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ABSTRACT

Objectives To estimate the cost saving for utilisation of vaginal delivery (VD), antenatal care (ANC) and an intrauterine device (IUD) services at primary health level facilities (PHLF) instead of tertiary health level facilities (THLF) in Sana’a.

Design A comparative cross-sectional study.

Setting Eight PHLF in Sana’a governorate and three THLF in Sana’a city.

Participants A total of 180 women aged (15–45 years) were enrolled equally from PHLF and THLF. Sixty women attended for each reproductive health service (VD, ANC and IUD services).

Primary and secondary outcome measures The direct and indirect costs of services at PHLF and THLF, and the cost saving for utilisation of PHLF instead of THLF.

Results The median of direct medical cost (DMC) of VD, ANC and IUD services were US$43.86, US$14.77 and US$9.07 at THLF compared with US$29.09, US$13.84 and US$9.07 at THLF, respectively. The DMC difference of VD, ANC and IUD services between THLF and PHLF was US$19.54, US$0.93 and US$9.07 at THLF compared with US$13.84, US$13.84 and US$13.84 at PHLF, respectively. The DNMC difference of VD, ANC and IUD service between THLF and PHLF was US$29.09, US$18.07 and US$16.27, respectively. Moreover, the median of indirect cost (INDC) for VD, ANC and IUD services were US$23.93, US$9.49 and US$10.44 at THLF compared with US$7.90, US$1.59 and US$1.06 at PHLF, respectively. The INDC difference of VD, ANC and IUD service between THLF and PHLF was US$21.40, US$16.58 and US$15.21, respectively.

Conclusion The study found the utilisation of VD, ANC and IUD services at PHLF instead of THLF is a considerable cost saving for families. Therefore, shifting the utilisation of services from THLF to PHLF reduces the financial burden affecting individuals, families and their productivity.

INTRODUCTION

Global initiatives have embraced ambitious new goals to reduce maternal mortality through improving primary healthcare and achieving health coverage. However, maternal mortality remains the second leading cause of death among women of reproductive age. In 2017, an estimated 295,000 maternal deaths, almost all of them in developing countries.12

Despite the global efforts to improve primary healthcare services, women still tend to bypass the nearby primary reproductive health services (RHS) and it grows frequently in developing countries.34 Previous studies showed that bypassing the primary health level facilities (PHLF) to use the antenatal care (ANC), vaginal delivery (VD) and family planning services18 at higher levels even if the care available at the nearby PHLF. These studies indicated that the direct medical cost (DMC), direct non-medical cost (DNMC) and indirect costs (INDC) of RHS are different between PHLF and tertiary health level facilities (THLF). The bypassing transfers the healthcare expenditures away from DMC to DNMC and INDC. The women need more accompanying individuals, long distance and absence from their work to get RHS at higher levels, which is reflected on borne more expenses such as transportation, food, lost wages.3568–1114–18 Therefore, this phenomenon increases the financial burden.
on families, underuses the nearby PHLF and overloads the higher level facilities. Conversely, reducing the bypassing would reduce the financial burden borne by families associated with travelling further among bypassers.4

Yemen National Health and Demographic Survey (YNHDS) in 2013 indicated the maternal health indicators have improved in recent years, however it is still low. The maternal mortality ratio is 148 deaths per 100,000 live births, 60% of Yemeni women received ANC, 45% of deliveries performed by skilled health workers and 29% married women are currently using a modern method of family planning.19 In 2011, Yemen aimed in the national reproductive health policy to improve access to high quality RHS as well. The number of health facilities has significantly increased in all Yemeni districts, nearly 80% of the health facilities are in the rural areas.20 However, women tend remarkably to go to hospitals seeking healthcare, that is, growing demand on health services, rising the expenses on healthcare, overloading of hospitals and increasing the burden of expenses on women that can be reduced by using RHS at PHLF in their regions. Moreover, the burden of household spending on healthcare has escalated over the past 10 years due to political and military conflicts. The conflict started as demonstrations in 2011, followed by internal fighting that has worsened the households’ economic situation, such as stopping salaries and increasing the prices of commodities and fuel.1 Consequently, understanding the economic aspect and quality of RHS is critical especially during collapsing economic situation with limited resources and growing costs. The community needs to be aware of the size of healthcare expenses in different health levels and cost saving due to avoid bypassing the nearby PHLF, particularly in rural areas where nearly 70% of the population live.1 Estimating the cost of RHS can provide valuable information for decision-makers about the size of problems in the health system, assess the resources used in facilities and suggest improving the efficiency of health services.

In Yemen no such study has been conducted to estimate the cost of VD, ANC and family planning only intrauterine device (IUD) services. This study aimed to estimate the DMC, DNMC and INDC saving for utilisation of VD, ANC and IUD services at PHLF instead of THLF in Sana’a city and its surrounding districts, and identify the reasons for not using the PHLF.

METHODS

Study design and setting

A comparative cross-sectional study design was conducted among reproductive health (RH) clients/patients who live in Sana’a governorate in 2013. It was used to estimate the costs of RHS at THLF compared with PHLF in Sana’a city and its surrounding districts (Sana’a governorate).

The cost was estimated from the patient’s perspective (out-of-pocket expenses born by RH clients/patients and accompanying individuals). The PHLF including health centres provide primary healthcare services, which are preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic health services, and represents the link between the health units and district hospitals. The RHS is one of these provided services in PHLF, such as VD, ANC and IUD services. The THLF provides more complex and specialised services to patients who are referred from lower levels of the health system where such services are not available. However, the hospitals in Yemen provide primary, secondary and tertiary healthcare services, in contrast to its function.

Patient and public involvement

The participants and the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or dissemination of this research.

Selected health facilities and services

A total of eight health centres in Sana’a governorate and three hospitals in Sana’a city were selected. Hezam, Walan, Bani Mansor, Al Rekh, Bait Ghofr, Al Keb, Al Aghmoor and Ghaifman Health Centers were selected from Sana’a governorate. Availability of the selected RH services and clients (Sana’a governorate’s health office, reproductive health department. RHS report 2010) and the geographically surrounding districts that are not far from Sana’a city and closer than any other city (Ministry of Public Health and Population, researches and data administration, map of health centres in Sana’a governorate, 2010) were taken into consideration when selecting these health centres. Al-Thawra, Al-Sab’een and Al-Kuwait hospitals were selected because they are considered the major public hospitals in Sana’a city.

Three RHS (VD, ANC and IUD) were involved in this study. The VD, ANC and IUD services were selected according to the following considerations: the services are more available and common in PHLF (Sana’a governorate’s public health and population office, reproductive health department. RHS report 2010). According to the Ministry of Public Health and Population, ANC procedures are similarly provided at both facilities. IUD is the second of family planning methods used and preferred by most women especially in rural areas.19 As well the services are more cost and measurable compared with other RHS at PHLF.

Sample size

A sample size of 180 RH clients/patients were enrolled. It was classified equally according to the RHS used into 60 women who came for VD, 60 for ANC and 60 for IUD. They were allocated equally into PHLF and THLF groups.

As a result of political conflicts and the limited financial resources, a convenience sample size was determined as 180 from PHLF and THLF groups, and for the selected three RHS. A sample size of 30 is considered as a minimum statistical number and sufficient to estimate the quantitative variables (n≥30 is the guideline for quantitative variables).21
Inclusion and exclusion criteria
This study included women aged (15–45 years), who lived in the surrounding districts of Sana’a city and came for VD, ANC or IUD services at THLF. The accompanying adult individuals (men and women) who associated the women were included in this study. Those who agree to participate in this study were also included. While women who were subjected to caesarean section, referred from PHLF to THLF and came for family planning (pills, condoms, injection, tubal ligation and implants) were excluded from this study. Women in the post-menopausal state or who lived in districts other than the surrounding districts of Sana’a city and accompanying children and those who disagree to participate in this study were also excluded from this study.

Data collection and measurements
A structured questionnaire was quoted from literature and then reconstructed in the English language according to the objectives of the study. It was translated into the Arabic language. The data were collected through a face-to-face interview. The questionnaire was tested and reviewed by two experts, to ensure simplicity and clarity of questions. It consisted of questions to collect data related to socio-demographic characteristics, DMC and DNMC and INDC. The socio-demographic characteristics include age, education and working status and residence of RH clients/patients and accompanying individuals (is one or more persons who associated clients to health facilities). The DMC was elicited through questions related to the cost of clinical visits, diagnostic procedures (laboratory test and ultrasound), therapeutic procedures (delivery and IUD insertion or removal), medication costs (drugs, IUD items, tetanus toxoid vaccine and hepatitis B virus vaccine) and other expenses related to medical care, such as baby milk and diapers costs. The RH clients/patients and accompanying individuals were asked questions on the following DNMC; transportation (the trips from home to the health facility and back), accommodation, eating, khat and other costs like tips, clothes for baby or mother, blanket, cigarette and phone call. To estimate the INDC (lost wages due to absence from work), RH clients/patients and accompanying individuals were asked questions related to the time lost (time spent from leaving until return to home), and working status and wage. Only one ANC visit was estimated in this study. One more open-ended question for the THLF group to elicit data about the reasons for not using the nearby or adjacent PHLF.

Data processing and analysis
Data were entered and cleaned in an Excel program and analysed by the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) V.17. All costs are presented in the local currency, Yemeni Riyal (YER), and changed to US$ using the average exchange rate in 2013 (US$1.00=YER214.89). The wage rate of housewives was estimated according to the minimum limit of wage in Yemen, YER20000 per month (Ministry of Civil Service and Pensions, The law of Jobs, Wages and Salaries System. Law No. (43). Article (38). Paragraph (E). 2005. p. 10). The wages of those who work without earnings are derived from the replacement cost method which uses the wages of persons who work to pay for these tasks, as a measure of their value. The INDC was calculated by multiplying the wage per minute by the time lost in minutes. Cost saving is calculated as the difference between the cost in PHLF and THLF. The responses to open-ended questions were grouped, classified and summarised into seven themes. Most of the data were not normally distributed. Descriptive analyses were performed. The data were presented in frequency, percentage, median, range and quartile 1 and quartile 3. The median difference was calculated to compare the costs between PHLF and THLF groups. The mean and SD were used as an additional measure. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the differences between PHLF and THLF groups. P value<0.05 was considered as a cut-off point for statistical significance.

RESULTS
A total of 180 RH clients/patients were enrolled from PHLF and THLF, equally. Sixty women attended for each RHS (VD, ANC and IUD services). In addition, 246 accompanying individuals who attended with RH clients/patients were interviewed to estimate the DNMC and INDC.

Socio-demographic characteristics
Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the RH clients/patients. The median (IQR) age of VD clients/patients among PHLF and THLF groups were 25 (22 and 30) years and 26 (20 and 30) years, respectively (p value=0.800). The percentage of illiterate was 90% among PHLF and 80% THLF groups. All the VD clients were housewives in the PHLF group and 93% were housewives in the THLF group.

For ANC, the median (IQR) age of among PHLF and THLF groups were 25 (23 and 28) years and 28.5 (21 and 35) years, respectively (p value=0.186). The percentage of illiterate were 80% and 83% among PHLF and THLF groups, respectively. Almost 97% were housewives in the PHLF group and 100% were housewives in the THLF group. Moreover, the median (IQR) age of IUD clients among PHLF and THLF groups were 26 (25 and 29) years and 28.5 (25 and 33), respectively (p value=0.467). The percentage of illiterate were 80% and 63% among PHLF and THLF groups, respectively.

All IUD clients were housewives in the PHLF group and 97% were housewives in the THLF group.

Table 2 shows the distribution of the costs of RSH in PHLF and THLF. The overall costs median (IQR) of VD, ANC and IUD services were US$114.10 (91.62 and 140.45), US$43.39 (33.60 and 67.78) and US$37.67 (31.93 and 52.60) at THLF compared with US$42.78 (26.60 and 53.92), US$3.1 (1.60 and 6.13) and US$14.22
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Table 1  Socio-demographic characteristics of reproductive health clients/patients in primary and tertiary health levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-demographic characteristics</th>
<th>Vaginal delivery services (no=60)</th>
<th>Antenatal care (no=60)</th>
<th>Intrauterine device (no=60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary health level</td>
<td>Tertiary health level</td>
<td>Primary health level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>25 (22 and 30)</td>
<td>26 (20 and 30)</td>
<td>25 (23 and 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (±SD)</td>
<td>26.5 (±5.9)</td>
<td>26.2 (±5.9)</td>
<td>25.4 (±3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working status*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with earning</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working without earning</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value >0.05.
Table 2: Distribution the costs of reproductive health services in primary and tertiary health level facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost type</th>
<th>Vaginal delivery services (n=60)</th>
<th>Antenatal care (n=60)</th>
<th>Intrauterine device (n=60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary health level</td>
<td>Tertiary health level</td>
<td>Cost saving (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct medical cost</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>19.54 (15.24 and 22.00)</td>
<td>43.86 (32.91 and 51.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (±SD)</td>
<td>18.89 (4.57)</td>
<td>42.41 (12.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>18.61</td>
<td>50.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct non-medical cost</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>13.96 (4.65 and 23.62)</td>
<td>43.05 (33.21 and 55.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (±SD)</td>
<td>14.59 (10.39)</td>
<td>46.56 (18.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>35.51</td>
<td>70.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect cost</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>7.90 (5.92 and 12.22)</td>
<td>23.93 (16.92 and 33.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (±SD)</td>
<td>9.08 (4.38)</td>
<td>26.74 (10.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>32.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>42.78 (26.60 and 53.92)</td>
<td>114.10 (91.62 and 140.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (±SD)</td>
<td>42.62 (15.45)</td>
<td>115.67 (27.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>57.61</td>
<td>101.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value<0.0001.  †P value=0.117.  ‡The zero was substituted by US$1.00 to avoid the infinity result.
(11.13 and 18.42) at PHLF, respectively. The overall cost difference between THLF and PHLF groups was US$71.32 (166%) for VD, US$40.27 (1291%) for ANC and US$23.45 (165%) for IUD services, respectively (with \( p \text{ value}<0.0001 \)).

**DMC**

Table 2 shows the median (IQR) of DMC for VD service was US$43.86 (32.91 and 51.40) at THLF compared with US$19.54 (6.42 and 18.53) in PHLF. Similarly, the median (IQR) of DMC for ANC service in THLF and PHLF were US$14.77 (9.82 and 22.89) and US$0.93 (0.00 and 1.86), respectively. However, the median (IQR) of DMC for IUD service was US$9.07 (6.42 and 18.53) in THLF and US$11.17 (9.31 and 14.55) in PHLF. The DMC difference between THLF and PHLF groups was US$71.32 (166%) for VD, US$40.27 (1291%) for ANC and US$23.45 (165%) for IUD services, respectively (with \( p \text{ value}<0.0001 \)).

**DNMC**

Regarding the DNMC, the median (IQR) of DNMC of VD was US$43.05 (33.21 and 55.02) in THLF compared with US$13.96 (4.65 and 23.62) in PHLF. For ANC service, the median (IQR) was US$19.07 (12.56 and 26.81) at THLF compared with US$0.00 (0.00 and 0.12) at PHLF. Moreover, the median (IQR) of DNMC for IUD service was US$17.27 (11.53 and 25.00) in THLF compared with US$0.00 (0.00 and 0.00) in PHLF. Therefore, The DNMC difference between THLF and PHLF groups was US$24.32 (\( p \text{ value}<0.0001 \)) for VD service, US$13.84 (\( p \text{ value}<0.0001 \)) for ANC service and US$−2.1 (\( p \text{ value}=0.117 \)) for IUD service.

**INDC**

The median (IQR) of INDC for VD, ANC and IUD services were US$23.93 (16.92 and 33.12), US$9.49 (6.89 and 14.10) and US$10.44 (7.41 and 12.21) at THLF compared with US$7.90 (5.92 and 12.22), US$1.59 (1.15 and 2.51) and US$1.06 (0.90 and 2.31) at PHLF, respectively. Therefore, the INDC difference between THLF and PHLF groups was US$16.03 (\( p \text{ value}<0.0001 \)) for VD service, US$7.90 (\( p \text{ value}<0.0001 \)) ANC service and US$9.38 (\( p \text{ value}<0.0001 \)) for IUD service (table 2).

**Reasons for not using PHLF**

Table 3 shows the reasons for not using RHS at PHLF. The reasons for not using VD services were the unavailability of gynaecologists at PHLF (28%), followed by carelessness (19%) and loss of confidence at PHLF (19%). While unskilled health workers (24%), carelessness (18%), unavailability of gynaecologists (15%), unavailability of technical resources (15%) and loss of confidence (11%) were the reasons for not using ANC at PHLF. Moreover, the appropriate or free price of IUD services at THLF was the main reason (22%), followed by unskilled health workers (18%) and unavailability of technical resources at PHLF (18%).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study on cost saving in primary versus tertiary RHS conducted in Yemen. It revealed RHS costs were significantly different in PHLF and THLF, except for the DMC of IUD service. Therefore, the utilisation of PHLF would be a significant saving for RH clients and their families.

Our results indicate that the ages of RH clients at both PHLF and THLF were comparable. As well as higher illiteracy and unemployment among married women. This is because all the clients come from the same districts of Sana’a governorate who share the same cultural trends of reproductive behaviour, education and employment.

Our findings revealed that the DMC of VD services was significantly higher at THLF compared with PHLF (\( p \text{ value}<0.0001 \)). The utilisation of PHLF instead of THLF saves the family 125% of DMC for VD services. This result is consistent with previous studies in Tanzania, Bangladesh, India, Ghana, Malawi, and other countries.
Ethiopia,13 three African countries (Burkina Faso, Kenya and Tanzania),11 Zambia,15 Burkina Faso,22 Vietnam,23 Pakistan,24 Afghanistan25 and the Democratic Republic of the Congo26 reported that the DMC of VD was higher at a high level or among bypassers compared with low level or non-bypassers. As well as two systematic reviews studies in low-income and middle-income countries 27 Moreover, the study found the DMC of ANC was more than 15 times higher in THLF compared with PHLF. The utilisation of ANC at PHLF will save almost all the costs at THLF. Our finding similar to the finding of studies in Bangladesh,5 8 14 Malawi,4 India,9 Ghana,3 Vietnam22 and Niger,27 showed that the DMC of ANC was higher at a high level compared with a low level. As well as two systematic reviews studies in low-income and middle-income countries.27 Although the DMC of IUD was slightly higher at PHFL as compared with THFL, there is no significant difference between THFL and PHFL (p value=0.117). This might be because the hospitals do not usually charge for IUD insertion or removal in contrast to the health centres. This result disagrees with the result of the study in Ghana which indicates that the cost of family planning was high in THLF as compared with PHLF.18

The DMC difference of VD and ANC between PHLF and THLF could be attributed to several possible explanations; the provision of services is a shortage, the laboratory tests are often not requested and the ultrasound is often not available in PHLF as compared with that in THLF. The drug prescription pattern of the specialists (higher professional qualifications) in THLF differs from the less qualified personnel (midwives) in PHLF. As well as the variation in availability and price of drugs.

Regarding the DNMC, this study revealed that the expenses for VD were three times higher in THLF compared with PHLF. Therefore, the DNMC saving as a result of the utilisation of the VD services at PHLF rather than THLF is 208%. This result agrees with the result of previous studies in Bangladesh,5 Malawi, Malawi, Ghana, and Tanzania.13 Other studies in Tanzania,10 16 Zambia12 and India17 indicated that the transport costs are higher at a high level or among bypassers. In addition, the study showed that the utilisation of PHLF instead of THLF might save the whole DNMC of ANC and IUD services. Three previous studies in Bangladesh,5 8 14 Ghana and Malawi indicated that the DNMC of ANC was higher at a high level or among bypassers compared with a low level or non-bypassers. A study in Ghana reported that the transportation cost for family planning services at the THLF was higher in cost compared with PHLF.18

The DNMC difference of VD, ANC and IUD services between PHLF and THLF is possibly owing to discrepancies in transportation, food, water and number of accompanying individuals. The far distance of the THLF from the client’s homes pushes them to hire vehicles which costs considerably while most RH clients usually cover the distance to PHLF walking, especially for ANC and IUD services. The RH clients usually have more accompanying persons in THLF than in PHLF and this increases the DNMC in terms of transportation and food, while most RH clients either go alone or with minimal accompanying individuals for PHLF especially in ANC and IUD services.

Our findings agree with a previous study in Nepal28 that showed the expenses on feeding and accommodation are higher among those bypassing PHLF.

The result of this study revealed that the INDC for the VD client and her accompanying individuals was three times higher at THLF compared with that at PHLF. The INDC saving as a result of the utilisation of the VD services at PHLF rather than THLF was 203%. This result is consistent with the results of studies in Bangladesh,5 and Malawi, which reported that the costs of travelling and waiting time of VD were higher at a high level compared with a low level. A study in Tanzania indicated that bypassers incur a substantial opportunity cost due to the long time away from their farming work.10 Moreover, our result found the INDC for the ANC client and her accompanying individuals was six times costlier at THLF compared with that at PHLF. The INDC saving of one ANC visit at PHLF was 496%. The INDC of ANC at THLF might cover the total expenses of ANC at PHLF. This result agrees with the result of studies in Bangladesh which reported that the lost wage for ANC visit was greater at a high level compared with a low level. Similarly, the INDC for the IUD client and her accompanying individuals are nine times costlier at THLF compared with PHLF. The PHLF might save 88% of INDC for the IUD client and her accompanying individuals. A study in Ghana reported that the time value lost for family planning services was higher at a high level compared with a low level.18

The difference in lost wages for RH clients and their accompanying individuals between PHLF and THLF is possibly due to the discrepancy in the location of these services from the client’s homes and the number of accompanying individuals.

Additionally, regarding the reasons for the bypassing of the PHLF, this study showed the majority of women did not use the VD services at PHLF because of the unavailability of gynaecologists, loss of confidence and carelessness in health centres. Four studies in Tanzania reported good provider performance or practice,10 12 23 29 a greater trust in health workers10 12 and availability of drugs and medical equipment.16 26 Another study in Vietnam reported that women often trust the professional qualifications of physicians and medical equipment at upper level facilities to give birth.23 A study in Nepal reported that lack of necessary equipment and drugs, lack of skilled health workers and low confidence were the reasons for bypassing.4

This study found that unskilled health workers were the main reason for not using ANC at PHLF, followed by the carelessness in health centres. However, the appropriate or free price of IUD was the most important reason for selecting THLF. Unskilled health workers and...
unavailability of technical resources in PHLF were other reasons that make women bypass the PHLF. Although family planning methods are provided for free in all PHLF in Yemen, still the patients have to pay the costs, as well as unskilled nurses. A study in Yemen found that rural women’s use of health centres for VD and ANC were limited by their perceived poor quality of services, as indicated by the lack of critical staff, particularly female doctors, equipment and essential medicines. As well YNHDS indicated that 63% of women report of female provider is a problem of accessing healthcare.

The strength of this study is that the first study on cost saving in the primary versus the tertiary level of RHS was conducted in Yemen. In addition to interviews before discharge, the costs paid after discharge were collected by phone when they arrived home. However, it has some limitations which should be considered. First, the cost might be estimated as the minimum economic burden of cost as a result of the utilisation of RHS at THLF because it was conducted from the patient perspective. Second, it used a non-probability sampling technique, which limits the generalisation of our results. Third, because of different types of vehicles (according to fuel type, fuel consumption and car size) and different ways, and lack of fixed price for distance, the private transport cost was estimated by asking about the cost of trips from home to the health facility and back as a measure of their value. Moreover, the costs estimated in this study are very low compared with current expenses and accessibility of health services, due to the deteriorating economic situation of the population and the health system.

The study found that there is a significant discrepancy in the RHS expenses between the THLF and PHLF. The utilisation of VD, ANC and IUD services at PHLF is a considerable cost saving for families. Therefore, shifting the utilisation of services from THLF to PHLF reduces the financial burden affecting individuals, families and their productivity. As well as issues related to the quality of RHS such as availability of gynaecologists, skilled health workers, technical resources were the possible reasons for bypassing the PHLF. Efforts are urgently needed to enhance the function of PHLF and protect the families from incurring the high expenditure. Development and implementation of the health referral system between health facility levels, and improving the quality of the health services in PHLF are highly recommended. Further research to estimate the RHS costs from the provider’s perspective is also recommended.
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