Effect of compression by elastic bandages on pain and function in individuals with knee osteoarthritis: protocol of a randomised controlled clinical trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Although compression is used to control pain in knee osteoarthritis (KOA), its clinical application is poorly supported, and there is a lack of scientific evidence to support its clinical use. As a low-cost and accessible protocol, compression using elastic bands could be a non-pharmacological intervention to reduce pain and improve physical function in individuals with KOA. This study aims to evaluate the effects of compression on pain and function in individuals with KOA.

Methods and analysis A randomised controlled clinical trial will be conducted. Individuals with KOA (n=90; both sexes; between 40 and 75 years old) will be allocated to three groups (n=30/group): compression (compression by the elastic bandage on the affected knee, once a day for 20 min, on four consecutive days); sham (same protocol, but the elastic band is placed around the affected knee without compression) and control (no intervention). The individuals in the three groups will be evaluated 1 day before the first intervention, 1 day after the last intervention, and at the 12th and 24th weeks after the end of the intervention. Pain intensity by the Visual Analog Scale and pain scale from Western Ontario & McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) will be the primary outcomes. The secondary variables will be physical function assessed by the WOMAC questionnaire and physical tests (step test; 30 s sit and stand test; 40 m accelerated walk test). The Global Rating of Change Scale (GRC) will also be applied to quantify the volunteers’ perceived change.

Ethics and dissemination The project was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil (3955692). The results will be published in peer-reviewed journals.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ The study will be conducted according to well-established reporting guidelines. The methodology was designed to minimise the potential for bias, including hidden information treatment allocation and blinding of the evaluator and biostatistician therapist.

⇒ This study will assess physical function using both objective and subjective tools. Thus, in addition to the test performance, the patient’s self-perception of improvement will be considered.

⇒ The therapist delivering the therapies and the patients cannot be blinded.

INTRODUCTION

Knee osteoarthritis (KOA), representing an average cost of US$15 000/year per user, is radiographically present in 30% of individuals over 45 years old and symptomatic in at least half of these cases. It is characterised as chronic and progressive and is one of the main causes of musculoskeletal pain and functional disability. Among the treatment options, a combination of pharmacological (paracetamol, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and topical agents), and non-pharmacological interventions (patient education; weight reduction, when necessary; physical exercise and physical therapy) is recommended.

Even though physical exercises constitute the basic treatment of KOA, pain management is important for initiating and adhering to treatment. Among the non-drug alternatives, the use of a knee brace is common in this population. Although compression is used to control pain in KOA, for example, the use of knee braces and associated with the application of physical agents, a review showed that its effect is moderate and low for pain relief and improvement of function, respectively, in individuals with KOA. Thus, despite compression being...
recommended and widely used, its clinical application is poorly supported because of the scarcity and heterogeneity of protocols, and there is still a lack of scientific evidence to support its clinical use.

The floodgate theory can explain the possible mechanism of action of compression in pain relief, according to which pain can be modulated by the stimulation of tactile receptors in the skin. In addition, aspects such as improved proprioception may be present and promote beneficial functional effects, such as better stability during movement. In this context, compression may be a low-cost and easy-to-apply alternative for pain control and functional improvement in people with KOA.

This study presents the design of a randomised study whose objective is to analyse the effect of compression on the pain and function of individuals with KOA. Our hypothesis is that compression will effectively reduce pain and improve function in these individuals.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients and public involvement**

The patients and the general public were not involved in the planning, designing, conducting, reporting or dissemination of this study.

**Study design and setting**

The study consists of a non-probabilistic, convenient and intentional single centre randomised controlled clinical trial. This study was designed and will be conducted according to the guidelines recommended by Template for Intervention Description and Replication, the OARSI recommendations for clinical trials with KOA patients and in accordance with the recommendations outlined in the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials. Randomised trials will be reported following the guidelines of the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials for non-pharmacological studies. Four evaluations will be performed: two immediately before and after the application of the therapy and two follow-up evaluations at 12 and 24 weeks. The evaluation and intervention periods are shown in figure 1. A ‘blind’ evaluator will carry out all evaluations without information about the identification of the group to which the volunteer belongs. The procedures will always be carried out simultaneously for each volunteer during the intervention and evaluation days so that the same time interval between interventions and evaluations is respected. All participants will be instructed not to start any other treatment during the study period. In addition, they will receive a diary describing the drugs that will be used (name, dosage, frequency and route of administration) during the study period.

**Participants**

Volunteers will be recruited from public announcements and waiting lists from local and regional physiotherapy, rehabilitation, orthopaedics and rheumatology outpatient clinics, as well as from an existing list of volunteers diagnosed with KOA available in our laboratory. In this project, 90 volunteers of both sexes, aged between 40 and 75 years, diagnosed with KOA according to the clinical and radiographic criteria of the American College of Rheumatology will participate. The subjects will undergo a radiographic examination of both knees, with profile, anteroposterior and axial views. Volunteer screening will be carried out by a physical therapist specialising in the subject and with experience in evaluating individuals with KOA.

Individuals who will be included in the study are required to present with signs of OA in at least one of the compartments of the knee joint (tibiofemoral and/or patellofemoral joint), grade 2 or 3, according to the Kellgren & Lawrence criteria in the radiographic examination of KOA, and a minimum score of 4 cm on the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS, total of 10 cm). In the case of bilateral KOA, only the knee with the highest level of symptoms will be randomised as long as it meets the inclusion criteria. In addition, individuals who present with at least one of the following criteria will be excluded: regular practice of moderate or intense physical activity for more than 45 min per week; have started physical activity or performing any physical therapy treatment in the previous 3 months; use of corticosteroid injection in the knee (6 months prior); previous knee or hip surgeries and/or any clinical restriction that makes it impossible for them to participate in the proposed evaluations or

![Figure 1](http://bmjopen.bmj.com/)

**Figure 1** Study design. Assessments: pain intensity (VAS); physical functionality questionnaire (WOMAC); change perception scale global (GRC) and tests in occupation physics (test of step, test in to sit and rise gives chair in 30 s, 40 brisk walk test metres), interventions: compression (elastic bandage with compression) and sham (elastic bandage without compression) groups. VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario & McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.
intervention (cardiorespiratory, neurological, musculoskeletal, vascular changes and/or the presence of skin lesions from the application of the bandage).

Interventions

The compression intervention protocol is based on a previously accepted methodology that was developed in our laboratory.\textsuperscript{17} To standardise the level of compression presented, a previous reliability study was performed \textit{n}=10 with the aid of a pressure gauge (Stabiliser - Chattanooga Group) positioned on the knee between the patient’s skin and the elastic bandage. The manometer was inflated to a value of 40 mm Hg, the value indicated by the manufacturer as the pressure at rest of the patient’s skin and the elastic bandage. The manometer was inflated to a value of 40 mm Hg, the value indicated by the manufacturer as the pressure at rest of the patient’s skin and the elastic bandage. The manometer was inflated to a value of 40 mm Hg, the value indicated by the manufacturer as the pressure at rest of the patient’s skin and the elastic bandage. The manometer was inflated to a value of 40 mm Hg, the value indicated by the manufacturer as the pressure at rest of the patient’s skin and the elastic bandage. The manometer was inflated to a value of 40 mm Hg, the value indicated by the manufacturer as the pressure at rest of the patient’s skin and the elastic bandage. The manometer was inflated to a value of 40 mm Hg, the value indicated by the manufacturer as the pressure at rest of the patient’s skin and the elastic bandage.

From the reliability study, it was possible to calculate the average number of turns to be performed in both the compression and sham groups so that the compression level was maintained within the stipulated range. In the compression group, all patients used a bandage, with a mean of 5.7 wraps (range, 5–7 wraps) and a maintained pressure level of 48 mm Hg (range, 46–52 mm Hg). In the sham group, all of them also used a bandage with an average of 4 wraps (range, 3–5 wraps), and the pressure level was maintained at 0 mm Hg.

Compression protocol

To apply compression, the volunteer must remain in the supine position on a stretcher with both lower limbs extended and relaxed. The intervention in the compression group will be performed with elastic bandages (Selecta 13 cm × 160 cm, composed of 45% cotton, 20% elastodiene and 27% polyamide) covering the entire surface of the knee, positioned considering anatomical aspects: covering the femoral condyles and anterior tuberosity of the tibia (figure 2). The bandage will wrap the knee from distal (tibial tuberosity) to proximal (femoral condyles), respecting the blood flow of venous return and without restricting blood flow. The level of compression was defined following recommendations in the literature on interventions for compression in lymphedema and venous disorders and should be maintained between 30 and 60 mm Hg.\textsuperscript{39–42} Variations within the stipulated minimum and maximum values may be changed according to the volunteers’ self-report, indicating a moderate, comfortable and pain-free level of compression. The occurrence of any sign of venous stasis (redness and/or oedema) may also indicate the need for a reduction in the level of compression or interruption of the procedure. The intervention will be performed for 20 min, once a day, for four consecutive days (figure 1).\textsuperscript{17 44–46}

Sham protocol

The sham group will receive the same procedure performed in the compression group; however, the bandage will not be tensioned, that is, it will only gently wrap the knee joint with KOA.

Control protocol

The control group will be composed of individuals with KOA who will not receive any type of intervention\textsuperscript{17} and will undergo the evaluations at the same time intervals as the other groups. In addition, they will be instructed not to start another treatment during their participation in the project.

Outcome measurements

Assessments will be performed by a physical therapist who will be blinded to the allocation of each volunteer. Pain is the primary variable of the study and will be assessed using the VAS\textsuperscript{48 49} and Western Ontario & McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) pain domain.\textsuperscript{50 51} As secondary variables, the domains of rigidity and physical
dysfunction of the WOMAC questionnaire—physical stiffness and dysfunction scales, as well as functional physical tests: sit and stand test, 30 s; step test; 40 m (4×10 m) accelerated walk test.

The Global Rating of Change Scale will also be applied to quantify the volunteers’ perceived change in overall status. A detailed description of the instruments for evaluating the variables, as well as the evaluation moments, is presented in Table 1.

**Randomisation**

Individuals included in the study will be stratified by sex and randomly divided using a digital tool (www.randomization.com). Three groups will be randomised with 30 individuals each: compression, sham and control. Concealment will be adopted to avoid selection bias using an opaque, non-translucent and sealed envelope.

Furthermore, the group to which an individual belongs

---

**Table 1** Description of assessment instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Instructions</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Reference values</th>
<th>Evaluation moment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visual Analogue Scale</strong></td>
<td>The scale will be visually available to the individual so that he can classify the average pain intensity for the last week, and before and after each functional physical test.</td>
<td>The scale will vary from 0 to 10 cm, with 0 being the complete absence of pain, and 10 being the maximum intensity of pain.</td>
<td>A reduction of 1.75 cm will be considered a minimal clinically important difference (DMCI).</td>
<td>Initial, final and follow-up assessments of 12 and 24 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Western Ontario &amp; McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis questionnaire</strong></td>
<td>Self-report questionnaire designed to assess problems experienced by individuals with lower limb OA in the last 72 hours. The questionnaire contains 24 questions, which comprise three domains: pain, stiffness and physical function.</td>
<td>The score for the items is expressed through the Likert scale, classified as: none=0, low=25, moderate=50, severe=75 and very severe=100. Higher scores indicate greater levels of pain, stiffness and physical dysfunction.</td>
<td>A reduction of 8.74 points in the pain domain, from baseline, will be considered a DMCI. For the other domains, the 12% improvement will be considered a DMCI.</td>
<td>Initial, final and follow-up assessments of 12 and 24 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chair sit-up test 30 s</strong></td>
<td>The test will be performed using an armless chair, with a seat height of approximately 43 cm from the floor. The participant will sit in the chair, with a straight back, feet apart, shoulder-width apart and resting on the floor at an angle slightly behind the line of the knees. The arms should remain crossed against the chest and to help with balance, one foot may remain slightly in front of the other.</td>
<td>The test will last 30 s and in this time the number of complete cycles that the individual performs will be counted, that is, how many times he or she moves from sitting to standing and sits down again.</td>
<td>The increase of 2 complete cycles will be considered a DMCI.</td>
<td>Initial, final and follow-up assessments of 12 and 24 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step test</strong></td>
<td>The individual will be positioned in front of the stairs, and by voice command will be guided to go up the nine steps and go down soon after, returning to the starting point, and thus, the test will end. Each step will be 20 cm high, and it will be allowed to use the handrail as a safety instrument.</td>
<td>The score will be calculated from the time, in seconds, in which the volunteer completes the test.</td>
<td>A minimum detectable difference will be considered a reduction of 5.5 s in the test execution.</td>
<td>Initial, final and follow-up assessments of 12 and 24 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>40 m accelerated walk test (4×10 m)</strong></td>
<td>Participants will be asked to walk as quickly, safely, without running, 10 m from one cone, then turn around in a second cone, return and repeat the process until the 40 m mark.</td>
<td>The test score will be based on the gait speed performed by the individual, and the higher the speed, the better the result. The speed will be obtained through the data of distance travelled (40 m) and the time, in seconds, required to complete the course.</td>
<td>An increase of 0.2 m/s will be considered a DMCI.</td>
<td>Initial, final and follow-up assessments of 12 and 24 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global Rating of Change</strong></td>
<td>Its use has been recommended for the outcome of chronic pain, mainly in clinical trials aiming at better applicability of the results in clinical practice. It is used to quantify the patient’s improvement or worsening over time, according to the patient’s perception. The volunteer will be asked to assess their current health status, associated with knee pain, compared with the preintervention period.</td>
<td>It consists of an analogue numerical scale, which quantifies the patient’s self-perception of improvement after the application of an intervention. The scale has a total of 15 points, and ranges from −7 (much worse) to +7 (much better).</td>
<td>For this variable, an increase of 2 points will be considered a DMCI. In addition, studies have indicated that, considering the least important difference, variations of 1–3 points may indicate small changes, 4 or 5 as moderate changes and 6 or 7 as large.</td>
<td>Final assessment and follow-up of 12 and 24 weeks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
will only be revealed immediately before the intervention. After the completion of the evaluations, individuals from the three groups will be referred to face-to-face training (if available) and will receive a booklet consisting of therapeutic exercises recommended for the treatment of KOA.

**Sample size**

The sample size was preliminarily calculated using the G*Power software (V.3.1.3; University of Trier, Germany). Two calculations were performed: pain (assessed using the VAS) and function (assessed using the WOMAC questionnaire). The calculation was based on the application of an F-test for the difference between the three independent means (three groups). The effect size considered for this calculation, based on a previous study, were $d=0.45$ for EVA and $d=0.39$ for WOMAC, which after conversion represented $f=0.225$ and $f=0.195$, respectively. The F effect sizes were between small and moderate and coincided with the range of classification for the values of $d$ presented. The significance level was set at 5%, and the power was 95%. The calculations indicated a total of 54 participants using the VAS and 72 participants using the WOMAC questionnaire. The calculation to be considered will be based on the WOMAC questionnaire, with 24 individuals per group, making a total of 72 individuals. Considering a possible dropout rate of 20%, 29 participants should be allocated to each group; however, to facilitate calculations and randomisation, 30 participants will be allocated to each group (n=90).

**Data management and statistical analysis**

The registration of the data collected in the evaluations will be carried out through digital forms (Google Forms) and automatically stored in an electronic database in the Cloud (Google Drive), protected by a password, to ensure the security of data and participants. After completing the collections, the data will be analysed by a ‘blind’ biostatistician, without information on the identification of the groups, using SPSS software (V.24.0; SPSS).

The independent variables of interest in the study are the group (compression, sham and control) and time (preintervention (assessment 1) and postintervention (assessments 2, 3 and 4)). The dependent variables are the VAS (pain intensity), WOMAC (total score), step test (seconds), 30 s sit-to-stand test (number of repetitions), and 40 m accelerated walk test (speed in m/s). In addition, data distribution or normality, will be tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and according to the results, parametric or non-parametric tests will be used.

Initially, descriptive analyses will be performed using measures of central tendency and dispersion: mean and SD when following a normal distribution and the median, minimum and maximum when the distribution is not normal. For normal data, two-way ANOVA with a mixed design will be the parametric test chosen for comparison between the means of the dependent variables, considering the two factors simultaneously, one from repeated measurements (preintervention and postintervention, and follow-up at 12 and 24 weeks) and another with independent samples (compression, sham and control). If significant differences were found, multiple comparison tests (post hoc) were performed to assess the differences. For the distribution of non-normal data, the possible reasons for non-normality will be verified, analysis of possible correction, and then non-parametric tests can be applied, using both repeated (time) and non-repeated (groups) comparisons with Bonferroni adjustments or similar.

A confidence level of 95% will be determined for all variables, and a significance level of 5% will be considered statistically significant. In addition, the differences between the groups will be compared with the MDCI values defined for each variable. When MDCI values are not available, Cohen’s $d$ coefficient will be calculated (effect size: >0.8, large; close to 0.5, moderate and ≤0.2, small). Finally, to preserve the benefit of randomisation, allowing the balanced distribution of prognostic factors in the compared groups and, consequently, the observed effect, an analysis by intention-to-treat will be adopted through the expectation maximisation imputation method.

**Ethics and dissemination**

The project was initially submitted to the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil (Plataforma Brasil), approved under number 3955692, and later submitted to the clinical trials registry (www.clinicaltrials.gov), approved with the identification: NCT04724902. Subsequently, the study activities will be conducted. Volunteers will receive a verbal and written explanation of the objectives and methodology of the study, and those who agree to participate will be required to provide written informed consent (online supplemental material 1). The participants are free to withdraw from the study at any time without prejudice toward future treatment. The results will be presented at scientific meetings and will be published in peer-reviewed journals. All publications and presentations related to this study will be authorised and reviewed by the study investigators.

**DISCUSSION**

Currently, KOA is one of the main causes of musculoskeletal pain and functional disability. It is known that patients with osteoarthritis have high health costs. Therefore, effective and low-cost interventions are important. High-quality evidence has already established that physical exercise improves pain levels and physical function in individuals with KOA. However, adherence to these protocols tends to reduce over time due to barriers such as worsening pain and fear of movement. Therefore, adherence is an important factor for the continuation of these exercises in the long term. Thus, using techniques that allow pain control...
can effectively ensure greater motivation to and adherence to physical exercise in the long term.

In 2009, the estimated US spending on total knee joint replacement surgery was US$28.5 billion, and healthcare costs for osteoarthritis patients were approximately US$2600 per year.\(^3\)\(^8\) Compression using elastic bandages or soft orthoses is a low-cost and easy-to-apply alternative and is widely accessible to the population with knee disorders.\(^14\)\(^15\) Although compression is used to control pain in KOA,\(^16\) to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that seeks to understand the isolated effect of compression on pain and physical function.

The possible mechanism of action of compression by elastic bandages in relieving pain can be explained by the theory of the gates of Melzack and Wall,\(^20\) according to which pain can be modulated by the stimulation of tactile receptors in the skin. According to the authors, a tactile stimulus, such as compression, is identified by mechanoreceptors in the skin and conducted to the spinal cord through myelinated \(\alpha\)-type afferent fibres.\(^20\) In contrast, painful stimuli from nociceptors are conducted in the spinal cord by unmyelinated fibres of type C.\(^20\)\(^22\) In this way, the proportion of tactile impulses that would reach the spinal cord would be higher because of the stimulation that is taking place and its higher conduction velocity. Faced with the competition for simultaneous stimulation that is taking place and its higher conduction velocity, the proportion of tactile impulses that would reach the spinal cord would be higher, because of the stimulation that is taking place and its higher conduction velocity. In this way, the proportion of tactile impulses that would reach the spinal cord would be higher, because of the stimulation that is taking place and its higher conduction velocity. Faced with the competition for simultaneous stimuli (tactile and painful), there would be a modulation of the conduction of nociceptive impulses and a reduction in the central perception of pain.\(^20\)\(^21\)\(^57\) In addition, aspects such as improved proprioception may be present and promote beneficial functional effects, such as better stability during movement.\(^16\)\(^23\)\(^24\)

To minimise the blinding limitation of the interventional therapist and volunteers—blinding made impossible by the physical nature of the intervention and ethical issues—we used subjective\(^50\)\(^51\) and objective\(^10\)\(^52\) outcome measures of physical function by blinded assessment therapists, leading to research in accordance with well-established\(^58\) reporting guidelines.\(^26\)\(^29\) We believe that the results of this study will contribute new scientific evidence on the effects of compression on pain and control of function in KOA and may incorporate the treatment package for pain management in individuals with KOA.
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FREE AND CLARIFIED CONSENT TERM

(CNS Resolution 466/2012)

You are being invited to participate in the research “The effect of compression by elastic bandages on pain and function in individuals with knee osteoarthritis – randomized controlled clinical trial”, developed at the Laboratory of Muscular Plasticity, by student Angélica Viana Ferrari, from the Postgraduate Program in Physiotherapy at the Federal University of São Carlos (UFSCAR), under the guidance of Prof. Dr Tania de Fátima Salvini.

The objective of this study will be to evaluate the effect of applying local compression to the knee, using elastic bandages (bands), on pain and function of individuals with knee osteoarthritis (arthrosis). This work may contribute with new scientific evidence for the physiotherapeutic treatment of the individual with knee pain due to osteoarthrisis.

You were selected as a volunteer in this research because you are between 40 and 75 years old, have a grade 2 or 3 diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis (KOA) in the radiographic examination, pain intensity with a score of 04 cm on the Visual Analog Scale (VAS 0 to 10 cm). They were also selected for not performing more than 45 minutes of physical activity with moderate or intense intensity per week, not having undergone physical therapy treatment in the 3 months prior to the project, not having performed corticosteroid infiltration in the knee (6 months prior to the project), and/or previous knee or hip surgeries; and/or any clinical restriction that makes it impossible for them to participate in the proposed evaluations or intervention (cardiorespiratory, neurological, musculoskeletal, vascular alterations, and/or the presence of lesions on the skin of the bandage application). The effect of compression by elastic bandages on pain, analgesic consumption, and functionality in individuals with knee osteoarthritis. The intervention will be carried out in a controlled environment of the School Health Unit - USE, of the Federal University of São Carlos (UFSCar) - individual care room - guided and supervised by a previously trained therapist. Data collection will be performed on 4 different days (evaluation one day before, immediately at the end of the intervention program, and 12 and 24 weeks after the end of the program). On these occasions, a specific questionnaire will be applied to assess physical function in the KOA (Western Ontario & McMaster universities Osteoarthritis), Visual Analog Scale (VAS) to measure pain, scale for perception of change (Global Rating of Change), and functional tests (sitting down and rising from a chair in 30 seconds, going up and down stairs and fast walking test in 40 meters).

Your participation is voluntary, that is, at any time you can withdraw from participating and withdraw your consent, and your refusal will not affect your relationship with the researcher or the institution where the study is conducted. There will be no form of remuneration for participating in the experiment and the data obtained will be the exclusive property of the researchers and they may be disclosed in any way at their discretion. You will not incur any cost or financial compensation for participating in the study. However, all transportation and food expenses arising from your participation in the research, when applicable, will be reimbursed on the day of collection. You will be entitled to compensation for any type of damage resulting from your participation in the research.

If you accept to participate in the study, all your answers and results will be treated anonymously and confidentially, that is, at no time will your name be disclosed at any stage of the study. When it is necessary to exemplify a certain situation, your privacy will be ensured. The collected data may be disclosed in events, magazines and/or scientific works, but always in a way to preserve your identity.

After passing the inclusion and exclusion criteria, you will be selected, via lottery, to participate in one of three groups: a Compression group, which will receive standard treatment; or a Sham group, which will receive the simulated treatment; or a Control group, which will be forwarded to the waiting list. In
addition, you will receive a diary to record any possible use of oral or topical drug treatment (name of drug, dosage and frequency of use). After completing the evaluations, participants in the Sham and Control groups may receive the same treatment provided to the Compression group, if they so choose. In addition, they will remain on our list of volunteers for future studies at OAJ. At the end of the study, regardless of the group that participated, you will receive face-to-face training, consisting of therapeutic exercises recommended by the scientific literature for the treatment of KOA, as well as a booklet with the proposed exercises, prepared by our group of research, so that you can also perform the exercises without supervision. Thus, by accepting to participate in the study, you declare that you are aware that you are equally likely to be drawn into one of the three groups mentioned. But that, regardless of the group, will have guaranteed access to the proposed treatment and also to the treatment with greater scientific evidence for KOA.

The procedures of this study are not invasive. The risks of your participation are low, and there may be discomfort when answering any question in the questionnaire that evokes feelings, memories and/or unpleasant emotions. If this occurs, you will be given time, if necessary, to compose yourself in a private and safe environment. It may also present a risk of falling from its own height, and/or fatigue and muscle fatigue, respectively during and after the physical tests. To minimize symptoms, you can take breaks between tests, and even choose to immediately suspend your participation in the study. In addition, you will be accompanied by a physical therapist throughout the period of assessment and intervention, and the researchers themselves are responsible for first aid or any type of physical therapy assessment as a result of physical damage. If more serious damage is found, the researchers are responsible for accompanying her to a doctor for adequate treatment.

The benefits of this study for you will be a detailed assessment of your state of health and functionality, related to Osteoarthritis (arthritis) of the knee. In addition, you will receive face-to-face training, consisting of therapeutic exercises recommended by the scientific literature for the treatment of KOA, as well as a booklet with the proposed exercises, prepared by our research group, so that you can also perform the exercises at home, without supervision. This work can directly contribute to the improvement of the treatment of patients with knee pain due to osteoarthritis, expanding knowledge about physical therapy treatment, clinical reasoning and the relationship of symptoms and dysfunctions found in this population.

You will receive a copy of this term, initialed on all pages by you and the researcher, with the telephone number and address of the main researcher. You can clear your doubts about the project and your participation now or at any time.

I Declare that I have received in writing, read, and understood the objectives, risks and benefits of my participation in the research, and I am willing to voluntarily participate in this work. The researcher informed me that the project was approved under registration XXXXX by the Ethics Committee for Research on Human Beings of UFSCar, which works at the Dean of Research at the Federal University of São Carlos, located at Rodovia Washington Luiz, Km. 235 - PO Box 676 - CEP 13.565-905 - São Carlos/SP – Brazil. Phone (16) 3351-8028. Electronic address: cephumanos@ufscar.br.