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ABSTRACT

Objective The World Health Organization declared a Public Health Emergency of International Concern following the rapid emergence of neonatal microcephaly in Brazil during the 2015–2016 Zika virus (ZIKV) epidemic. In response, a national campaign sought to control Aedes mosquito populations and reduce ZIKV transmission. Achieving adherence to vector control or mosquito-bite reduction behaviours, including the use of topical mosquito repellents, is challenging. Coproduction of research at the community level is needed to understand and mitigate social determinants of lower engagement with Aedes preventive measures, particularly within disempowered groups.

Design In 2017, the Zika Preparedness Latin America Network (ZikaPLAN) conducted a qualitative study to understand individual and community level experiences of ZIKV and other mosquito-borne disease outbreaks. Presented here is a thematic analysis of 33 transcripts from community focus groups and semistructured interviews, applying the Health Belief Model (HBM) to elaborate knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of ZIKV and vector control strategies.

Participants 120 purposively sampled adults of approximate reproductive age (18–45); 103 women participated in focus groups and 17 men in semistructured interviews.

Setting Two sociopolitically and epidemiologically distinct cities in Brazil: Jundiaí (57 km north of São Paulo) and Salvador (Bahia state capital).

Results Four key and 12 major themes emerged from the analysis: (1) knowledge and cues to action; (2) attitudes and normative beliefs (perceived threat, barriers, benefits and self-efficacy); (3) behaviour change (household prevention and community participation); and (4) community preferences for novel repellent tools, vector control strategies and ZIKV messaging.

Conclusions Common barriers to repellent adherence were accessibility, appearance and effectiveness. A strong case is made for the transferability of the HBM to inform epidemic preparedness for mosquito-borne disease outbreaks at the community level. Nationally, a health campaign targeting men is recommended, in addition to local mobilisation of funding to strengthen surveillance, risk communication and community engagement.

BACKGROUND

Zika virus (ZIKV) is a flavivirus primarily transmitted by Aedes aegypti, an aggressive day-biting mosquito found in tropical and subtropical climates. Secondary modes of transmission include sexual contact and blood transfusions, as well as vertical transmission in ZIKV-seropositive women. Vertical transmission of ZIKV during pregnancy has been associated with devastating developmental consequences in infected offspring, including microcephaly and other neurological...
impairments that are collectively recognised as congenital Zika syndrome (CZS).4–6

On 11 November 2015, following a significant increase in the number of children born with microcephaly in Northeast Brazil, the Ministry of Health (MoH) declared ZIKV a national emergency.7 Given the temporal and spatial overlap of microcephaly cases and ZIKV outbreaks, in February 2016, the World Health Organization (WHO) subsequently declared ZIKV a Public Health Emergency of International Concern.8 By February 2017, Brazil accounted for 65% of the confirmed cases of ZIKV (N=201821) and 90% of cases of CZS (N=2632) in the Americas.9

Population control of A. aegypti is the main line of defence against ZIKV transmission.10 In addition to natural reservoirs, rapid or unplanned urbanisation has contributed to the metropolitan success of this species, which breeds in areas with poor drainage, such as open drains, water tanks and receptacles created by household waste.11 Negotiating responsibility in relation to maintenance of communal spaces (eg, the individual, community, government or society more broadly) and failure to identify persistent A. aegypti or A. albopictus cryptic breeding sites hinders adequate vector control.12 Chronic underfunding and intervention siloes also further undermine efforts to prevent mosquito-borne disease (MBD) outbreaks.13

Individual-level mosquito bite-reduction strategies include wearing long-sleeved clothing to create physical barriers, as well as applying topical mosquito repellents.14 Non-topical strategies include fabric repellent or insecticide sprays.14,15 However, many repellents do not provide long-lasting protection and often require reapplication.16 Integration of repellents or insecticides into wearable materials, a method used to treat military clothing in some settings,17 may instead provide an effective and scalable prevention strategy that is of value to at-risk communities in Brazil.18

To reduce sexual transmission of ZIKV, Brazil’s MoH promoted condom use and postponement of planned pregnancy during the epidemic.19 While international guidelines also advocated the relaxation of antiabortion legislation, in Brazil, abortion is only decriminalised for fetal anencephaly (a lethal birth defect), rape or conditions that risk maternal death.20,21 As a result, abortion was omitted from the MoH protocol on reproduction rights and prenatal, delivery and postpartum care in response to ZIKV.19 Instead, Brazil’s policy strategy emphasised vector control, technology research and development, and assurance of access to healthcare for individuals with long-term sequelae of ZIKV infection.22

In November 2016, the WHO declared the end of the ZIKV epidemic.23 However, as the epidemic waned, development of the most promising vaccine candidates faced challenges in clinical efficacy trials.24 Since Aedes mosquitoes continue to transmit arboviruses worldwide, the epidemic preparedness community remains concerned about the high risk of future outbreaks of ZIKV and other emerging MBDs.24–27 Brazil’s limited success in controlling Aedes populations therefore indicates the importance of investigating the social determinants underlying the 2015–2016 ZIKV epidemic.22,26

Successful uptake of mosquito-bite preventive strategies is contingent on the broader sociopolitical context, as behaviour change is strongly informed by family, community, cultural, political and economic factors.13,26,28 The WHO Global Vector Control Response 2017–2030 outlined engagement and mobilisation of communities as one of its four pillars for effective, locally adapted and sustainable vector control.29 Despite this, during the 2015–2016 ZIKV epidemic, few examples of direct postepidemic engagement or research coproduction with populations at highest risk of adverse health outcomes following ZIKV infection were observed, including with pregnant women and communities experiencing lower socioeconomic position.29,30 Funding allocated for social research was also markedly lower in comparison to other disciplines.30 Therefore, to analyse community experiences of ZIKV and vector control strategies in a Brazilian context,22,31 we consider the application of Rosenstock’s Health Belief Model (HBM).31,32 The HBM is a widely adopted theoretical framework for behaviour change that has been applied to other qualitative studies investigating MBDs.33,34

Aims

This study aims to identify determinants of low adherence to mosquito-bite preventive behaviours by applying the HBM as a conceptual model for community knowledge, attitudes and perceptions towards ZIKV and vector control strategies in two sociopolitically and epidemiologically distinct populations in Brazil: Jundiaí, a municipality of São Paulo (pop. 425 000) and Salvador, the state capital of Bahia (pop. 2.9 million).35 To best contextualise these drivers, our additional study objectives were to: (1) elaborate household preferences for vector control strategies, particularly with regard to treated clothing; (2) identify perceived barriers to adoption of prevention behaviours; (3) contrast perceptions of ZIKV control with other mosquito-borne arboviruses; (4) compare normative beliefs of pregnancy postponement and abortion to reduce fetal susceptibility to CZS; and (5) map themes against a theoretical framework for behaviour change.

METHODS

Participant recruitment and data collection

From March to August 2017, focus group discussions (FGDs) with adult women of approximate reproductive age (18–49) and semistructured interviews (SSIs) with male partners were conducted in Jundiaí and Salvador. Both cities have cohorts of children living with CZS.36,37 The interview topic guide comprised 12 questions covering three main areas of enquiry: (1) perceptions and practices of mosquito control, (2) protecting oneself against mosquito bites and (3) knowledge and
perceptions of ZIKV (online supplemental file 1).\textsuperscript{38} All sessions were delivered in Brazilian Portuguese, and the source data transcribed and translated into English for analysis.

**Participants**

Participants were purposively sampled and consented to participate in the study. The pregnancy status of women was not taken into account and a sociodemographic survey stratified participants by age (18–30 or 31–49 years). In Jundiaí, recruitment took place in outpatient departments at University Hospital, and data collection in both faculty buildings and a non-government organisation (NGO) run community centre. In Salvador, recruitment and data collection took place in two primary care units. In both cities, men were recruited through community stakeholders and interviewed at private residences.

**Patient and public involvement**

The principal investigators from Jundiaí and Salvador are native Brazilian speakers familiar with the study setting and context. To ensure the research question was informed by patients’ priorities and experiences, the topic guide was developed and pilot tested with research teams local to the study sites. Additionally, 17 in-depth interviews were conducted with health professionals, including Salvador health professionals working in a primary care unit and in private clinics, and community leaders, with three religious leaders from Kardecism, Candomblé (an Afro-Brazilian religion) and an evangelical Christian church. To disseminate results, those who expressed interest and provided consent were invited to attend a follow-up session to discuss initial findings in September 2017.

**Analysis**

In total, 33 transcripts were analysed (table 1). Open coding was performed in NVivo (V.12, QSR International). Theme generation followed Braun and Clarke’s six phases for thematic analysis.\textsuperscript{39} A preliminary coding framework was established from the topic guide. However, coding was mostly inductive, by grouping prevalent response patterns into higher order categories.\textsuperscript{40} Major themes were mapped against the constructs in the HBM (figure 1).\textsuperscript{31,32} A concept map for themes was developed to gauge whether there was a credible fit with the HBM (figure 2). The 32-item Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research tool was used to ensure all key methodological issues were taken into account (online supplemental file 2).\textsuperscript{41}

**RESULTS**

A total of 120 individuals participated in the study: 103 women (60 in Jundiaí, 43 in Salvador); and 17 men. Responses to questions on novel repellents were initially coded: effectiveness; affordability; availability; appearance; comfort; protection; risk; and other. Each were mapped against the HBM as: risk (perceived susceptibility); positive responses such as protection (perceived benefits); willingness to adopt (self-efficacy); negative responses for effectiveness, acceptance or accessibility (perceived barriers); and alternative suggestions (preferred criteria). A finalised concept map comprised of 44 minor themes and 12 major themes grouped under four higher order key themes (figure 2; table 2). Definitions are provided in the codebook (online supplemental file 3).

**Knowledge and cues to action**

Participants expressed uncertainty around which vectors transmit ZIKV. In Salvador, several participants accurately described the appearance and behaviour of A. aegypti. However, the majority of participants did not differentiate the mosquito from other biting insects and some were misinformed. Dengue was the second most commonly identified MBD, although chikungunya and yellow fever were also discussed. Most participants were aware of the impact of ZIKV infection on pregnancy as a distinction from other infectious diseases. However, sexual transmission was poorly understood, and questions from women that disclosed higher levels of education often related to the pathophysiology of ZIKV and unknown sequelae.

Salvador-FGD1

\textbf{[P1]:} There are 3 different mosquitoes, right?

Salvador-FGD2

\textbf{[P2]:} [I understood that ZIKV is transmitted] by the host, yes. But not from person to person… This has not been clear to me until today.

Salvador-FGD3

Many women first learnt about ZIKV and were advised to use condoms when accessing maternity services. Often exposure to public health information in broadcast or print media, including pamphlets and posters, was described. Several mentioned learning about ZIKV online via social media, as well as in workplace or higher education settings. Other external cues to action included direct contact with political representatives, NGOs or community volunteers involved with Zika projects. Health agents were described to inspect households and disseminate public health information about \textit{Aedes} and preventive strategies. One key message often recalled was to remove standing water from around the household and spaces shared with neighbours. Participants from four FGDs also recalled a visit from military personnel to promote clearing of communal spaces.

Salvador-FGD4

\textbf{[P2]:} There was a joint effort that the government [made] in the neighbourhood, like this… It was like D-Day against Zika, dengue…


Open access
A total of 17 focus group discussions (FGD) with 103 women and 16 semistructured interviews (SSI) with 16 men were included in the analysis. Three FGDs and all semistructured interviews were missing sociodemographic data (age). Jundiaí transcripts were missing the breakdown of participants by focus group. Jundiaí FGD-9 was selected for triangulation. Jundiaí SSI-9 was a deviant case excluded from the analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transcript</th>
<th>Words</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Transcript</th>
<th>Words</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female participants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male participants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Jundiaí-FGD-1</td>
<td>4338</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18–30</td>
<td>Jundiaí-SSI-1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Jundiaí-FGD-2</td>
<td>4399</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>31–49</td>
<td>Jundiaí-SSI-2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Jundiaí-FGD-3</td>
<td>4067</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18–30</td>
<td>Jundiaí-SSI-3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Jundiaí-FGD-4</td>
<td>3409</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31–49</td>
<td>Jundiaí-SSI-4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Jundiaí-FGD-5</td>
<td>1691</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31–49</td>
<td>Jundiaí-SSI-5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Jundiaí-FGD-6</td>
<td>4026</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31–49</td>
<td>Jundiaí-SSI-6</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Jundiaí-FGD-7</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31–49</td>
<td>Jundiaí-SSI-7</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Jundiaí-FGD-8</td>
<td>3012</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31–49</td>
<td>Jundiaí-SSI-8</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Jundiaí-FGD-9</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31–49</td>
<td>Jundiaí-SSI-9</td>
<td>4312</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18–30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Summary of interview transcripts provided for analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transcript</th>
<th>Words</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Transcript</th>
<th>Words</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 Jundiaí-SSI-1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Salvador-SSI-1</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Jundiaí-SSI-2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Salvador-SSI-2</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Jundiaí-SSI-3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Salvador-SSI-3</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Jundiaí-SSI-4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Salvador-SSI-4</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Jundiaí-SSI-5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Salvador-SSI-5</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Jundiaí-SSI-6</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Salvador-SSI-6</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Jundiaí-SSI-7</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Salvador-SSI-7</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Jundiaí-SSI-8</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Salvador-SSI-8</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Jundiaí-SSI-9</td>
<td>4312</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18–30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Visibility of vehicle-mounted thermal spraying/fogging in previous years was recalled by several groups, although most activities were described as having ended. Most agreed that ZIKV messaging had slowed or stopped at the time of their interview, and several participants recalled no community vector control interventions occurring in their neighbourhood at all. Internal cues to action comprised direct or indirect experiences of confirmed/suspected cases of MBDs. In Salvador, more women disclosed having experience of ZIKV infection, whereas in Jundiaí few participants knew someone that had been infected.

[P1]: I think [during] the outbreak I [became] more attentive… everyone was contracting Zika… Wow! My father had it too, and he had that anxiety thing—if you saw anything, even if it [only] had water in [it] a little while, you’d turn it [upside down].

Salvador-FGD4

Attitudes and normative beliefs

There was consensus across all groups that pregnant women were most susceptible to ZIKV infection, followed by children, the elderly and those with chronic health conditions. Participants described avoiding travel to areas perceived to present an elevated risk of MBDs, and some understood outbreak seasonality. Several described the

---

**Figure 1** The Health Belief Model (HBM) adapted from Rosenstock et al (1988). The HBM predicts the decision-making process to engage in a new health-seeking behaviour. The individual assesses a perceived threat, potential barriers, benefits and their ability to undergo a behaviour change in response to knowledge, internal or external cues to action.

**Figure 2** Concept map of key, major and minor themes for community knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of Zika virus and vector control strategies in Salvador and Jundiaí, Brazil. Four key and 12 major themes were mapped to determine whether they credibly fit constructs for behaviour change outlined in the Health Belief Model. The key and major themes are further defined in table 2.
belief that infection by one MBD increased their susceptibility to others, although there was a lot of uncertainty and misinformation around ZIKV case confirmation. The spread of misinformation was a concern to participants, and several misinterpreted or described feeling unable to trust public information about the origin of the virus.

[P2]: In my opinion, I knew that Dengue and Zika is the same thing... I think that's evolution from one disease to another.

Salvador-FGD6

Living in an area of perceived low risk was often described to diminish participants interest in adopting preventive measures (“It’s only worrisome when there’s an epidemic,” Jundiai-FGD1). However, there was less consensus between focus groups regarding where population density of A. aegypti vectors was highest, and several participants described the mosquito as absent from their neighbourhood altogether. Perceived severity of ZIKV infection also varied considerably. Some likened ZIKV symptoms to mild influenza, although women perceived there to be a higher threat from ZIKV than men. Some participants recalled inflammation of the joints and fatigue as symptoms that required extended recovery, and a few described the risk of death as a potential consequence of ZIKV infection.

[P1]: It caused a panic, right? Many women gave up being mothers, or they delayed, right? Fear of disease.
Some participants also described differences in the appearance of bites from mosquitoes carrying ZIKV. Several likened the experience to an allergic reaction, which is perhaps a perception of maculopapular rash linked to ZIKV infection.42 Several women demonstrated which is perhaps a perception of skin allergies were also a barrier to the use of topical repellents for personal protection. While this motivated some to consider investing in alternative brands or non-chemical bite-reduction strategies, there was broadly low participant awareness of novel repellent tools such as clothing. While participants were relatively unfamiliar with repellent treated clothing, some recognised the benefit of these items for protecting children and pregnant women, although overheating during pregnancy was a concern. However, in both cities, repellents were described as less accessible for individuals of lower socioeconomic position. Owning a single item was not perceived to provide sufficient protection, yet buying ‘a whole wardrobe’ would be a significant investment. Interest was also strongly affected by their appearance in the community, including negative perceptions of the association between MBDs and social deprivation.

Women aged 18–30 were more supportive of the right to abort, as were participants that disclosed as working in healthcare or having accessed higher education. Despite adequate levels of perceived threat from ZIKV and recognition of potential benefits of a behaviour, participants described many barriers to reproductive health decision-making. There was frustration around the burdens of preventing ZIKV and caring for children with CZS falling on women. Discordant attitudes towards abortion between pregnant women and male partners were also discussed. For example, women reported diminished self-efficacy to negotiate condom use with an intimate partner during the epidemic, often attributed to the stability of the relationship or harmful gender norms.

With regards to mosquito-bite prevention, for several participants, skin allergies were also a barrier to the use of topical repellents for personal protection. While this motivated some to consider investing in alternative brands or non-chemical bite-reduction strategies, there was broadly low participant awareness of novel repellent tools such as clothing. While participants were relatively unfamiliar with repellent treated clothing, some recognised the benefit of these items for protecting children and pregnant women, although overheating during pregnancy was a concern. However, in both cities, repellents were described as less accessible for individuals of lower socioeconomic position. Owning a single item was not perceived to provide sufficient protection, yet buying ‘a whole wardrobe’ would be a significant investment. Interest was also strongly affected by their appearance in the community, including negative perceptions of the association between MBDs and social deprivation.

Women aged 18–30 were more supportive of the right to abort, as were participants that disclosed as working in healthcare or having accessed higher education. Despite adequate levels of perceived threat from ZIKV and recognition of potential benefits of a behaviour, participants described many barriers to reproductive health decision-making. There was frustration around the burdens of preventing ZIKV and caring for children with CZS falling on women. Discordant attitudes towards abortion between pregnant women and male partners were also discussed. For example, women reported diminished self-efficacy to negotiate condom use with an intimate partner during the epidemic, often attributed to the stability of the relationship or harmful gender norms.

With regards to mosquito-bite prevention, for several participants, skin allergies were also a barrier to the use of topical repellents for personal protection. While this motivated some to consider investing in alternative brands or non-chemical bite-reduction strategies, there was broadly low participant awareness of novel repellent tools such as clothing. While participants were relatively unfamiliar with repellent treated clothing, some recognised the benefit of these items for protecting children and pregnant women, although overheating during pregnancy was a concern. However, in both cities, repellents were described as less accessible for individuals of lower socioeconomic position. Owning a single item was not perceived to provide sufficient protection, yet buying ‘a whole wardrobe’ would be a significant investment. Interest was also strongly affected by their appearance in the community, including negative perceptions of the association between MBDs and social deprivation.

Women aged 18–30 were more supportive of the right to abort, as were participants that disclosed as working in healthcare or having accessed higher education. Despite adequate levels of perceived threat from ZIKV and recognition of potential benefits of a behaviour, participants described many barriers to reproductive health decision-making. There was frustration around the burdens of preventing ZIKV and caring for children with CZS falling on women. Discordant attitudes towards abortion between pregnant women and male partners were also discussed. For example, women reported diminished self-efficacy to negotiate condom use with an intimate partner during the epidemic, often attributed to the stability of the relationship or harmful gender norms.

With regards to mosquito-bite prevention, for several participants, skin allergies were also a barrier to the use of topical repellents for personal protection. While this motivated some to consider investing in alternative brands or non-chemical bite-reduction strategies, there was broadly low participant awareness of novel repellent tools such as clothing. While participants were relatively unfamiliar with repellent treated clothing, some recognised the benefit of these items for protecting children and pregnant women, although overheating during pregnancy was a concern. However, in both cities, repellents were described as less accessible for individuals of lower socioeconomic position. Owning a single item was not perceived to provide sufficient protection, yet buying ‘a whole wardrobe’ would be a significant investment. Interest was also strongly affected by their appearance in the community, including negative perceptions of the association between MBDs and social deprivation.
former administration was criticised for poor management of the ZIKV epidemic, including the cost of testing, financial support for families with CZS children and an over-reliance on mass-media campaigns. Surveillance teams were often perceived as undermotivated or not being trusted to adequately search for cryptic breeding sites. Some participants also described health agents refusing to enter all households in a community, attributed to either concerns around neighbourhood violence or inadequate upstream coordination of vector control efforts.

[P1]: Where are the community agents themselves? I’m not talking about treatment, I’m talking about preventive measures. Community agents are not effective by municipal power… it’s a type of unstable work, you know? There are months without receiving them.

Salvador-FGD3

There is a lot of suspicion… total distrust in the [Zika] project… The resistance with men is great.

Jundiaí-FGD4

**Behaviour change**

The most frequent vector control strategy described by participants at the household level was preventing water stagnating by recyling, using sand, covering open receptacles and applying detergents or treatments to bodies of water. Bite-reduction strategies included physical barriers: fans, air-conditioning, bed-nets, window screens and long clothing. Several described using plug-in appliances or burning coils to repel mosquitoes with increased frequency during the epidemic. Electric-shock devices to kill adult mosquitoes were also popular. Some participants, particularly pregnant women, avoided travel to certain areas or during times when mosquitoes were believed to be most active. Women in every focus group described knowing someone in their social circle that delayed pregnancy to mitigate the risk of CZS.

I have two sisters-in-law who wanted to get pregnant, but because of the epidemic they were afraid and postponed it.

Jundiaí-FGD3

Community participation comprised reporting mosquito breeding sites to public health authorities, which was frequently discussed in Jundiaí. Several women described generally observing and encouraging behaviour change in others, including the use of repellents and general maintenance of potential *Aedes* breeding sites.

[P1]: … it’s not just the authorities, everyone has to do their part… to be able to openly reach the neighbour and say, “Oh, look at your bottles [they’re] full of water, focus!”

Salvador-FGD8

Although some participants described skin irritation from topical repellents, only one participant recalled women avoiding chemical repellents during pregnancy due to safety concerns. Methods for mixing plant-based oils or alcohol with chemical formulations and sunscreen were described to soothe and prevent bites from becoming infected. Doing so was also described to mask the smell of repellent products and reduce the cost of repurchase.

[In] Bahia, the desperation is greater than here, and pregnant women are afraid to use any product and use homemade products [instead]…

Jundiaí-FGD2

**Community preferences**

Subsidy of contraceptives and repellents were suggested for lower income or high-risk groups during outbreaks. Alternatively, it was recommended that they are freely distributed by local health clinics, NGOs or Brazil’s national social welfare programme, Bolsa Família.

[P4]: The government should give repellent to the people since you have this yellow fever outbreak. Make a campaign. The same people who have family-grants should be entitled…

Salvador-FGD6

When asked what participants thought of treated clothing, repellent school uniforms to reduce discomfort from bednets or topical repellents were of interest. Microencapsulation of repellents in wearable plastics were also suggested by some, such as bracelets. Generally, participants expressed interest in clothing items if they were affordable, aligned with local preferences in fashion (eg, fabric quality, design) and the smell of repellent product could not be easily identified. However, the ability to renew the effectiveness of existing items was also important.

[P1]: … you would have to change your wardrobe to buy only mosquito repellent clothes. It would be [a] more effective process [if] you make your clothes have this substance.

[P2]: It makes more sense. Like a lotion.

[P1]: A lotion that you put on to do laundry…

[P3]: Yeah, like a fabric softener.

Salvador-FGD4

For vector control, often improvements in municipal service coordination was expressed as a priority need, citing open drains or infrequent collection of household waste. One focus group was interested in reintroducing thermal spraying of insecticides. Another explored the idea of financing the coordination of neighbourhood associations to mobilise the community, including financial compensation of volunteers.

[P1]: How are we going to complain about our problems? We do not have a person who can get there and settle for us. If we make a petition, everybody in the neighbourhood will sign, but who will take it? … our neighbourhood is abandoned, we have no association…

[P2]: I think every neighbourhood should have [an association].
Salvador-FGD5

No, it’s not a lack of information, it’s education…it has to start very early with sex education. Because human beings only change their habits when something very serious happens. I think information alone does not [do it].

Jundiaí-FGD3

DISCUSSION

In the outbreak beginning 2015, Brazil experienced more cases of ZIKV than any other country. Its MoH responded with a policy strategy focused on vector control, improved healthcare access, and technology and research development. However, it has been argued that these policies failed to reach those most vulnerable to the virus. The northeast of Brazil was particularly hard hit, as a region with some of the lowest Human Development Indices (HDI) in the country. In comparison, in 2017, Jundiaí was ranked as having the 11th highest HDI of 5564 (HDI) in the country. However, participants frequently believed that ZIKV-carrying Aedes mosquitoes were absent in their local area, and perceptions varied as to where in Brazil the prevalence of MBDs was greatest. At the time of the study, a national yellow fever vaccination campaign was communicating outbreaks in non-human primates, and some participants discussed fearing reports of its urbanisation. Participants describing a potential relationship between ZIKV and other MBDs was not unwarranted, as arboviruses transmitted by Aedes tend to cluster. Sequential arboviral infection is also still poorly understood, with some studies suggesting limited cross-immunity following dengue virus infection.

The majority of women interviewed were unaware of the risk of ZIKV transmission from unprotected sex. This is consistent with findings from other studies on ZIKV risk communication including in Colombia. Since interviews were conducted towards the end of the outbreak, this suggests there was a missed opportunity to prevent at least some of the spread of ZIKV. Although the ultimate importance of sexual transmission may be small compared with that of mosquito-borne transmission, the public should receive clear messaging around the relative contributions of mosquito-borne, vertical, sexual and bloodborne transmission, to enable individuals to make informed choices about adopting preventive measures.

Social determinants of Zika virus and congenital Zika syndrome

There was strong disagreement around the criminalisation of abortion, which has been dismissed as a paternalistic policy that is inconsistent with MoH advice to avoid or delay pregnancy in ZIKV endemic areas. The sense that ZIKV has been emasculated, where the responsibility to prevent sexual transmission has fallen to women, has also been described in other studies. Despite being strongly advocated by international multi-lateral agencies and Brazilian legislators, important questions remain outstanding on reproductive health rights for ZIKV seropositive individuals. MBDS, including ZIKV, predominantly affect individuals in socioeconomically deprived areas. Inadequate access to clean water, sanitation and other infrastructural deficits allow mosquito populations to thrive. In addition, individuals in these communities may also be less able to afford tools for personal protection and have poorer access to good quality healthcare. In our focus groups, the perceived severity of ZIKV was most often framed through the lens of disadvantage: the availability and affordability of amniocentesis or ZIKV testing; female agency to negotiate abstinence or long-term condom use with their male partners; access and acceptance of contraceptives to delay pregnancy or abortion; and uncertainty around a financial and social support
network to care for children with CZS. These themes were consistent with other study findings.57 61–63

Personal protection strategies
Topical repellents are uncomfortable for some users, and may not be seen as long-term solutions for preventing mosquito bites.15 64 The pay-off for repeat application of repellents may also be less certain for ZIKV than other MBDs, where the onset of symptoms and potential consequences of infection is comparatively short.65 Novel, non-topical repellent technologies are not yet widely known or understood, and perceived safety of synthetic repellents was anticipated to be a key barrier to their adoption, as seen in other qualitative studies.34 54 Instead, the key barriers identified in this study were the effectiveness and accessibility of novel repellent tools like clothing.

In Salvador, it was also important that repellent clothing was not perceived to be a ‘uniform’ associated with low-socioeconomic position, while in Jundiaí, participants discussed the need for clothing designs to reflect local preferences in fashion. The concept of repellent school uniforms to protect school-going children from MBDs was well received and has demonstrated strong potential in a cluster randomised-controlled trial in Thailand.17 Participants also expressed an interest in being able to renew the repellent effect of clothing to overcome barriers like affordability and durability, negating the need for replacement. For example, using sprays to reapply repellents to clothing was perceived as more feasible option to clothes treated prior to purchase. Some also acknowledged the attractiveness of formulated washes for ease of application, and incorporation of perfumes to mask repellent smell.

Vector control strategies
Mosquito prevention at the household level was often perceived to be a burden. However, many participants described removal or treatment of potential mosquito-breeding sites as being incorporated into daily routines. Despite this, several individuals expressed their personal control beliefs for vector control were fatigued when neighbours did not also do their part. Abandoned buildings or communal spaces ‘contaminating’ maintained areas contributed to some participants’ sense of futility; even if they were well informed, a public health challenge as prevalent as *Aedes* was not something the community could ‘combat’ alone.

Minor themes of blame, mistrust and responsibility were also frequently allocated upstream, especially in Salvador. Reporting mosquito-breeding sites in communal areas in more deprived neighbourhoods to the City Hall was deemed unlikely to result in change due to broader inadequacies in local urban planning. Some participants also expressed frustration due to a lack of consistent or thorough household inspections by surveillance teams, confusion around the different stakeholders involved during follow-up visits, or a need for clarification of ZIKV key messages. Often, this was attributed to chronic underinvestment in vector control, a common theme in other studies in South America, where both men and women have expressed a need for intensification of government support.51 54 56

Community engagement related to Zika virus prevention
Freire posits that structural inequalities in Brazil creates a loss of agency,66 which in the context of the ZIKV epidemic, likely constrained self-efficacy for behaviour change.44 A systems model for *Aedes* vector control also argues that the pathway between collective awareness, collective action, community attitudes and normative beliefs is simply too long for effective control of MBD outbreaks.28 The opportunity to communicate barriers in a more timely manner would improve collective awareness, as well as political will for local authorities to act.13 Carvalho *et al* proposed one solution could be investing in improved frequency of household visits from community health workers (CHWs) under the Family Health Strategy,28 which covers 66.5% of Brazil’s population.67 Although task-shifting of CHW responsibilities to include ZIKV case reporting was possible during the epidemic, their catchment area excluded middle-income or high-income neighbourhoods,68 like Jundiaí.

Instead, a community-participation model is proposed. Grassroots approaches, such as neighbourhood associations, may serve as a more trusted setting for community engagement during infectious disease outbreaks.13 69 For example, in a meta-analysis on uptake of novel repellent technologies, participatory models were found most effective at improving self-efficacy,70 as well as promoting a sense of community responsibility.71 Financing mechanisms to decentralise and triage risk communication and vector control at the community level may also mitigate the marginalisation of individuals in more deprived settings, largely caused by top-down approaches in health promotion.66

Limitations
Some participants were not familiar with questions raised on novel repellents in the topic guide. Additionally, the differentiation between prevention measures for ZIKV may not have always been clearly understood. Interview prompts, such as preferences for novel repellents, may have therefore enabled acquiescence response bias.40 When focus groups discussed more contentious topics, such as abortion, personal attitudes may have also been conflated with social norms if some women felt unable to disclose disagreement with the majority.72 Although facilitators were able to detect non-verbal cues for each, subtext may have been lost during analysis. To mitigate this, an independent translation service was used to verify the credibility of transcript excerpts, and preliminary findings were discussed with principal investigators for triangulation. Additional data were not collected on participants, such as data on socioeconomic position, which along with missing data on age for some Jundiaí focus groups could have provided an interesting overview of the participants.
in this study. The selection of the HBM as a conceptual framework is also necessarily limited, particularly given the scope of themes raised in the topic guide and context-specific challenges reported by other researchers during the 2015–2016 ZIKV epidemic. Nonetheless, the HBM still permitted a relatively deep analysis of individual-level factors, despite disagreement in the literature over the order in which the framework’s components may lead to behaviour change. The literature was thus consulted post-analysis for transferability of findings.

Recommendations

This investigation recommends that national authorities provide effective repellent tools to families entitled to social welfare in settings where MBD outbreaks are regular occurrences, and during outbreaks extend this provision to include high risk groups. Capacity-building of MBD surveillance teams is also recommended to strengthen multilevel governance and reduce gaps in the frequency of interventions designed to prevent infectious disease transmission, such as household inspections. A degree of data saturation for preferred criteria of novel repellents in this study lends weight to the finding there was an unmet need for alternative personal protective tools to topical repellents.

The WHO Global Vector Control Response advises cross-disciplinary community engagement to improve context-sensitive messaging and reduce barriers to uptake of MBD preventive strategies. Designing a mass-media campaign that targets men could improve awareness of ZIKV sexual transmission and emphasise the importance of protecting the health of their female intimate partners. Financing participatory models for community engagement would also demonstrate a firm commitment to translating politicised slogans into an effective, bottom-up control strategy for Aedes-related MBDs.

It is worth noting our recommendations are also pertinent to the response to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. At the time of writing, Brazil also had among the highest numbers of confirmed COVID-19 cases in the world, particularly in the North, and its MoH was criticised for not developing a national plan to combat the disease. In light of this, further focus group studies, or design of a Likert scale-based survey that operationalises the HBM during data collection, may also prove fruitful for understanding how perceived severity and susceptibility to MBDs has changed in Salvador and Jundiai, particularly following outbreaks of chikungunya and yellow fever virus.

CONCLUSION

This study makes a strong case for the value of qualitative investigations and transferability of the HBM to inform bottom-up approaches in health protection. Since the initial outbreak in Brazil in 2015, the fall of the perceived threat from ZIKV, normalisation of CZS symptoms in affected children, and the poorly understood relationship to other arboviruses transmitted by Aedes has weakened community self-efficacy and perceptions of the government response. Participant awareness of sexual transmission of ZIKV was low and several focus groups discussed an unmet need for a health campaign that targeted men. Significant barriers were also discussed around the affordability of mosquito-bite prevention strategies, such as topical repellents and novel tools for personal protection, including their perception as a potential marker of socioeconomic position. Household behaviours to control mosquitoes were also often fatigued by a lack of cooperation and coordination at the community and municipal levels. It is therefore argued that the historical failure to control Aedes outbreaks in Brazil lies in placing too much responsibility on the individual, particularly women. By investing in evidence-based epidemic preparedness, and by stimulating a sense of community agency to tackle vector breeding sites, Brazil may indeed be better placed to ‘beat’ the Aedes mosquito.
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