be available to international researchers, if not already depleted. The full Biological Sample Access policy document is under development and will be made available upon its finalization.

PHS testing is still in its early embryonic stages in terms of clinical development and while it holds great promise for clinical utility in the future, it is not currently a validated diagnostic tool used in medical practice. Thus, the research team will be entirely transparent with participants and inform them at the study outset that their results will not be shared with them or their healthcare professional—as it is not currently a diagnostic tool. Any and all published work from the data will only include group statistics (and not individual trends) and will always include de-identified participant identification numbers (and not participant names).

10.8 PUBLICATION AND DATA SHARING POLICY

10.8.1 Dissemination of Study Findings
Prior to submission for publication or for presentation of any data or results obtained in this study, notification of the study Investigators (Principal and Co-Principal Investigators) is required. Draft manuscripts, abstracts and presentations should be submitted to the study Investigators for review and approval well in advance of applicable submission deadlines.

10.8.2 Authorship
Authorship of publications resulting from this study should accurately reflect the academic contribution of individuals to the design and implementation of the trial, analysis of the data and preparation of the manuscript. No researcher shall include identifiable personal health information in any publication or presentation.
10.8.3 Data Ownership

The University of New Brunswick will retain the ownership of the data obtained in this study. All publications that arise from the use of data will give acknowledgement, attribution, or co-authorship as appropriate in accordance with the International Committee of Medication Journal Editors (ICMJE) standards.

11. DISCUSSION

Older adults at risk for ADRDs have incident rates of related syndromes several times higher than their cognitively healthy counterparts\textsuperscript{103}. Additionally, these populations of individuals at risk for ADRDs have an increased risk of falling and mobility decline\textsuperscript{104,105}. Physical exercise, and cognitive training are emerging and promising non-pharmacological interventions to enhance mobility and cognitive functioning in older adults, especially in pre-dementia states prior to onset. These interventions have been tested separately, with positive results for physical exercise and cognitive training in improving cognitive function\textsuperscript{30,32,35,42,46}. To our knowledge, this is the first study establishing the feasibility of conducting an entirely home-based combined exercise and cognitive training intervention program for older adults at risk for ADRDs.

11.1 SIGNIFICANCE OF ESTABLISHING FEASIBILITY

The goal of establishing the feasibility of conducting a home-based combined intervention program is critical, as it has the potential to inform other researchers on the logistics of designing remote intervention programs. In addition, in light of the physical distancing procedures implemented worldwide after the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic—many older adults have been further isolated in their homes. The SYNERGIC@Home trial is one of the first studies that has adapted to these unique times, allowing older adults to take part in various intervention and assessment procedures from the safety and comfort of their homes. If successful, the methodology and procedures tested in this feasibility trial will set the standard for a new platform in which participants are no longer restricted to intervention studies conducted in a physical laboratory.
11.2 SIGNIFICANCE OF EXAMINING INTERVENTION PREFERENCE

To address our primary analytic goal of assessing participant's intervention preference, we will examine the potential relationship between preference given for an intervention and the subsequent efficacy of it. We will assess participant’s preference both prior to and after the intervention and correlate these values with their adherence to the intervention that they were randomized to receive. If we find that preferences given prior to intervention are strongly related to subsequent intervention compliance/adherence—then our data will provide unique insights on factors related to the success of lifestyle modification trials with community-dwelling older adults. We may find that strong preferences are weakly correlated with our measures of intervention fidelity. This will suggest that subsequent intervention trials will not benefit from the added complexity and cost associated with formally estimating preference effects in randomized control trials of future intervention studies. Therefore, regardless of the results of our primary analyses, we believe that the SYNERGIC@Home trial will provide unique insights the relationship between intervention preference and subsequent fidelity.

11.3 SIGNIFICANCE OF SECONDARY OUTCOMES

We believe that the two combined interventions of physical activity and cognitive training used in conjunction will lead to a cascade of improvements on our secondary outcomes, such that those in the combined intervention groups will outperform the control groups on tests of cognitive functioning. We further believe that, if successful, the combined intervention will further demonstrate a delay in their progression to dementia. The reasons why each of the interventions will pose benefits to cognitive, neurological, physical, and psychological health are delineated below.

11.4 BENEFITS OF INTERVENTIONS

11.4.1 Benefits of Exercise

Mechanistically, AE and RT exercises can provoke a cascade of biochemical, physiological, and structural changes in the brain including increases in blood flow, neurotrophic factor release, neurogenesis, immune system efficacy and metabolism. These effects of exercise could combat inflammatory processes and the atrophy of brain structures both often associated with aging and ADRDs\textsuperscript{32,34}. Interventions using
RT exercises have found substantial improvements in high-order cognition (e.g. executive functions), whereas low-order cognition (e.g. attention, processing speed) is less benefited. The reason for this selective improvement in cognition is unknown, but it is hypothesized that areas in the brain that modulate executive functions are more susceptible to both aging and physical exercises interventions. Mechanisms suggested involve modulation of insulin-like growth factor-1 and insulin sensitivity, decreasing inflammation, enhancing release of brain-derived neurotrophic factor pathways, and even decrease brain amyloid load. Combined exercise interventions have also shown increased brain volume and muscle mass in older adults.

11.4.2 Benefits of Cognitive Training
Cognitive training can also improve cognition through enhancing brain functioning. Individuals who practiced monitoring of two tasks at the same time (i.e. dual-task training) on computer devices have presented with improved connectivity between prefrontal and temporal cortices, areas known to be important for executive functioning and memory, when compared to control participants. Furthermore, imaging in these participants showed increased activity in these cortical areas during resting state, as shown by increased blood flow. With this, implementing a dual-task cognitive training program in older adults has the potential to selectively improve high-order cognitive functioning through brain plasticity and improved activation.

11.5 STRENGTHS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS
To our knowledge, this feasibility randomized control trial is the first to test the feasibility of implementing a combined physical aerobic exercise and resistance training program with cognitive training program at home to improve cognition in a sample of community-dwelling older adults at risk for ADRDs. We also believe this is one of the first home-based intervention trials for older adults, in which all aspects of the study protocol are being administered remotely. With this study, we will build capacity in implementing a multifaceted home-based intervention to delay dementia in a sample of community-dwelling older adults. We will also establish the extent to which measuring participant preference for a given intervention is related to subsequent adherence and compliance to the intervention treatment. We believe that this will inform other researchers and scholars alike on whether or not the costs and efforts associated with tailoring...
interventions in future studies to match participant preferences are a worthwhile endeavor.

Furthermore, we are collaborating with a team of expert engineers and scientists to collect and examine a wealth of data from the actigraphy devices (ActiGraph GT9X). This collaboration with an engineering team will allow us to collect and analyze a large subset of objective measures of sleep and wake cycles, cardiovascular measures including heart rate, and mobility and gait parameters on a continuous basis.

In conclusion, SYNERGIC@Home will build capacity for future research RCT design using home-based interventions in older adults at risk for ADRDs.

12. RESEARCH TIMELINE

We wish to begin this project in January 2021. This study will be completed within two years of its start date: end date estimated for October 31, 2022. It is anticipated that patient recruitment will occur over at least a 10-month period and could be extended beyond this time depending on the results obtained.
13. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD: Alzheimer's Disease

ADAS-Cog: Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive

ADCS-ADL: Alzheimer Disease Cooperative Study Activities of Daily Living

ADNI: Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative

ADRD: Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementia

AE: Aerobic exercise

ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance

Aβ: amyloid-β

BAT: Balance and Toning

BDNF: Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor

BHSP: Brain Health Support Program

BNT: Boston Naming Test

CCNA: Canadian Consortium in Neurodegeneration and Aging

CDR: Clinical Dementia Rating

CFC 2: Cognitive Functional Composite

CI: Cognitively Intact

COMPASS-ND: The Comprehensive Assessment of Neurodegeneration and Dementia

CV: Coefficient of Variation
FACETS: Functional Assessment of Currently Employed Technology Scale

GAD 7: Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7

GDS-30: Geriatric Depression Scale

IADL: Instrumental Activities of Daily Living

ICH-GCP: International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice

ITT: Intention-To-Treat

LSQ: Life Space Questionnaire

MCI: Mild Cognitive Impairment

MDA-14: Mediterranean Diet Assessment 14-items

MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment

NTB: Neuropsychological Test Battery

PASE: Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly

PSQI-18: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index 18-items

PPA: Per-Protocol Analysis

RT: Resistance training

SCI: Subjective Cognitive Impairment

SF-36: Short Form quality of life questionnaire

SPSS: The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

STOFHLA: Short Test of Functional Health Literacy
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STST: One Minute Sit to Stand Test

SYNERGIC: SYNchronizing Exercises, Remedies in Gait and Cognition

TCOGS: Telephone Cognitive Screening

TMT: Trail-Making Test

VBM: Voxel-Based Morphometry

VEGF: Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

VRF = Vascular Risk Factors

WMHs: White Matter Hyper-intensities

14. DECLARATIONS

This study is conducted in compliance with International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP) and all applicable regulatory and ethical requirements. All authors and research staff have no declarations, financial or otherwise, to disclose.

15. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: INTERVENTION PREFERENCE QUESTIONNAIRE

Participant ID # _____________________
Date (dd-mm-yyyy) ______________________

1. Given what you know at this point in time, please indicate how interested you are in each of the following interventions, by placing a mark along the line between no interest and strong interest.
Version 8.0, Feb 20th, 2022

Rate your level of interest in **physical exercise** as a way to improve your brain health

- None
- Some
- Strong

Rate your level of interest in **brain exercise** as a way to improve your brain health

- None
- Some
- Strong

2. Please rate your preference between physical exercise and brain exercise training. Select the response below that best describes your preference at this point in time.

- ☐ Strong preference for **physical exercise**
- ☐ Slight preference for **physical exercise**
- ☐ No preference
- ☐ Slight preference for **brain exercise**
- ☐ Strong preference for **brain exercise**

3. If you have selected that you prefer one of the interventions over the other, please indicate why you prefer it. If you have an equal preference, then you may skip this question.

   

4. Are there other interventions (besides physical exercise and cognitive training) that you would prefer? If so, please describe them below:

   

5. Please indicate if you have any additional comments pertaining to the interventions in this study below:
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APPENDIX B: MATERIALS GIVEN TO PARTICIPANTS

The following items will be given to participants.

1. An ActiGraph GT9X Activity Monitor
2. A blood pressure cuff and monitor
3. A set of colourful exercise resistance bands for individuals in the AE+RT exercise condition.
4. An exercise mat for individuals in the BAT exercise condition.
5. A standard roll of measuring tape
6. A saliva kit
# APPENDIX C: RECRUITMENT PLAN AND MATERIALS

## SYNERGIC@Home RECRUITMENT PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Organization / Group / Provider / Platform</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB-PALM website</td>
<td>• Promote SYNERGIC@Home study through email <a href="mailto:synergic@unb.ca">synergic@unb.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizon Health Research Registry Patient Database</td>
<td>• Identify potential research participants who have joined the Research Registry and have volunteered to be included in brain health related studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>• Materials will be specifically developed with messages appropriate for posting on Facebook and other platforms popular with community dwelling older adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Goodwill Ambassador Program</td>
<td>• Trained community volunteers who promote exercise and healthy living throughout NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go Ahead Seniors/Aînés en Marche</td>
<td>• Email and flyers will be provided to the volunteer leaders of these programs for distribution to participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provincial Anglophone and Francophone Seniors’ Organizations</td>
<td>• Email and flyers to numerous seniors’ organizations for posting on website and / or distribution to members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors and Healthy Aging Secretariat</td>
<td>• Association francophone des aîné(e)s du Nouveau-Brunswick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NB Senior Citizen’s Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Association des universités du 3e âge du Nouveau-Brunswick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB Alzheimer’s Society</td>
<td>• Distribute flyer to facilitators/ coordinators of care giver and patient support groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Post flyer on website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Possible e-blast using generic email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Centres</td>
<td>• Distribute flyer for posting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Have centre distribute if membership list is available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seniors’ Information Centre – Moncton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seniors’ Resource Centre – Saint John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Stepping Stone Senior Centre - Fredericton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Johnston Avenue Senior Centre – Fredericton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Université de troisième Age Nord Ouest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Third Age Centre, St. Thomas University</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SYNERGIC@Home RECRUITMENT PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Organization / Group / Provider / Platform</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Targeted Provincial Special Interest/Membership Organizations** | • Use list from Seniors and Healthy Aging Secretariat to distribute flyers, email  
• Distribute flyer for publication in seniors’ newsletters, website  
• NB Society of Retired Teachers  
• Société des Enseignantes et des Enseignants Retraités Francophones du Nouveau-Brunswick  
• Email to UNB, U du M. Mt A alumni associations |
| **Geriatric Clinics** | • Provide Information Sheet to Geriatricians  
• Distribute flyer for posting |
| **Primary Care Physician/Providers** | • Provide Information Sheet for physicians and NPs  
• Distribute flyer for posting in office locations |
| **Community Health Centres and Community Mental Health Centres** | • Distribute flyer for posting |
| **Community Developers** | • Community Developers to distribute generic email, flyers to networks and organizations they work with |
| **Print media** | • Newspaper advertisements in Fredericton, Moncton, Saint John  
• Advertise in selected rural papers |
| **Community-based businesses** | • Flyers in selected physical locations where community dwelling older adults congregate i.e., libraries, recreation centres |
RECRUITMENT FLYER (Image Based)

NB-PALM
SYNERGIC@Home
Research Study

60-90 years old?
Want to exercise your body and brain in the comfort of your own home?
Have access to the Internet at your home?

12-month Commitment

➢ 4-months of body and brain exercise, 3 times a week
➢ 6 assessment sessions

Offered in English and French!

Contact Information:
Website: www.nbpalm.ca
Email: synergic@unb.ca
Phone: (506) 453-5137

New Brunswick's brain health initiative: Preventing Alzheimer's by Lessening Modifiable risks
Research Ethics Boards: UNB: #2020-168, UdeM: #2021-049; HHH: #2020-2954; VHN
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Vous avez entre 60 et 90 ans ?

Vous voulez entraîner votre corps et votre cerveau dans le confort de votre maison ou résidence ?

Vous avez accès à l'Internet chez vous ?

Participation de 12 mois

- 4 mois d'exercices pour le corps et le cerveau, 3 fois par semaine
- 6 séances d'évaluation

Informations :
Site web : www.nbpalm.ca
Courriel : synergic@unb.ca
Téléphone : (506) 453-5137

Offert en français et en anglais

Cerveaux en santé du Nouveau-Brunswick : Prévenir l’Alzheimer en Vivant Autrement

Comités d'éthique de la recherche : UNB #2020-168, UdeM #2021-042, HHN #2020-2954, VHN
SYNERGIC@Home

SYNchronizing Exercises, Remedies in GaIt and Cognition at Home: Feasibility of a home-based double-blind randomized controlled trial to improve gait and cognition in individuals at risk for dementia

RESEARCH STUDY INVESTIGATORS

Dr. Chris A. McGibbon, PhD
Faculty of Kinesiology and Institute of Biomedical Engineering, University of New Brunswick, New Brunswick, Canada

Dr. Pamela Jarrett, MD FRCPC FACP
Department of Geriatric Medicine, Horizon Health Network, Dalhousie Medicine New Brunswick, Saint John, New Brunswick Canada

Dr. Grant Handrigan, PhD
School of Kinesiology and Recreation, Faculty of Health Sciences and Community Services, Université de Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada

Dr. Ludivine Witkowski, MD
Department of Neuroscience, Dr. Georges-L.-Dumont University Hospital Centre, Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada

Dr. Manuel Montero-Odasso, MD, PhD, FRCPC
Schulich School of Medicine & Dentistry, London, Ontario, Canada; Departments of Medicine (Geriatrics) and of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
A study called SYNERGIC taking place in Canada, is showing promising results that exercise and cognitive training can be beneficial for older adults who are experiencing early problems with their memory. This study—SYNERGIC@Home—is an extension of the SYNERGIC trial. This study will engage older adults at risk of developing memory problems in a home-based program that will use an online virtual platform called Zoom.

This study is also part of the New Brunswick Brain Health Initiative: Preventing Alzheimer’s through Lifestyle Modification (NB-PALM), funded by the HealthySeniors Pilot Projects, Public Health Agency of Canada, Province of New Brunswick.

POPULATION UNDER STUDY
We are looking for interested older adults living in New Brunswick who are at risk for developing dementia between the age of 60 and 90 years.
You may be eligible to participate if you have:
1. **No Memory Problems** but have **two or more of the following risk factors**:
   - Overweight
   - Hypertension/High blood pressure
   - Diabetes
   - Cardiovascular disease
   - Physical inactivity
   - First-degree family history of dementia (parents, children, siblings)
   - High cholesterol
   - Poor sleep
   - Poor diet

2. Been diagnosed by a physician or nurse practitioner as having **Subjective Cognitive Impairment** or **Mild Cognitive Impairment**.

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY
This study will take place over 10 months and includes an initial general health questionnaire, memory tests, and mobility assessments. Assessments will occur before the start of the physical exercise and cognitive training, immediately after the training intervention and again at 10 months follow-up. The training intervention will take place over 4 months. The physical exercise and cognitive training sessions will be done virtually over a computer or tablet with a research assistant who is a personal trainer, 3 times per week. Each session will take about 90 minutes.

You are encouraged to have someone close to you who can assist you during the study, but this is not mandatory for everyone.

**IF YOU HAVE FURTHER QUESTIONS REGARDING PARTICIPATION OR ARE INTERESTED IN HEARING MORE ABOUT THIS PLEASE CONTACT:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Coordinator</th>
<th>Research Assistant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alana Gullison</td>
<td>Molly Gallibois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: 1 (506) 453-5137</td>
<td>Phone: 1 (506) 447-3197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>email: <a href="mailto:synergic@unb.ca">synergic@unb.ca</a></td>
<td>email: <a href="mailto:synergic@unb.ca">synergic@unb.ca</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RECRUITMENT FLYER

RECRUITING PARTICIPANTS FOR ONLINE EXERCISE AND MEMORY STUDY TO TAKE PLACE IN YOUR OWN HOME!

Researchers at the University of New Brunswick, Université de Moncton, Horizon Health Network, and Vitalité Health Network are inviting your to participate in SYNERGIC@Home, a study about the role of exercise and cognitive training in delaying the onset of dementia and Alzheimer's disease.

WHO?

We want to hear from community dwelling older adults living in Anglophone and Francophone communities throughout New Brunswick who may be otherwise healthy, but feel their memory is worsening or have received a medical diagnosis of Mild Cognitive Impairment. If you are between the ages of 60 and 90 years, and meet the following criteria please contact us at synergicinfo@nb-palm.ca

- Have access to a computer in your home that is connected to high-speed Internet,
- Capable of sending and receiving emails,
- Can read/write/speak in either English or French, and
- Able to walk 10 meters (about 32 feet) independently, with/without a walking aid.
- Have a spouse, relative, or close friend interested in being a study care partner (an exception will be made if a study partner cannot be found)

WHERE?

Research activities usually done in an exercise lab or hospital setting, will be completed in your own home. This study will help us learn how practical it is to conduct research using video-conferencing to train participants and collect data. Participants’ activity and sleep patterns will be monitored using a wrist-watch like device called an activity monitor.

WHAT?

Participants will be enrolled for a total of 10 months. You will be assigned an exercise and cognitive training program delivered in 3 – 90 minute sessions per week over 16 weeks. Sessions consist of both prescribed cognitive training and exercises. A research assistant trained in exercise science will guide participants through the exercises.
Questionnaires and assessments will be completed at various time points such as: screening for enrollment, baseline, and two follow-up sessions. Your medical history and cognitive functioning will be assessed and information collected about your lifestyle habits (e.g., how much exercise and physical activity you do, how well you sleep, your diet, and mental health)

**STUDY CONTACT INFORMATION:**

Synergic@Home Study Research Coordinator  
email: synergic@unb.ca
Initial Recruitment Email

PROCEDURE:

Following REB approval, this email along with the flyer will be sent to organizations that post on their website and do an eblast to members and others including:

- Seniors organizations to include on website and newsletters
- Senior Ambassadors through Healthy Aging and Seniors Secretariat
- Community developers with HHN and VHN

Email Subject Line: Take part in a new virtual study called SYNERGIC@Home!

Email Content:

**NB PARTICIPANTS WANTED FOR AN ONLINE EXERCISE AND MEMORY STUDY IN YOUR OWN HOME!**

You are invited to take part in SYNERGIC@Home – a research project studying how exercise and cognitive training may delay the onset of dementia and Alzheimer’s disease. We want to hear from community dwelling older adults living in Anglophone and Francophone communities throughout New Brunswick who may be otherwise healthy, but feel their memory is worsening or they have a medical diagnosis of Mild Cognitive Impairment. If you are between the ages of 60 and 90 years and meet the following criteria, we would like to hear from you:

- Have access to a computer in your home that is connected to the high-speed Internet,
- Capable of sending and receiving emails,
- Can read/write/speak in either English or French, and
- Able to walk 10 meters (about 32 feet) independently, with or without a walking aid.
- Have a spouse, relative, or close friend interested in being a study care partner (an exception will be made if a study partner cannot be found)

You are INELIGIBLE for our study if you have received a medical diagnosis of dementia or Alzheimer’s disease by your family or specialist physician.
Promising Canadian research has shown that older adults who are at risk can benefit from participating in physical exercise and cognitive training. *We want to learn if study activities usually done in an exercise laboratory setting can be virtually completed in a participant's home. We also want to find out how practical it is to collect data from participants' about their activity levels and sleep patterns using a wrist-watch like device called an activity monitor.*

For further information, please email: synergicinfo@nb-palm.ca

SYNERGIC@Home is conducted by researchers at University of New Brunswick, Université de Moncton, Horizon and Vitalité Health Networks as well the University of Western Ontario. It is part of the project New Brunswick Brain Health Initiative: Preventing Alzheimer's through Lifestyle Modification NB-PALM, which is funded by the Healthy Seniors Pilot Project (NB government) and the Canadian Consortium of Neurodegeneration on Aging. We are always looking for additional participants. If you think someone you know may be interested in taking part in this SYNERGIC@Home, please forward them this email.

Thank you for your interest!

Synergic@Home Study Research Coordinator

Email: synergic@unb.ca
Recruitment Newspaper Advertisement

Recruitment Newspaper Advertisement Content as follows:

SYNERGIC@Home Newspaper Advertisement

Procedure

- To advertise in selected NB newspapers assuming budget is available; i.e., Telegraph Journal (Saint John, Fredericton, Moncton issues)
- To advertise in selected rural newspapers assuming budget availability.

RECRUITING PARTICIPANTS FOR AN ONLINE EXERCISE AND MEMORY STUDY IN YOUR OWN HOME!

Feeling as if your memory is worsening?

Have you received a medical diagnosis of Mild Cognitive Impairment?

If so, you may be eligible to be a participant in

SYNERGIC@Home

A home-based virtual exercise and cognitive training research study for community living older adults residing in Anglophone and Francophone communities at risk of developing dementia and Alzheimer’s Disease

For more information contact us at synergic@unb.ca
Follow-up Email

Dear****

I am a Study Research Coordinator with the SYNERGIC@Home study. I understand that you are interested in learning about our study.

I have enclosed a copy of the consent forms that provides detailed information on this project including the requirements of your participation.

I will follow up with you in a few weeks to see if you might be interested in participating.

In the meantime, if you have any questions, please email or call me as per the information below.

Thank you for your interest!

Synergic@Home Study Research Coordinator

Email: synergic@unb.ca
Study Information for Physicians / Providers

We are inviting you to discuss the following opportunity with your patients.

**SYNERGIC@Home**

An online exercise and cognitive training program taking place in the participant's own home

What is the Synergic@Home study?

**SYNERGIC@Home**, is a provincial study taking place throughout New Brunswick and will involve 64 participants from rural and urban locations who will “virtually” participate.

The study goals are twofold. The first is to learn about the role of exercise and cognitive training in preventing or delaying the onset of dementia and Alzheimer’s disease; while the second goal is to find out how practical it is to conduct this research in a participant’s home.

By participating in this study your patients will be making a valuable contribution about how to conduct research in a home environment that previously was conducted in hospital and university settings.

What is expected of participants?

Study participants must meet detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria which will be provide to you. A brief overview is as follows:

- Have access to a home computer that is connected to the high-speed Internet
- Capable of sending and receiving emails,
- Can read/write/speak in either English or French
- Able to walk 10 meters (about 32 feet) independently, with or without a walking aid
- Have a spouse, relative, or close friend interested in being a study care partner (an exception will be made if a study partner cannot be found)

Study participants will be randomly assigned to one of four exercise and cognitive training groups and asked to participate via Zoom. as outlined below:
The following table contains details of the study procedures /activities that you may wish to share/discuss with your patient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details of Research Study Assessments and Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participant Activities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent &amp; Clinical / Cognitive Screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility &amp; Lifestyle Screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician &amp; Participant Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you have questions about this study or would like to send along a referral, please contact the Synergic@Home Study Research Coordinator.

Email: synergic@unb.ca

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Activities</th>
<th>When does this happen? How long will this take?</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity Monitoring</td>
<td>After completing the intervention: 10 days</td>
<td>About four months after you began your exercise and cognitive training program, you will once again wear an activity monitoring device for a period of 10 days. This equipment will be sent to you via a secure courier and you will return it after 10 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Assessment</td>
<td>After completing the intervention: 2 hours</td>
<td>You will be asked to perform tests to assess your mobility and walking speed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Assessment</td>
<td>After completing the intervention: 2 hours</td>
<td>The study team will conduct tests to assess your memory, language, attention span, and problem-solving abilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Monitoring</td>
<td>10 months after beginning the study: 10 days</td>
<td>For the final time, you will wear an activity monitoring device. Equipment will be provided as before and you will return it after 10 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Assessment</td>
<td>10 months after beginning the study: 2 hours</td>
<td>You will be asked to perform tests to assess your mobility and walking speed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Assessment</td>
<td>10 months after beginning the study: 2 hours</td>
<td>The study team will perform tests to assess your memory, language, attention span, and problem-solving abilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-structured interview</td>
<td>10 months after beginning the study: 30-45 minutes</td>
<td>A member of the study team will arrange a time for you to be interviewed via Zoom. You will be asked questions about your experience as a study participant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recruitment Discussion Guide for Obtaining Consent

PROCEDURE

- Following REB approval, this discussion guide will be used by the Research Coordinators at HHN and VHN to review the consent form with the prospective participant and obtain consent.

INTRODUCTION

Hi my name is [insert name]. I am a Research coordinator with [insert name] which is one of our study sites.

I’m calling about the research study called Synergic@Home. I understand that you contacted us to say you were interested in becoming a participant. You indicated you saw the flyer in [insert if this information is known]. The reason I am calling is to discuss the study and proceed with obtaining your consent to participate in our study if you are ready to make that decision today.

Before we start, I’d like to [confirm or obtain] some basic personal information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Potential Study Participant:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>___________________________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Email: ____________________________ |

| Phone number:____________________ |

| Home address: ___________________ |

| Age: _______ |
Next, I’d like to carefully go over different sections of our form to make sure you understand what’s involved and your role as a study participant.

So if you’re okay to start, let’s begin.

Did you receive the consent form that we recently mailed to you or sent via email?

- You did receive it – that’s terrific.

Have you had an opportunity to read through it in detail?

- You did – that’s wonderful.

As you were reading through it, did you make notes by any sections or sentences that you want to discuss with me? Or that you want me in explain or clarify?

RESPONSE 1:

- The form was very informative and I am ready to sign it.

If that’s the case, then before we sign it, I’d like to go over some particular sections of the form. It’s my role to make sure that you fully understand and are informed about your rights as a study participant.

RESPONSE 2:

- Answer the specific questions.

Then move on to reviewing the sections of the form that were not addressed by the questions. "It’s my role to make sure that you fully understand and are informed about your rights as a study participant. I noticed that there are some sections of the form that you didn’t have any questions about, so before we sign it, I’d like to go over some particular sections of the form”.

BEGIN TO REVIEW THE SPECIFIC SECTIONS OF THE CONSENT FORM
Let’s start with you answering a FEW KEY QUESTIONS and then we’ll walk through other sections of the consent. Here’s the first question:

Have you discussed your participation with your any family members, friends or your family physician?

- Yes or no

Do you understand that your participation in Synergic @ Home is your decision?

- Yes or no

Are you aware that your participation in Synergic @ Home is entirely voluntary?

- Yes or no

I want to stress that you can withdraw from the study at any time

- Understood or not

Finally, will you be having a study care partner? A study care partner is a spouse or family member or friend who will be asked information about your health behaviors at various time as well as provide you with support and encouragement throughout the study.

- Yes – I have a study partner.
  - Who is going to be your study partner?
  - What is their relationship?
  - I will need contact information as this person will also need to sign a consent form.
- No study partner.

RESEARCH PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND:

Do you have any questions about why we are doing this study?

- Yes - no
We are pleased to be offering the SYNERGIC@Home feasibility trial to NB residents. We are used to doing this research in a laboratory setting at a university or health center. So, since we can no longer bring people in during the pandemic, we decided to conduct a study about exercise and cognitive training in a participant’s home using video-conferencing. Your participation will help us learn about the practicalities of doing this type of research remotely.

**STUDY PROCEDURES**

The consent describes the study activities in various sections. When you become a participant, you will be enrolled in an exercise and cognitive training program that you take part in for three sessions each week over 16 weeks. Each of these weekly sessions will consist of both cognitive training and exercises and will last about an hour and one-half. During each of these sessions a research coordinator who is trained in exercise science will guide you through your program.

Do you have any questions about the amount of time needed to participate each week?

As a participant in our study there are numerous questionnaires you will be asked to complete along with assessments that research coordinators such as myself will be conducting with you [and your study partner].

Now as you saw in the different sections describing the study activities, there are various times during the study when we will collect information from you [and your study partner if available]. This is when we will ask you questions about your medical history as well as assess your cognitive functioning. We do this by asking you questions that test your memory and thinking skills. We also use questionnaires that ask your lifestyle habits such as how much exercise and physical activity you do, how well you sleep, your diet as well your mental health.

Any questions about the assessments and questionnaires?
In order to complete the study exercise activities you will need some equipment which we will send to your home for you to use throughout the study. Some examples are an activity monitor, exercise mat, blood pressure cuff, and so on. If you are familiar with a Fitbit – this is what the activity monitor looks like and you wear it like a wristwatch such as shown in the picture. It records information about your activity and sleep levels and you will return this to us at various times throughout the study. We will also get you to take your blood pressure and certain other measurements.

I imagine this is a lot of information to take in, however there will always be someone guiding you on the video-conference while you are using this equipment. Some of the equipment you will be able to keep, while others like the activity monitor and blood pressure cuff you will return at the end of the study.

Do you have any questions about the equipment?

You may be wondering about our sanitation procedures. Each time after you return the equipment, it will be thoroughly cleaned and sanitized prior to mailing it back to you.

We will also be sending you a manual that will contain easy to read instructions about various aspects of the study. And remember that someone will always be available by phone, video-conference, or email if you have any questions.

It’s important for you to understand that before you can become a participant, we will need to collect information during a screening visit that will help us determine if you meet the study eligibility criteria. Do you have any questions about this aspect?

It will no doubt be me that will meet with you [and your study partner] to complete this assessment. There may also be another nurse who has a background in research who will interview you. Between the two of us, we will gather information to help us decide about your suitability for our study.

**RISKS AND DISCOMFORTS**
Do you have any questions about the section in the form that described the risks and discomforts?

As I previously mentioned, we will be giving your cognitive training tests and exercises to do three times a week. And, depending on how much exercise you are used to doing, you may experience some discomfort while you are performing the exercises. If you do, you can stop at any time. And our research coordinator will be watching you as you exercise. S/he will ask you to stop if you are experiencing shortness of breath, chest pain, dizziness, or unsteadiness.

During the cognitive training part of each session you may experience some frustration as you complete the tasks. Also, you may feel a bit of discomfort if you are not used to wearing a wrist watch but hopefully that won’t happen!

Finally, you know that we have various questions and assessments that will take some time to complete. We know this can be frustrating for some people. And we know from our experience that some questions may trigger an unpleasant memory or distressing feelings. We will watch closely for your reactions and will suggest taking a break. And as always, you can ask to take a break at any time.

We are not aware of any side effects from wearing the activity monitor.

I also want to stress that it’s your right to stop your participation in the study at any time and there is no judgement or penalty if you decide to do so. Also you don’t need to give a written note notifying your withdrawal. Okay?

**COST/BENEFIT**

There is no direct cost for you to participate. We will provide everything you need except of course your computer or laptop and the internet connection.

In relation to benefits, so far some of our participants have mentioned they are pleased to be taking part in a NB study that will help researchers learn more about how to do this type of research in a participant’s own home.
Are there any questions about this section?

**PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY**

The section on privacy and confidentiality is quite detailed.

Do you have any questions about the procedures we described about how your personal information including your name, email, phone number, address, medical conditions and so on will be protected and kept private throughout the study?

- If yes, answer the questions.....

I know we also described numerous ways about how your personal research data will be stored. Do you have any concerns about the information that is included in this section of the form?

- If yes, answer the questions.

Now if you’re ready, I’m going to ask if you would like to participate in this study?

- If no - thank you very much for your time.

- If yes – let’s proceed to the section of the form where I need to obtain your consent.

Direction: Proceed to review the different sections where you need to obtain consent. I.e., get initials in each box or sentence pertaining to the various study components.

After finalizing the consent form, provide directions as to how to return the form.

- If returned by email they will need to scan the original and email it to you.
- If returned by mail, the research coordinator will need to make a copy which is then returned by email or mail to the participant.

Now before I finish our call, I’d like to get the contact information for your study partner [if one is participating]
Name of Potential Study Partner:
________________________________________________

Email: ________________________________________

Phone number:________________

Home address:______________________________________________________

Thank you for taking the time to review the form and agreeing to participate. I will be in touch with you to confirm a time when we will conduct the screening assessment. In the meantime, you have my contact information [provide email and phone number]. If you have any questions don’t hesitate to be in touch. Good bye for now.
### APPENDIX D: EXIT QUESTIONNAIRE

**SYNERGIC@Home Exit Questionnaire**

Rate how much you agree or disagree with each statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Zoom was easy to use in completing my exercise program.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Wearing the activity monitor was not a problem for me.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I did not like using my own computer/laptop to participate.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I did not like having a research assistant supervise my exercises.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Taking part in the program 3 days per week was the right amount of time for me.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Exercising in my own home was convenient.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I encountered many problems with my internet connection.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The research assistant was helpful in assisting me to complete my exercises.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. I was frustrated because the exercises were too difficult to complete in my home.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. I did not enjoy completing the assessments and testing on Zoom.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Each week I looked forward to my cognitive training program.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Participating took too much time away from my other activities.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13. Wearing the activity monitor interfered with my sleep and other activities.

14. I was able to form a positive relationship with the research assistant.

15. I would have preferred exercising with a group of my peers.

16. I felt anxious when I was asked questions that tested my memory.

17. I enjoyed doing the cognitive exercises.

18. I would have preferred having one of my peers or someone who is my age assist with my exercises.

19. There were 4 intervention groups participating in the SYNERGIC@Home research study. Of the four groups listed below, which one do you think you were assigned to?

- Active exercises and active cognitive training
- Active exercises and limited cognitive training
- Limited exercises and active cognitive training
- Limited exercises and limited cognitive training

20. We are interested in hearing about what motivated you to complete the interventions. Please describe the factors or reasons that influenced your decision.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
## APPENDIX E: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW GUIDE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semi-Structured Interview Guide</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reaction to Participation in Research Study</strong></td>
<td><strong>Overarching Questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How satisfied were you with the study?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How was the support you received during the study?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Probing Questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What was your experience:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Doing this study over the Internet?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- With the equipment you used?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What are your thoughts about the assessments that took place?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How can this study be improved?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning That Occurred During the Research Study</strong></td>
<td><strong>Overarching Questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What knowledge or information about exercise did you learn from your participation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What did you learn from your involvement with cognitive training?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Probing Questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Semi-Structured Interview Guide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Were there any areas that you had to “unlearn”? For example, did you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you identified any differences in your memory or concentration?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Behaviour Changes That Occurred During the Research Study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overarching Questions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have you modified your behaviour as a result of participating in the study? If yes, what</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>are they?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can you identify any motivators that helped you to change or modify your behaviours?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results Identified by the Participants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overarching Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What have been the greatest results for you?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Concluding Questions / Comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is there anything that has not been asked that needs to be brought forward?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any comments you would like to add?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX F: CASE REPORT FORMS
## APPENDIX G BUDGET SUMMARY

### Budget Summary for Synergic@Home Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Funding Source:</strong></th>
<th>Health Seniors Pilot Project (HSPP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Title:</strong></td>
<td>The New Brunswick Brain Health Initiative: Preventing Alzheimer's by Lessening Modifiable risk (NB-PALM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Award Amount:</strong></td>
<td>$2.69M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Title:</strong></td>
<td>SYNERGIC@Home/SYNERGIE~Chez soi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Budget Amount:</strong></td>
<td>$559,049.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Synergic@Home Study Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>A) Personnel (include 20% benefits)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Study Budget Nov 2020 to end Oct 2022</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HHN Clinical Research Coordinator</td>
<td>$149,760.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHN Clinical Research Coordinator</td>
<td>$140,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNB Study Research Coordinator</td>
<td>$112,589.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Intervention Research Assistants</td>
<td>$119,172.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$521,921.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>B) Evaluation</strong></th>
<th><strong>Study Budget Nov 2020 to end Oct 2022</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Consultations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venues</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>C) Travel</strong></th>
<th><strong>Study Budget Nov 2020 to end Oct 2022</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accomodation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals and Incidentials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Space</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>D) Materials</strong></th>
<th><strong>Study Budget Nov 2020 to end Oct 2022</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Supplies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Materials</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E) Equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Equipment</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer</td>
<td>$10,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Equipment</td>
<td>$22,628.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$35,128.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F) Rent and Utilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G) Other (specify)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation/ Interpretation Fees</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Fees</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Budget</strong></td>
<td>$559,049.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX H: NEUROPEAK DUAL TASK SOFTWARE - SAMPLES

Are you ready for a new training session?
For any comments or questions, do not hesitate to contact us by clicking here.

You have reached training session #3. Keep up the good work!

Progress on the divided attention task
(less is better!)

CONTINUE
When you are ready, press 'Next'.
Remember to respond as quickly as possible, while making the fewest errors possible!

Note the three buttons on the left. You must quickly press the button that corresponds with the presented image.

You must adopt the position in the above image, with your thumb at rest centered on the middle button.
# APPENDIX I: PROTOCOL DEVIATION FORMS

## Protocol Deviations Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject ID</th>
<th>Description of Protocol Deviation:</th>
<th>Deviation Category*</th>
<th>Deviation Code**</th>
<th>Date Deviation Occurred: (dd/mmm/yyyy)</th>
<th>Date REB Notified (if applicable):</th>
<th>Principal Investigator’s Signature</th>
<th>Date Signed (dd/mmm/yyyy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**DEVIATION CATEGORIES:**
A. Safety
B. Informed Consent
C. Eligibility
D. Protocol implementation
E. Other, specify in log

**DEVIATION CODES: Numbers listed by the sample protocol deviations**

**Safety (Category A)**
1. Not reporting an SAE within 24 hours
2. Laboratory tests not done
3. AE/SAE is not reported to REB
4. Other, specify in log

**Informed Consent (Category B)**
10. Failure to obtain informed consent
11. Consent form used was not current REB-approved version
12. Consent form does not include updates or information required by REB
13. Consent form missing
14. Consent form not signed and dated by participant
15. Consent form does not contain all required signatures
16. Other, specify in log

**Eligibility (Category C)**
20. Participant did not meet eligibility criterion
21. Randomization of an ineligible participant
22. Participant randomized prior to completing Baseline Assessment, etc.
23. Randomization and/or treatment of participant prior to REB approval of protocol
24. Other, specify in log

**Protocol implementation (Category D)**
30. Failure to keep REB approval up to date
31. Participant receives wrong treatment
32. Participant seen outside visit window
33. Use of unallowable concomitant treatments
34. Prescribed dosing outside protocol guidelines
35. Missed assessment
36. Missed visit
37. Other, specify in log
### Protocol Deviation Form (Descriptive)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject ID:</th>
<th>Date: mm/dd/yyyy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Description of Protocol Deviation:**

---

This form completed by:

---
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