# BMJ Open Rural-urban correlates of skilled birth attendance utilisation in Sierra Leone: evidence from the 2019 Sierra Leone **Demographic Health Survey**

Quraish Sserwanja , <sup>1</sup> Ivan Mufumba, <sup>2,3</sup> Kassim Kamara, <sup>4</sup> Milton W Musaba



To cite: Sserwanja Q. Mufumba I. Kamara K. et al. Rural-urban correlates of skilled birth attendance utilisation in Sierra Leone: evidence from the 2019 Sierra Leone Demographic Health Survey. BMJ Open 2022;12:e056825. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-056825

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-056825).

Received 30 August 2021 Accepted 15 February 2022



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Programmes Department, GOAL Ireland, Dun Laoghaire, Ireland <sup>2</sup>Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Makerere University, Kampala,

<sup>3</sup>CHILD Research Laboratory, Global Health Uganda, Kampala, Uganda

<sup>4</sup>National Disease Surveillance Programme, Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation, Freetown, Western Area Sierra Leone <sup>5</sup>Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Busitema University, Mbale, Uganda

#### **Correspondence to**

Dr Quraish Sserwanja; qura661@gmail.com

# **ABSTRACT**

Objectives Understanding the rural-urban contextspecific correlates of skilled birth attendance (SBA) is important to designing relevant strategies and programmes. This analysis aimed to assess for the ruralurban correlates of SBA in Sierra Leone.

**Setting** The latest nationally representative Sierra Leone Demographic and Health Survey of 2019.

Participants The study included a weighted sample of 7326 women aged 15-49 years. Each of them had a live birth within 5 years prior to the survey (4531 in rural areas and 2795 women in urban areas).

Primary and secondary outcome measure SBA (primary) and predictors of SBA (secondary).

Results SBA was higher in urban areas at 94.9% (95% CI 94.1% to 95.7%) compared with 84.2% (95% CI 83.8% to 85.9%) in rural areas. Rural women resident in the Southern, Northern and Eastern regions, with postprimary education (adjusted OR (aOR) 1.8; 95% CI 1.3 to 2.5), exposure to mass media (aOR 1.5; 95% CI 1.1 to 1.9), not having difficulties with distance to the nearest health facility (aOR 2.3; 95% Cl 1.7 to 3.0) were associated with higher odds of SBA. Urban women resident in the Southern, Eastern region, with households having less than seven members (aOR 1.5; 95% Cl 1.1 to 2.3), exposure to mass media (aOR 1.8; 95% Cl 1.1 to 2.9) and not having difficulties with distance to the nearest health facility (aOR 1.6; 95% Cl 1.1 to 2.5) were associated with higher odds of SBA.

Conclusion Given the observed differences, improving SBA requires programmes and strategies that are contextspecific.

# INTRODUCTION

Globally, 83% of births in 2020 occurred with skilled birth attendance (SBA), but coverage continues to be uneven around the world with significant discrepancies between regions with only 64% of births in sub-Saharan Africa being attended to by SBA. About 303 000 maternal deaths are registered annually with 99% being recorded in low-income and middle-income countries.<sup>23</sup> SBA has been documented as an effective intervention for reducing maternal and neonatal deaths. 45 Skilled attendance at

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- ► This is the first nationally representative analysis that explores the rural-urban correlates of skilled birth attendance in Sierra Leone.
- We used the latest nationally representative sample from the 2019 Sierra Leone Demographic and Health Survey, hence findings are generalisable to women in Sierra Leone.
- Given the cross-sectional nature of the data, we could not establish the temporal relationship between the outcome variable and the independent variables.
- Since the data were collected from women who had childbirths within 5 years prior to data collection, we anticipate recall bias in the process of collecting this data among the respondents.

birth can reduce intrapartum-related complications by up to 20%.6 Therefore, ensuring increased utilisation of SBA can substantially contribute towards achievement of the "Sustainable Development Goal 3 that aims at reducing the global maternal mortality ratio (MMR) to less than 70 per 100, 000 and neonatal mortality ratio of ≤12 per 1000 live births by 2030".6-8 A skilled birth attendant is 'an accredited health professional such as a midwife, doctor, or nurse who have been trained with adequate skills needed to handle uncomplicated pregnancies, childbirth and the immediate postnatal period, and in the identification, management, and referral of complications in women and newborns'.

Besides the women losing their lives, effects of maternal mortality and morbidity are also experienced at the household and community level. 9 10 Children left behind after maternal deaths have increased odds of mortality or other health challenges including undernutrition and the society loses resources when women die in their most productive years. In Sierra Leone, pregnancy is associated with a 1



in 17 lifetime risk of maternal death making it among the highest globally.<sup>6</sup> Despite several measures being implemented in the country, utilisation of maternal health services such as utilisation of at least four or more antenatal care (ANC) contacts marginally increased by three percent points (76%–79%) between 2013 and 2019 while initiation in the first trimester decreased by 1% point (45%–44%).<sup>11</sup> In 2017, the Ministry of Health adopted the latest 2016 WHO guidelines for ANC, recommending eight or more ANC contacts during pregnancy.<sup>12</sup> To date, there are no data available about the progress made regarding the utilisation of eight or more ANC contacts. The latest Sierra Leone Demographic and Health Survey (SLDHS) only reported on the utilisation of at least four ANC contacts.<sup>11</sup>

Postcivil war and Ebola epidemic Sierra Leone era has witnessed left a fragile health system having poor infrastructure and inadequate skilled health personnel who are irregularly paid low salaries. 13 Despite the government's efforts to improve maternal health with approaches such as exemption of user fees for maternal healthcare services, 14 the country ranks among the top three countries with the highest MMR, globally. <sup>3 6 15</sup> Furthermore, the exemption of user fees is challenged by inadequate skilled health personnel, increasing workload and inadequate supplies and equipment. <sup>1617</sup> Secondary and tertiary care in Sierra Leone is provided by 14 district and regional governmental hospitals. 17 At national level, there are four tertiary referral hospitals which are all located in the Western Area Urban District.<sup>18</sup> The country has one of the lowest nurse densities in the world, at approximately 0.2 nurses and midwives per 1000 people. 13

Although differences in the levels of utilisation of SBA between Sierra Leone's rural and urban women have been documented, <sup>611</sup> there is a paucity of information on this topic as it is not adequately explored. Therefore, it is important to further understand these factors when stratifying by rural–urban place of residence among women because this may be key to designing effective context-specific strategies and interventions targeting rural and urban areas. We aimed to determine the correlates of SBA in Sierra Leone, stratified by rural-urban place of residence.

#### **METHODS**

# **Data source**

Secondary data from the 2019 SLDHS was analysed for this study. SLDHS data collection occurred between May and August 2019 by Statistics Sierra Leone (Stats SL) with technical assistance from Inner City Fund (ICF) international through the DHS programme.

# **Study sampling and participants**

A stratified, two-stage cluster sampling design was used for the survey leading to 13 872 households. The 2019 SLDHS final report contains a detailed description of the sampling procedures. Women of reproductive age who

had a live birth within 5 years preceding the SLDHS were included in this secondary analysis. Originally, a weighted sample of 15 574 women was included in the individual women's data set of which 7326 had given birth within 5 years prior the survey (with 4531 in rural areas and 2795 in urban areas), <sup>3</sup> as shown in online supplemental file 1.

# **Variables**

# Dependent variables

SBA was defined as delivery conducted by a doctor, nurse or midwife<sup>11</sup> and was coded as 1 while unskilled birth attendance was coded as 0.

# Independent variables

The analysis included independent variables based on evidence from available literature and data.<sup>6 9 20</sup> Sixteen explanatory variables were included and categorised as shown in table 1.

# Statistical analysis

Due to the multistage cluster study design used by SLDH, complex sample package of SPSS (V.25.0) statistical software was used with the analysis plan designed to include sample: individual weight, strata for sampling errors/ design and cluster number. 21-23 Associations between independent variables and SBA were assessed by cross tabulation and p values presented. Before the final adjusted model, each independent variable was assessed individually for its association with SBA using bivariable logistic regression and the crude OR, 95% CI and p values are presented and independent variables with a p≤0.25, and not strongly collinear with other independent variables were included in the final multivariable logistic regression model.<sup>24</sup> In the final adjusted model, adjusted ORs (AOR), 95% CI and p values were calculated at significance level set at p<0.05. Online supplemental file 2 shows the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology checklist. Sensitivity analysis was done with unskilled birth attendance as the outcome and the results are shown in online supplemental file 3.

# Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved. However, local authorities in the different regions were contacted before data collection. A comprehensive report on the survey results was released and openly available on the DHS website.<sup>11</sup>

# RESULTS

Table 2 shows a comparison of background characteristics of study participants. Rural areas had more participants (4,531) compared with urban areas (2,795). Remarkable differences were observed in region with 1.1% of rural women residing in Western region compared with 51.1% in urban areas. Furthermore, 63.2% of rural women had no education compared with 35.5% in urban areas, 34.8% in rural areas belonged to the poorest quintile compared with 0.4% in urban areas and 36.2% had exposure to mass media in rural areas compared with 69.7%



Table 1 Categorisation of independent variables					
Variable	Categorisation	Explanation			
Maternal age	15–19 years, 20–34 years and 35–49 years	-			
Wealth index	Poorest, poorer, middle, richer and richest quintiles	The SLDHS collected data on household asset ownership and calculated wealth index using Principal Component Analysis. <sup>68</sup> Among rural women, only 0.9% and 5.7% belonged to the richest and richer quintiles, hence these were combined into one to have rich, middle, poorer and poorest quintiles in logistic regression.  Among urban women, only 0.3% and 3.0% belonged to the poorest and poorer quintiles, hence these were combined into one to have poor, middle, richer and richest quintiles in logistic regression.			
Region	Northern, Eastern, Southern, Western and Northwestern	Among rural women, only 1.1% belonged to the Western region hence in logistic regression, Western and Northwestern regions were combined.			
Education	No education, primary education, secondary and tertiary education	Among rural women, only 0.5% of the women had tertiary education and only 7.1% in urban hence secondary and tertiary were combined to have post-primary in the logistic regression analysis.			
Household size	Less than seven members and seven and above members	Based on the dataset average of seven members per household			
Sex of household head	Male or female				
Marital status	Married and not married	Marriage included those in formal and informal unions while not married included the never married, divorced, separated and widowed.			
Religion	Muslims and Christians and others				
Problem seeking permission to access healthcare	Big problem and no big problem	In the original SLDHS questionnaire, three responses had been suggested: no problem, no big problem and big problem. However, the no problem response was not reported by anyone.			
Difficulties accessing nearest health facility	Big problem and no big problem	In the original SLDHS questionnaire, three responses had been suggested: no problem, no big problem and big problem. However, the no problem response was not reported by anyone			
Exposure to media	Yes and No	Yes included women who had exposure to any of the four mass media (radio, television and newspapers and internet)			
Working	Yes and No	-			
Visited by fieldworker	Yes and No	-			
Parity	5 and above, 2-4 and 1	-			
ANC frequency	8 and above ANC contacts and less than 8 ANC contacts	-			
ANC timing	Within the first trimester and after first trimester	_			

ANC, antenatal care; SLDHS, Sierra Leone Demographic and Health Survey.

in urban areas. Over 60.3% of rural women had big problems with distance to the nearest health facility compared with 25.8% in urban areas. Overall, 88.3% (6468/7326, 95% CI 87.9 to 89.4) of the women had SBA. SBA was higher in urban areas at 94.9% (2653/2795, 95% CI 94.1 to 95.7) compared with 84.2% (3816/4531, 95% CI 83.8 to 85.9) in rural areas.

# **Factors associated with SBA**

Tables 3 and 4 presents the predictors of rural and urban SBA. Our analysis revealed that region of residence, exposure to mass media and distance to the nearest health facility have significant positive association with SBA among women from both regions of residence. In the rural areas, the likelihood of being delivered by a skilled birth attendant was three times higher in the Southern

(aOR 3.1; 95% CI 2.1 to 4.7), Northern (aOR 2.9; 95% CI 1.9 to 4.4) and six times higher in the Eastern regions (aOR 5.7; 95% CI 3.1 to 10.7), one and a half times higher among women who had been visited a field worker (aOR 1.4; 95% CI 1.1 to 1.8), two times higher among women with postprimary education (aOR 1.8; 95% CI 1.3 to 2.5), one and a half times higher among women with exposure to mass media (aOR 1.5; 95% CI 1.1 to 1.9), twice higher among women not having big problems with distance to the nearest health facility (aOR 2.3; 95% CI 1.7 to 3.0) while the likelihood was 0.8 times lower among women who initiated ANC after the first trimester (aOR 0.8; 95% CI 0.6 to 0.9).

In the urban areas, the likelihood of being delivered by a skilled birth attendant was five times higher in the

**Table 2** Sociodemographic characteristics of women in Sierra Leone as per the 2019 SLDHS

	Rural		Urban				
Characteristics	N=4531	%	N= <b>2795</b>	%			
Age							
15–19	375	8.3	223	8.0			
20–34	2835	62.6	1995	71.4			
35–49	1322	29.2	577	20.6			
Visited by field worker							
No	3126	69.0	1933	69.2			
Yes	1405	31.0	862	30.8			
Region							
Western	51	1.1	1428	51.1			
Eastern	1059	23.4	483	17.3			
Northwestern	1096	24.2	285	10.2			
Northern	1082	23.9	351	12.6			
Southern	1244	27.5	248	8.9			
Religion							
Islam	3729	82.3	2036	72.9			
Christianity and	802	17.7	758	27.1			
others	552						
Sex household head							
Male	3663	80.8	1857	66.4			
Female	868	19.2	938	33.6			
Household size							
Seven and above	2083	46.0	1236	44.2			
Less than 7	2448	54.0	1559	55.8			
Working status							
Not working	684	15.1	998	35.7			
Working	3847	84.9	1796	64.3			
Marital status							
Not married	606	13.4	723	25.9			
Married	3925	86.6	2072	74.1			
Education level							
No education	2866	63.2	992	35.5			
Primary education	729	16.1	304	10.9			
Secondary	913	20.1	1302	46.6			
education	0.0		.00_				
Tertiary	24	0.5	197	7.1			
Wealth index							
Poorest	1576	34.8	11	0.4			
Poorer	1466	32.4	85	3.0			
Middle	1192	26.3	296	10.6			
Richer	258	5.7	1184	42.4			
Richest	40	0.9	1219	43.6			
Parity							
1	1011	22.3	977	35.0			
2–4	2522	55.7	1493	53.4			
			-	Continue			

Continued

Table 2 Continued Urban Rural Characteristics N = 4531% N=2795 % Five and above 998 22.0 324 11.6 Exposure to mass media No 2890 63.8 846 30.3 1641 36.2 1948 69.7 Yes Permission to access healthcare 1427 14.3 Bia problem 31.5 399 Not big problem 3104 68.5 2396 85.7 Distance to health facility Big problem 2732 60.3 722 25.8 74.2 Not big problem 1799 39.7 2073 ANC timing\* First trimester 2048 45.5 1165 42.9 After first trimester 2451 54.5 1549 57.1 ANC attendance 622 Eight contacts and 988 21.8 22.3 above Less than eight 3543 78.2 2173 77.7

ANC, antenatal care; SLDHS, Sierra Leone Demographic and Health Survey.

Southern (aOR 5.1; 95% CI 2.0 to 13.3), 12 times higher in the Eastern region (aOR 11.7; 95% CI 4.6 to 30.2), one and a half times higher among women from households with less than seven members (aOR 1.5; 95% CI 1.1 to 2.3), twice among women who had exposure to mass media (aOR 1.8; 95% CI 1.1 to 2.9) and one and a half times among women who had no big problems with distance to the nearest health facility (aOR 1.6; 95% CI 1.1 to 2.5) compared with those from the western and northwestern regions, households with seven and above household members, with no mass media exposure and those with big problems with distance, respectively. Wealth index was imprecisely significant with urban women belonging to the richest quintile (aOR 2.5; 95% CI 1.0 to 6.5) being more likely to have SBA compared with those in the poor quintile.

#### DISCUSSION

contacts

In this study, we looked at factors associated with SBA utilisation in Sierra Leone stratified by rural-urban place of residence. Overall, 88.3% (95% CI 87.9% to 89.4%) of the women had SBA. The overall, urban, rural and SBA prevalence in our study shows 28, 15 and 31 percentage point increases respectively compared with that of 2013. This shows a tremendous improvement in the uptake of the SBA between 2013 and 2019 in Sierra Leone which could

<sup>\*</sup>Missing 32 (0.7%) respondents in rural and 81 (2.9%) in urban areas.



Age  35–49  249 (34.  20–34  424 (59.  15–19  42 (5.9  Visited by fieldworker  No  540 (75.  Yes  175 (24.  Region  West and Northwestern  339 (47.  Southern  165 (23.  Northern  134 (18.  Eastern  77 (10.  Religion  Christianity and others  Islam  606 (84.  Sex household head  Male  590 (82.  Female  125 (17.  Household size  Seven and above  358 (50.  Less than 7  357 (49.  Working status  Not working  104 (14.  Working  Married  64 (8.9  Married  651 (91.  Education level  No education  525 (73.  Primary  108 (15.  Poorer  244 (34.  Middle  173 (24.  Rich  Parity	3) 2410 (63. ) 333 (8.7 6) 2586 (67. 4) 1230 (32. 4) 808 (21. 1) 1079 (28. 7) 947 (24. 8) 982 (25. 2) 693 (18.	.2) 1.3 (1. 7) 1.9 (1. .8) 1 .2) 1.5 (1. .2) 1 .3) 2.7 (1. .8) 3.0 (1.	1 to 1.6) 3 to 2.8)	1 1.2 (0.9 to 1.5 (0.9 to 0.004 1 1.4 (1.1 to 0.001	2.3)
35–49       249 (34)         20–34       424 (59)         15–19       42 (5.9)         Visited by fieldworker       No         No       540 (75)         Yes       175 (24)         Region       339 (47)         Southern       165 (23)         Northern       134 (18)         Eastern       77 (10)         Religion       109 (15)         Christianity and others       109 (15)         Islam       606 (84)         Sex household head       125 (17)         Household size       590 (82)         Female       125 (17)         Household size       358 (50)         Less than 7       357 (49)         Working status       Not working       104 (14)         Working status       104 (14)         Not married       64 (8.9)         Married       651 (91)         Education level       No education       525 (73)         Primary       108 (15)         Postprimary       82 (11)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37)         Poorer       244 (34)         Middle       173 (24)         Rich	3) 2410 (63. ) 333 (8.7) 6) 2586 (67. 4) 1230 (32. 4) 808 (21. 1) 1079 (28. 7) 947 (24. 8) 982 (25. 2) 693 (18.	.2) 1.3 (1. 7) 1.9 (1. .8) 1 .2) 1.5 (1. .2) 1 .3) 2.7 (1. .8) 3.0 (1.	3 to 2.8) 0.0 1 to 1.9) <0.0	1.2 (0.9 to 1.5 (0.9 to 004 1 1.4 (1.1 to	2.3)
Visited by fieldworker  No 540 (75. Yes 175 (24. Region  West and Northwestern 339 (47. Southern 165 (23. Northern 134 (18. Eastern 77 (10. Religion  Christianity and others 109 (15. Islam 606 (84. Sex household head Male 590 (82. Female 125 (17. Household size Seven and above 358 (50. Less than 7 357 (49. Working status Not working 104 (14. Working 611 (85. Married 651 (91. Education level No education 525 (73. Primary 108 (15. Postprimary 82 (11. Wealth Index Poorer 244 (34. Middle 173 (24. Rich 33 (4.6)	) 333 (8.7 6) 2586 (67 4) 1230 (32 4) 808 (21 1) 1079 (28 7) 947 (24 8) 982 (25 2) 693 (18	7) 1.9 (1.3 .8) 1 .2) 1.5 (1.3) .2) 1 .3) 2.7 (1.3) .8) 3.0 (1.3)	3 to 2.8) 0.0 1 to 1.9) <0.0	1.5 (0.9 to 004 1 1.4 (1.1 to	2.3)
Visited by fieldworker           No         540 (75.           Yes         175 (24.           Region         339 (47.           Southern         165 (23.           Northern         134 (18.           Eastern         77 (10.           Religion         109 (15.           Islam         606 (84.           Sex household head         590 (82.           Female         125 (17.           Household size         Seven and above         358 (50.           Less than 7         357 (49.           Working status         Not working         104 (14.           Working         611 (85.           Marital status         Not married         64 (8.9.           Married         651 (91.           Education level         No education         525 (73.           Primary         108 (15.           Postprimary         82 (11.           Wealth Index         Poorest         265 (37.           Poorer         244 (34.           Middle         173 (24.           Rich         33 (4.6.	6) 2586 (67 4) 1230 (32 4) 808 (21 1) 1079 (28 7) 947 (24 8) 982 (25 2) 693 (18	.8) 1 .2) 1.5 (1. .2) 1 .3) 2.7 (1.3) 8) 3.0 (1.5)	0.0 1 to 1.9)	1 1.4 (1.1 to	
No       540 (75.         Yes       175 (24.         Region       339 (47.         Southern       165 (23.         Northern       134 (18.         Eastern       77 (10.         Religion       109 (15.         Christianity and others       109 (15.         Islam       606 (84.         Sex household head       350 (82.         Female       125 (17.         Household size       358 (50.         Less than 7       357 (49.         Working status       Not working       104 (14.         Working       611 (85.         Marital status       Not married       64 (8.9.         Married       651 (91.         Education level       No education       525 (73.         Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.	4) 1230 (32. 4) 808 (21. 1) 1079 (28. 7) 947 (24. 8) 982 (25. 2) 693 (18.	.2) 1.5 (1. .2) 1 .3) 2.7 (1. .8) 3.0 (1.	<b>1 to 1.9</b> ) <0.0	1 <b>1.4 (1.1 to</b> 001	1.8)
Yes       175 (24.         Region       339 (47.         Southern       165 (23.         Northern       134 (18.         Eastern       77 (10.         Religion       109 (15.         Christianity and others       109 (15.         Islam       606 (84.         Sex household head       Male         Male       590 (82.         Female       125 (17.         Household size       358 (50.         Seven and above       358 (50.         Less than 7       357 (49.         Working status       Not working       104 (14.         Working       611 (85.         Marital status       64 (8.9.         Married       651 (91.         Education level       No education       525 (73.         Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.	4) 1230 (32. 4) 808 (21. 1) 1079 (28. 7) 947 (24. 8) 982 (25. 2) 693 (18.	.2) 1.5 (1. .2) 1 .3) 2.7 (1. .8) 3.0 (1.	<0.0	<b>1.4 (1.1 to</b>	1.8)
Region       339 (47.         Southern       165 (23.         Northern       134 (18.         Eastern       77 (10.         Religion       109 (15.         Islam       606 (84.         Sex household head       Male         Male       590 (82.         Female       125 (17.         Household size       Seven and above         Seven and above       358 (50.         Less than 7       357 (49.         Working status       Not working         Not married       64 (8.9.         Marital status       Not married       64 (8.9.         Married       651 (91.         Education level       No education       525 (73.         Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.	4) 808 (21. 1) 1079 (28. 7) 947 (24. 8) 982 (25. 2) 693 (18.	.2) 1 .3) <b>2.7 (1.</b> 8) <b>3.0 (1.</b> 9)	<0.0	001	1.8)
West and Northwestern       339 (47.5)         Southern       165 (23.5)         Northern       134 (18.5)         Eastern       77 (10.5)         Religion       109 (15.5)         Christianity and others       109 (15.5)         Islam       606 (84.5)         Sex household head       Male       590 (82.5)         Female       125 (17.5)         Household size       358 (50.5)         Less than 7       357 (49.5)         Working status       104 (14.5)         Not working       104 (14.5)         Working       611 (85.5)         Marital status       64 (8.9)         Married       64 (8.9)         Married       651 (91.5)         Education level       No education       525 (73.5)         Primary       108 (15.5)         Postprimary       82 (11.5)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.5)         Poorer       244 (34.5)         Middle       173 (24.6)         Rich       33 (4.6)	1) 1079 (28. 7) 947 (24. 8) 982 (25. 2) 693 (18.	.3) <b>2.7 (1.</b> 8) <b>3.0 (1.</b> 9)			
Southern       165 (23.         Northern       134 (18.         Eastern       77 (10.         Religion       109 (15.         Christianity and others       109 (15.         Islam       606 (84.         Sex household head       Male         Male       590 (82.         Female       125 (17.         Household size       Seven and above         Seven and above       358 (50.         Less than 7       357 (49.         Working status       Not working       104 (14.         Working       611 (85.         Marital status       Not married       64 (8.9.         Married       651 (91.         Education level       No education       525 (73.         Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.	1) 1079 (28. 7) 947 (24. 8) 982 (25. 2) 693 (18.	.3) <b>2.7 (1.</b> 8) <b>3.0 (1.</b> 9)	8 to 4.1)	1	
Northern       134 (18.         Eastern       77 (10.         Religion       109 (15.         Islam       606 (84.         Sex household head       Male       590 (82.         Female       125 (17.         Household size       Seven and above       358 (50.         Less than 7       357 (49.         Working status       Not working       104 (14.         Working       611 (85.         Marital status       Not married       64 (8.9.         Married       651 (91.         Education level       No education       525 (73.         Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.	7) 947 (24. 8) 982 (25. 2) 693 (18.	.8) <b>3.0 (1.</b>	8 to 4.1)		
Eastern       77 (10.0)         Religion       109 (15.1)         Christianity and others       109 (15.1)         Islam       606 (84.1)         Sex household head       Male         Male       590 (82.2)         Female       125 (17.2)         Household size       358 (50.2)         Less than 7       357 (49.2)         Working status       104 (14.2)         Not working       611 (85.2)         Marital status       64 (8.9.2)         Married       651 (91.2)         Education level       No education       525 (73.2)         Primary       108 (15.2)         Postprimary       82 (11.2)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.2)         Poorer       244 (34.2)         Middle       173 (24.2)         Rich       33 (4.6)	8) 982 (25 2) 693 (18		/	3.1 (2.1 to	4.7)
Religion       109 (15.15)         Islam       606 (84.15)         Sex household head       590 (82.15)         Male       590 (82.15)         Female       125 (17.25)         Household size       358 (50.25)         Seven and above       358 (50.25)         Less than 7       357 (49.25)         Working status       104 (14.25)         Working       611 (85.25)         Marital status       Not married       64 (8.92)         Married       651 (91.25)         Education level       No education       525 (73.25)         Primary       108 (15.25)         Postprimary       82 (11.25)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.25)         Poorer       244 (34.25)         Middle       173 (24.25)         Rich       33 (4.65)	2) 693 (18		9 to 4.6)	2.9 (1.9 to	4.4)
Christianity and others       109 (15.1)         Islam       606 (84.1)         Sex household head       590 (82.1)         Male       590 (82.2)         Female       125 (17.2)         Household size       358 (50.2)         Seven and above       358 (50.2)         Less than 7       357 (49.2)         Working status       Not working       104 (14.2)         Working       611 (85.2)         Marital status       Not married       64 (8.9)         Married       651 (91.2)         Education level       No education       525 (73.2)         Primary       108 (15.2)         Postprimary       82 (11.2)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.2)         Poorer       244 (34.2)         Middle       173 (24.2)         Rich       33 (4.6)	, ,	.7) <b>5.4 (3.</b>	0 to 9.8)	5.7 (3.1 to	10.7)
Islam       606 (84.8)         Sex household head       Male       590 (82.7)         Female       125 (17.7)         Household size       358 (50.7)         Seven and above       358 (50.7)         Less than 7       357 (49.7)         Working status       104 (14.7)         Working       611 (85.7)         Marital status       Not married       64 (8.9)         Married       651 (91.7)         Education level       No education       525 (73.7)         Primary       108 (15.7)         Postprimary       82 (11.7)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.7)         Poorer       244 (34.7)         Middle       173 (24.7)         Rich       33 (4.6)	, ,		0.1	199	
Sex household head         Male       590 (82)         Female       125 (17)         Household size       358 (50)         Seven and above       358 (50)         Less than 7       357 (49)         Working status       104 (14)         Working       611 (85)         Marital status       Not married       64 (8.9)         Married       651 (91)         Education level       No education       525 (73)         Primary       108 (15)         Postprimary       82 (11)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37)         Poorer       244 (34)         Middle       173 (24)         Rich       33 (4.6)	8) 3123 (21	.2) 1		1	
Male       590 (82)         Female       125 (17)         Household size       358 (50)         Less than 7       357 (49)         Working status       104 (14)         Working       611 (85)         Marital status       Not married       64 (8.9)         Married       651 (91)         Education level       No education       525 (73)         Primary       108 (15)         Postprimary       82 (11)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37)         Poorer       244 (34)         Middle       173 (24)         Rich       33 (4.6)	0) 0123 (01.	.8) 0.8 (0.0	6 to 1.1)	1.4 (0.9 to	1.9)
Female       125 (17.4)         Household size       358 (50.4)         Seven and above       358 (50.4)         Less than 7       357 (49.4)         Working status       104 (14.4)         Working       611 (85.4)         Marital status       64 (8.9)         Married       651 (91.4)         Education level       525 (73.4)         Primary       108 (15.4)         Postprimary       82 (11.4)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.4)         Poorer       244 (34.4)         Middle       173 (24.6)         Rich       33 (4.6)			0.2	269	
Seven and above   358 (50)	5) 3072 (80	.5) 1			
Seven and above       358 (50.0)         Less than 7       357 (49.0)         Working status       104 (14.0)         Not working       611 (85.0)         Marital status       64 (8.9.0)         Married       651 (91.0)         Education level       525 (73.0)         No education       525 (73.0)         Primary       108 (15.0)         Postprimary       82 (11.0)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.0)         Poorer       244 (34.0)         Middle       173 (24.0)         Rich       33 (4.6)	5) 744 (19	.5) 1.2 (0.5	9 to 1.5)		
Less than 7 357 (49.25) Working status Not working 104 (14.25) Working 611 (85.25) Marital status Not married 64 (8.95) Married 651 (91.25) Education level No education 525 (73.25) Primary 108 (15.25) Postprimary 82 (11.25) Wealth Index Poorest 265 (37.25) Poorer 244 (34.25) Middle 173 (24.25)			0.0	065	
Working status           Not working         104 (14.           Working         611 (85.           Marital status         64 (8.9.           Married         651 (91.           Education level         525 (73.           Primary         108 (15.           Postprimary         82 (11.           Wealth Index         Poorest         265 (37.           Poorer         244 (34.           Middle         173 (24.           Rich         33 (4.6.	1) 1725 (45	.2) 1		1	
Not working       104 (14.         Working       611 (85.         Marital status       64 (8.9         Married       651 (91.         Education level       525 (73.         Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.	9) 2091 (54	.8) 1.2 (1.0	0 to 1.5)	1.1 (0.9 to	1.4)
Working       611 (85.0)         Marital status       64 (8.9)         Not married       65 (91.0)         Education level       525 (73.0)         No education       525 (73.0)         Primary       108 (15.0)         Postprimary       82 (11.0)         Wealth Index       Poorest       265 (37.0)         Poorer       244 (34.0)         Middle       173 (24.0)         Rich       33 (4.6)			0.7	745	
Marital status         Not married       64 (8.9         Married       651 (91.0         Education level       525 (73.0         No education       525 (73.0         Primary       108 (15.0         Postprimary       82 (11.0         Wealth Index       265 (37.0         Poorest       265 (37.0         Poorer       244 (34.0         Middle       173 (24.0         Rich       33 (4.6	5) 581 (15.	.2) 1			
Not married       64 (8.9         Married       651 (91.0         Education level       525 (73.0         No education       525 (73.0         Primary       108 (15.0         Postprimary       82 (11.0         Wealth Index       265 (37.0         Poorest       265 (37.0         Poorer       244 (34.0         Middle       173 (24.0         Rich       33 (4.6	5) 3235 (84	.8) 1.0 (0.	7 to 1.3)		
Married       651 (91.         Education level       No education         No education       525 (73.         Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index         Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.			<0.0	001	
Education level         No education       525 (73.         Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index         Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.	542 (14.	.2) 1		1	
No education       525 (73.         Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index         Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.	1) 3274 (85.	.8) <b>0.6 (0.</b>	4 to 0.8)	0.8 (0.6 to	1.1)
Primary       108 (15.         Postprimary       82 (11.         Wealth Index         Poorest       265 (37.         Poorer       244 (34.         Middle       173 (24.         Rich       33 (4.6.			<0.0	001	
Postprimary       82 (11.00)         Wealth Index       265 (37.00)         Poorest       265 (37.00)         Poorer       244 (34.00)         Middle       173 (24.00)         Rich       33 (4.60)	4) 2340 (61.	.3) 1		1	
Wealth Index         Poorest       265 (37)         Poorer       244 (34)         Middle       173 (24)         Rich       33 (4.6)	1) 621 (16.	.3) 1.3 (1.6	0 to 1.7)	1.1 (0.8 to	1.4)
Poorest         265 (37)           Poorer         244 (34)           Middle         173 (24)           Rich         33 (4.6)	5) 855 (22	.4) <b>2.3 (1.</b>	7 to 3.2)	1.8 (1.3 to	2.5)
Poorer         244 (34.           Middle         173 (24.           Rich         33 (4.6.			0.2	282	
Middle 173 (24) Rich 33 (4.6)	1) 1311 (34	.4) 1			
Rich 33 (4.6	1) 1222 (32	.0) 1.0 (0.8	8 to 1.3)		
,	2) 1018 (26.	.7) 1.2 (0.5	9 to 1.6)		
Parity	) 265 (6.9	1.6 (1.6	0 to 2.7)		
			0.0	018	
5 and above 175 (24.	4) 823 (21	.6) 1		1	
2–4 409 (57.	3) 2112 (55.	.3) 1.1 (0.5	9 to 1.3)	0.9 (0.7 to	1.1)
1 131 (18.	3) 881 (23.	.1) <b>1.4 (1.</b>	1 to 1.9)	1.0 (0.7 to	1.3)
Exposure to media			0.0	001	
No 514 (71.		.3) 1		1	
Yes 201 (28.	9) 2378 (62	.7) <b>1.6 (1.</b>	2 to 2.0)	1.5 (1.1 to	1.9)

Table 3 Continued					
Characteristics	Not by SBA n (%)	Delivered by SBA n (%)	Crude model cOR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted model aOR (95% CI)
Big problem	224 (31.3)	1204 (31.6)	1		
Not big problem	491 (68.7)	2612 (68.4)	1.0 (0.8 to 1.3)		
Distance to health facility				<0.001	
Big problem	539 (75.4)	2193 (57.5)	1		1
Not big problem	176 (24.6)	1623 (42.5)	2.3 (1.7 to 3.1)		2.3 (1.7 to 3.0)
ANC timing*				0.001	
First trimester	260 (37.4)	1788 (47.0)	1		1
After first trimester	436 (62.6)	2015 (53.0)	<b>0.7 (0.5 to 0.9</b> )		<b>0.8 (0.6 to 0.9</b> )
ANC attendance				0.615	
Eight contacts and above	163 (22.8)	825 (21.6)	1		
Less than 8	552 (77.2)	2991 (78.4)	1.1 (0.8 to 1.4)		

Bold: significant at p<0.05.

\*missing 32 (0.7%) respondents in rural and 81 (2.9%) in urban areas aOR, adjusted OR; cOR, crude OR; SBA, skilled birth attendance.

be attributed to the changes in health-seeking behaviour and transformation of the health systems witnessed after the Ebola epidemic. <sup>26</sup> The introduction of free maternal healthcare services in 2010 could also partly have contributed to the observed increase in SBA utilisation. 28 29 SBA was higher in urban areas at 94.9% (95% CI 94.1% to 95.7%) compared with 84.2% (95% CI 83.8% to 85.9%) in rural areas. Higher SBA utilisation among urban women has also been shown by Ameyaw and Dickson<sup>6</sup> and this could be partly explained by factors such as the huge negative effects of the conflict on the rural healthcare system, high concentration of health centres and hospitals and healthcare workers in urban areas enabling easier access to maternal healthcare services. 6 30 31 Higher SBA utilisation among urban women compared with rural women has been shown in several other studies.<sup>32–34</sup> The mismatch between high coverage of SBA and the persistently high numbers of maternal and perinatal deaths is not only unique to Sierra Leone. This may be partly attributed to delayed seeking of childbirth care and inadequate quality of care provided by skilled birth attendants. 35-37 Available evidence from similar low resource settings in sub-Saharan points towards poor quality of services offered.<sup>29 38</sup> The inadequate quality of care may be attributed to factors such as; poor remuneration which demotivates health workers, increased workload on health workers, lack of essential drugs and low quality pre-service and refresher training. 36 37 In Sierra Leone, preservice training for SBAs produces three cadres of nursing staff, namely; maternal and child health assistants who train for 2 years, state enrolled community health nurses spend two and half years in training, and state registered nurses whose training lasts 3 years. These cadres then have the option to undertake further midwifery training that lasts between 18 and 24 months depending on the nursing qualification and experience. <sup>39 40</sup> However, the quality of

training is affected by factors such as; poor student attendance, delayed and low tutor allowances and poor schools' infrastructure especially for rural training schools. <sup>30 40</sup>

Region of residence, exposure to mass media, and distance to the nearest health facility had higher likelihood of SBA uptake in both rural and urban areas. Household size was only significantly associated with SBA in urban areas while being visited by a fieldworker, level of education and timing of initiation ANC were only significant in rural areas. Being a resident of the South, the Eastern and Northern regions was associated with more odds of SBA utilisation among rural areas compared with those in the Western and North-western regions which was a similar finding for urban women in the Eastern and Southern regions. This is an unexpected finding since the Western region has the highest concentration of skilled personnel and health facilities, the most developed and is the most economically vibrant region and therefore has better quality social amenities compared with other regions. 28 30 However, the Western areas have witnessed increasing numbers of urban poor who are experiencing high standards of living and inequitable distribution of social amenities hence negatively affecting their ability to access quality healthcare. 41 42 Furthermore, the documented staff challenges in urban areas such as poor delegation, favouritism and a lack of autonomy could partly affect quality of services in public health facilities which further limits utilisation of healthcare. 28 30 The government's efforts to ensure better service delivery in the less developed regions that are far away from the developed Western region could also have contributed to this observation. 12 Region has been documented to have an association with SBA in other studies. 48

Exposure to mass media was associated with more odds of SBA utilisation in both rural and urban areas. Mass media have been documented to improve health literacy



Characteristics	Not by SBA n (%)	Delivered by SBA n (%)	Crude model cOR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted model aOR (95% CI)
Age				0.825	
35–49	28 (19.7)	549 (20.7)	1		
20–34	101 (71.1)	1894 (71.4)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.6)		
15–19	13 (9.2)	210 (7.9)	0.8 (0.4 to 1.7)		
Visited by fieldworker	( )	( )		0.625	
No	102 (71.8)	1831 (69.0)	1		
Yes	40 (28.2)	822 (31.0)	1.1 (0.7 to 1.9)		
Region	. (= 0.=)	022 (0110)	(611 16 116)	<0.001	
West and Northwestern	116 (81.7)	1597 (60.1)	1	10.001	1
Southern	4 (2.8)	244 (9.2)	4.3 (1.6 to 11.4)		5.1 (2.0 to 13.3)
Northern	16 (11.3)	336 (12.7)	1.6 (0.7 to 3.3)		2.0 (0.9 to 4.5)
Eastern	, ,	477 (18.0)	6.1 (2.7 to 13.6)		11.7 (4.6 to 30.2)
Religion	6 (4.2)	411 (10.0)	U. 1 (2.7 to 13.0)	0.094	11.7 (4.0 10 30.2)
	27 (10.0)	720 (07.6)	1	0.094	1
Christianity and others Islam	27 (19.0)	732 (27.6) 1921 (72.4)	1 0.6 (0.3 to 1.1)		0.9 (0.5 to 1.7)_
Sex household head	115 (81.0)	1921 (12.4)	0.0 (0.3 to 1.1)	0.522	0.9 (0.5 to 1.7)_
	00 (00 4)	1707 (00.0)	4	0.522	
Male	90 (63.4)	1767 (66.6)	1		
Female	52 (36.6)	886 (33.4)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)	0.000	
Household size	70 (55.0)	4.457 (40.0)		0.036	
Seven and above	79 (55.6)	1157 (43.6)	1		1
Less than 7	63 (44.4)	1496 (56.4)	1.6 (1.1 to 2.6)		1.5 (1.1 to 2.3)
Working status				0.080	
Not working	40 (28.2)	958 (36.1)	1		1
Working	102 (71.8)	1695 (63.9)	0.7 (0.5 to 1.0)		0.8 (0.5 to 1.3)
Marital status				0.885	
Not married	38 (26.8)	686 (25.8)	1		
Married	104 (73.2)	1967 (74.2)	1.0 (0.7 to 1.6)		
Education level				0.020	
No education	72 (50.7)	920 (34.7)	1		1
Primary	12 (8.5)	292 (11.0)	1.9 (1.0 to 3.8)		1.7 (0.8 to 3.6)
Postprimary	58 (40.8)	1441 (54.3)	1.9 (1.2 to 3.2)		1.4 (0.8 to 2.5)
Wealth Index				0.200	
Poor	7 (4.3)	90 (3.4)	1		1
Middle	19 (13.5)	277 (10.4)	1.0 (0.3 to 3.3)		1.2 (0.4 to 3.5)
Richer	73 (51.8)	1110 (41.9)	1.1 (0.4 to 3.0)		1.5 (0.6 to 3.4)
Richest	43 (30.5)	1176 (44.3)	2.0 (0.7 to 5.7)		2.5 (1.0 to 6.5)
Parity				0.106	
Five and above	25 (17.6)	299 (11.3)	1		1
2–4	79 (55.6)	1414 (53.3)	1.5 (0.8 to 2.9)		1.0 (0.5 to 1.2)
1	38 (26.8)	940 (35.4)	2.1 (1.1 to 4.3)		1.3 (0.6 to 2.7)
Exposure to media				<0.001	
No	68 (47.9)	779 (29.4)	1		1
Yes	74 (52.1)	1874 (70.6)	2.2 (1.4 to 3.4)		1.8 (1.1 to 2.9)
Permission to access healthcare				0.398	

Continued

Table 4 Continued					
Characteristics	Not by SBA n (%)	Delivered by SBA n (%)	Crude model cOR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted model aOR (95% CI)
Big problem	16 (11.3)	383 (14.4)	1		
Not big problem	126 (88.7)	2270 (85.6)	0.8 (0.4 to 1.4)		
Distance to health facility				0.104	
Big problem	47 (32.6)	676 (25.5)	1		1
Not big problem	95 (67.4)	1977 (74.5)	1.4 (0.9 to 2.2)		1.6 (1.1 to 2.5)
ANC timing*				0.041	
First trimester	46 (33.3)	1120 (43.5)	1		1
After first trimester	92 (66.7)	1457 (56.5)	0.7 (0.4 to 1.0)		0.8 (0.5 to 1.2)
ANC attendance				0.060	
Eight contacts and above	21 (14.8)	601 (22.7)	1		1
Less than 8	121 (85.2)	2052 (77.3)	0.6 (0.4 to 1.0)		0.6 (0.4 to 1.1)

Bold: significant at p<0.05.

.ANC, antenatal care; aOR, adjusted OR; cOR, crude OR; SLDHS, Sierra Leone Demographic and Health Survey.

by sensitising communities on the positive outcomes of timely healthcare seeking and utilisation hence leading to positive attitudes, challenging negative social norms and improving health seeking behaviour. Health seeking behaviour. Furthermore, women who are exposed to mass media are more likely to be educated, have discussions with their peers which interpersonal interactions contribute greatly in challenging negative norms that might affect health seeking and hence lead to positive health seeking behavioural change. Hence, enhancing mass media exposure can be used to provide targeted maternal health messaging that can lead to increase in the utilisation of SBA. Exposure to media has been shown in previous studies done in similar contexts to have a positive association with SBA. 649 50

Rural and urban women who reported that distance to health facilities was not a major challenge had higher odds of SBA utilisation. Our study observed that the mothers in rural areas and urban areas who had no big problem with distance to a health facility had 2.25 and 1.62 higher odds, respectively, of being attended to by a skilled birth attendant compared with their counterparts who had challenges of distance to the nearest health facility. The strong association between distance to health facility and SBA utilisation among the rural mothers compared with urban can be partly explained by the fact that rural areas of Sierra Leone have poor road networks compared with urban areas with most roads being only accessible by offroad vehicles or motorbikes. This is further compounded by the lack of access to affordable transport and health facilities that far apart from each other, which contributes to delays faced by women in rural areas. 35 51 Distance to health facilities has been shown to impede access to maternal child health services including SBA in several other studies. 9 52 53

Unlike in urban areas, being visited by a field health worker, such as a community health worker (CHW) among rural women was significantly associated with SBA utilisation. The high demand of CHWs in rural areas due to limited accessibility of healthcare because of shortage of health facilities and large distances needed to be covered by rural women<sup>30 51</sup> compared with easier access of health facilities in urban areas could partly explain the observed difference in association. The increased SBA utilisation among rural women who were visited by field health workers could be partly explained by the fact these field health workers equip mothers with knowledge on the dangers of using unskilled birth attendants and complications of pregnancies in addition to encouraging them to seek care within health facilities.<sup>54</sup> Being visited by field health workers has been shown to be associated with SBA in several other studies.<sup>55</sup> 56

Level of education was significantly associated with SBA in rural areas but not urban areas. Women with post-primary education had more odds of SBA utilisation compared with women with no education. Educated women are believed to easily understand counselling given from healthcare workers, more health literate hence informed on obstetric danger signs, which enables them to seek early maternal healthcare. 48 Educated women have also been shown to develop greater confidence, be more conscious of their health and better abilities to make wise decisions about their own health, hence better SBA utilisation.<sup>6 9</sup> Furthermore, higher levels of education have an influence on women's positive interpretation of mass media messages leading to positive healthcare seeking behaviour change.<sup>48</sup> In predominantly patriarchal African societies and mainly in rural areas,<sup>57</sup> men are the main providers with the highest decision making powers.<sup>58</sup> Women in rural areas are usually

<sup>\*</sup> missing 32 (0.7%) respondents in rural and 81 (2.9%) in urban areas.



less empowered due to the more conservative societies in rural areas hence factors such as education that might increase women's status and decision making are more likely to have an impact on healthcare seeking. 59-62 This might partly explain the significance of education in rural areas and the non-significance in urban areas. Our findings indicate the need for government to strengthen access to quality girl child education among rural areas to at least secondary school level. Level of education has been shown to be associated with SBA utilisation among several other studies. 48 63 Delayed initiation of ANC among rural women was associated with less odds of SBA utilisation. ANC utilisation has been shown to be associated with several other studies. 48 52 64 Delayed initiation could partly reflect poor health seeking behaviour which is further observed by reduced odds of SBA utilisation. However, there is need for further studies to explore the association of ANC utilisation and SBA given the fact that ANC frequency was not significantly associated with SBA but timing of ANC initiation was.

Besides the three factors that were significant in both rural and urban areas, household size was the only factor that showed significance in urban areas. Women who belonged to households with less than seven members had more odds of SBA utilisation compared with their counterparts. This is in agreement with a study done in Nigeria and India. 65 66 Although wealth index was marginally significant in urban areas, women belonging to the richest wealth quintile had 2.5 odds of SBA utilisation compared with their counterparts in the poorest households. We hypothesise that families with smaller sizes tend to have less expenditure which enables savings that can be used for the direct and indirect costs involved in accessing healthcare.<sup>66</sup> Furthermore, smaller sizes could be attributed to better maternal healthcare seeking such as modern contraceptives utilisation which is further translated into SBA utilisation.<sup>66</sup> Lastly, having smaller family size might lead to less time spent by women while doing household chores and providing care to other family members and increase their time to seek healthcare.<sup>67</sup> However, given the dearth of information regarding household size and SBA utilisation, we recommend further studies to explore this.

# **Strengths and limitations**

The study used a nationally representative sample for the analysis and thus the results can be generalised to all Sierra Leone women. Since the data was extracted from DHS surveys, we are confident that standardised procedures such as validated questionnaires were used in data collection to ensure the validity of the results. This being a cross-sectional study, this creates a limitation in establishing casual relationships from the established associations. In addition, since most of the data was for women who had childbirths within 5 years preceding the survey, we anticipate recall bias in the process of collecting this data among the respondents.

# **CONCLUSION AND PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS**

In Sierra Leon, SBA utilisation has greatly improved in the last decade. Utilisation is higher in the urban compared with the rural areas. Region of residence, exposure to mass media, and distance to the nearest health facility had a significant association with SBA uptake in both rural and urban areas. Household size was only significantly associated with SBA in urban areas while being visited by a fieldworker, level of education and timing of initiation ANC were only significant in rural areas. Hence ensuring context specific policies and strategies is crucial to ensure effective SBA utilisation. Generally, maternal stakeholders need to focus on Western region, use of mass media for awareness and sensitisation and ensuring increased availability of affordable and accessible health facilities in both rural and urban areas. In addition, urbanspecific programmes need to focus on women residing in larger households and rural specific programmes need to focus on use of field health workers, women educated to primary level and below and ensuring timely initiation of ANC services. Further research is need to explore reasons why maternal mortality is high despite the high SBA focusing on areas such as quality of care provided.

**Acknowledgements** Special thanks to the DHS programme for availing us with the dataset.

**Contributors** QS conceived the idea, drafted the manuscript, performed analysis and interpreted the results. IM, KK and MWM reviewed and interpreted the results, reviewed the first draft and drafted the subsequent versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. QS is responsible for the overall content as the guarantor.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by High international ethical standards are ensured during MEASURE DHS surveys and the 2019 SLDHS protocol was reviewed and approved by the Sierra Leone Ethics and Scientific Review Committee and the ICF Institutional Review Board. Besides, the local authorities before implementing the survey and well-informed verbal consent are sought from the respondents prior to data collection. This data set was obtained from the MEASURE DHS website (URL: https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm) after getting their permission, and no formal ethical clearance was obtained since we conducted a secondary analysis of publicly available data. Note: The SLDHS report does not provide the IRB approval number Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available. All data are available from the Demographic and Health Surveys website (URL: https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm) upon registration.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.



**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Quraish Sserwanja http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0576-4627 Milton W Musaba http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4145-4044

# **REFERENCES**

- 1 United Nations. The sustainable development goals report, 2021. Available: https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2021/#sdg-goals
- 2 Islam S, Perkins J, Siddique MAB, et al. Birth preparedness and complication readiness among women and couples and its association with skilled birth attendance in rural Bangladesh. PLoS One 2018:13:e0197693.
- 3 Sserwanja Q, Nuwabaine L, Kamara K, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with utilisation of postnatal care in Sierra Leone: a 2019 national survey. BMC Public Health 2022;22:102.
- 4 WHO. Skilled birth attendants, 2019. Available: https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/topics/mdgs/skilled\_birth\_attendant/en/
- 5 Sserwanja Q, Mukunya D, Musaba MW, et al. Factors associated with health facility utilization during childbirth among 15 to 49-yearold women in Uganda: evidence from the Uganda demographic health survey 2016. BMC Health Serv Res 2021;21:1160.
- 6 Ameyaw EK, Dickson KS. Skilled birth attendance in Sierra Leone, niger, and Mali: analysis of demographic and health surveys. BMC Public Health 2020;20:164.
- 7 United Nations. Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development, 2015. Available: sustainabledevelopment. un.org
- 8 Sserwanja Q, Musaba MW, Mutisya LM, et al. Continuum of maternity care in Zambia: a national representative survey. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2021;21:604.
- 9 Ayele GS, Melku AT, Belda SS. Utilization of skilled birth attendant at birth and associated factors among women who gave birth in the last 24 months preceding the survey in Gura Dhamole Woreda, Bale zone, southeast Ethiopia. BMC Public Health 2019;19:1501.
- 10 Sserwanja Q, Mukunya D, Nabachenje P, et al. Continuum of care for maternal health in Uganda: a national cross-sectional study. PLoS One 2022;17:e0264190.
- 11 Statistics Sierra Leone StatsSL, ICF. Sierra Leone demographic and health survey 2019. Freetown/Sierra Leone: StatsSL/ICF, 2020.
- 12 Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation. Sierra Leone national reproductive, maternal, newborn, child and adolescent health strategy 2017-2021. Available: https://www.afro.who.int/publications/ sierra-leone-national-reproductive-maternal-newborn-child-andadolescent-health
- 13 Willott C, Boyd N, Wurie H, et al. Staff recognition and its importance for surgical service delivery: a qualitative study in Freetown, Sierra Leone. Health Policy Plan 2021;36:93–100.
- 14 UNFPA, Sierra Leone Country Office. Free health care initiative: UNFPA support in Sierra Leone, 2013. Available: https://sierraleone. unfpa.org/sites/default/files/pubpdf/UNFPA\_support\_Free\_Health\_ Care\_Initiative.pdf
- 15 Trends in maternal mortality. 1990 to 2015: estimates by who, UNICEF, UNFPA, world bank group and the United nations population division. ISBN 978 92 4 1565141. Available: https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/23550/report.pdf;sequence=1
- 16 Jalloh MB, Bah AJ, James PB, et al. Impact of the free healthcare initiative on wealth-related inequity in the utilization of maternal & child health services in Sierra Leone. BMC Health Serv Res 2019;19:352.
- 17 Vallières F, Cassidy EL, McAuliffe E, et al. Can Sierra Leone maintain the equitable delivery of their free health care initiative? the case for more contextualised interventions: results of a cross-sectional survey. BMC Health Serv Res 2016;16:258.
- 18 Sevalie S, Youkee D, van Duinen AJ, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on hospital utilisation in Sierra Leone. BMJ Glob Health 2021;6:e005988.
- 19 DHS. The DHS program. Available: https://www.dhsprogram.com/20 Tessema ZT, Tesema GA. Pooled prevalence and determinants
- 20 Tessema ZT, Tesema GA. Pooled prevalence and determinants of skilled birth attendant delivery in East Africa countries: a multilevel analysis of demographic and health surveys. *Ital J Pediatr* 2020;46:177.

- 21 Agbadi P, Eunice TT, Akosua AF, et al. Complex samples logistic regression analysis of predictors of the current use of modern contraceptive among married or in-union women in Sierra Leone: insight from the 2013 demographic and health survey. PLoS One 2020:15:e0231630.
- 22 Zou D, Lloyd JEV, Baumbusch JL. Using SPSS to analyze complex survey data: a primer. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods* 2019:18:eP3253.
- 23 Sserwanja Q, Nabbuye R, Kawuki J. Dimensions of women empowerment on access to antenatal care in Uganda: a further analysis of the Uganda demographic health survey 2016. *Int J Health Plann Manage* 2022. doi:10.1002/hpm.3439. [Epub ahead of print: 17 Feb 2022].
- 24 Bursac Z, Gauss CH, Williams DK, et al. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. Source Code Biol Med 2008;3:17.
- 25 Statistics Sierra Leone SSL, ICF International. Sierra Leone demographic and health survey 2013. Freetown, Sierra Leone: SSL and ICF International, 2014.
- 26 Bedson J, Jalloh MF, Pedi D, et al. Community engagement in outbreak response: lessons from the 2014-2016 Ebola outbreak in Sierra Leone. BMJ Glob Health 2020;5:e002145.
- 27 Cancedda C, Davis SM, Dierberg KL, et al. Strengthening health systems while responding to a health crisis: lessons learned by a nongovernmental organization during the Ebola virus disease epidemic in Sierra Leone. J Infect Dis 2016;214:S153–63.
- Witter S, Wurie H, Bertone MP. The free health care initiative: how has it affected health workers in Sierra Leone? *Health Policy Plan* 2016;31:1–9.
- 29 Koroma MM, Kamara SS, Bangura EA, et al. The quality of free antenatal and delivery services in northern Sierra Leone. Health Res Policy Syst 2017;15:49.
- 30 Wurie HR, Samai M, Witter S. Retention of health workers in rural Sierra Leone: findings from life histories. *Hum Resour Health* 2016;14:3.
- 31 Kingham TP, Kamara TB, Cherian MN, et al. Quantifying surgical capacity in Sierra Leone: a guide for improving surgical care. Arch Surg 2009;144:122–7.
- 32 Joseph G, da Silva ICM, Barros AJD, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in access to skilled birth attendance among urban and rural women in low-income and middle-income countries. BMJ Glob Health 2018;3:e000898.
- 33 Say L, Raine R. A systematic review of inequalities in the use of maternal health care in developing countries: examining the scale of the problem and the importance of context. *Bull World Health Organ* 2007;85:812–9.
- 34 Afulani PA, Moyer C. Explaining disparities in use of skilled birth attendants in developing countries: a conceptual framework. PLoS One 2016:11:e0154110.
- 35 Elston JWT, Danis K, Gray N, et al. Maternal health after Ebola: unmet needs and barriers to healthcare in rural Sierra Leone. Health Policy Plan 2020;35:78–90.
- 36 Ansu-Mensah M, Danquah FI, Bawontuo V, et al. Maternal perceptions of the quality of care in the free maternal care policy in sub-Sahara Africa: a systematic scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res 2020;20:911.
- 37 Ansu-Mensah M, Danquah FI, Bawontuo V, et al. Quality of care in the free maternal healthcare era in sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review of providers' and managers' perceptions. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2021;21:220.
- 38 Musaba MW, Ndeezi G, Barageine JK, et al. Incidence and determinants of perinatal mortality among women with obstructed labour in eastern Uganda: a prospective cohort study. Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol 2021;7:13.
- 39 UNFPA Sierra Leone. National nursing and midwifery strategic plan 2019- 2023. Available: https://sierraleone.unfpa.org/en/publications/ national-nursing-and-midwifery-strategic-plan-2019-2023
- 40 Jones SA, Sam B, Bull F, et al. Strengthening pre-service training for skilled birth attendance - An evaluation of the maternal and child health aide training programme in Sierra Leone. Nurse Educ Today 2016:41:24–9
- 41 Austin V, Holloway C, Ossul Vermehren I, et al. "Give Us the Chance to Be Part of You, We Want Our Voices to Be Heard": Assistive Technology as a Mediator of Participation in (Formal and Informal) Citizenship Activities for Persons with Disabilities Who Are Slum Dwellers in Freetown, Sierra Leone. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021:18:5547.
- 42 Osuteye E, Koroma B, Macarthy JM, et al. Fighting COVID-19 in Freetown, Sierra Leone: the critical role of community organisations in a growing pandemic. *Open Health* 2020;1:51–63.
- 43 Yaya S, Bishwajit G, Gunawardena N. Socioeconomic factors associated with choice of delivery place among mothers: a



- population-based cross-sectional study in Guinea-Bissau. *BMJ Glob Health* 2019:4:e001341.
- 44 Khatiwada J, Muzembo BA, Wada K, et al. Dimensions of women's empowerment on access to skilled delivery services in Nepal. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2020;20:622.
- 45 Pulok MH, Sabah MN-U, Uddin J, et al. Progress in the utilization of antenatal and delivery care services in Bangladesh: where does the equity gap lie? *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016;16:200.
- 46 Asp G, Odberg Pettersson K, Sandberg J, et al. Associations between mass media exposure and birth preparedness among women in southwestern Uganda: a community-based survey. Glob Health Action 2014;7:22904.
- 47 Bwalya BB, Mulenga MC, Mulenga JN. Factors associated with postnatal care for newborns in Zambia: analysis of the 2013-14 Zambia demographic and health survey. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2017:17:418.
- 48 Zegeye B, Ahinkorah BO, Idriss-Wheelr D, et al. Predictors of institutional delivery service utilization among women of reproductive age in Senegal: a population-based study. Arch Public Health 2021:79:5
- 49 Yaya S, Zegeye B, Ahinkorah BO, et al. Predictors of skilled birth attendance among married women in Cameroon: further analysis of 2018 Cameroon demographic and health survey. Reprod Health 2021:18:70
- 50 Ahinkorah BO, Seidu A-A, Agbaglo E, et al. Determinants of antenatal care and skilled birth attendance services utilization among childbearing women in guinea: evidence from the 2018 guinea demographic and health survey data. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2021:21:2.
- 51 Treacy L, Bolkan HA, Sagbakken M. Distance, accessibility and costs. decision-making during childbirth in rural Sierra Leone: a qualitative study. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0188280.
- 52 Dickson KS, Adde KS, Ameyaw EK. Women empowerment and skilled birth attendance in sub-Saharan Africa: a multi-country analysis. *PLoS One* 2021;16:e0254281.
- 53 Gitimu A, Herr C, Oruko H, et al. Determinants of use of skilled birth attendant at delivery in Makueni, Kenya: a cross sectional study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2015;15:9.
- 54 McMahon SA, Ho LS, Scott K, et al. "We and the nurses are now working with one voice": How community leaders and health committee members describe their role in Sierra Leone's Ebola response. BMC Health Serv Res 2017;17:495.
- 55 Edward A, Krishnan A, Ettyang G, et al. Can people-centered community-oriented interventions improve skilled birth attendance?

- Evidence from a quasi-experimental study in rural communities of Cambodia, Kenya, and Zambia. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2020;20:514.
- Olaniran A, Madaj B, Bar-Zev S, et al. The roles of community health workers who provide maternal and newborn health services: case studies from Africa and Asia. BMJ Glob Health 2019;4:e001388.
- 57 Ameyaw EK, Yaya S, Seidu A-A, et al. Do educated women in Sierra Leone support discontinuation of female genital mutilation/cutting? Evidence from the 2013 demographic and health survey. Reprod Health 2020;17:174.
- 58 Obayelu OA, Chime AC. Dimensions and drivers of women's empowerment in rural Nigeria. *Int J Soc Econ* 2020;47:315–33.
- 59 Riaz S, Pervaiz Z. The impact of women's education and employment on their empowerment: an empirical evidence from household level survey. *Qual Quant* 2018;52:2855–70.
- 60 Sathar ZA, Kazi S. Women's Autonomy in the Context of Rural Pakistan. Pak Dev Rev 2008;39:89–110.
- 61 Muluneh MD, Francis L, Ayele M, et al. The effect of women's Empowerment in the utilisation of family planning in Western Ethiopia: a structural equation modelling approach. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18:6550.
- 62 Wei W, Sarker T, Żukiewicz-Sobczak W, et al. The influence of women's Empowerment on poverty reduction in the rural areas of Bangladesh: focus on health, education and living standard. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18:6909.
- 63 Kifle MM, Kesete HF, Gaim HT, et al. Health facility or home delivery? factors influencing the choice of delivery place among mothers living in rural communities of Eritrea. J Health Popul Nutr 2018;37:22.
- 64 Jacobs C, Moshabela M, Maswenyeho S, et al. Predictors of antenatal care, skilled birth attendance, and postnatal care utilization among the remote and Poorest rural communities of Zambia: a multilevel analysis. Front Public Health 2017;5:11.
- 65 Babalola S, Fatusi A. Determinants of use of maternal health services in Nigeria--looking beyond individual and household factors. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2009;9:43.
- 66 Srivastava A, Mahmood S, Mishra P, et al. Correlates of maternal health care utilization in rohilkhand region, India. Ann Med Health Sci Res 2014;4:417–23.
- 67 Zhang L, Xue C, Wang Y, et al. Family characteristics and the use of maternal health services: a population-based survey in eastern China. Asia Pac Fam Med 2016;15:5.
- 68 Sserwanja Q, Musaba MW, Mukunya D. Prevalence and factors associated with modern contraceptives utilization among female adolescents in Uganda. *BMC Womens Health* 2021;21:61.