

# BMJ Open Can BCG vaccination at first health-facility contact reduce early infant mortality? Study protocol for a cluster-randomised trial (CS-BCG)

Sanne Marie Thysen <sup>1,2,3</sup> Andreas Møller Jensen,<sup>1,2</sup> Julie Odgaard Vedel,<sup>1,2</sup> Igualdino da Silva Borges,<sup>1</sup> Peter Aaby <sup>1</sup> Aksel Karl Georg Jensen,<sup>4</sup> Christine Stabell Benn,<sup>1,2</sup> Ane Bærent Fisker<sup>1,2</sup>

**To cite:** Thysen SM, Møller Jensen A, Vedel JO, *et al.* Can BCG vaccination at first health-facility contact reduce early infant mortality? Study protocol for a cluster-randomised trial (CS-BCG). *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e063872. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-063872

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-063872>).

Received 19 April 2022  
Accepted 11 October 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Bandim Health Project, Bissau, Guinea-Bissau

<sup>2</sup>OPEN, Institute of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

<sup>3</sup>Center for Clinical Research and Prevention, Copenhagen University Hospital - Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biostatistics, University of Copenhagen, København, Denmark

**Correspondence to**  
Dr Ane Bærent Fisker;  
afisker@health.sdu.dk

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction** Increasing evidence suggests that the BCG vaccine has non-specific effects, altering the susceptibility to non-tuberculous infections. Thus, early BCG vaccination may reduce mortality. BCG is recommended at birth but is often delayed. Vaccination opportunities are missed due to multidose vials not being opened for a few children. We will assess the effect of making BCG available at the first health-facility contact on early infant mortality and morbidity in a rural setting in Guinea-Bissau.

**Methods and analysis** In a cluster-randomised crossover trial, we randomise 23 health centres to two different treatment groups. In half of the health centres, BCG is provided as per current practice; in the remaining health centres, we make BCG available everyday to allow opening a vial of BCG if there is just one eligible child present. The randomisation of centres will be crossed over after 12 months and enrolment will continue for another 12 months.

We will use logistic regression models with adjustment for village to assess the effect of making BCG available at the first health-facility contact. The main outcome is non-accidental mortality between day 1 and day 42 after birth. We will adjust for sex, health centre, period (before/after crossover) and level of surveillance (level 1 or level 2). Further analyses include assessment of the effect on hospital admission and a cost-effectiveness evaluation.

**Ethics and dissemination** If BCG vaccination reduces early infant mortality, missed opportunities and delays of vaccinations expose infants in several low-income countries to unnecessary excess mortality risk. The present trial will provide information on the effect of implementing a feasible intervention, where all children receive BCG at their first health-facility contact. Consent is obtained from all pregnant women registered as part of the trial. The results of the study will be published and communicated to the National Institute of Public Health in Guinea-Bissau.

**Trial registration number** NCT04658680; Clinicaltrials.gov.

## INTRODUCTION

The WHO recommends BCG vaccine to be given at birth in countries with high

## STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ The trial tests an easily implementable and feasible intervention to increase timeliness and coverage of BCG vaccination.
- ⇒ The trial builds on previous findings, trial experience and close collaboration with local health authorities.
- ⇒ Provided that we are able to confirm previous findings of the impact of early BCG vaccination, the trial results can be transformed into immediate policy changes.
- ⇒ As reliable registries of pregnancies, births and early mortality are absent in Guinea-Bissau, the trial requires a setup with pregnancy registration. Only children registered during pregnancy will be included in the analyses.

tuberculosis (TB) burden.<sup>1</sup> However, BCG is often given with delay in low-income countries.<sup>2–4</sup> In rural Guinea-Bissau, less than half of children receive BCG within the first month of life.<sup>5,6</sup> One of the reasons for delayed BCG vaccination is a local practice of not opening a BCG vial unless 10–12 children are present for vaccination. BCG vaccines contain 20 doses and the local policy aims to reduce vaccine wastage. However, some of the costs apparently saved by reduced vaccine wastage are transferred to the household as extra costs of seeking BCG vaccination.<sup>7</sup> We have estimated that it is cost-saving to disregard the restrictive vial-opening policy for BCG, if, on average, four children are vaccinated per BCG vial.<sup>6</sup>

The BCG vaccine is provided to protect against TB, but increasing evidence suggests that BCG, aside from the specific protection against TB, has non-specific effects (NSEs) protecting against TB-unrelated infections.<sup>8</sup> Several observational studies have found that BCG is associated with beneficial NSEs,<sup>9–11</sup> and that timing of BCG may be important.<sup>12,13</sup>

In the present trial, we aim to study the mortality and morbidity effects of making BCG available at the first health-facility contacts in a rural setting in Guinea-Bissau.

## Background and rationale

In 2014, the Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization reviewed the literature on NSEs for the BCG vaccine, Diphtheria-Tetanus-Pertussis vaccine and measles vaccine. For BCG, they concluded that the evidence was consistent with BCG having beneficial NSEs. Many studies were observational, and evidence from randomised trials was requested.<sup>8</sup> As BCG is recommended at birth, it is difficult to conduct randomised trials of BCG vaccination, as it is not ethical to deprive children of the BCG vaccine. Therefore, most trials of the effect of BCG vaccination on mortality have been conducted among low-weight children, for whom BCG vaccination was previously delayed. Three trials from Guinea-Bissau using BCG-Denmark showed that BCG vaccination at birth reduced neonatal mortality by 38% (95% CI 17 to 54%).<sup>14–16</sup> Two recent trials among low-weight children in India using BCG-Russia found no effect of BCG vaccination at birth (HR: 0.98 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.11)).<sup>17</sup> These differences in results have been suggested to be related to differences in BCG strains.<sup>18</sup>

Immunological evidence indicates that BCG induces epigenetic changes in monocytes, which reprogram the innate immune system to increased proinflammatory responses against unrelated pathogens.<sup>19 20</sup> These findings provide biological mechanisms, whereby BCG could exert non-specific beneficial effects, protecting the recipients against non-targeted infectious diseases.<sup>20 21</sup>

## Objective and hypothesis

In the present cluster-randomised crossover trial, we will assess the effect of making BCG available everyday at the health facilities on early infant mortality and morbidity in a rural setting in Guinea-Bissau. We hypothesise that increasing the availability of BCG and vaccinating children at the first health-facility contact can reduce early infant non-accidental mortality by 25%.

## METHODS AND ANALYSES

### Setting and study population

The study will be conducted in Oio, Farim and Biombo regions in Guinea-Bissau. Within these health regions, primary healthcare is managed in 23 health areas, each with a health centre. Bandim Health Project's (BHP)

rural Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) monitors pregnancies, births and child health in 40 BHP village clusters distributed across the regions.

*BHP HDSS (level 1):* BHP established the rural HDSS in 1990.<sup>22</sup> The BHP teams survey women of fertile age and children below the age of 5 years in randomly selected BHP clusters in all health regions across the country. The 40 rural BHP clusters in the regions where the trial will be implemented are followed through bimonthly visits by the BHP teams. At all visits, the women are asked whether they are pregnant. When a pregnancy is registered, the woman's nutritional status is assessed by measurement of mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC). Information on antenatal care is collected prior to giving birth as well as at the first visit after delivery. Socioeconomic factors (type of roofing, type of bathroom, possession of a mobile phone, radio and generator) are registered. After the delivery, information on the place of delivery (home, health facility) and who assisted the delivery is collected. The annual birth cohort in the 40 BHP clusters is approximately 1200.

*Reinforced community health workers (CHWs) monitoring (level 2):* in all villages, CHWs, subsidised through national programmes, monitor births and deaths. CHWs report aggregated data on pregnancies, number of births and neonatal deaths (in two categories: 0–7 days and 8–28 days) in their capture area to the health centre at monthly meetings. For the outcome assessment within the framework of the trial, we have developed a reinforced monitoring system based on expanding the existing supervisor system. Each CHW will receive a visit every 1–3 months from a BHP subsidised supervisor, each covering one health area (table 1). At the first visit after the registration of a pregnancy by the CHW, the supervisor will visit the pregnant woman and register information on maternal age, schooling, parity and BCG scar. In villages without a functioning CHW-monitoring system, the supervisor will collect information at the households without a prior visit from a CHW. The supervisor will visit households of children, who, at the prior visit, were aged less than 42 days or not born, and collect individual-level information on vital status, hospital admissions, BCG vaccination status, MUAC and BCG reaction. Each CHW follows 50–100 compounds in a village or a defined area of a large village.

**Table 1** Trial design

	Number of clusters	Intervention	Control	Surveillance
Level 1	40 BHP clusters	BCG available at all health-facility contacts	BCG available at weekly contacts as usual practice	HDSS follow-up with bimonthly visits
Level 2	840 villages			Village visit every 1–3 months by a supervisor reinforcing the CHW data collection and monthly CHW data collection

BHP, Bandim Health Project; CHW, community health worker; HDSS, Health and Demographic Surveillance System.

## Trial design and randomisation

The present trial is a cluster-randomised crossover trial, randomising health centres (1:1) to two different treatment groups stratified by region. In half of the health centres, the control group, BCG will be provided as per current practice (typically once a week if a sufficient number of children are present for vaccination); in the remaining health centres, the intervention group, we will make BCG available everyday by opening a vial of BCG if there is just one eligible child present. The trial will be implemented stepwise, one region at a time. In each region, the randomisation of centres will be crossed over after 12 months.

Randomisation will be performed prior to study start using computer-generated random numbers. The trial is unblinded.

## Sample size considerations

We ran 10 000 simulations with a baseline mortality risk of 2.5% between day 1 and day 42, allowing variation between 1.5% and 3.5% for the individual health centres and using a uniform mortality distribution. Based on the estimated number of births within each health centre area (data provided by the vaccination programme), and assuming that we will obtain information on 85% of pregnancies prior to births, we will include approximately 11 400 children in the analysis per year. The true mortality reduction by the intervention is assumed to be 25%. Using a logistic regression with generalised estimating equation (GEE)-based correction for health area, we will have 90% power to demonstrate an effect of the intervention. In the planned analyses, we will use GEE correction for the smaller unit 'village', and, thus, the sample size estimate is conservative.

## Trial methodology

### Enrolment

All children registered during pregnancy, enter the trial cohort 1 day after birth (main analysis) or at birth (secondary analysis). A pregnancy can be registered in more than one village, but the child will only enter the trial if the mother gave birth in the village or was discharged to the village after giving birth at a health facility.

### Informed consent

Within the HDSS (level-1 BHP clusters), we seek consent for surveillance of women and all their children at the first registration (online supplemental appendix 1). In level-2 villages, oral consent for surveillance will be sought at the registration of the pregnancy (online supplemental appendix 2).

### Intervention BCG vaccine

BCG vaccination is administered by intradermal injection. After vaccination, most children develop a scar at the injection site. Among BCG-vaccinated children, having a BCG scar is associated with improved survival.<sup>23–25</sup> The proportion of children developing a scar after BCG vaccination depends on the vaccination technique and

strain.<sup>26–28</sup> Refresher training on vaccination technique and assessment of lymph glands will be conducted at all health centres prior to trial start and prior to revealing the randomisation to the health facility personnel. During the pilot phase, the ability of the health facilities to provide BCG according to the trial randomisation will be evaluated. If the number of staff capable of providing BCG is not sufficient to be able to vaccinate everyday (intervention arm) or according to routine schedule (control arm), further staff will be trained in BCG vaccination technique.

BCG vaccination is part of the recommended vaccination programme in Guinea-Bissau, and we will use vaccines provided by the national vaccination programme through UNICEF. The strain supplied through the national vaccination programme varies, and different strains are used interchangeably. We will supply additional BCG vaccines to make BCG available at all health-facility contacts at the intervention health centres and, in case of national stock out, as per usual quantity at the control centres. In collaboration with the national vaccination programme, we will coordinate the BCG supply to make sure that, during the same period, the same strain will be used in both randomisation arms. We will only supply WHO-prequalified BCG vaccines. In case of BCG vaccine shortages, we will supply a quantity corresponding to what is usually supplied by the national vaccination programme to control health centres and continue to supply BCG vaccines to be able to open a vial for each child in the intervention health centres.

Other routine vaccines will be available as usual through the national Expanded Programme on Immunization in all health centres irrespective of randomisation allocation.

## Follow-up

All children entering the trial in Oio, Farim and Biombo are followed up individually through level 1 and/or level 2 follow-up as explained in 'settings and study population'. At home visits after 42 days of age, individual-level information on vital status, hospital admissions, BCG vaccination status, MUAC and BCG reaction will be collected for all children in the trial. For all registered deaths, a specially trained field worker will visit the household of the deceased child to conduct a verbal autopsy. Furthermore, passive case detection for suspected adverse events (admissions and consultations) will take place at the health centres.

## Data management

The CHWs deliver data to the health centres at monthly meetings. The summary data reported by the CHWs will be recorded by the supervisors. Data collected by supervisors (level 2) will be collected on password-protected tablets and backed up to a password-protected encrypted server. Every 6th month data on children who completed follow-up will be moved to an encrypted server only accessible to investigators. Data collected through the HDSS



(level 1) will be copied to the study data table. Following data entry, data are checked for consistency using standardised procedures. Main outcome events are reviewed individually.

### Inclusion criteria

All children registered by the supervisors during pregnancy are eligible for the trial, provided that:

- ▶ the child is born in the village or
- ▶ the child is born in a health facility and discharged directly to the village.

### Exclusion criteria

As the trial is expected to answer a pragmatic question about the effect of making the BCG vaccine available at the first health-facility contact, there are few exclusion criteria:

- ▶ the child died within 1 day after birth (except in the analysis of neonatal mortality (secondary outcome)).
- ▶ the child is born outside Oio, Farim and Biombo health regions.

## Outcomes

### Primary outcome

The primary outcome is non-accidental mortality between 1 and 42 days after birth based on individual-level data. As other vaccines are scheduled to be given at 42 days, we have chosen this cut-off to avoid interference from other vaccines. Non-accidental mortality is defined as all deaths not classified as caused by accidents based on the verbal autopsies. As accidents are rare in this age group, deaths will be classified as non-accidental if it is not possible to conduct a verbal autopsy. Follow-up will be censored at migration.

### Secondary outcomes and potential effect modifiers

We will evaluate the effect of increased availability of BCG on neonatal non-accidental mortality and early infant non-accidental hospital admission, defined as an overnight stay in a health facility, or arrival at the health facility and death within the first day, due to all other causes than accident.

We will assess potential effect modifiers (sex, maternal BCG scarring, season, oral polio vaccine (OPV) campaigns, and BCG strain) to gain a better knowledge of the potential effect modifiers (a list of all outcomes is found in [box 1](#)).

Provided that we find support for our hypothesis, we will study the cost-effectiveness of making BCG available at the first health-facility contact using the effects on mortality and hospital admission from the present trial. Furthermore, we will assess number of births and neonatal deaths reported by CHWs, and whether they differ from the numbers recorded by supervisors.

### Statistical analyses

General analysis principles applied in all analyses are found in online supplemental appendix 4. A list of all planned analyses are provided in online supplemental

## Box 1 Outcomes

### Primary outcome

⇒ Early infant non-accidental mortality\*.

### Potential effect modifiers

- ⇒ Sex.
- ⇒ Maternal BCG scarring.
- ⇒ Season.
- ⇒ Oral polio vaccine campaigns.
- ⇒ BCG strain.

### Secondary outcomes

- ⇒ Neonatal non-accidental mortality\*.
- ⇒ Non-accidental hospital admission\*.
- ⇒ Incremental cost-effectiveness of making BCG available at the first health-facility contact.

\*Analysed using logistic regression models with generalised estimating equation-based correction for cluster effect. All children registered prior to birth are included in the analyses. Further details are provided in online supplemental appendix 3.

appendix 3. In brief, in logistic regression models with GEE-based correction for village, we will assess the effect of making BCG available at the first health-facility contact. We will adjust for sex, health centre, period (date of birth of child before vs on/after crossover) and level of surveillance. In the main analysis, children are under observation from day 1 after birth until death or migration within the first 42 days of life. In secondary analyses, we will investigate whether the effect of making BCG available at the first health-facility contact differs by the following potential effect modifiers, which in prior trials have been important determinants of the effect: sex, maternal BCG scarring, season, OPV campaigns and strain of BCG. In sensitivity analyses, we will assess the robustness of the effect by (1) restricting the outcome definition to particular causes of death, (2) excluding children, who have been eligible for OPV campaigns within the first 42 days of life and (3) stratifying the analysis by before/after cross-over.

Secondary outcomes are non-accidental hospital admissions, neonatal mortality and BCG scarring. In the planned cost-effectiveness analysis, we will assess the cost per death averted using a societal perspective, contrasting the costs of vaccine provision in the present programme and a scenario where BCG is available at the first health-facility contact for all children.

In addition to assessing the effect of making BCG available at all health facility contacts, we will compare data (number of births and neonatal deaths) reported by CHWs and supervisors.

### Time schedule

The trial will be implemented stepwise in the three health regions. A pilot phase of the trial, initially implementing the field data collection with subsequent addition of health centre intervention, was started in February 2021 in Biombo. Trial start was December 2021 in Biombo and

is anticipated to be August 2022 in Oio and Farim, with pilot phases preceding each regional start. The crossover of randomisation groups of the health centres will occur 12 months after trial starts in each region. We anticipate that the last enrolments will be conducted in July 2024, and that follow-up will end in October 2024.

### Patient and public involvement

We use the infrastructure of the health system in Oio, Biombo and Farim health regions in Guinea-Bissau. The community health workers and supervisors from the local health centres were involved in locating households of pregnant women and obtaining information. No participants were involved in setting the research question or the outcome measure, nor were they involved in developing plans for recruitment, design or implementation of the study. Nurses and midwives from local health centres were trained on BCG vaccination technique. The trial will be conducted in close collaboration with the local health facilities and local health authorities. We do not plan to include participants in the interpretation or writing up the results. The results will be disseminated to the local health facilities, local health authorities and to the National Institute of Public Health. There are no plans to disseminate the results to study participants.

### DISCUSSION

Despite BCG being recommended at birth in countries with high TB burden, less than half of children in rural Guinea-Bissau are vaccinated by 1 month of age.<sup>6</sup> Several studies have found that BCG vaccination is important,<sup>9 23 29 30</sup> and that timing of BCG may also be important.<sup>12 13</sup> Previous randomised trials of early BCG vaccination to low birth weight (LBW) children in Guinea-Bissau showed that early BCG vaccination had major impact.<sup>14–16</sup> Trials among LBW children in intensive care units in India did, however, not demonstrate similar effects.<sup>17</sup> The conflicting results may be explained by different strains of BCG<sup>18 31</sup> and the causes of death may be different before and after discharge from the hospital, fatal infectious diseases playing a limited role before discharge.<sup>32</sup> In the present trial, we will, therefore, in collaboration with local health authorities, ensure that the same strain of BCG is used in both the intervention and the control clusters at the same time.

We previously conducted a cluster-randomised trial in rural Guinea-Bissau, assessing the effect of providing BCG and OPV vaccination at home visits within 72 hours after birth.<sup>33</sup> The trial was ended prematurely due to lower than expected enrolment rates. Providing BCG and OPV vaccines at home visits reduced mortality in rural Guinea-Bissau (authors' unpublished data), but the setup was resource demanding, and unlikely to be introduced in a resource-constrained health system as in Guinea-Bissau. In the present trial, we assess the effect of providing BCG at the first health facility contact, thus the intervention could easily be implemented in the health system in

Guinea-Bissau. Providing BCG at the first health-facility contact for all children will ensure that no mother walks to a health centre in vain to obtain BCG vaccination for her child. We have previously demonstrated that mothers in rural Guinea-Bissau, on average, use US\$1.89 to bring their children for BCG vaccination with the current restrictive vial-opening policy.<sup>7</sup> We recently estimated that disregarding the restrictive vial opening policy in rural Guinea-Bissau would increase 1-month BCG coverage from 42% to 60% and reduce all-cause deaths before 5 years by 8.4% (uncertainty range: 3.3%–13.5%) per birth cohort. The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio was estimated at US\$8 (uncertainty range: 4–20) per discounted life-year gained.<sup>6</sup> Thus, the estimated impact of disregarding the restrictive vial-opening policy of BCG is major, and with the present trial, we will assess the impact of the intervention in a cluster-randomised crossover trial. Some villages are located with similar distance to two health centres, which could make mothers seek another health centre than the target health centre to obtain BCG vaccines. However, as most villages only have one health centre nearby, we expect most mothers to seek the target health centre. Monitoring BCG vaccination ages will allow us to assess the extent of a potential contamination.

The trial builds on previous findings, trial experience, and close collaboration with local health authorities. The intervention assessed is easily implementable. Thus, provided that we are able to confirm previous findings of the impact of early BCG vaccination, the trial results can be transformed into immediate policy changes. Vaccine delays are not only present in Guinea-Bissau<sup>4</sup> and trial results are likely to be relevant in other settings with vaccine delays.

### ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

#### Ethical considerations

BCG is recommended at birth, but vaccination is often delayed.<sup>5</sup> Evidence suggests that BCG may have beneficial NSEs.<sup>8 34 35</sup> The proposal compares the current situation in rural Guinea-Bissau, where less than half of all infants get BCG during the first month of life<sup>5</sup> with a scenario where BCG would be available at the first health-facility contact for every child. Hence, no child receives BCG later than it would have done, had the trial not been carried out. The trial protocol was approved by the Guinean Ethical Committee on 3 January 2020 and the Danish National Ethical Committee provided consultative approval on 17 March 2020.

#### Trial registration

The trial was first registered at clinicaltrials.gov on 19 November 2020 (Clinicaltrials.gov ID). Secondary identifiers 062/CNES/INASA/2020 (Guinean Ethical Committee) and CS-BCG (sponsor). The trial is researcher initiated and the sponsor is the BHP (www.bandim.org).

#### Advisory board

An advisory board has been formed consisting of a paediatrician (Anja Poulsen, Rigshospitalet, Denmark), an

epidemiologist (Torben Sigsgaard, Aarhus University, Denmark) and a statistician (Theis Lange, University of Copenhagen, Denmark). The members have been appointed on their experience, reputation for objectivity, absence of conflicts of interest and knowledge on clinical trial methodology.

### Safety monitoring

The vaccines used for the trial are prequalified and recommended by WHO to be given at birth. The trial participants will possibly get the vaccine earlier than usual, but no child will be vaccinated later. Adverse reactions are rare for BCG. Within the HDSS, at the village visits, a trained study assistant examines the BCG vaccination site and the axillary lymph glands of all children to assess suppurative lymphadenitis as an adverse reaction to the BCG vaccination. Prior to study start and reveal of randomisation, staff at all health centres will receive refresher training on vaccination technique and assessment of lymph glands and be requested to report cases of suppurative lymphadenitis to the study team. Other serious adverse events are captured through primary and/or secondary outcomes (mortality and hospitalisations).

As a public financed Danish research institution, University of Southern Denmark is self-insured and cannot take out a liability insurance through a private company. As an investigator-initiated trial by investigators affiliated with University of Southern Denmark, any harm to study participants due to their participation in the trial is, thus, covered by the University of Southern Denmark.

### Dissemination of results

The results of the study will be published in international peer-reviewed journals and results will be communicated to the National Institute of Public Health in Guinea-Bissau. We will, furthermore, prepare a policy brief to ensure that our results are easily accessible to policy-makers, civil society and BCG vaccine manufacturers. After publication of the main results on completion of the trial, data will be available on a collaborative basis. Please contact a.fisker@health.sdu.dk.

### Protocol amendments

Any protocol modifications including amendments to the analysis plan will be discussed with the advisory board, and changes will be added to the trial registration.

**Twitter** Ane Bærent Fisker @AneFisker

**Acknowledgements** We thank our Advisory Board, Anja Poulsen, Theis Lange, and Torben Sigsgaard, for insightful comments on planned analysis, study design, and implementation.

**Contributors** SMT and ABF conceived the idea for the trial. SMT and ABF designed the study in collaboration with CSB and PA. SMT, AMJ, JOV, AKGJ and ABF planned the analyses with input from Theis Lange. AMJ, JOV and ABF set up the study with help from SMT. AMJ, JOV, IdSB and ABF supervised data collection. SMT drafted the manuscript and data analysis plan with help from AMJ, JOV and ABF. All authors read and approved the final manuscript and data analysis plan.

**Funding** Karen Elise Jensen foundation is the main funder of the trial. Odense University Hospital supports fieldwork and salary of JOV. The work of ABF and

salaries of JOV and AMJ are supported through a Sapere Aude Research Leader Grant from Independent Research Council Denmark (9060-00018B).

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

**Patient consent for publication** Consent obtained from parent(s)/guardian(s)

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

### ORCID iDs

Sanne Marie Thysen <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4541-3901>

Peter Aaby <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8331-1389>

### REFERENCES

- 1 World Health Organization. BCG vaccine: WHO position paper, February 2018 - Recommendations. *Vaccine* 2018;36:3408–10.
- 2 Kagoné M, Yé M, Nébié E, *et al*. Vaccination coverage and factors associated with adherence to the vaccination schedule in young children of a rural area in Burkina Faso. *Glob Health Action* 2017;10:1399749.
- 3 Hanifi SMA, Das S, Rahman M. Bangladeshi neonates miss the potential benefits of early BCG vaccination. *Int J Epidemiol* 2018;47:348–9.
- 4 Thysen SM, Fisker AB, Welaga P, *et al*. Selecting the right indicators to ensure optimised implementation of BCG vaccination policy. *Vaccine* 2018;36:3406–7.
- 5 Thysen SM, Byberg S, Pedersen M, *et al*. BCG coverage and barriers to BCG vaccination in Guinea-Bissau: an observational study. *BMC Public Health* 2014;14:1037.
- 6 Thysen SM, Fisker AB, Byberg S, *et al*. Disregarding the restrictive vial-opening policy for BCG vaccine in Guinea-Bissau: impact and cost-effectiveness for tuberculosis mortality and all-cause mortality in children aged 0–4 years. *BMJ Glob Health* 2021;6:e006127.
- 7 Thysen SM, Byberg S, Martins JSD, *et al*. Household costs of seeking BCG vaccination in rural Guinea-Bissau. *Vaccine* 2019;37:5505–8.
- 8 Higgins JPT, Soares-Weiser K, López-López JA, *et al*. Association of BCG, DTP, and measles containing vaccines with childhood mortality: systematic review. *BMJ* 2016;355:i5170.
- 9 Kristensen I, Aaby P, Jensen H. Routine vaccinations and child survival: follow up study in Guinea-Bissau, West Africa. *BMJ* 2000;321:1435–8.
- 10 Velema JP, Alihonou EM, Gandaho T, *et al*. Childhood mortality among users and non-users of primary health care in a rural West African community. *Int J Epidemiol* 1991;20:474–9.
- 11 Hirve S, Bavdekar A, Juvekar S, *et al*. Non-specific and sex-differential effects of vaccinations on child survival in rural Western India. *Vaccine* 2012;30:7300–8.
- 12 Roth A, Jensen H, Garly M-L, *et al*. Low birth weight infants and Calmette-Guérin Bacillus vaccination at birth: community study from Guinea-Bissau. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2004;23:544–50.
- 13 Thysen SM, Benn CS, Gomes VF, *et al*. Neonatal BCG vaccination and child survival in TB-exposed and TB-unexposed children: a prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e035595.
- 14 Aaby P, Roth A, Ravn H, *et al*. Randomized trial of BCG vaccination at birth to low-birth-weight children: beneficial nonspecific effects in the neonatal period? *J Infect Dis* 2011;204:245–52.
- 15 Biering-Sørensen S, Aaby P, Napirna BM, *et al*. Small randomized trial among low-birth-weight children receiving Bacillus Calmette-

- Guérin vaccination at first health center contact. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2012;31:306–8.
- 16 Biering-Sørensen S, Aaby P, Lund N, *et al.* Early BCG-Denmark and Neonatal Mortality Among Infants Weighing <2500 g: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017;65:1183–90.
  - 17 Jayaraman K, Adhisivam B, Nallasivan S, *et al.* Two Randomized Trials of the Effect of the Russian Strain of Bacillus Calmette-Guérin Alone or With Oral Polio Vaccine on Neonatal Mortality in Infants Weighing <2000 g in India. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2019;38:198–202.
  - 18 Curtis N. Bcg vaccination and all-cause neonatal mortality. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2019;38:195–7.
  - 19 Netea MG, Quintin J, van der Meer JWM. Trained immunity: a memory for innate host defense. *Cell Host Microbe* 2011;9:355–61.
  - 20 Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, *et al.* Bacille Calmette-Guérin induces Nod2-dependent nonspecific protection from reinfection via epigenetic reprogramming of monocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012;109:17537–42.
  - 21 Jensen KJ, Larsen N, Biering-Sørensen S, *et al.* Heterologous immunological effects of early BCG vaccination in low-birth-weight infants in Guinea-Bissau: a randomized-controlled trial. *J Infect Dis* 2015;211:956–67.
  - 22 Thysen SM, Fernandes M, Benn CS, *et al.* Cohort profile : Bandim Health Project's (BHP) rural Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS)-a nationally representative HDSS in Guinea-Bissau. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e028775.
  - 23 Roth A, Gustafson P, Nhaga A, *et al.* Bcg vaccination scar associated with better childhood survival in Guinea-Bissau. *Int J Epidemiol* 2005;34:540–7.
  - 24 Roth A, Sodemann M, Jensen H, *et al.* Tuberculin reaction, BCG scar, and lower female mortality. *Epidemiology* 2006;17:562–8.
  - 25 Garly M-L, Martins CL, Balé C, *et al.* Bcg scar and positive tuberculin reaction associated with reduced child mortality in West Africa. A non-specific beneficial effect of BCG? *Vaccine* 2003;21:2782–90.
  - 26 Roth A, Sodemann M, Jensen H, *et al.* Vaccination technique, PPD reaction and BCG scarring in a cohort of children born in Guinea-Bissau 2000-2002. *Vaccine* 2005;23:3991–8.
  - 27 Frankel H, Byberg S, Bjerregaard-Andersen M, *et al.* Different effects of BCG strains - A natural experiment evaluating the impact of the Danish and the Russian BCG strains on morbidity and scar formation in Guinea-Bissau. *Vaccine* 2016;34:4586–93.
  - 28 Funch KM, Thysen SM, Rodrigues A, *et al.* Determinants of BCG scarification among children in rural Guinea-Bissau: a prospective cohort study. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2018;14:2434–42.
  - 29 Welaga P, Debpuur C, Aaby P, *et al.* Is the decline in neonatal mortality in northern Ghana, 1996-2012, associated with the decline in the age of BCG vaccination? an ecological study. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e023752.
  - 30 Kjærgaard J, Birk NM, Nissen TN, *et al.* Nonspecific effect of BCG vaccination at birth on early childhood infections: a randomized, clinical multicenter trial. *Pediatr Res* 2016;80:681–5.
  - 31 Shann F. Editorial commentary: different strains of Bacillus Calmette-Guérin vaccine have very different effects on tuberculosis and on unrelated infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015;61:960–2.
  - 32 Schaltz-Buchholzer F, Aaby P, Monteiro I, *et al.* Immediate Bacille Calmette-Guérin vaccination to neonates requiring perinatal treatment at the maternity ward in Guinea-Bissau: a randomized controlled trial. *J Infect Dis* 2021;224:1935–44.
  - 33 Thysen SM, Jensen AKG, Rodrigues A, *et al.* Can earlier BCG vaccination reduce early infant mortality? study protocol for a cluster randomised trial in Guinea-Bissau. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e025724.
  - 34 Prentice S, Nassanga B, Webb EL, *et al.* Bcg-Induced non-specific effects on heterologous infectious disease in Ugandan neonates: an investigator-blind randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021;21:993–1003.
  - 35 Berendsen MLT, Øland CB, Bles P, *et al.* Maternal priming: Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccine scarring in mothers enhances the survival of their child with a BCG vaccine scar. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc* 2020;9:166–72.

## Appendix 1

### Seguimento longitudinal das crianças menores de cinco anos Informação para as mães ou encarregados

Somos do Projecto Saúde Bandim. Estamos a fazer um estudo de seguimento da saúde e sobrevivência das crianças e mulheres em idade fértil (MIF). Costumamos fazer este trabalho desde 1990 nas tabancas seleccionadas em Guiné-Bissau.

Este estudo é para acompanhar o resultado das intervenções que estão sendo feitas pelo Ministério da Saúde e outros parceiros na Guiné-Bissau.

Queremos visitar a sua morança/casa regularmente e coletar dados sobre a saúde das MIF e das crianças.

Toda a informação aqui colhida será usada somente para o estudo e será guardada de forma confidencial.

Se não quiser participar, continuará a ser tratada e/ou à criança da mesma forma que as outras que aceitaram. Se aceitar agora, e mais tarde não quiser continuar, também poderá desistir. Se mais tarde tiver alguma questão sobre este trabalho, poderá contactar-nos pelo telefone 955435117

Tem alguma pergunta? Aceita a sua participação e/ou das suas crianças atuais e as futuras?



## Appendix 2: Consent script

Oral consent for surveillance will be sought from pregnant women.

The information below will be provided orally to pregnant women in Oio, Biombo and Farim and a written copy will be left at the households of the included women.

---

Bandim Health Project is carrying out a study on the health and survival of children in Oio, Biombo and Farim. Therefore, we would like to follow your pregnancy and your child within the first months.

This aim of the study is to assess the effects of how vaccines are provided at health centers.

All information collected here will be used only for the study and will be kept confidential.

Participation in this study is voluntary. If you do not want to participate, you and your child will continue to be treated in the same way as others who have accepted.

If you accept now and later do not want to continue, you can withdraw your consent at any time.

If you have any questions about this work later, you can contact us by phone: 969006817 / 956701084.

*Note: The following two questions are part of the consent script shown at the data collectors' tablets, but are not included in the written copy left at the women's households*

Do you have any questions now?

Do you accept that you and your future child will participate?

## Appendix 3 - Planned analyses

### 1 Baseline

#### Descriptive statistics:

We will describe participant flow and reasons for non-participation by group allocation in a flowchart. For children included in the analysis, we will describe background factors. Distribution of background factors will be presented by group allocation overall and by sex and region. Background factors will be summarised by counts (percentages), means (standard deviation) or medians (interquartile range) as appropriate. Information on the proportion with missing information will be provided.

**Table 1: Summary of background factors by intervention and control group**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sex</li> <li>• Region</li> <li>• Place of birth (hospital, health centre or home)</li> <li>• Maternal factors (age, education, parity and BCG scar)</li> <li>• Level 1/level 2 surveillance</li> <li>• Trial period before/after crossover</li> </ul>
--

### 2 Primary analysis of primary outcome

The primary analysis of early infant non-accidental mortality will be assessed in an intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis. We will use logistic regression models with generalised estimating equation (GEE) correction for village, thus a smaller cluster size than used in our sample size calculation. Analyses will be adjusted for health centre, period (before/after crossover), level of surveillance and sex. The primary analysis of the primary outcome is described in more detail in table 2.

**Table 2: Primary analysis of primary outcome**

Population	<p>Children are eligible for the analysis if they are registered before birth in a village of a health centre capture area and either born in the same village or born in a health facility and discharged to the same village.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Children, who have died within 1 day after birth</li> </ul>
------------	---

	- Children born outside the Oio, Farim and Biombo health regions
Observation period	From: 1 day after birth. To first of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 42 days of life</li> <li>- Migration</li> <li>- Crossover of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- End of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- Last date of follow-up, if lost to follow-up</li> </ul>
Failure definition	Death classified as not caused by accident during the observation period. Deaths due to accidents will be identified through verbal autopsies. Accidents are rare in this age group. If no verbal autopsy is possible, we will therefore classify a death as not caused by accident.
Statistical tool	Logistic regression
Clustering	We will use GEE-based correction for village
<u>Outline stata code</u> For analysis:	<pre>xtset village xtgee dead random sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, family(binomial) /// link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</pre> <p>*Village =The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>

### 3 Effect-modifier analyses of primary outcome

We will assess whether the effect of the intervention on the primary effect measure is modified by the following potential effect modifiers.

**Table 3. Sex as a potential effect modifier of the primary outcome**

Potential effect modifier	Sex
Design	We will perform the analysis as describe above (for the primary analysis) allowing the effect of the intervention to differ between the sexes.
Reasoning	Previous studies have found sex-differential non-specific effects <sup>1 2</sup> , therefore, we will assess the sex-differential effects.
<u>Outline stata code:</u> For analysis:	xtset village

	<p>xtgee dead random#sex sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, /// family(binomial) link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</p> <p>*Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>
--	---

**Table 4. Maternal BCG scar as a potential effect modifier of the primary outcome**

Potential effect modifier	Maternal BCG scar (yes/no)
Design	We will perform the analysis as described above (for the primary analysis) allowing the effect of the intervention to differ by maternal BCG-scar status
Reasoning	Recent studies suggest that the effect of BCG varies by whether the mother has received BCG or not <sup>3 4</sup> . Since BCG scar is a life-long marker of a successful BCG-vaccination, we will assess whether the effect of making BCG available differs by maternal BCG-scar status
<u>Outline stata code:</u> For analysis:	<p>xtset village xtgee dead random#mBCGscar mBCGscar sex b1.hc b1.level /// b0.period, family(binomial) link(logit) corr(exchangeable) /// robust eform</p> <p>* Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; mBCGscar= maternal BCG scar; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>

**Table 5. Season as a potential effect modifier of the primary outcome**

Potential effect modifier	Season of birth (Dry: December-May/Rainy: June-November)
Design	We will perform the analysis as described above (for the primary analysis) allowing the effect of the intervention to differ by season of birth
Reasoning	Previous studies have found that the effect of some vaccines is stronger in the dry season <sup>5</sup> . Therefore, we would like to assess if the effect of making BCG available differs according to season.

<u>Outline stata code:</u> For analysis:	<pre>xtset village xtgee dead random#season season sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, /// family(binomial) link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</pre> <p>* Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>
---	--

**Table 6. OPV campaigns as a potential effect modifier of the primary outcome**

Potential effect modifier	OPV campaign
Design	We will perform the analysis as described above (for the primary analysis) allowing the effect of the intervention to differ among children exposed and not exposed to OPV campaigns
Reasoning	Previous studies have found that OPV campaigns may affect the impact of other vaccines. Therefore, we would like to assess if the effect of making BCG available differs before and after eligibility to OPV campaigns.
<u>Outline stata code:</u> For analysis:	<pre>xtset village xtgee dead random#OPVcamp OPVcamp sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, /// family(binomial) link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</pre> <p>* Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>

**Table 7. Strain of BCG as a potential effect modifier of the primary outcome**

Potential effect modifier	Strain in use (i.e. a range of weeks of birth during which different strains were in use)
Design	We will perform the analysis as described above (for the primary analysis) allowing the effect of the intervention to differ by time of birth
Reasoning	Different BCG vaccine strains vary in ability to cause BCG scarring <sup>6 7</sup> . Their immune modulatory effects, and presumably effects on child mortality <sup>8</sup> may therefore be associated with varying effects. Therefore,

	we will assess if the intervention effect vary over time (as a proxy for strain of BCG).
<u>Outline stata code:</u> For analysis:	<pre>xtset village xtgee dead random#strain strain sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, /// family(binomial) link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</pre> <p>*Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>

#### 4 Primary analyses of secondary outcomes

##### *Neonatal non-accidental mortality*

We will assess the effect of BCG availability on non-accidental neonatal mortality. The rate of neonatal mortality will be compared using a logistic regression model with GEE-based correction for village.

**Table 8: Non-accidental neonatal mortality between day 1 and 28**

Population	<p>Children are eligible for the analysis if they are registered before birth in a village of a health centre capture area and either born in the same village or born in a health facility and discharged to the same village.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Children, who have died within 1 day after birth</li> <li>- Children born outside the Oio, Farim and Biombo health regions</li> </ul>
Observation period	<p>From: 1 day after birth</p> <p>To first of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 28 days of life</li> <li>- Migration</li> <li>- Death</li> <li>- Crossover of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- End of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- Last date of follow-up, if lost to follow-up</li> </ul>
Failure definition	<p>Death classified as not caused by accident during the observation period. Deaths due to accidents will be identified through verbal autopsies. Accidents are rare in this age group. If no verbal autopsy is possible, we will therefore classify a death as not caused by accident.</p>
Statistical tool	Logistic regression

Clustering	We will use GEE-based correction for village
Stata code	<pre>xtset village xtgee neodead random sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, /// family(binomial) link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</pre> <p>* Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>

The analysis of non-accidental neonatal mortality will be repeated with a slightly altered population, including children who died within the first day of life. This is to ensure that the results of the trial will be included in future meta-analyses investigating neonatal death.

**Table 9: Non-accidental neonatal mortality between day 0 and 28**

Population	<p>Children are eligible for the analysis if they are registered before birth in a village of a health centre capture area and either born in the same village or born in a health facility and discharged to the same village.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Stillborn children</li> <li>- Children born outside the Oio, Farim and Biombo health regions</li> </ul>
Observation period	<p>From: Birth</p> <p>To first of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 28 days of life</li> <li>- Migration</li> <li>- Crossover of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- End of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- Last date of follow-up, if lost to follow-up</li> </ul>
Failure definition	Death classified as not caused by accident during the observation period. Deaths due to accidents will be identified through verbal autopsies. Accidents are rare in this age group. If no verbal autopsy is possible, we will therefore classify a death as not caused by accident.
Statistical tool	Logistic regression
Clustering	We will use GEE-based correction for village
Stata code	<pre>xtset village xtgee neodead random sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, /// family(binomial) link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</pre>

	* Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)
--	---

### *Early infant non-accidental hospital admission*

Since it can be difficult to distinguish between hospital admissions and outpatient contacts through interviews, we have defined hospital admission as at least one overnight stay in a health facility or death in a health facility on the day of arrival at the facility. The potential effect modifiers for the primary outcome specified in tables 3-6 will also be assessed for non-accidental hospitalisation.

**Table 10: Non-accidental hospitalisation**

Population	Children are eligible for the analysis if they are registered before birth in a village of a health centre capture area and either born in the same village or born in a health facility and discharged to the same village. Exclusion criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Children, who have died within 1 day after birth</li> <li>- Children born outside the Oio, Farim and Biombo health regions</li> </ul>
Observation period	From: Day 1 day after birth To first of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 42 days of life</li> <li>- Death</li> <li>- Migration</li> <li>- Crossover of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- End of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- Last date of follow-up, if lost to follow-up</li> </ul>
Failure definition	A hospital admission during the observation period – only overnight stays or arrival at the hospital and death within the first day will be considered in this analysis. Admission reported to be caused by accidents will be censored.
Statistical tool	Logistic regression
Clustering	We will use GEE-based correction for village
<u>Outline stata code</u> For analysis:	xtset village



	<p>xtgee hosp random sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, family(binomial) /// link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</p> <p>*Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>
--	---

#### *Cost-effectiveness of making BCG available at the first health-facility contact*

A cost-effectiveness analysis seeking to measure the cost per death averted using a societal perspective will be performed, contrasting the costs of vaccine provision in the present programme to a scenario with BCG available at the first health-facility contact as tested in the trial. The costs/savings associated with different rates of consultations and admissions will also be taken into account.

### **5 Sensitivity analyses to test for robustness of conclusions**

**Table 11: Early infant cause-specific mortality**

Population	<p>Children are eligible for the analysis if they are registered before birth in a village of a health centre capture area and either born in the same village or born in a health facility and discharged to the same village.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Children, who have died within 1 day after birth</li> <li>- Children born outside the Oio, Farim and Biombo health regions</li> </ul>
Observation period	<p>From: 1 day after birth</p> <p>To first of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 42 days of life</li> <li>- Migration</li> <li>- Crossover of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- End of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- Last date of follow-up, if lost to follow-up</li> </ul>
Failure definition	<p>Death during the observation period due to: Malaria, Respiratory Infection, Sepsis, Gastrointestinal disease, Accidents, Other. If no verbal autopsy is possible or the information obtained is insufficient to reach a conclusion, we will classify the cause of death as “no information” and group it with “other” in the analysis. Deaths may be classified as due to more than one cause and can count in more than one analysis.</p>

Statistical tool	Logistic regression
Clustering	We will use GEE-based correction for village
<u>Outline stata code</u> For analysis:	<pre>xtset village xtgee event random sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, family(binomial) /// link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</pre> <p>* Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>

**Table 12: Non-accidental mortality between day 1 and 42, for children followed the complete 42 days**

Population	<p>Children are eligible for the analysis if they are registered before birth in a village of a health centre capture area and either born in the same village or born in a health facility and discharged to the same village.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Children, who have died within 1 day after birth</li> <li>- Children born outside the Oio, Farim and Biombo health regions</li> <li>- Migration before 42 days of life</li> <li>- Lost to follow-up before 42 days of life</li> </ul>
Observation period	<p>From: 1 day after birth.</p> <p>To first of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 42 days of life</li> <li>- Crossover of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- End of intervention at health centres</li> </ul>
Failure definition	<p>Death classified as not caused by accident during the observation period. Deaths due to accidents will be identified through verbal autopsies. Accidents are rare in this age group. If no verbal autopsy is possible, we will therefore classify a death as not caused by accident.</p>
Statistical tool	Logistic regression
Clustering	We will use GEE-based correction for village
<u>Outline stata code</u> For analysis:	<pre>xtset village xtgee dead random sex b1.hc b1.level b0.period, family(binomial) /// link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</pre>

	*Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)
--	--

**Table 13: Non-accidental mortality stratified by before/after cross over**

Population	Children are eligible for the analysis if they are registered before birth in a village of a health centre capture area and either born in the same village or born in a health facility and discharged to the same village. Exclusion criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Children, who have died within 1 day after birth</li> <li>- Children born outside the Oio, Farim and Biombo health regions</li> </ul>
Observation period	From: 1 day after birth. To first of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 42 days of life</li> <li>- Migration</li> <li>- Crossover of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- End of intervention at health centres</li> <li>- Last date of follow-up, if lost to follow-up</li> </ul>
Failure definition	Death classified as not caused by accident during the observation period. Deaths due to accidents will be identified through verbal autopsies. Accidents are rare in this age group. If no verbal autopsy is possible, we will therefore classify a death as not caused by accident.
Statistical tool	Logistic regression
Clustering	We will use GEE-based correction for village
<u>Outline stata code</u> For analysis:	<pre>xtset village xtgee dead random#b0.period b0.period sex b1.hc b1.level, family(binomial) /// link(logit) corr(exchangeable) robust eform</pre> <p>* Village=The geographical village boundaries are defined by the supervisors prior to initiating data collection and may comprise the capture area of one or more CHW; hc=health centre id; level=level of surveillance; period=0 (before crossover) / 1(after)</p>

In sensitivity analyses, we will furthermore, assess whether the conclusions are robust to

- Excluding children who during the first 42 days of life were exposed to general health intervention campaigns targeting children <42 days (e.g. OPV campaigns)
- Censoring deaths, where a verbal autopsy have not been conducted.

## References

1. Benn CS, Netea MG, Selin LK, et al. A small jab - a big effect: nonspecific immunomodulation by vaccines. *Trends in immunology* 2013;34(9):431-9. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2013.04.004
2. Aaby P, Benn CS. Non-specific and sex-differential effects of routine vaccines: what evidence is needed to take these effects into consideration in low-income countries? *Human vaccines* 2011;7(1):120-4. [published Online First: 2011/02/01]
3. Stensballe LG, Ravn H, Birk NM, et al. BCG Vaccination at Birth and Rate of Hospitalization for Infection Until 15 Months of Age in Danish Children: A Randomized Clinical Multicenter Trial. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc* 2018 doi: 10.1093/jpids/piy029 [published Online First: 2018/04/11]
4. Berendsen MLT, Oland CB, Bles P, et al. Maternal Priming: Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) Vaccine Scarring in Mothers Enhances the Survival of Their Child With a BCG Vaccine Scar. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc* 2019 doi: 10.1093/jpids/piy142 [published Online First: 2019/02/05]
5. Martins CL, Benn CS, Andersen A, et al. A randomized trial of a standard dose of Edmonston-Zagreb measles vaccine given at 4.5 months of age: effect on total hospital admissions. *J Infect Dis* 2014;209(11):1731-8. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jit804
6. Frankel H, Byberg S, Bjerregaard-Andersen M, et al. Different effects of BCG strains - A natural experiment evaluating the impact of the Danish and the Russian BCG strains on morbidity and scar formation in Guinea-Bissau. *Vaccine* 2016;34(38):4586-93. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.07.022
7. Funch KM, Thyssen SM, Rodrigues A, et al. Determinants of BCG scarification among children in rural Guinea-Bissau: A prospective cohort study. *Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics* 2018;0. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2017.1421879
8. Schaltz-Buchholzer F, Bjerregaard-Andersen M, Øland CB, et al. Early vaccination with BCG-Denmark or BCG-Japan versus BCG-Russia to healthy newborns in Guinea-Bissau: A randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2019 doi: 10.1093/cid/ciz1080

## **Appendix 4: General analysis principles**

### **1 Participant population**

All main analyses will be conducted on individual-level data based on level-1 (HDSS) or level-2 (reinforced-CHW) data collection. Children will be included in the analyses, only if they were registered prior to delivery, born in Oio, Farim or Biombo, did not die or migrate within the first day of life, and (in level-2 clusters) if their mother either gave birth in the village or was discharged directly to the village after giving birth at a health facility. The primary analysis and hence the main conclusion of the trial will be based on this population. The primary analysis will be assessed in an intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis.

Since the cluster-size varies, data will be analysed on individual level. All statistical tests will be 2-tailed and  $p \leq 0.05$  considered statistically significant for analyses involving the primary outcome.

### **2 Multiple testing**

P-values will not be corrected for multiple testing. Secondary outcomes are tested to observe if the pattern is similar across other health outcomes. Rather than formal testing and assessing statistical significance for the secondary outcomes, we will examine the robustness of the conclusions across different definitions of outcomes and co-variables. Consequently,  $p \leq 0.05$  will not be employed as a threshold for testing statistical significance for the secondary outcomes.

### **3 Missing data**

All analyses will be complete-case analyses.