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**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives** To assess the patients’ awareness of their rights, associated factors and the practice of these rights by the medical team from the patients’ perspective at Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital.

**Design** An institution-based prospective cross-sectional study was carried out.

**Setting** The research was conducted from January to May 2021 in a specialised hospital in central Ethiopia.

**Participants** 392 people who had elective surgery were included in this study; 217 men and 175 women responded. Systematic random sampling was employed to choose the research subjects, and K (skip interval) was calculated using the 3-month surgical waiting list at the hospital. Patients under the age of 18, those with severe illnesses, those who were not inpatients, and those who had diagnosable mental conditions were not eligible.

**Primary and secondary outcome measures** A structured questionnaire was administered in a face-to-face interview by trained data collectors after surgery at the surgical ward and analysed by using SPSS V.24. Bivariate and multivariable regression analyses were used to identify the associated factors. A P<0.05 was used to judge the significance of the association.

**Result** Patients’ awareness about their rights was 76%. Educational level, place of residency and the number of hospital admission were significantly associated with patients’ awareness. The majority (83.2%) of patients reported that health providers had poor practices regarding patient rights.

**Conclusion** Most patients were unaware of most of their rights, and the majority of patients reported that healthcare providers did a poor job of protecting their patients’ rights. To advance the application and understanding of patient rights, access to various facilities, patient and healthcare provider education programmes, and patient rights information technology must be improved.

**STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY**

⇒ This study used a standardised tool to assess the study’s outcome variable.

⇒ Using Cronbach’s alpha, the validity of the data collection method was examined in this study.

⇒ The sample size for this study is larger than average for a single-centre study.

⇒ Due to time and financial constraints, this is a single-centre study.

**INTRODUCTION**

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights which is established in 1948 recognises ‘the inherent dignity’ and the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family’. It is on the basic concept of the fundamental dignity and equality of all human beings, that the notion of patient rights was developed.1 Patient rights are a basic human right and a quality assurance that measures and protects patients against abuse and promotes ethical practice.2 Therefore, improving the rights of patients is considered a priority in the provision of medical services and one of the medical indices in every society.3 According to WHO, each country should make its bill by its own cultural and social needs to promote and support patients’ rights.4 The WHO cautions that ‘the existence of patients’ charters without efforts to raise awareness among patients does not improve the quality of healthcare5,6 In giving effective care, the patient generally demands their rights, while the hospital is responsible for fulfilling this particular expectation.5,6
In Ethiopia, every specialised hospital has standard requirements of patient rights. Patients in specialised hospitals have the following components of rights such as; healthcare and respect as a human being, informed consent, hygienic environment, and health education, choice of care, participation and representation, and the right to redress grievances. Patients’ awareness of their rights increase the quality of healthcare services, decrease costs, more prompt recovery, decrease the length of stay in hospitals, lower the risk of irreversible physical and spiritual damages, and more importantly, increased the dignity of patients through informing them about their rights to participate in decision making. Though there are bills, charters and hospital documents regarding patients’ rights available in different settings, the awareness among patients about these documents may be limited. Patients’ good knowledge (10.1%) cannot claim their rights in a healthcare setting and staff rather than their right. A study done in Egypt about the practice of these rights by health workers from the patients’ perspective, and factors affecting patients’ awareness of their rights among elective surgical patients at Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

**Specific objectives**

To determine the surgical patients’ awareness about their rights.
To assess the practice of health workers towards patient rights from the patients’ perspective.
To identify factors affecting patients’ awareness of their rights.

**METHOD AND MATERIAL**

An institutional based cross-sectional study was conducted from January to May 2021. The study was conducted at Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital, in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital is the largest referral hospital in the country. It is the main teaching hospital for both clinical and preclinical training of most disciplines. It is also an institution where specialised clinical services that are not available in other public or private institutions are rendered to the whole nation. The various departments, faculties and residents under specialty training in the school of medicine provide patient care in the hospital. All adult patients who were admitted to undergo elective surgery at Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital were the source of the population. All patients who undergo elective surgery were included in the study. Patients below the age of 18 years, critically ill patients, outpatients and patients with known psychiatric disorder such as (schizophrenia, depression, obsessive–compulsive disorder) were excluded from the study. This study was reported in line with Strengthening the reporting of cohort cross-sectional, and case-control studies in surgery (STROCSS) criteria and registered on www.researchregistry with research registry 7442 which is available at Browse the Registry—Research Registry.

**Sampling technique**

Systematic random sampling was used to select study participants by using a skip interval of K=N/n. There were 806 patients on the operation waiting list in the Hospital for the coming 3 months. Therefore, K=806/403=2 (skip interval) and the first study participant (randomly start) were selected using the lottery method from the daily elective surgery. Then, every two cases from the daily surgery were included in the study.

**Data collection**

A validated tool and the Ethiopian Patient Right Policy were used to develop a pretested questionnaire that was used to collect the data between 27 January 2021 and 10 May 2021 (online supplemental file 1). The data collection was done by four trained BSc level nurses using a structured questionnaire which was developed based on Ethiopian standard comprehensive specialised hospital requirements of patient rights and adopted.
from previously published studies. It consists of three parts: the sociodemographic characteristics of the patient, awareness of patients towards their rights using a four-point Likert scale from 1 (not at all aware) to 4 (completely aware), and the practice of patient rights by health workers from the patient’s perspective using 3-point scale (yes, no and do not know) question. Consent was given for the patient after detailed information on the study topic and the objectives of the study, the questionnaire was administered to patients who were included in the study after surgery at the surgical ward after the patient cooperated to communicate. The principal investigator and supervisor were checked the completeness of data every day.

Data processing and analysis
After collection, data were summarised and coded. Data were entered into SPSS Windows V.24 for data cleaning up and analysing. Data cleanup was performed by checking for frequencies and missed values and variables. A descriptive statistical analysis was used to show the characteristics of the participants. Binary logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with the patient’s level of awareness of patients’ rights. The cut point used during bivariate analysis was a p<0.2 considered as fit for multivariable analysis. The crude and adjusted ORs (AORs) with their corresponding 95% CIs were computed. P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant. The variance inflation factor was used to test for collinearity between independent variables, and association was performed for variables that were not collinear with each other. The analysis result was presented as frequencies, means, SD and percentages using tables and pie charts.

Sample size determination
The sample size of the study was determined by using a single population proportion formula, n=z²p (1−p)/d². We did not find similar study in Ethiopia, so we took p=50% which is proportion for unknown prevalence. With 95% confidence level and Z=1.96, 5% margin of error (d), n=384, n=384+19 (allowed 5% for non-responder rate); n=403.

Where n=required sample size.
Z=level of confidence (1.96 for 95% confidence level).
P=expected proportion 50%.
D=margin of error 5%.

Patient and public involvement
No patient involved

Operational definitions
Awareness
Refers to the knowledge of and ability to recognise the patients’ rights; it can be determined by using the questionnaire’s cumulative score of response. The percentage score is categorised as a dichotomous variable.

In total, 14 questions with four possible answers each were asked, adding up to a total score of 56. The outcome variable awareness was categorised as follows: if the participant received a score below 28 (or 50%), it was assumed they were ‘unaware of patient rights’; if they received a score ≥28 (50%), it was assumed they were ‘well aware’ of them, in accordance with previous studies.

Practice
Refers to behaviours regarding patients’ rights during a health worker-to-patient health interaction. According to prior research, out of a total of 14 practice items contained in the questionnaire, a practice is classified as ‘good practice’ if the practitioner practices ≥7 (50%) and ‘poor practice’ if the practitioner practices <7 (50%).

Elementary occupation’s
According to the International Labour Organization’s definitions, elementary occupations include cleaners and helpers who perform a variety of tasks in private homes, hotels, offices, hospitals and other establishments, as well as in airplanes, trains, coaches, trams and other similar vehicles, to keep the interiors and fixtures clean, and who hand-launder and press clothing and textiles.

RESULT
Sociodemographic characteristics of study population
A total of 392 patients participated in this study (general surgery—126, gynaecology—57, orthopaedics—115, urology—42, gastroenterology—22, Ear Nose and Throat (ENT)—18, cardiothoracic—12) with a 97.27% response rate. Overall, 217 respondents (55.4%) were male. The study participant’s ages ranged from 18 to 78 years with a mean of 40.21±16.03. In terms of marital status, 243 (62%) of respondents were married. About 261 people (66.6%) lived in cities. A quarter of the 102 respondents (26%) were farmers. About 188 (48%) patients knew the existence of a charter of patient rights. Mass media was the main source of knowledge for the charter of patient rights 111 (28.3%) (table 1).

Patients’ awareness of their rights
In this study, patient’s knowledge of their rights was examined. A total of 298 (76%) were aware of their rights, while 94 (24%) were not (figure 1).

As shown in table 2, 90.5% of respondents were aware of their right to receive medical care without being subjected to discrimination, 80.4% were aware of their right to respectful treatment, 74.5% were aware of their right to know the name and position of the medical team, 71.7% were aware of their right to medical report confidentiality and 70.4% were aware of their right to privacy during examinations and procedures. Patients were less aware of their rights to obtain a copy of their medical records (10.8%), to receive an explanation of their complaint and any unexpected results of the treatment they received (10.0%), to participate in care decisions, and to select the course of treatment (8.1%).
The practice of patient rights
Out of 392 patients, 83.2% made a point of saying their healthcare providers had poor practices, and 16.8% said they had good practices (figure 2).

As shown in table 3, the most commonly observed patient rights are to receive information about the financial costs of healthcare services (87.2%) and to consent to a procedure in writing before receiving treatment (70.9%). On the contrary, only 20.7% of participants said that healthcare providers introduced themselves, 19.6% said that they were informed of their options before finishing the treatment plan, 6.6% received the necessary personal items, 3.6% were asked for permission to use their data for research and 1.8% said that they were given information about grievance redress. Only 1% of patients reported receiving a summary of their rights.

Factors affecting patients’ awareness of their rights
A multivariable regression analysis revealed a significant relationship between patients’ knowledge of their rights and their educational status, place of residence and the number of hospital admissions. Patients with a university or college education had better awareness than illiterate patients with the odds of 16.66 (AOR 16.66, 95% CI 3.00, 92.47). Patients with a secondary school education were 3.88 times more aware than patients who could not read or write (AOR 3.88, 95% CI 1.47 to 10.20). Patients in urban areas were 3.43 times more aware than those in rural areas (AOR 3.43, 95% CI 1.54 to 7.65). Patients admitted three or more times had 10.13 times more awareness than patients admitted only once (AOR 10.13, 95% CI 2.24 to 45.76) (table 4).

DISCUSSION
Patients’ awareness of their right
In this study, patient’s knowledge of their rights and healthcare professionals’ practice of those rights were evaluated. The results of this study revealed that 59.6% of respondents had a good awareness of their rights with the overall awareness score of patients 33.37±8.618 (59.6%) out of the maximum score of 56. In terms of the practice of patients’ rights, the majority of patients (83.2%) reported that healthcare providers’ practice of patients’ rights was poor.

In our study, 48% of the respondents knew about the patient rights charter which was in line with the study done in Matrouh general hospital in which patient rights...
Open access


 charter awareness was 47%.15 On contrary, the prevalence of patient rights charter awareness in our study was higher than those of an Egyptian study in which 23.7% of patients were aware of patient rights charter,12 and only 4.6% of patients were aware in research done in Sudan.16 This could be because, in our study, participants in urban areas (66.6%) outnumbered those in rural areas.17 However, in a study conducted in Sudan, approximately (71.5%) and Egypt, approximately (63.6%) of respondents lived in rural areas. Another factor that could be considered is the patients’ educational level. In our study, (17.1%) of patients had a higher education, compared with (5.5%) in Egypt and (9.2%) in Sudan. Mass media was the main source of information about patients’ rights charter in our study, which is supported by a study done in India which covered about 58%,18 and a study in south Egypt 89.4%.19 However, our study was contrasted with the study in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia,20 and the study in upper Egypt, where a higher proportion of patients received information from physicians and nurses.12

Our study found that the majority of the study participants were aware of their rights, including the right to receive impartial medical care, the right to be respected by the medical staff, the right to privacy during examinations, the right to the confidentiality of their medical records, and the right to know the identity and name of their doctors. The findings correspond to those of a study carried out in Egypt,12 Iran8 and India.21 On the contrary, patients were less aware of the right to participate in healthcare decisions and choosing the treatment plan, the right to be provided sufficient information about patients’ illness, and any unanticipated outcomes of the treatment process. These findings are supported by a similar study conducted in Pakistan,3 Iran,8 Egypt12 and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of patient’s right</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to receive treatment and medical services without discrimination based on race, age, colour, religion or sex?</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to be informed about his/her rights and responsibilities in a manner he/she can understand?</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to receive respectful care?</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>80.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to privacy during the clinical examination?</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>70.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to confidential his/her medical information. The information patient reveals to a healthcare provider is private and there are limits on how and when it can be disclosed to a third party?</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to receive a full explanation of his/her case and any unanticipated outcomes of care and treatments in terms that she/he can understand.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the Patient has a right to sign an informed consent form before any medical procedure?</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to refuse or discontinue treatment after a thorough explanation by his/her physician about the consequences and/or outcomes of his/her decision?</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to be informed names and functions of all health workers involved in patient care?</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to participate in decisions relating to their care and in choosing the treatment plan?</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to obtain functional bathing and toilet, clothing? and storage area?</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know the patient has the right to know about the prices of services and procedures?</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to obtain a copy of the patient medical record?</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know about the patient has the right to present his or her suggestion or grievances?</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=392.

Figure 2 The practice of patient rights among health providers from patients’ perspective, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
This may be due to the paternalistic relationship between the healthcare providers and the patients.\textsuperscript{23}

In this study, patients' awareness of their right to obtain their medical records is low (10.8%), which is consistent with Mastaneh and Mouseli study.\textsuperscript{8} This could also be attributed to the paternalistic model. Healthcare providers may believe that the right to access a patient's medical record confers authority on them, and they may refuse to inform the patient of this right.

According to multivariable analysis, educational level is significantly related to patient awareness of their rights which is in line with a study done in Iran.\textsuperscript{24} Given that

Table 3  Practice of patient’s rights among health professionals from patients’ perspective Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients' perceived practice of patient rights</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Were you given a summary of the patient, right?</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the healthcare providers introduce themselves?</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the medical team dealing with you respectfully?</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the medical team maintaining privacy during procedures?</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were you asked to sign a form for participation in any research?</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the information given by health professionals clear&amp; understandable?</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>65.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed about the available choices before completing the treatment plan?</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you sign an informed consent form before any medical procedure?</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you receive the necessary information before you signed the consent form?</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were your things kept in a safe place?</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were appropriate clothing and any necessary personal items available?</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you informed the financial costs of the health service?</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you receive a copy of the medical reports?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did anyone tell you about how to submit a complaint?</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=392.

Table 4  Factors affecting patients’ awareness about aspects of patient rights Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Aware</th>
<th>Not aware</th>
<th>COR (95% CI)</th>
<th>AOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannot read and write</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read and write</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.26(0.644 to 2.47)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.62 to 2.66)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.469 (1.29 to 4.70)</td>
<td>1.95 (0.89 to 4.23)</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.91 (2.67 to 13.07)</td>
<td>3.88 (1.43 to 10.20)</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University/colleague</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.27 (5.78 to 110.46)</td>
<td>16.66 (3.00 to 92.47)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.03 (1.26 to 20.00)</td>
<td>1.10 (.22 to 5.45)</td>
<td>0.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.90(0.36 to 2.22)</td>
<td>0.552(0.20 to 1.50)</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.73(0.93 to 8.02)</td>
<td>2.23(0.670 to 7.44)</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.39(0.17 to 0.92)</td>
<td>1.453(0.49 to 4.25)</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.692(0.25 to 1.85)</td>
<td>0.607(0.20 to 1.79)</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary occupations</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.38 (2.69 to 7.13)</td>
<td>3.43 (1.54 to 7.65)</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of once</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital twice</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.958(0.54 to 1.67)</td>
<td>1.26(0.68 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission ≥3 times</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.097 (1.188 to 21.878)</td>
<td>10.13 (2.24 to 45.76)</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=392.

*Association between independent variables and awareness of patient rights.
as one’s educational status improved, so did one’s knowledge of various topics. Furthermore, because they have knowledge pursuing behaviour, educated people are close to information from media and searches.

Patients living in rural areas had lower awareness than urban residents, which is comparable to a study conducted in Iran. This could be due to people living in rural areas having less access to information technology and media, as these are critical in informing the community about patients’ rights.

This study also showed that patients’ right awareness levels increased along with the number of hospital admissions. A study conducted in Egypt backs up the findings. This might be because as the number of hospital admissions rises, patients may become more familiar with the facility’s policies and procedures. The total awareness score and the number of hospital admissions did not, however, appear to be statistically associated in studies conducted in Iran and Saudi Arabia.

The practice of patient right by health professionals

Regarding the exercise of patient rights, approximately 12.8% of patients claimed that medical staff failed to inform them of the financial cost of medical services, which is consistent with a study conducted in Upper Egypt, which discovered that 10.3% of patients were not informed of the cost of medical care.

Concerning informed consent, only 48.2% of patients received all the essential information about illness and treatment process, which is comparable with the study conducted in Uganda, and a study done in Egypt found that about 48.8% were received all necessary information before signing the consent form. A study done in India found that 60% of patients said that the medical team provides information on illness and treatment process, which is higher than the current study.

This study showed that 55.4% of the respondents reported that their privacy was protected which is lower in comparison with a study done in Uganda, which was about 82.4%, and a study done in India, which was 88.3%. One possible explanation is that Tikur Anbessa is a teaching hospital, and when healthcare providers teach their students, they may unintentionally reveal patients’ privacy.

The study showed about 60.7% of patients said that the health professionals treated them with respect which was in line with a study done in Sudan in which 60.5% of the patients were treated with respect, and in Egypt 59.7%. On the contrary in a study done in India 90% of patients said that they receive medical care with respect and dignity which is a little more than our finding. This difference could be due to an increased workload on medical staff in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital.

In this study, only 20.7% of patients said that the health caregivers introduce themselves which is less than the finding of a study done in Egypt in which about 85% of patients. This finding is much lower than the result of a study done at Alexandria university hospital and Matrouh general hospital, Egypt which found that 94%–89% of patients indicated that the health workers introduce themselves, respectively. This difference could be due to an increase in the workload of Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital. The other reason also may be healthcare providers withhold information from patients as they think it can limit their authority.

This study showed that the practice to submit complaints regarding healthcare (1.8%) and a summary is given about patient rights (1%). This result was in line with a study conducted in Egypt, which found that only 1.9% of people used their right to a remedy for grievances. A study conducted in India, which was higher than our study, also discovered a low practice rate of 24%. This might be due to a deficiency of awareness and attitude of the health professional towards these rights.

Conclusion and recommendation

Most of the participants in the study were aware of their right to receive healthcare free from discrimination and to be treated with respect. However, the majority of patients were unaware of their rights to medical record access, access to information about their illness, and participation in treatment decision-making. The number of hospital admissions, the place of residence and the level of education all had an impact on awareness.

Most patients reported that healthcare providers did a poor job of upholding their patients’ rights. The rights to full care and to know the price of medical services were the ones that were most frequently exercised. Less frequently exercised were the rights to grievance redress, involvement in illness-related decision-making, request for permission to participate in research and receipt of a list of patient rights. To advance the application and understanding of patient rights, it is necessary to improve access to various facilities, patient and healthcare provider education programmes, and information technology about patient rights.
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Information sheet

Awareness, associated factors and practice of patient’s right from their perspective among elective surgical patients at black lion hospital, Addis Ababa “English version Information Sheet.

IDENTIFICATION

Name of the Institute____________________

Address of the Institute____________________

Greetings: Hello, how are you? My name is _________. I am data collector for this research, which is conducted in AAU college of medicine department of anesthesia, who want to conduct this survey.

The objective of the study is to assess the awareness and practice of patients’ right in black lion hospital. I am interviewing surgical case patients admitted in surgical unit to assess the awareness of their right and its practice by health care provider during the health care management process. I am going to ask you some questions that are very important for the health care decision makers, patient’s, clinicians and policy makers to improve the practice and awareness of right of patients who undergo surgical procedures. Your cooperation and willingness for the interview is very helpful in identifying the problems related to the issue. Your name will not be written in the form and I assure you that all information that you give will be kept strictly confidential. Your participation is voluntary, and you are not obliged to answer any question if you do not wish to answer. If you are not still comfortable with interview, please be free to stop me any time. There is no harm if you not answer the questions and no special benefit you get if you answer the question; the interview will take 15-20 minutes. We would be thankful if you spend some time with us answering questions related to the issues described above.

1. If yes,

   Name of interviewer________________ Signature ___________

2. If not, skip to the other participant

For more information and question if there here is the contact address of investigator.

Kaletsidk Dessalegn

Tel: 0920604210
email: kaledessalegn563@gmail.com
Consent form
I am informed of the study to be conducted Masters’ Student in AAU, college of medicine, department of anesthesia on awareness and practice of patient rights among elective surgical patients in black lion hospital. Participation to this study is voluntary; no obligation to answer any questionnaire and there is no harm by not answering the questions as well no special benefit by answering the question. The interview will take 15-20 minutes. I heard all the information mentioned above and willing to participate in the interview.

Name of interviewer___________Signature_______

Self-administered Questionnaires English version

Part 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the patients

100. Sex of patient
   1. Male       2. Female

101. Age----------

102. Marital status

103. Educational level
   1. Cannot read and write        4. Secondary school
   2. Can read and write           5. University/college level
   3. Primary school

104. Occupation
   1. Professional            3. Student            5. Unemployed

105. Residence
   1. Urban          2. Rural

106. How many hospital admissions do you have?
   1. Once           2. Twice          3. Three times and more

107. Did you know about the charter of patient rights?
   1. Yes           2. No
108. What is your source of knowledge about patients’ rights?

1. I don’t have any sources  
2. Physicians  
3. Nurses  
4. Relatives  
5. Mass media

109. Did you read about patient rights?

1. I didn’t read  
2. Placard from hospital wall  
3. Internet  
4. Other specify --

Part 2: Awareness about aspects of patients’ rights from patients’ perspectives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible answers for question number 200 to 213</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Not at all aware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Somewhat not aware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Somewhat aware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Completely aware</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

200. Do you know about the patient has the right to receive treatment and medical services without discrimination based on race, age, color, religion, or sex?

1 2 3 4

201. Do you know about the patient has the right to be informed about his/her rights and responsibilities in a manner he/she can understand?

1 2 3 4

202. Do you know about the patient has the right to receive respectful care?

1 2 3 4

203. Do you know about the patient has the right to privacy during the clinical examination?

1 2 3 4

204. Do you know about the patient has the right to the confidentiality of his/her medical information? The information a patient reveals to a healthcare provider is private and there are limits on how and when it can be disclosed to a third party.

1 2 3 4

205. Do you know about the patient has the right to receive a full explanation of his/her case and any unanticipated outcomes of care and treatments in terms that she/he can understand?

1 2 3 4
206. Do you know about the Patient has a right to sign an informed consent form before any medical procedure?

1  2  3  4

207. Do you know about the patient has the right to refuse or discontinue treatment after a thorough explanation by his/her physician about the consequences and/or outcomes of his/her decision?

1  2  3  4

208. Do you know about the patient has the right to be informed names and functions of all health workers involved in patient care?

1  2  3  4

209. Do you know about the patient has the right to participate in decisions relating to their care and in choosing the treatment plan?

1  2  3  4

210. Do you know about the patient has the right to obtain functional bathing and toilet, clothing, and storage area?

1  2  3  4

211. Do you know the patient has the right to know about the prices of services and procedures?

1  2  3  4

212. Do you know about the patient has the right to obtain a copy of the patient medical record?

1  2  3  4

213. Do you know about the patient has the right to present his or her suggestion or grievances?

1  2  3  4

Part 2: The practice of patient rights among health care providers from patients’ perspective.

300. Did the summary of the patient rights given?

1. Yes  2. Do not know  3. No

301. Did the health care providers introduce themselves about their name and their function to you and show you, their ID?

1. Yes  2. Do not know  3. No
302. Did the healthcare provider deal with you respectfully?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

303. Did your privacy protect during the physical examination?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

304. Was the information about your medical condition, recommended treatment, risk of the treatment, and expected results given by the health provider clear and understandable to you?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

305. Were you informed about the available alternatives before completing the treatment plan?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

306. Did you sign an informed consent form before surgery?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

307. Did you receive information about the recommended procedure, the risks involved, and any alternative treatment before you signed the consent form?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

308. Do you obtain storage space in your room for storing your materials?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

309. Did you obtain functional bathing and toilet and any necessary personal items available?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

310. Did you know the financial costs of services and procedures?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

311. Do you obtain a copy of your medical record?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No

312. Did anyone tell you about how to submit a complaint regarding any concerns related to confidentiality or the quality of health care and then inform you about any subsequent actions or results?

1. Yes                      2. Do not know               3. No
313. Did the health care providers give you your treatment and medical services without discrimination based on race, age, color, religion, or sex?

1. Yes  
2. Don’t know  
3. No