PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	A QUALITATIVE STUDY OF ETHIOPIAN WOMEN'S SEXUAL
	EXPERIENCES AND COPING STRATEGIES FOR SEXUAL
	PROBLEMS AFTER GYNECOLOGICAL CANCER TREATMENT.
AUTHORS	Hundie, Gashaw Yada; Sendo, Endalew; Habte, Teshome

VERSION 1 – REVIEW

Zhu, Jiemin

	Xiamen University, School of Medicine
REVIEW RETURNED	26-Oct-2021
GENERAL COMMENTS	This manuscript explored women's sexual experiences and coping strategies for sexual problems after gynecological treatment. This paper is well written. Still there are some room to improve: 1. The title is too long. I would suggest the author to delete the location of data collection in the title. 2. The text in the results just repeat the content in Table one. Please do not repeat. I would suggest the author to keep the categories and themes in the table 1, while give the examples in the text. 3. The limitations of this study haven't been discussed adequately, especially external validity of the findings.

	Rufino, Andréa
	State University of Piauí—Teresina, Gynecology
REVIEW RETURNED	24-Nov-2021

GENERAL COMMENTS	I would like to congratulate the authors for choosing the study
	design. Knowing in depth the sexuality of women after
	gynecological cancer treatment is an important tool to improve
	care for them.

VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

Reviewer: 1

REVIEWER

Prof. Jiemin Zhu, Xiamen University

Comments to the Author:

This manuscript explored women's sexual experiences and coping strategies for sexual problems after gynecological treatment. This paper is well written. Still there are some room to improve:

1. The title is too long. I would suggest the author to delete the location of data collection in the title.

- 2. The text in the results just repeat the content in Table one. Please do not repeat. I would suggest the author to keep the categories and themes in the table 1, while give the examples in the text. .
- 3. The limitations of this study haven't been discussed adequately, especially external validity of the findings.

Authors' response: We are grateful for the time and effort you have put into improving our manuscript.

- Title: We revised the title as "a qualitative study of Ethiopian women's sexual experiences and coping strategies for sexual problems after gynecological cancer treatment."
- We removed the codes from Table 1 while categories and themes remained.
- The limitations of the study were discussed in depth [See 279 83], P14.

Reviewer: 2

Dr. Andréa Rufino, State University of Piauí—Teresina

Comments to the Author:

I would like to congratulate the authors for choosing the study design. Knowing in depth the sexuality of women after gynecological cancer treatment is an important tool to improve care for them. Authors' response: Thank you for the comments and encouragements.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Endalew G Sendo endalew.gemechu@aau.edu.et