Effects of hydromorphone-based intravenous patient-controlled analgesia with and without a low basal infusion on postoperative hypoxaemia: study protocol for a randomised controlled clinical trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction. When patients receive patient-controlled intravenous analgesia (PCIA), no basal infusion is always recommended, as the addition of a basal infusion increases the occurrence of postoperative opioid-induced respiratory depression. However, few studies have investigated whether low basal infusions increase the incidence of postoperative hypoxaemia relative to no basal infusion. We intend to conduct a clinical trial to test the hypothesis that PCIA with a low basal infusion does not increase the occurrence of postoperative hypoxaemia relative to PCIA with no basal infusion.

Methods and analysis. This single-centre parallel randomised controlled clinical trial will be conducted with 160 patients undergoing gastrointestinal tumour surgery. The assigned nurse will set analgesic pumps (low or no basal infusion PCIA) according to block-based randomisation sequence. Other investigators and all participants will be blinded to intervention allocation. All patients will be monitored continuously with the ep pod, a wireless wearable device, recording of oxygen saturation (SpO2) and daily ambulation duration for 48 hours postoperatively. Three follow-up evaluations will be conducted to assess the analgesic effect (Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) pain score) and opioid-related side effects (Overall Benefit of Analgesic Score (OBAS)). The primary outcome will be the area under the curve for hypoxaemia (defined as SpO2<95%) per hour. The secondary outcomes will be the areas under the curve for hypoxaemia defined as SpO2<90% and <85% per hour, hydromorphone consumption, OBASs at 24 and 48 hours postoperatively, NRS scores at 4, 24 and 48 hours postoperatively, and the ambulation time per hour over 48 hours.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION. The study has been approved by the Xijing Hospital Ethics Committee (KY20212163-F-1). Written informed consent will be obtained from all patients or their authorised surrogates. All data will be managed with confidentiality. Findings will be disseminated at international conferences and in peer-reviewed journals.

Trial registration number. ChiCTR2100054317.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ Continuous monitoring of the vital signs of patients receiving patient-controlled intravenous analgesia for 48 hours will reflect the primary outcome of postoperative hypoxaemia more objectively than traditional monitoring.

⇒ The use of a portable wireless wearable monitoring system will ensure high-quality data because of its high patient compliance relative to traditional monitoring.

⇒ We will evaluate opioid side effects using overall benefit of analgesic scores obtained with a simple multidimensional quality assessment instrument for the measurement of patients’ benefits from postoperative pain therapy.

⇒ The limitation is that this study will be conducted at a single centre, which may weaken the external validity of the results.

BACKGROUND

Opioids remain the mainstream drugs used for acute postoperative pain control, but their potential side effects include nausea and vomiting, itching and even respiratory depression. Opioid-induced respiratory depression is usually preceded by sedation and, if left untreated, can progress to cardiac arrest and death.1 In a review of 357 acute pain claims dating to 1990–2009 from the Anesthesia Closed Claims Project database, 92 cases were found to be postoperative opioid-induced respiratory depression (POIRD), and about 55% of patients who experienced POIRD died.2 Ninety-seven percent of these cases were judged to have been preventable with better monitoring.2

In settings without continuous postoperative monitoring, such as traditional surgical
wards, the incidence of respiratory depression is about 1%, although it varies depending on the definition and analgesic modality used. With continuous monitoring, including oximetry and capnography, the incidence of respiratory depression is as high as 12%. Recently, technologies for continuous monitoring with pulse oximetry and the wireless notification of clinical staff via paging systems have become commercially available. Such continuous pulse oximetry monitoring will feasibly provide more detailed information on the frequency and severity of POIRD.

When patients receive patient-controlled intravenous analgesia (PCIA), no basal infusion is always recommended over PCIA with basal infusion to reduce the occurrence of adverse events, including POIRD. However, most studies leading to this recommendation were conducted with high basal infusions in the gynaecological surgical context, whether PCIA with low basal infusion has similar effect remains unknown. Lehmann et al conducted a randomised trial to compare high and low basal infusions of hydromorphone PCIA; they found that the high-dose group consumed significantly more hydromorphone, but that self-reported pain intensities were comparable between groups. In other research, the use of hydromorphone PCIA with low basal infusions had also yielded satisfactory outcomes. Bai et al discovered that patients in a basal infusion plus bolus group experienced significantly less-intense static and dynamic pain than did those in a bolus-only group, suggesting that the analgesic effect of low-basal infusion PCIA is superior to that of no-basal infusion PCIA. However, whether low basal infusion increases the incidence of POIRD relative to no basal infusion has not been investigated.

We aim to perform continuous postoperative oxygen saturation ($\text{SpO}_2$) monitoring using a wireless wearable device to determine the degree of postoperative hypoxaemia in patients receiving PCIA with and without low basal hydromorphone infusions. We will test the hypothesis that low-basal infusion PCIA is not inferior to no-basal infusion PCIA in terms of the occurrence of postoperative hypoxaemia in the first 48 hours after gastrointestinal tumour surgery, with hypoxaemia defined first as $<95\%$ integrated $\text{SpO}_2$ and second as $<90\%$ or $<85\%$ $\text{SpO}_2$.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Aims and hypotheses

The primary aim of this study will be to investigate the occurrence of hypoxaemia during the first 48 postoperative hours in patients receiving PCIA with and without low basal infusions. The secondary aims will be to compare the effects of PCIA with and without low basal infusion on hydromorphone consumption, analgesia, adverse events and postoperative ambulation.

Study design

This single-centre parallel randomised controlled trial will be conducted with 160 patients undergoing gastrointestinal tumour surgery at the First Affiliated Hospital of the Air Force Military Medical University (Xijing Hospital, Xi’an, China). Participants will be allocated randomly at a 1:1 ratio to receive postoperative PCIA with and without a low basal hydromorphone infusion. All participants will be monitored using a wearable wireless device (ePM/ep pod; Mindray Medical International, Shenzhen, China) for 48 hours from the start of PCIA, and approximately three follow-up assessments will be conducted in the hospital to assess opioid-related side effects. The study design is presented in figure 1.

Recruitment

Inclusion criteria

1. Patients scheduled for elective gastrointestinal tumour resection under general anaesthesia who will receive postoperative PCIA.
2. Age $\geq$18 years.
3. Body mass index (BMI) 18.5–30 kg/m².
4. American Society of Anesthesiologists grades I–III.
5. Voluntary participation and provision of written informed consent.

Exclusion criteria

1. $\text{SpO}_2$ $<90\%$ or chronic severe respiratory disease (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome).
2. History of chronic pain, analgesic or sedative abuse, or known opioid allergy.
3. Kidney disease (serum creatinine concentration $>140$ (males) or $130$ (females) µmol/L and oliguria/anuria) or renal replacement therapy (eg, dialysis).
4. Hepatic disease (liver enzyme concentrations twice the normal values).
5. Pregnancy or breastfeeding status.
6. Operation time $>5$ hours.
7. Plan for postoperative transfer to the intensive care unit.
8. Participation in another clinical trial in the previous 3 months.

Withdrawal criteria

1. Treatment measures taken due to complications or disease changes that render continued study participation unsuitable.
2. Unblinding due to the implementation of emergency measures.
3. Participant request.

Randomisation and blinding

A 1:1 randomisation sequence will be generated using the R software (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) with randomly sized blocks of 2 and 4 and sealed in envelopes. Enrolled participants will be randomised to the test and control groups, with PCIA treatment modalities indicated on paper slips sealed in sequentially numbered opaque envelopes kept by a secretary not otherwise involved in the trial. The participants and investigators will be blinded to group allocation. The
assigned anaesthetic nurse, who will not otherwise participate in the trial, will open each envelope before the end of each operation and set the analgesic pump accordingly. The investigators will not be involved in intraoperative management; they will conduct only postoperative follow-up.

**Procedures and interventions**

The researcher will explain the purpose of and risks associated with the trial to eligible subjects, and provide them with education about PCIA and wireless wearable devices. All those who agree to participate in the trial will sign an informed consent form (example is available in online supplemental file 1).

After the placement of standard monitors and radial arterial catheters as necessary, the attending anaesthesiologist will routinely administer preoperative medication, such as dexamethasone (4–8 mg). Anaesthesia will be induced with propofol (1–2 mg/kg) or etomidate (0.15–0.3 mg/kg), sufentanil (0.3–0.5 µg/kg), midazolam (0.02 mg/kg) and rocuronium (0.6 mg/kg), and maintained with remifentanil (0.1–0.2 µg/kg/min) and sevoflurane (1%–2%) in an oxygen or propofol target-controlled infusion (3–6 µg/mL). Palonosetron (0.25 mg) will be used routinely to prevent nausea and vomiting after induction. The anaesthesia depth will be guided by Narcotrend (Narcotrend Group, Hannover, Germany) monitoring, with the Narcotrend index maintained at 40–60. After intubation, the end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration will be maintained at 35±5 mmHg. Rocuronium (0.1–0.2 mg/kg) will be added intermittently to maintain muscle relaxation and will not be given in the 30 min before the end of the operation. In the absence of contraindication, the patients will be given intravenous non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug injections 15–20 min before surgical incision. According to our enhanced recovery after surgery protocol, local infiltration will be performed before incision and at the end of surgery. Hydromorphone (10 µg/kg) will be given intravenously 30 min before the end of surgery. Anaesthesia reversal will be achieved with the intravenous administration of neostigmine (30–50 µg/kg) and atropine (20 µg/kg) after the
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operation. The patients will be transferred to recovery room for monitoring and then back to the ward after about 1 hour.

At the end of each operation, the assigned anaesthetic nurse will prepare the PCA infusion device according to the patient’s group assignment. The PCA drug regimen for both groups will be 10 mg hydromorphone in 100 mL saline. Patients in the low basal infusion group (group L) will receive a basal infusion of 1 mL/hour with a demand dose of 1.0 mL and a lockout interval of 10 min. Those in the no basal infusion group (group N) will receive no basal infusion, with a demand dose of 2.0 mL and a lockout interval of 10 min.

The patients will be connected to the PCA system on arrival in the recovery room. They will be monitored via the ePM/ep pod (figure 2) for 48 hours or until hospital discharge, if occurring sooner. This wireless system is composed of patient-worn and bedside components for the accurate continuous monitoring of three-lead electrocardiography output, the SpO₂, respiratory rate and the daily ambulation duration. It non-invasively measures blood pressure hourly. The data will be recorded continuously on the bedside component and downloaded to a laptop. The investigator in charge of postoperative follow-up will visit patients three times daily, including during weekends and evenings, to ensure compliance with monitoring. The duration of oxygen therapy during the study period will also be recorded. All participants will be prescribed flurbiprofen (50 mg, three times daily) for 3 days postoperatively. When the analgesic effect is not satisfactory, extra hydromorphone will be given as rescue analgesia, and this administration will be recorded. Patients experiencing postoperative nausea and vomiting will be given 5 mg tropisetron intravenously, and this administration will be recorded. All patient requests to withdraw from the trial or stop using PCA will also be recorded.

Outcome measurement

Primary outcome

The primary outcome is the integrated area under curve (AUC) for hypoxaemia (defined as SpO₂ <95%) per hour during the ≤48 hours period of continuous measurement in hospital. This outcome characterises the hypoxaemia duration and severity. Many criteria for hypoxaemia have been used in related studies; we defined hypoxaemia as SpO₂ <95% for the assessment of the primary outcome and examined SpO₂ <90% and <85% as secondary outcomes.²³–⁵

SpO₂ data will be downloaded weekly. For cleaning, 1 min segments of these data will be extracted, and segments with SpO₂ <60% will be excluded as outliers. Then, intervals between two consecutive SpO₂ measurements >1 min will be defined as gaps. The total proportion of all gaps per patient will be determined to show the quality of the recorded monitoring data. We will use the Gaussian kernel in Matlab R2016b (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) to process the original data and interpolate missing values. Then, smoothed SpO₂ time curves will be generated (figure 3). Finally, AUCs will be calculated as the sum of the product of the hypoxaemia duration (in hours) and its difference from the hypoxaemia threshold of 95% (as a percentage), divided by the number of monitoring hours.

Secondary outcomes

1. AUC for hypoxaemia defined as SpO₂ <90% per hour, calculated as described above.
2. AUC for hypoxaemia defined as SpO₂ <85% per hour, calculated as described above.
3. Hydromorphone consumption over 48 postoperative hours (or hospitalisation duration, if <48 hours).
4. Overall Benefit of Analgesia Scores²⁶ (OBASs, reflecting the analgesic effect, opioid side effects and patient satisfaction; table 1) at 24 and 48 hours postoperatively.
5. Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) scores for pain (0 (no pain at all)–10 (worst pain imaginable)) at rest and during movement at 4, 24 and 48 hours postoperatively.
6. Ambulation time per hour during the 48 postoperative hours (or hospitalisation duration, if <48 hours).

Sample size

AUCs for hypoxaemia (SpO₂ <95%) per hour obtained previously using this definition have exhibited a skewed distribution.\(^2\)\(^3\) Using the PASS 2015 software (NCSS, Kaysville, Utah, USA), we determined that a minimum of 144 patients will be required to have 80% power to prove that the 95% lower limit of the one-sided confidence interval (CI) will be above the non-inferiority limit of 1.25 for the ratio of means between two groups (coefficient of variation, 0.5), to prove the non-inferiority of low-basal infusion PCIA relative to no-basal infusion PCIA in terms of hypoxaemia. Considering a drop-out rate of 10%, at least 160 patients (80 per group) will need to be included in the study.

Data collection

We have designed a case report form (CRF) for researchers’ data recording for this study. Two data managers supervised by an independent quality monitor will enter all CRF data into an Epidata V.3.1 (EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark; http://www.epidata.dk) database. At enrolment, one researcher will collect data on participants’ demographic characteristics, medical histories, tobacco and alcohol consumption, relevant preoperative laboratory test results, and preoperative clinical condition through patient interviews and from electronic medical records. Procedure-related clinical information will be collected from the surgical anaesthesia clinical information system. SpO₂ and activity data collected by the ePM/ep pods will be exported periodically. The researcher responsible for visitation will collect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Overall benefit of analgesia score items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Please rate your current pain at rest on a scale between 0 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Please grade any distress and bother from vomiting in the past 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Please grade any distress and bother from itching in the past 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Please grade any distress and bother from sweating in the past 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Please grade any distress and bother from freezing in the past 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Please grade any distress and bother from dizziness in the past 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 How satisfied are you with your pain treatment during the past 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NRs and OBAs at 4, 24 and 48 hours, and data on hydromorphone consumption recorded by the analgesia pumps on the completion of treatment. Information on the use of extra analgesia and antiemetic drugs will be recorded. Before discharge, the patients will be surveyed (using a 0–100 scale) about their satisfaction with postoperative monitoring by a wearable device.

Management of withdrawals
Participants will be informed during recruitment of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without prejudice. Based on experience, we considered the expected drop-out rate in estimating the sample size. The statistical analysis will be performed on an intention-to-treat (ITT) basis to account for data lost due to participant withdrawal.

Data analysis
The primary analysis will be ITT. A sensitivity analysis will be performed on a per-protocol set basis. All analyses will be carried out using SAS V.9.1 (SAS Institute) with a two-sided significance level of 0.05. Descriptive statistical analysis will be performed to compare the patients’ baseline data (eg, age, sex, BMI, surgery type) between groups. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test will be applied to continuous variables. Normally distributed data will be expressed as means±SD, and non-normally distributed data will be expressed as medians with IQRs. Count variables will be presented as frequencies with percentages or ratios.

The Mann-Whitney U test will be used to examine the difference between groups in the total duration of hypoxaemia defined as SpO₂ <95% per hour. If this difference is significant, we will calculate the ratio of means between groups with a 95% CI and compare that with the non-inferiority limit of 1.25. Subgroup analyses will be performed according to baseline variables such as age, BMI, initial SpO₂ and surgery type.

The Mann-Whitney U test will be used to compare AUCs for hypoxaemia defined as SpO₂ <90% and 85% per hour. According to the results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, the hydromorphone consumption and the ambulation time per hour will be compared using Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U test. Similarly, we will use repeated-measures analysis of variance or generalised linear mixed model for within-group comparison of OBAs and NRS scores from different time points after operation.

Patient and public involvement
No patient or member of the public will be involved in the design or implementation of this study.

Ethics and dissemination
The Xijing Hospital Ethics Committee, which conforms to Chinese legislation and the Declaration of Helsinki, approved the procedures of this study no. KY20212163-F-1, 22 November 2021. Written informed consent will be obtained from all patients or their authorised surrogates. All data will be managed with confidentiality. The study has been registered with the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (http://www.chictr.org.cn; no. ChiCTR2100054317). The investigators will disseminate the trial findings in peer-reviewed scientific journals and conference presentations.

DISCUSSION
Gastrointestinal cancer is very prevalent worldwide, especially in China. Among the approximately 4.5688 million new cancer cases in 2020, colorectal and gastric cancer cases ranked second and third, respectively.27 Surgery is the first-line intervention for gastrointestinal cancer, but postoperative pain control remains unsatisfactory despite the application of multimodal analgesia approaches.25 PCIA with opioids such as morphine and hydromorphone remains the mainstream modality for postoperative analgesia. Compared with morphine use, the use of hydromorphone is associated with a lower risk of adverse events due to its less active metabolite hydromorphone-3-glucoronid.26–28 However, little clinical research has been conducted to explore the optimal use of hydromorphone PCIA for patients who have undergone gastrointestinal cancer surgery, especially in China.

Previous studies have suggested that the use of a supplemental basal infusion with PCIA confers no advantage, and could increase the incidence of complications, including POIRD.13–18 In a meta-analysis of 14 randomised controlled trials, George et al29 found that the addition of a background infusion to demand-dose PCIA with opioids was associated significantly with an increased rate of respiratory depression, but reported moderate heterogeneity for this outcome and advised that the finding be interpreted with caution due to the small sample and wide range of respiratory depression definitions. In addition, the opioids studied in most of the studies included in the meta-analysis were morphine. Although there is no clear definition of high and low basal infusion rates for PCIA, the recommended dose was 1–3 mg/hour for morphine when the basal infusion was introduced into clinical practice,31 and later the common rate was 1–2 mg/hour for morphine in opioid-naïve patients.32 McKenzie33 cautioned that using 1–3 mg/hour morphine could compromise the safety of patient-controlled analgesia in overly sedated patients. Parker et al34 compared morphine doses of 0.5, 1 and 2 mg/hour with no basal infusion for patients who had undergone gynaecological surgery and found that the addition of continuous infusion did not reduce demands or the supplemental bolus doses. In several recent studies, adding a basal infusion to PCIA yielded satisfactory results. White et al35 demonstrated that a background morphine infusion with PCIA following colorectal cancer surgery provided better pain management, reduced opioid consumption and minimised complications relative to a bolus-only protocol. Sinatra et al36 also investigated the benefits of basal morphine infusion doses <1 mg/hour with PCIA. Bai et al37 used 0.12 mg/hour infusion of hydromorphone (equivalent to 0.8 mg/hour intravenous morphine) for
patients with the mean weight of about 60 kg who had undergone single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery, which resulted in lower pain scores than in a no basal infusion group. Based on the results of those studies, we consider basal morphine infusion rates ≤1 mg/hour to be low and those >1 mg/hour to be high. These findings above raise the questions of whether hydromorphone PCA with a low basal infusion as a multimodal analgesic strategy increases postoperative hypoxaemia in patients undergoing gastrointestinal tumour surgery and whether low-basal infusion PCA will have a better analgesic effect than a bolus-only protocol in these patients. Our trial is designed to explore these questions.

We plan to choose the hydromorphone infusion rate of 0.1 mg/hour as the low basal infusion group in this study; this rate is likely less than 0.12 mg/hour of Bai et al when body weight is considered. In our trial, the demand doses will be set at 0.1 and 0.2 mg in the low basal group and no basal group (both lockout intervals as 10 min), respectively, which means the maximum possible doses in 1 hour are 0.7 and 1.2 mg in an ideal scenario. However, patients rarely reach the maximum hourly dose in clinical practice, as we have observed in previous pretrials. This study aims to explore whether postoperative hypoxaemia differs between the PCA modalities; we will not focus on whether different PCA doses result in different degrees of hypoxaemia. We will compare hydromorphone consumption between groups when the trial is finished. In Parker et al study, similar to our study design and parameter settings, the results showed morphine consumption during 72 postoperative hours was comparable between groups.

The strength of this study is that we will use a wireless wearable continuous monitor to collect data on patients’ vital signs postoperatively, which will enable the accurate determination of the degree of postoperative hypoxaemia. Unlike traditional monitoring, the wireless wearable device can monitor patients continuously without affecting their daily activity or sleep. The postoperative hypoxaemia data acquired from patients in this study will better reflect the real clinical situation. If the results support our hypotheses, this randomised clinical trial will provide important evidence for the clinical application of low basal infusion PCA for postoperative acute pain management in patients undergoing gastrointestinal tumour surgery.

Trial status

At the time of manuscript submission, the study had been launched, and a few patients had participated in it. Recruitment began on 14 December 2021. Enrolment will continue until 160 patients have been enrolled in the trial; it is expected to be completed in December 2022.
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Dear patients,

We sincerely invite you to participate in a trial named \textit{Comparison of Hydromorphone-Based Intravenous Patient-controlled Analgesia with and without Low Basal Infusion on Postoperative Hypoxemia After Gastrointestinal surgery}. Before deciding whether to participate in this study, please read the following contents as carefully as possible, which can help you: 1. To understand the study’s background knowledge, purpose, and interests; 2. To know the procedure and duration of the research; 3. Identify the benefits, discomfort, and risks that may be brought to you by participating in the study. If you wish, you can also discuss this with your relatives or friends or ask your managing physician for an explanation to help you decide whether to participate in this clinical study. If you have any questions, please refer them to the anaesthesiologist in charge of the research.

\textbf{I. Background and purpose}

Patient-controlled intravenous analgesia (PCIA), commonly known as intravenous analgesia pump in Chinese, is a kind of analgesia technique that patients wear with a sophisticated microprocessor-controlled infusion pump push a demand button of pumps according to the subjective pain feeling and injects the drug into the body, to achieve the purpose of relieving pain on-demand. PCIA (with or without a continuous background infusion) is common in gastrointestinal surgery due to its advantages of low doses, stable blood drug concentration, and adequate patient dominance. Opioids remain the mainstream drugs used for PCIA, but their potential side effects include nausea and vomiting, itching, and even respiratory depression. Hydromorphone is a semisynthetic potent opioid analgesic widely used in acute severe pain and PCIA abroad. It has shown a nice analgesic effect in patients with PCIA since approved and marketed in China in 2013. However, there is no clear suggestion for a better infusion mode of hydromorphone in gastrointestinal surgery PCIA due to a few research on postoperative hypoxemia related to hydromorphone PCIA with or without a continuous background infusion. Therefore, we plan to use wireless wearables to monitor the continuous vital signs of patients with PCIA to explore whether low-infusion hydromorphone PCIA is non-inferior compared with those of no basal infusion on postoperative hypoxemia.

\textbf{II. Condition for participating in the trial}

In this study, 160 eligible patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery are expected to participate voluntarily. Everyone is allowed to withdraw from the study at any time without prejudice.

\textit{The inclusion criteria} are as follows:
1. Patients scheduled for elective gastrointestinal tumour resection under general anaesthesia who will receive postoperative PCIA
2. Age $> 18$ years
3. Body mass index (BMI) 18.5–30 kg/m$^2$
4. American Society of Anesthesiologists grade I–III
5. Voluntary participation and provision of written informed consent

\textit{The exclusion criteria} are as follows:
1. SpO$_2$ $< 90\%$ or chronic severe respiratory disease (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease,
obstructive sleep apnea syndrome)
2. History of chronic pain, analgesic or sedative abuse, or known opioid allergy
3. Kidney disease [serum creatinine concentration > 140 (males) or 130 (females) µmol/L and oliguria/anuria] or renal replacement therapy (e.g., dialysis)
4. Hepatic disease (liver enzyme concentrations twice the normal values)
5. Pregnancy or breastfeeding status
6. Operation time > 5 h
7. Plan for postoperative transfer to the intensive care unit
8. Participation in another clinical trial in the previous three months

III. Study design and procedure
The sponsor of this trial is the Department of Anesthesia and Perioperative Medicine, Fourth Military Medical University Xijing Hospital. The Xijing Hospital Ethics Committee, which conforms to Chinese legislation and the Declaration of Helsinki, approved this study on November 22, 2021 (No. KY20212163-F-1). All the researchers are clinicians with the qualifications of medical practitioners with rescue and other treatment skills, have received clinical trial research training, and obtained GCP certificates.

Study Design: This study was a single-centre, randomised, double-blind, parallel-controlled non-inferiority clinical trial.

Research Procedure: If you meet the selection criteria and are willing to participate in this study, you will be randomly divided into the no basal or low basal infusion PCIA group. The PCIA drug for both groups will be the same, while the infusion mode will differ. The no basal group provides patient demand dose, while the low basal group will include additional administration of continuous infusion with a low dose. We will show you how to use the analgesic pump, which is easy to operate. No matter which group you are assigned to, you can press the demand button when you feel pain. We will record your necessary data related to the study through the electronic medical record system, interviews, and follow-up. Required information including age, sex, medical history, general routine medical examination (such as blood pressure, heart rate, etc.), laboratory examination (such as haemoglobin, blood gas, etc.), medicine use (such as drug type, dose, etc.) and follow-up to 2 days after the operation. We will collect data on pain, nausea and vomiting, intestinal function, and so on during the postoperative visit. In addition, we will use the wireless wearable device to monitor and record your vital signs in the ward after the operation.

Your treatment group allocation will not affect the doctor’s routine treatment for you. No special examination and treatment items are designed in this study, and the above routine treatment and medical examination items are necessary routine clinical items for surgical patients in the perioperative period.

IV. Benefits of participating in this study
The clinical results obtained from your and other subjects’ participation in this study may contribute to optimising postoperative analgesia for patients with gastrointestinal surgery similar to yours.

V. Potential risks of participating in this study
The hydromorphone used in this study is a common analgesic after gastrointestinal surgery. The possible risk is the risk of routine postoperative analgesias, such as excessive sedation and mild
respiratory depression. Doctors will try their best to prevent and treat the damage that this study may cause. If damage related to the research occurs in the clinical research, compensation will be provided following the “Drug Clinical trial quality Management Standard” of our country. This study has prepared reasonable preservation measures for you, protecting your legitimate rights and interests as much as possible.

VI. Expenses related to participating in this trial
Whether you participate in this study or not, the appropriate diagnosis and treatment measures will be carried out generally following a medical practice. The medicines used in the study are commonly used in the clinic, there is no additional cost, and the patients bear the treatment cost.

VII. Patient confidentiality
After completing this study, we will collate and analyse the information and data collected. The final results and conclusion will be compiled and published. Your name will be replaced by pinyin abbreviations in all the medical records of the study. Your medical records and materials will be kept in the hospital, and your medical records can be accessed with the approval of researchers, research authorities, and ethics committees. Any public report on the results of this study will not disclose your identity.

VIII. Your rights
Your or your relatives’ participation in the study is entirely voluntary. You or your relatives can withdraw from the study at any time without any reason, which will never affect your or your relatives’ and medical staff’s relationship and any future medical treatment and rights; all personal data and observation records of you or your loved ones are confidential and are for the use of this study only. During the study, you can learn about the relevant information at any time, such as when there are problems in the research or you need to consult the relevant questions, you can ask your managing physician. If you still have any questions or encounter an emergency, contact the project leader: Doctor Nie Huang, deputy chief physician, at tel 029-84775343.

IXX. Contact information of the Ethics Committee
The trial protocol has been approved and implemented by the hospital ethics committee. If there is any violation of the research protocol during the trial, you can complain directly to the hospital ethics committee. Tel: 029-84771794, email: xjyyllwyh@163.com.
Subject Informed Consent Signature Page

I have read the above informed consent form in detail and understood the purpose of the study and the possible benefits and risks of participating in the study. The researchers have clearly explained the above medical terms. I had the opportunity to ask questions, and all of them got easy-to-understand answers. I can choose not to participate in this study or withdraw after notifying the doctor in charge at any time, and any of my medical treatment, rights, and interests will not be affected. If I need other treatment, if I do not comply with the research plan, if there is a study-related injury, or if there is any other reason, the responsible doctor can terminate my participation in this study.

I have read the above informed consent form and obtained a copy, and my managing physician has given me a detailed explanation. I volunteered to participate in this clinical trial. I agree that the concerned authorities should check the data collected by the experimental study against my original medical records.

Subject name in regular script: __________________________

Signature of subject: __________________________

Subject phone number: __________________________ Date: ___ yy / ___ mm / ___ dd

(note: when the subject has no capacity for civil conduct, the guardian’s signature is required; when the subject is limited to the capacity for civil conduct, the subject and his guardian need to sign)

Guardian name in regular script: __________________________

Relationship with subject: __________________________

Signature of guardian: __________________________

Guardian telephone number: __________________________ Date: ___ yy / ___ mm / ___ dd

(If the subject or his guardian is unable to read, a fair witness is required to sign, and the fair witness reads the informed consent form and other written materials and witnesses informed consent.)

Signature of fair witness (if applicable): __________________________ Date: ___ yy / ___ mm / ___ dd

I confirm that I have explained to the patient in detail the relevant contents of this clinical trial, including the possible benefits and risks, and answered all the questions raised by the patient.

Researcher name in regular script: __________________________

Signature of researcher: __________________________

Researcher phone number: __________________________ Date: ___ yy / ___ mm / ___ dd