Changes in paediatric dental clinic after reopening during COVID-19 pandemic in Wuhan: a retrospective study

Jinghui Yang,1,2 Guobin Yang,1,3 Runze Jin,1,2 Guangtai Song,1,2 Guohua Yuan1

ABSTRACT

Objectives Affected by COVID-19 pandemic, The Department of Paediatric Dentistry of School and Hospital of Stomatology, Wuhan University was closed in late January 2020, and resumed on 20 April. Our study aimed to explore the effects of COVID-19 pandemic on paediatric dental services which might assist global paediatric dentists to build confidence and make appropriate policies under the pandemic.

Design A retrospective study was performed. Medical records of patients were retrieved but without any private information, including patient name, ID number and address.

Participants All data of the patients from 20 April to 31 July in 2020 and 2019 were extracted and analysed including demographics, dental diagnosis and treatment methods. A total of 18198 patients were included in the study.

Results During this period, no medical staff or patient was infected with COVID-19 due to dental services. A total of 6485 in 2020 but 11713 during the same period in 2019 visited the department. Compared with 2019, gender distribution did not change, but age distribution changed with an increase under 6-year-old. The diagnoses including caries, retained primary teeth, malocclusion, deep pits and fissures changed significantly, while pulpitis, apical periodontitis, tooth trauma, early loss of primary teeth, supernumerary teeth showed little change. Aerosol generating procedures were adopted less frequently overall in this period.

Conclusions The reopening of paediatric dental services is proceeding steadily with significant changes in the characteristics of the patients and treatment procedures.

INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, COVID-19 emerged in Wuhan and spread rapidly across the globe, becoming a major public health challenge for countries around the world.1 The COVID-19 pandemic led to a high case fatality rate up to 2.12% in WHO region-wise till February 2021.2,3 A novel highly infectious corona RNA virus (named SARS-CoV-2) was responsible for the COVID-19, which could transmit via droplets or direct contact with infected people or contaminated surfaces.4 Due to the transmission routes of COVID-19, many high-contact professions including dentistry were severely affected.5 Dental healthcare professionals worked in close proximity to patients. And most dental procedures were aerosol generating procedures (AGPs) which caused a large number of droplets and aerosols, such as use of a high-speed or low-speed handpieces, ultrasonic instruments and water-air syringes.6-9 The standard protective measures were not sufficient to prevent the spread of COVID-19 because of the unique characteristics of dentistry, especially when patients were in the incubation period, or unaware they had been infected.7 Therefore, among healthcare personnel, dentists were at an elevated risk of exposure to COVID-19. This risk was even more serious in paediatric dentistry, because affected children frequently presented asymptomatic, mild or moderate clinical manifestations.10-12 To prevent and control transmission of COVID-19, Wuhan city was locked down on 23 January 2020. On the second day, Hubei province activated level 1 response to public health emergencies. Then all elective treatments to dental patients in Wuhan city were postponed and only emergency dental care was reserved.13-14
The Department of Paediatric Dentistry in WHUSS (School and Hospital of Stomatology, Wuhan University) was the main centre for the routine treatment and care for children’s dental diseases in Wuhan. This department provided dental care to around 36,000 children in 2019. From 24 January to 19 April 2020, clinical procedures of this department were completely stopped. During this period, online consultations and oral health instructions were provided, and severe dental emergencies were recommended to the Department of General and Emergency in WHUSS, which was the only available department in WHUSS special for emergency dental services. Although it was important to provide treatment for children who presented with urgent or emergency dental complaints, dental non-emergencies that failed to receive immediate intervention were at an increased risk to exacerbate and even developed urgent or emergent complications. Therefore, the contradiction between the huge paediatric demands and the limited dental service worsened after the closure of the Department of Paediatric Dentistry. After being imposed a lockdown for as long as 76 days, Wuhan city successfully contained the spread of the virus. On 8 April 2020, all travel restrictions in and out of Wuhan was removed. On 20 April, the Paediatric Department of WHUSS was reopened and aimed to improve children’s dental healthcare.

Similar to Wuhan in China, paediatric dental treatments were completely stopped or significantly influenced in several affected countries. Paediatric dentistry was essential children’s healthcare. During this evolving and unprecedented COVID-19 pandemic period, it was an important issue to get a balance between prevention of potential exposure to SARS-CoV-2 infection and minimisation of the harm to patients from postponed dental care. New protocols and measures were needed as dental professionals returned to normal practice after weeks or months of confinement in many countries. Some articles have discussed the management of dental practice during the COVID-19 pandemic, but few articles explored the situation and changes after the recovery of dental clinics based on large sample data.

In this study, we collected the data of the patients from 20 April to 31 July in 2020 and the corresponding period in 2019 to analyse the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the children’s dental care. We also shared our experience on restructuring the patient pathway and workflow of healthcare personnel.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The information of the patients who visited the Department of Paediatric Dentistry, WHUSS from 20 April to 31 July in 2020 and the corresponding period in 2019 was retrieved and compared (all private information has not been extracted, including patient name, ID number and address). Any medical record had one or even more information missing was excluded.

**Patient and public involvement**

Reporting of the study follows the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines. Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

**Workflow of the dental practice personnel**

In order to ensure safety after reopening of the hospital, a series of measures had been applied among medical staff which mainly included: nucleic acid testing for all before reopening, personal protection training for employees, adequate provision of personal protective equipment and a complete clinic management system. Please refer to the online supplemental materials for details.

**Patient pathway for paediatric dental services**

During the pandemic, WHUSS and paediatric dentistry formulated protocols to prevent nosocomial infections of COVID-19. These measures included: online appointment system, medical history collection of COVID-19, body temperature monitoring, provision of nucleic acid reports and so on. Patients needed to make an appointment online in advance, those who lacked an appointment would not be allowed to enter the hospital. The patient triage based on the COVID-19 exposure history was completed on patient arrival. Children and their accompanying persons were required to provide the appointment messages, the children’s identity information and the accompanying person’s health QR-code. All people needed to receive body temperature assessment. Please refer to the online supplemental materials for details. The questionnaires for COVID-19 are shown in online supplemental appendix tables 1 and 2.

**Data collection and management**

Data collection included number and demographic information of visited children (age and gender), their diagnosis and treatment options provided by dentists. Data associated with COVID-19 were obtained from the government. All data were classified according to specific standards. Age was divided into four groups: 0–3 years old, 4–6 years old, 7–12 years old, 13 years old and above. For the diagnosis of children, nine common diseases were listed while some uncommon diseases such as traumatic ulcer, central cusp, gingivitis were grouped into other. Treatments were divided into Oral Health Consultation group (they received a comprehensive oral examination and oral health instruction without any dental procedures.) and Oral Health Consultation & Treatment group. The latter group was further divided into AGPs Treatment Group and non-AGPs Treatment Group according to whether any AGPs was used.

**Figure drawing and statistical analysis**

The data were entered into Excel 2019 (Microsoft corporation, Redmon, Washington, USA) and imported into SPSS V.25.0 (IBM Corporation) for statistical analysis.

Figures were drawn by Excel. Pearson $\chi^2$ test was used to verify the difference of categorical variables. Significance levels were set as $p<0.05$ using any test.

**RESULTS**

**The overall recovery process of the Department of Paediatric Dentistry in WHUSS**

From 20 April to 31 July, a total of two medical staff experienced fever symptoms. Their test reports on nucleic acid of SARS-CoV-2 were both negative and were diagnosed as influenza instead of COVID-19. As of 31 July, no medical staff or patient had contracted COVID-19 due to medical services.

After reopening on 20 April, a series of measures had been taken to prevent COVID-19 infections and ensure the safety of medical staff and patients. These measures included: changes in the appointment system from a combination of on-site and online to online only; strict verification of identification information before entering the hospital and clinic area; collection of epidemiological information related to COVID-19 of each patient and perfect classified diagnosis and treatment system and so on. All the above measures (Methods and online supplemental materials) ensured the recovery process of the Department of Paediatric Dentistry of WHUSS to be promoted steadily.

The trend of COVID-19 pandemic in Wuhan (23 January to 31 July 2020) is shown in figure 1. The number of daily visits from the reopening day to 31 July 2020 (the blue line) and during the corresponding period in 2019 (the orange line) were retrieved. The daily visits in 2019 were at a comparably stable level, about 80 for average. In 2020, the number of visits remained very low in the early recovery stage (late April), and gradually increased. In the early first half of May, the average daily visits reached nearly 50, returning to 60% of the same period last year. Since late May, the number of the patients reached a relatively stable level, reaching 60, which was around 60%-80% of the same period in 2019.

**Changes in the basic information of the children visiting WHUSS**

To further understand the possible changes of the patients’ characteristics, basic information of the children who visited the Department of Paediatric Dentistry in WHUSS was obtained. Table 1 shows their gender and age distribution. No significant difference was found in the gender distribution between 2019 and 2020. But a significant difference was seen in the age distribution with an increase of the children under 6 years old (0–3 and 4–6 years old groups) but a decrease of the elder children in 2020.

**Changes in the diagnoses of the children visiting WHUSS**

The disease diagnoses of the visited children in 2020 are shown in table 2. Since the absolute number of children visiting varied greatly between 2020 and 2019, the percentages of the number of each diagnosis were further compared. Comparison among the 4 months within 2020, the diagnosis (%) of retained primary teeth declined month by month. However, compared with the total patients in 2019, the COVID-19 pandemic has led to a significant increase of the percentage of retained primary teeth. Meanwhile, significant impacts of COVID-19 on the percentage of dental caries, malocclusion, deep pits and fissures were also seen, with an increase in the first diagnosis but decreases in the latter two. The detailed diagnoses for patients in 2019 are shown in online supplemental appendix table 3.
Table 1  Gender and age distribution of the children who visited the Department of Paediatric Dentistry in WHUSS from 20 April and 31 July in 2019 and 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>April 2019 (%)</th>
<th>May 2019 (%)</th>
<th>June 2019 (%)</th>
<th>July 2019 (%)</th>
<th>Total in 2019 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3429 (52.88)</td>
<td>3056 (47.12)</td>
<td>2745 (42.33)</td>
<td>2839 (43.78)</td>
<td>1136 (47.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6280 (53.62)</td>
<td>5433 (46.38)</td>
<td>4152 (35.45)</td>
<td>6229 (53.18)</td>
<td>1173 (53.62)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The symbol * represents significant difference using Pearson $\chi^2$ test (P<0.05).
†There is no significant difference of the gender of the children between 2019 and 2020 using Pearson $\chi^2$ test ($\chi^2=0.918$, P=0.338>0.05).
‡The age distribution between 2019 and 2020 was significantly different with an obvious increase of the children under 6 years old (0–3 and 4–6 years old group) and a decrease of the children above 7 years old in 2020 using Pearson $\chi^2$ test ($\chi^2=435.590$, P<0.001).

WHUSS, School and Hospital of Stomatology, Wuhan University.

Table 2  The changes in the patients’ diagnoses from 20 April and 31 July in 2020. Nine common diagnoses were listed in the table. Other uncommon diagnoses were classified as ‘Other’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Apr 2020 (%)</th>
<th>May 2020 (%)</th>
<th>Jun 2020 (%)</th>
<th>Jul 2020 (%)</th>
<th>Total in 2020 (%)</th>
<th>Total in 2019 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caries</td>
<td>49 (30.82)</td>
<td>586 (31.19)</td>
<td>577 (31.97)</td>
<td>836 (31.64)</td>
<td>2048 (31.58)</td>
<td>3388 (28.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulpitis</td>
<td>8 (5.03)</td>
<td>151 (8.04)</td>
<td>171 (9.47)</td>
<td>257 (9.73)</td>
<td>587 (9.05)</td>
<td>1144 (9.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical periodontitis</td>
<td>36 (22.64)</td>
<td>412 (21.93)</td>
<td>407 (22.55)</td>
<td>596 (22.56)</td>
<td>1451 (22.37)</td>
<td>2620 (22.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained primary teeth</td>
<td>30 (18.87)</td>
<td>286 (15.22)</td>
<td>184 (10.19)</td>
<td>236 (8.93)</td>
<td>736 (11.35)</td>
<td>1010 (8.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malocclusion</td>
<td>13 (8.18)</td>
<td>159 (8.46)</td>
<td>145 (8.03)</td>
<td>229 (8.66)</td>
<td>546 (8.42)</td>
<td>1128 (9.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth trauma</td>
<td>5 (3.14)</td>
<td>68 (3.62)</td>
<td>68 (3.77)</td>
<td>104 (3.94)</td>
<td>245 (3.78)</td>
<td>711 (6.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early loss of primary teeth</td>
<td>5 (3.14)</td>
<td>52 (2.77)</td>
<td>49 (2.72)</td>
<td>74 (2.80)</td>
<td>180 (2.78)</td>
<td>322 (2.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep pits and fissures</td>
<td>3 (1.89)</td>
<td>26 (1.38)</td>
<td>28 (1.55)</td>
<td>45 (1.70)</td>
<td>102 (1.57)</td>
<td>255 (2.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supernumerary teeth</td>
<td>4 (2.52)</td>
<td>57 (3.03)</td>
<td>47 (2.60)</td>
<td>72 (2.73)</td>
<td>180 (2.78)</td>
<td>312 (2.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6 (3.77)</td>
<td>82 (4.36)</td>
<td>129 (7.15)</td>
<td>193 (7.31)</td>
<td>410 (6.32)</td>
<td>823 (7.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>2642</td>
<td>6485</td>
<td>1173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The monthly diagnoses in 2019 were shown in online supplemental materials.
The symbol * represents significant difference using Pearson $\chi^2$ test (P<0.05).
†Comparison among the 4 months within 2020.
‡Comparison of the patients’ diagnoses between the total period in 2020 and 2019.

Changes in the treatment operations

In order to analyse the impact of COVID-19 on the implementation of dental treatment operations, the data were further collected and shown in table 3. On the whole, a higher proportion of children left the hospital after receiving oral health consultations only in 2020. But from April to July, the proportion of children undergoing treatment operations was increasing while in 2019, the ratio between these two groups was stable. By comparing the same month of 2019 and 2020, it was found that there were significant differences in April, May and June, but there was no significant difference in July.

Considering the high risks of AGPs for COVID-19 transmission, the Oral Health Consultation & Treatment group was classified into two groups according to whether any AGPs was used (table 4). Comparison between 2019 and 2020 showed that the proportion of AGPs operations

Table 3  All visits were grouped into Oral Health Consultation group and Oral Health Consultation & Treatment group according to whether treatment operation(s) was adopted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Total visits</th>
<th>Oral Health Consultation only (%)</th>
<th>Oral Health Consultation &amp; Treatment (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 2020</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>81 (50.94)</td>
<td>78 (49.06)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>332 (30.92)</td>
<td>797 (69.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>581 (34.46)</td>
<td>1298 (65.54)</td>
<td>p=0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>3205</td>
<td>876 (27.48)</td>
<td>2329 (72.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2020</td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>622 (29.41)</td>
<td>1183 (70.59)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2019</td>
<td>3111</td>
<td>898 (27.33)</td>
<td>2213 (72.67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2020</td>
<td>2642</td>
<td>726 (28.87)</td>
<td>1916 (71.13)</td>
<td>p=0.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>4268</td>
<td>1204 (28.21)</td>
<td>3064 (71.79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The symbol * represents significant difference using Pearson $\chi^2$ test (P<0.05).
†A significant difference was seen among the 4 months within 2020 (Pearson $\chi^2$ test, $\chi^2=54.989$, p<0.001).
‡There is no significant difference among the 4 months within 2019 (Pearson $\chi^2$ test, $\chi^2=2.660$, p=0.447 >0.05).
§There is a significant difference between the total amount (20 April to 31 July) of each group in 2019 and 2020 using Pearson $\chi^2$ test ($\chi^2=15.097$, p<0.001).
After Wuhan city experienced the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, the medical industry including dental care service had been seriously affected. To explore the changes of pediatric dental services during the pandemic, the information of children who visited the Department of Paediatric Dentistry of WHUSS including gender, age, diagnoses and treatment options they received was extracted. By comparing with the same period in 2019, we found that the COVID-19 pandemic had an impact on the policies of dental hospitals and clinics, the distribution of children’s age and oral diseases, as well as the treatment operations to the patients.

The strategy of pathway for patients and the performance of patient triage were reorganised and adopted till now. However, it still had some limitations. The collection of COVID-19 exposure history as well as the checking for body temperature were completed only on patient arrival. But if the patient or the accompanying person was a potential COVID-19 infected person or had been contacted with an infected person, the spread of COVID-19 was hard to be avoided on the way to the hospital. Judson et al proposed self-triage and self-scheduling based on the phone, which was being rapidly adopted on health systems around the world. So this kind of system for patient triage, both on the phone and on patient arrival, which had the potential to greatly improve triage efficiency and prevent unnecessary visits during the COVID-19 pandemic, was a valuable measure for risk assessment of COVID-19 for patients.

The regular dental healthcare in Wuhan was influenced by COVID-19 pandemic, including paediatric patients and dentists. The COVID-19 pandemic had affected children’s dental healthcare in Wuhan, and the short-term changes in children caused by COVID-19 pandemic were mainly due to community closure and delayed treatment. A significant increase was observed in the children at younger ages (0–6 years old), which might be attributed to the closure of the community. During this period, children were required to stay at home all day, feeling upset by losing outdoor activities, and daily dental care was sometimes ignored. Coupled with poor self-control and poor oral cleaning, young children were more prone to dental diseases. At the same time, due to the closure, children were unable to visit the hospital to receive regular oral examination and the fluoride anti-caries treatment, which made the incidence of dental caries further increased.

At present, the impact of delayed treatment on children mainly led to the change of the reasons for these children’s visit, which was mainly reflected in the change of disease distribution in our study. A significant decrease for dental trauma was found in 2020 (April to June), which may be attributed to reduced outdoor activities. Although community closure was cancelled at the end of March, educational institutions especially kindergartens and elementary schools did not return to work at that time. To avoid the possibility of infection, most children were required to go out less frequently by their caregivers. In Wuhan, China, summer vacation usually started from early July. It was found that for 2019, the percentage of dental trauma in July also reduced greatly either.

Hopcraft and Farmer investigated the impact of COVID-19 on dental services in Australia from February 2020 to September, finding that the pandemic had a significant impact on the provision of dental services to children from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, and the delayed dental care was likely to contribute to poorer oral health and long-term problems for many Australians. Different from this study, we found that the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on Wuhan mainly concentrated in the first 3 months after reopening. In the fourth month (July 2020), the characteristics of children gradually returned to normal. It was speculated that the restriction measures in Wuhan lasted only 3 months.

### Table 4

The patients treated by dental procedures were classified into two groups according to whether any AGPs was used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time †‡§*</th>
<th>Treated patients</th>
<th>AGPs treatment (%)</th>
<th>Non-AGPs treatment (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2020</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>10 (12.82)</td>
<td>68 (87.18)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2019</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>504 (63.23)</td>
<td>293 (36.76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>383 (29.51)</td>
<td>915 (70.49)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>2329</td>
<td>1387 (59.55)</td>
<td>942 (40.45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2020</td>
<td>1183</td>
<td>603 (50.97)</td>
<td>580 (49.03)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2019</td>
<td>2213</td>
<td>1310 (59.20)</td>
<td>903 (40.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2020</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>1010 (52.71)</td>
<td>906 (47.29)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>3064</td>
<td>1883 (61.46)</td>
<td>1181 (38.54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The symbol * represents significant difference using Pearson χ² test (P<0.05).
†A significant change was seen among the 4 months within 2020 with an obvious monthly increase (Pearson χ² test, χ²=221.737, p=0.001).
‡There is no significant difference among the 4 months within 2019 (Pearson χ² test, χ²=6.118, p=0.106).
§There is a significant difference between the total amount (20 Apr to 31 July) of each group in 2019 and 2020 using Pearson χ² test (χ²=289.960, p<0.001).
AGPs, aerosol generating procedure.
If the restrict time was prolonged, the impact might be more serious. Therefore, our study found that the impact of COVID-19 pandemic and the deferral of dental treatment in Wuhan mainly focused on the short-term impact, while the medium-term and long-term impact might be limited, needing more research to explore.

Another obvious change occurred in the dentists’ treatment concepts, breaking the original routines. Especially in late April when the outpatient service just recovered, more than half of the children did not receive any operation in the initial appointment. In addition, some parents or caregivers were also worried about the risk of COVID-19 infection by AGPs, so they refused to receive any treatment for their children but dental health consultation. With the resumption process proceeding in an orderly manner, the concerns of both dentists and parents decreased, so more dentists and parents were willing to receive AGPs till the end of July.

Many current guidelines recommend minimising the use of AGPs, but non-AGPs operations cannot solve some common dental diseases, such as endodontic treatments for pulpitis. Taking into account the complexity of children’s oral diseases and their limited ability of oral hygiene control, failing to intervene in time will worsen the diseases and eventually make more complicated treatments necessary. Nevertheless, since the global pandemic has not yet been eliminated, the possibility of a recurrence of COVID-19 in Wuhan cannot be ruled out. Some medical staff hold the view that preventive measures must also be paid attention to such as fluoride anti-caries treatment. The application of fluoride can effectively prevent dental caries, which will reduce the probability of these children suffering from dental caries in the future and reduce their visits to the hospital.

As a retrospective report, we extracted and classified the data of the 4 months since the reopening of dental healthcare work in the Paediatric Dentistry of WHUSS. In-depth comparisons were conducted from three aspects, namely: comparison within 2019, comparison within 2020 and comparison between 2019 and 2020. In 2019, the data were mostly stable, and the dentists’ treatment choice was directly determined by the patient’s disease diagnosis, with no extra factors to worry about. The only fluctuation came from the summer vacation in July as discussed above. However, in 2020, a large amount of changes happened. When dentists just returned to work on April, most of them tried to avoid AGPs operations while parents also refused to take their children to hospital when it was not urgent enough regarding the danger of hospital environment. In this exploratory period, the attitudes of dentists and patients were relatively conservative. At the end of May, Wuhan city carried out nucleic acid tests on all citizens, which gave both dentists and patients incentives. The numbers of dentists at work, visited patients, patients treated and patients receiving AGPs also increased. This phenomenon was more significant from May to June. When entering July, after the first 3 months of exploration, the concepts of both dentists and patients have become stable. This month-to-month change has begun to shrink, approaching the degree compared with the same month in 2019. The overall reopening process has achieved phased success.

CONCLUSION
The COVID-19 pandemic has indeed brought an impact on children’s dental care. Under this attack, lower visit number as well as younger age distribution can be found. And AGPs operations were used less frequently. Our department resumed medical service at the end of April, without any example to follow. Through the efforts of various departments of the hospital, plans and medical procedures have been formulated. Looking back at our reopening process, it cannot be perfect enough, but it is still satisfactory. Our experience may help global paediatric dental healthcare workers build confidence and make appropriate policies for resuming high-quality dental services during COVID-19 pandemic.
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Workflow of the Dental Practice Personnel

Before the dental healthcare service reopened, all medical staff (including dentists, nurses, logistics staff, management staff) were required to conduct nucleic acid tests for SARS-Cov-2 in April. Only those with negative infection were allowed to work. In addition, they were organized for on-line and on-site trainings to understand the knowledge related to COVID-19 and further enhance the awareness of prevention and control of nosocomial infection.

Since reopening in April 20, body temperature of each staff has been checked every morning at the hospital entrance. It was required that appearance of any symptoms related to COVID-19 infection such as fever should be reported. The person with COVID-19 symptom(s) would have been forbidden to work until they obtained a negative result about SARS-Cov-2 nucleic acid and recovered. Dentists and nurses in close contact with the patients were equipped with personal protective equipment including disposable N95 masks, gloves, caps, shoe covers, face shields, and work uniforms, all of which were used for no more than 4 hours.

Finally, for the management of clinical rooms, measures were taken to ensure all equipment in sight should be minimised to only what was necessary to avoid viral cross-contamination. And common contact areas, such as the chair lamp, handles, and dental comprehensive console, were covered with a barrier. All clinics (both in use and not used) needed to be disinfected with ultraviolet rays twice a day.
Patient Pathway for Paediatric Dental Services

All children except those who need emergent treatments were required to make an appointment through online registration system one week ahead of the interview. After filling out children’s name, identification number, and parent’s cell number, the parent would receive a text message with appointment information. And children together with their accompanying persons needed to go to the hospital as scheduled.

When entering the hospital, children and their accompanying persons should pass through the patient passage way in accordance with the bulletin boards posted by the hospital. Only those who provided the appointment messages, the children's identity information and the accompanying person's Health QR-code were allowed to enter the hospital. A child could only be accompanied by one family member, and anybody with fever was prohibited from entering the hospital.

The Health QR-Code was a technology which could reflect the person's current health status and also record all the people he/she closely contacts with. Once a person is confirmed to be infected with COVID-19, the government can locate all his/her close contacts and immediately take further measures to stop the disease from spreading.

After providing all the information in the entrance, children and their parents needed to go to the reception area of the hospital according to the specific route, and then went to the front desk to register and complete an information collection questionnaire (Appendix Table 1). Afterwards, they were allowed to enter the treatment area of the Department of Paediatric Dentistry to fill in another questionnaire (Appendix Table 2) before entering the treatment room. Based on the above information, infected/suspected patients will be
interviewed and treated at a specific isolation room.

The receiving dentist would inquire and examine the child according to the protocols, and X-ray examination might be needed during the process. According to the examination results, if the dentist believed that the child only needed non-AGPs procedures, then the treatment would be completed directly during this visit. If the dentist thought that it was necessary to perform any AGPs procedures, an appointment would be made with the parent for the next follow-up visit.

Before the follow-up visit, the child needed to go to another qualified hospital to complete the SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid test and got a report. Please note that the nucleic acid test report was valid within 14 days. After the dentist verified the report during the follow-up visit, AGPs could be performed on the child. With the completion of the treatment process, the children and their parent were required to leave the hospital according to the specific route instructed by the staff.
Appendix Table 1

Outpatient information registration form

Part 1: Patient information

Name:                  Contact information:

1. Are you diagnosed or suspected of being a patient who has recovered from COVID-19?
2. Are you diagnosed or suspected of being an asymptomatic patient infected with COVID-19?
3. Have you ever experienced symptoms of COVID-19 including fever, dry cough, fatigue, difficulty breathing or other symptoms?
4. Have you recently been in contact with patients infected or suspected with COVID-19?

Signature:              Date:              Color of the Health QR Code:
Time of the entrance:          Temperature:       Recorder:

Part 2: Accompanying person

Name:                  Contact information:

5. Are you diagnosed or suspected of being a patient who has recovered from COVID-19?
6. Are you diagnosed or suspected of being an asymptomatic patient infected with COVID-19?
7. Have you ever experienced symptoms of COVID-19 including fever, dry cough, fatigue, difficulty breathing or other symptoms?
8. Have you recently been in contact with patients infected or suspected with COVID-19?

Signature:              Date:              Color of the Health QR Code:
Time of the entrance:          Temperature:       Recorder:
Appendix Table 2

Informed Consent for Oral Clinic During the COVID-19 pandemic period

1. The dentist has told me what kind of treatment I should take.

2. During the pandemic period of COVID-19, I understand that hospitals and dentists have taken strict precautions against the occurrence of nosocomial infections.

3. During the pandemic period of COVID-19, I understand that dentists can not identify asymptomatic patients, and there will be cross infection risk during oral diagnosis and treatment.

4. I understand that any treatment has risks, and drug may cause allergies or side effects.

5. I promise that the following facts are true (please tick the corresponding option)
   A. I have been diagnosed with COVID-19 infection
   B. I have been a suspected case of COVID-19 infection
   C. I have recently had symptoms of COVID-19 infection
   D. I have not had any symptoms of COVID-19 infection recently
   E. I have not recently been in contact with patients who have been diagnosed or suspected of being infected with COVID-19.

6. If you choose A . B or C in the Question 5, please answer this question (please tick the corresponding option)
   A. I have been hospitalized and have not any symptom now.
   B. I have been hospitalized and have some symptoms now.
   C. I have not been hospitalized and have not any symptom now either.
   D. I have not been hospitalized but have some symptoms now.

I understand the above content and promise that the choices made are true and effective.

Patient signature:                Dentist signature:                    Date:
Appendix Table 3. The changes in the patients’ diagnoses from April 20 and July 31 in 2019. Nine common diagnoses were listed in the table. Other uncommon diagnoses were classified as "Other".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Apr 2019</th>
<th>May 2019</th>
<th>Jun 2019</th>
<th>Jul 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caries</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>1212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(29.14%)</td>
<td>(28.99%)</td>
<td>(29.51%)</td>
<td>(28.40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulpitis</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11.34%)</td>
<td>(9.49%)</td>
<td>(9.48%)</td>
<td>(9.77%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical Periodontitis</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(22.59%)</td>
<td>(22.90%)</td>
<td>(22.12%)</td>
<td>(22.09%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained Primary Teeth</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8.15%)</td>
<td>(8.77%)</td>
<td>(8.62%)</td>
<td>(8.65%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malocclusion *</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.62%)</td>
<td>(7.89%)</td>
<td>(8.07%)</td>
<td>(12.60%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth Trauma *</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.00%)</td>
<td>(7.61%)</td>
<td>(7.59%)</td>
<td>(3.56%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Loss of Primary teeth</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.83%)</td>
<td>(2.78%)</td>
<td>(2.76%)</td>
<td>(2.69%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Pits and Fissures *</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.68%)</td>
<td>(1.75%)</td>
<td>(1.80%)</td>
<td>(2.91%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supernumerary Teeth</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.65%)</td>
<td>(2.43%)</td>
<td>(2.73%)</td>
<td>(2.79%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.00%)</td>
<td>(7.39%)</td>
<td>(7.32%)</td>
<td>(6.54%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>3205</td>
<td>3111</td>
<td>4268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The changes in the patients’ diagnoses from April 20 and July 31 in 2019. The asterisks (*) represent significant difference using Pearson Chi-square test (P<0.05).
Appendix Figure 1

Appendix Figure 1. The proportion of representative treatment methods and the average number of teeth/root canal treated per visit in 2020 and 2019.