BMJ Open Left atrial pressure overload and prognosis in elderly patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: a prospective multicenter observational study

Shiro Hoshida ¹, Koichi Tachibana,¹ Yukinori Shinoda,¹ Tomoko Minamisaka,¹ Takahisa Yamada,² Yoshiharu Higuchi,³ Yusuke Nakagawa,⁴ Haruhiko Abe,⁵ Hisakazu Fuji,⁶ Yoshio Yasumura,⁷ Shungo Hikoso ⁸, Daisaku Nakatani,⁸ Yasushi Sakata ⁸, Osaka Cardiovascular Conference Investigators, On behalf of the Osaka Cardiovascular Conference Investigators

To cite: Hoshida S, Tachibana K, Shinoda Y, *et al.* Left atrial pressure overload and prognosis in elderly patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: a prospective multicenter observational study. *BMJ Open* 2021;**11**:e044605. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044605

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044605>).

Received 10 September 2020
Accepted 11 September 2021

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Shiro Hoshida;
shiro.hoshida@hosp-yao.
osaka.jp

ABSTRACT

Objectives The severity of diastolic dysfunction is assessed using a combination of several indices of left atrial (LA) volume overload and LA pressure overload. We aimed to clarify which overload is more associated with the prognosis in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF).

Setting A prospective, multicenter observational registry of collaborating hospitals in Osaka, Japan.

Participants We enrolled hospitalised patients with HFpEF showing sinus rhythm (men, 79; women, 113). Blood tests and transthoracic echocardiography were performed before discharge. The ratio of diastolic elastance (Ed) to arterial elastance (Ea) was used as a relative index of LA pressure overload.

Primary outcome measures All-cause mortality and admission for heart failure were evaluated at >1 year after discharge.

Results In the multivariable Cox regression analysis, Ed/Ea, but not LA volume index, was significantly associated with all-cause mortality or admission for heart failure (HR 2.034, 95% CI 1.059 to 3.907, $p=0.032$), independent of age, sex, and the serum N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) level. In patients with a higher NT-proBNP level, the effect of higher Ed/Ea on prognosis was prominent ($p=0.015$).

Conclusions Ed/Ea, an index of LA pressure overload, was significantly associated with the prognosis in elderly patients with HFpEF showing sinus rhythm.

Trial registration number UMIN000021831.

INTRODUCTION

Patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) have an increased left atrial volume (LAV) and early transmitral flow velocity/the onset of early diastolic mitral annular velocity (E/ϵ), as shown by non-invasive echocardiographic findings.^{1–3} E/ϵ is positively correlated with left atrial (LA)

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The severity of diastolic dysfunction is assessed by a combination of several indices of left atrial (LA) volume and pressure overload.
- The ratio of diastolic elastance (Ed) to arterial elastance (Ea), that is, Ed/Ea, is a novel index of LA pressure overload.
- Although the indices of LA pressure and volume overload are high in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), it remains to be seen which LA overload is more associated with the prognosis in elderly patients with HFpEF.
- The limitation of this study is its small sample size.

pressure or pulmonary capillary wedge pressure.^{4–7} We previously reported that the LAV index (LAVI), a relative index of LAV overload, and the ratio of diastolic elastance (Ed) to arterial elastance (Ea) ($Ed/Ea = (E/\epsilon)/(0.9 \times \text{systolic blood pressure})$), a relative index of LA pressure overload, are high in elderly patients with preserved ejection fraction with and without heart failure (HF).^{3 8 9} In the recommendations for left ventricular (LV) diastolic evaluation using echocardiography, the severity of diastolic dysfunction (DD) is assessed using a combination of several indices, such as early transmitral flow (E)/late transmitral flow (A), deceleration time, E/ϵ , tricuspid regurgitation velocity and LAVI.^{7 10} Evaluation of disease severity based on these recommendations is useful for estimating the prognosis of patients with HFpEF.¹¹ However, these non-invasive indices are related to either LA pressure overload or LAV overload, and which overload is more associated with

the prognosis of these patients remains unclear. In this study, we aimed to identify a clinically significant echocardiographic index of LA pressure or volume overload for the prognosis of patients with HFpEF.

METHODS

Study subjects

Of the 353 patients with prognostic data who were recruited from the Prospective Multicenter Observational Study of Patients with Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction (PURSUIT HFpEF) registry,^{3 12} 129 patients were excluded because they showed atrial fibrillation before discharge and 32 patients were excluded because of poor echocardiographic data. Therefore, we enrolled 192 patients showing sinus rhythm (LV ejection fraction (LVEF) $\geq 50\%$; men/women, 79/113; mean age, 80 years) at discharge during the index hospitalisation with acute decompensated HF; patients were enrolled based on the Framingham criteria, and if they met the criteria of LVEF $\geq 50\%$ on transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) ≥ 400 pg/mL on admission. We excluded patients with severe aortic stenosis, aortic regurgitation, mitral stenosis or mitral regurgitation due to structural changes in the valves detected by TTE on admission. The PURSUIT HFpEF registry is a prospective, multicenter observational registry in which collaborating hospitals in Osaka, Japan recorded clinical, echocardiographic and outcome data of patients with HFpEF. The registry was managed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Echocardiography and laboratory testing

TTE was performed when the patients were in a stable condition before discharge. Echocardiographic measurements were obtained according to the American Society of Echocardiography or European Society of Echocardiography criteria during a stable sinus rhythm.^{10 13} Volumetry was standardised using the modified Simpson's method, and the index was calculated as the LAV divided by the body surface area. As a marker of LA pressure overload for estimating LV diastolic function, we examined afterload-integrated Ed/Ea ($(E/\dot{e})/(0.9 \times \text{systolic blood pressure})$).^{3 9 14} As relative markers of LAV overload, we also evaluated LAVI and LA ejection fraction calculated as stroke volume (SV)/LAV.¹⁵ The severity of LVDD was assessed according to the previous reports.^{10 11} In the first step, the following four parameters were used: E/ $\dot{e}$, $\dot{e}$ velocity, tricuspid regurgitation velocity and LAVI. In the second step, E/A, E wave, E/ $\dot{e}$, tricuspid regurgitation velocity and LAVI were used to determine DD grades 1–3.^{10 11} When DD was not observed in the first step, the patients were classified as DD grade 0. Laboratory data were examined when patients were stable before discharge.

Follow-up/clinical outcome

After discharge, all patients were followed up at the respective hospital. Survival data were obtained by dedicated coordinators and investigators through direct contact with patients or their physicians at the hospital, or in an outpatient setting, or via a telephone interview with their families or by mail. Data collection was performed using an electronic data capture system integrated into the electronic medical records developed at the Osaka University.¹⁶ In-hospital data were entered into the system and transferred to the data collection centre via a secure Internet connection for processing and analysis. The primary endpoints of this study were the composite of all-cause mortality and hospitalisation for worsening HF.

Patient and public involvement

No patient involved.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD, whereas categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages. Differences in categorical variables between the groups were assessed using the χ^2 test, and those in continuous variables were assessed using Student's t-test or Welch's t-test, as appropriate. Coefficients of correlations were assessed using the Pearson or Spearman model, and p values were examined using regression analysis. Survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier product-limit estimator, and the groups were compared using the log-rank test. The Cox HR was evaluated using univariable and multivariable analyses. In the multivariable analysis, age and sex, and NT-proBNP level, LAVI and Ed/Ea that were significantly associated with outcome in the univariable analysis were included. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using EZR (Saitama Medical Centre, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

Clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients with HFpEF

During a median follow-up of 452 days, 50 patients had all-cause mortality or admission for worsening HF. There were significant differences between patients with and without all-cause mortality or admission for HF in terms of age ($p=0.011$), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ($p=0.026$) and serum NT-proBNP ($p=0.017$) and albumin ($p<0.001$) levels (table 1). There were no significant differences in medications or the incidence of hypertension and dyslipidaemia, except for diabetes mellitus, between the two groups. With respect to echocardiographic parameters, LAVI ($p=0.024$), tricuspid regurgitation pressure gradient (TRPG, $p<0.001$) and Ed/Ea ($p=0.019$) but not SV/LAV, LV mass index (LVMI), LVEF, E/A, the deceleration time of the E wave, septal e' , lateral e' , or $Ed = (E/e')/SV$ at discharge, were significantly

Table 1 Patient characteristics before discharge

	All (n=192)	All-cause mortality or Admission for heart failure		P value (– vs +)
		– (n=142)	+ (n=50)	
Age, years	80.0±10.0	78.9±10.1	83.1±9.1	0.011
Male sex, n (%)	79 (41)	59 (42)	20 (40)	0.848
Cardiothoracic ratio, %	55.4±7.5	54.8±7.4	57.2±7.7	0.093
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	122±18	120±17	124±21	0.078
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	64±12	65±12	62±11	0.212
Heart rate, beats/min	69±14	69±14	68±12	0.576
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n (%)	11 (6)	9 (7)	2 (4)	0.796
Coronary artery disease, n (%)	41 (21)	31 (22)	10 (20)	0.785
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	73 (38)	48 (34)	25 (50)	0.043
Dyslipidaemia, n (%)	92 (48)	65 (46)	27 (54)	0.316
Hypertension, n (%)	169 (88)	121 (85)	48 (96)	0.077
Laboratory data				
Haemoglobin, g/L	1.10±0.18	1.11±0.18	1.05±0.19	0.062
Albumin, g/dL	3.3±0.5	3.4±0.5	3.1±0.6	<0.001
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	42.3±22.1	44.4±21.7	36.3±22.6	0.026
N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, pg/mL	2971±8478	2096±4832	5557±14 490	0.017
Medications				
Beta-blockers, n (%)	109 (57)	82 (58)	27 (54)	0.645
Calcium-channel blockers, n (%)	112 (58)	80 (56)	32 (64)	0.344
Diuretics, n (%)	146 (76)	105 (74)	41 (82)	0.251
RAAS inhibitors, n (%)	133 (69)	94 (66)	39 (78)	0.119
Statins, n (%)	72 (38)	50 (35)	22 (44)	0.269

Values are mean±SD or number (%).

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; RAAS, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

different between patients with and without all-cause mortality or admission for HF (table 2).

The correlations between Ed/Ea and LAVI ($r=0.194$, $p=0.008$) or SV/LAV ($r=-0.180$, $p=0.017$) were more significant than those between E/e' and LAVI ($r=0.155$, $p=0.034$) or SV/LAV ($r=-0.137$, $p=0.072$). E/e' ($r=0.233$, $p=0.001$) and Ed/Ea ($r=0.222$, $p=0.002$) showed a modest positive correlation with the NT-proBNP log-transformed level, although TRPG did not correlate with the NT-proBNP log-transformed level ($r=0.147$, $p=0.060$). LAVI and the NT-proBNP log-transformed level were significantly correlated ($r=0.256$, $p<0.001$).

Prognostic analysis

In the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for the prediction of all-cause mortality or admission for HF, the area under the curve of LAVI was slightly smaller than that of the NT-proBNP level and Ed/Ea (table 3). The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis clearly showed that LAVI >38 mL/m² ($p=0.016$), Ed/Ea >0.121 ($p=0.002$) and NT-proBNP level >783 pg/mL ($p<0.001$)

were significant for prognosis (figure 1). Although not shown, age >85 years ($p<0.001$), eGFR <39.8 mL/min/1.73 m² ($p=0.004$) and TRPG >28 mm Hg ($p<0.001$) were also determinant factors. The albumin level was not a determinant factor (data not shown). The LVDD grade was also related to all-cause mortality or admission for HF in patients with HFpEF, as shown by the Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis (figure 1) and Cox hazard analysis (HR 3.164, 95% CI 1.761 to 5.683, $p<0.001$). In the multivariable analysis of the Cox HR, Ed/Ea ($p=0.032$) was significantly associated with poor outcome, independent of age, sex, LAVI and serum NT-proBNP level (table 3).

In the Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis for all-cause mortality or admission for HF with a stratified examination using the NT-proBNP level and Ed/Ea, the patients with NT-proBNP level >783 pg/mL and Ed/Ea >0.121 exhibited the highest event rate (figure 2, log-rank test, $p=0.015$). The effect of higher Ed/Ea on all-cause mortality or admission for HF was obvious in patients with a higher NT-proBNP level.

Table 2 Echocardiographic data before discharge

	All	All-cause mortality or Admission for heart failure		P value (– vs +)
		–	+	
LAD, mm	41.2±7.6	40.4±7.9	43.3±6.5	0.021
LAVI, mL/m ²	50.5±25.7	47.9±23.2	57.6±30.8	0.024
LVEDVI, mL/m ²	56.1±20.3	55.9±21.2	56.8±17.6	0.786
LVESVI, mL/m ²	21.8±10.8	21.8±10.9	21.8±10.7	0.993
SVI, mL/m ²	34.3±12.0	34.0±12.7	35.0±10.0	0.652
SV/LAV	0.809±0.376	0.835±0.376	0.733±0.373	0.125
LVEF, %	61.4±6.8	61.3±6.7	62.0±6.8	0.502
LVMI, g/m ²	108.4±33.2	105.8±32.5	115.9±34.1	0.063
TRPG, mm Hg	27.2±9.3	25.8±8.5	30.9±10.4	<0.001
E/A	1.00±0.57	1.00±0.61	1.01±0.47	0.897
DcT of E wave	0.22±0.06	0.22±0.06	0.22±0.07	0.468
Septal e'	0.051±0.019	0.052±0.020	0.048±0.016	0.189
Lateral e'	0.067±0.023	0.067±0.024	0.067±0.020	0.979
Ed = (E/e')/SV	0.450±0.230	0.431±0.227	0.505±0.249	0.065
Ed/Ea	0.130±0.055	0.125±0.055	0.146±0.052	0.019

Values are mean±SD.

DcT, deceleration time; E, early transmitral flow velocity; e', onset of early diastolic mitral annular velocity; Ea, arterial elastance; Ed, diastolic elastance; LAD, left atrial diameter; LAV, left atrial volume; LAVI, left atrial volume index; LVEDVI, left ventricular end-diastolic volume index; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESVI, left ventricular end-systolic volume index; SV, stroke volume; SVI, stroke volume index; TRPG, tricuspid regurgitation pressure gradient.

DISCUSSION

In this study, LA pressure overload, rather than LAV overload, was found to be a more useful marker of prognosis in patients with HFpEF. Our findings can help determine which single index of LA pressure overload is significantly associated with the prognosis. In particular, in patients with a higher NT-proBNP level, a higher Ed/Ea was associated with a poor prognosis.

The heterogeneity of the cardiac structure in patients with HFpEF is well known. Notably, there were no significant differences in the deceleration time of the E wave and E/A in patients with and without all-cause mortality

or admission for HF. The LA structure and function most closely reflect haemodynamic stress and remodelling in HFpEF.¹⁷ The E/e' ratio was reported to be a significant prognostic factor in the Treatment of Preserved Cardiac Function Heart Failure with an Aldosterone Antagonist (TOPCAT) trial¹⁸ and a systematic review.¹⁹ However, there are many important differences between our study and the TOPCAT trial: (1) the TOPCAT trial was an intervention study; (2) subjects in our study were 10 years older; (3) the inclusion criteria were different (ie, stable outpatients in the TOPCAT trial vs hospitalised patients with HFpEF in our study and patients with

Table 3 Analytical data of prognostic factors for all-cause mortality or admission for heart failure in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction

	ROC curve analysis		Cox hazard analysis					
	Cut-off point	AUC	Univariable			Multivariable		
			Ratio	95% CI	P value	Ratio	95% CI	P value
Age	85	0.628	2.855	1.634 to 4.99	<0.001	1.736	0.934 to 3.225	0.081
Sex	–	–	0.965	0.547 to 1.701	0.903	1.223	0.638 to 2.345	0.544
NT-proBNP	783	0.695	3.432	1.652 to 7.133	<0.001	3.152	1.422 to 6.987	0.004
LAVI	38	0.607	2.225	1.134 to 4.366	0.02	1.298	0.599 to 2.813	0.508
Ed/Ea	0.121	0.637	2.424	1.337 to 4.394	0.003	2.034	1.059 to 3.907	0.032

AUC, area under the curve; Ea, arterial elastance; Ed, diastolic elastance; LAVI, left atrial volume index; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.

Figure 1 The Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis of patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. LAVI $>38 \text{ mL/m}^2$, ratio of Ed/Ea >0.121 , NT-proBNP level $>783 \text{ pg/mL}$ and left ventricular DD grade (0–1 vs 2–3) were significant factors for all-cause mortality or admission for heart failure. Criteria for left ventricular DD grade were adopted from the previous reports.^{10 11} The Ed/Ea ratio was calculated as $(E/e')/(0.9 \times \text{systolic blood pressure})$.^{3 8} DD, diastolic dysfunction; Ea, arterial elastance; Ed, diastolic elastance; LAVI, left atrial volume index; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

atrial fibrillation were included in the TOPCAT trial but excluded from our study) and (4) an essential factor for prognosis, such as serum NT-proBNP level, was included in the multivariable analysis of the Cox HR in our study.

As a single index of LA pressure overload among non-invasive echocardiographic findings, Ed/Ea may be more significantly associated with all-cause mortality or admission for HF. E/e' is known to be the best-fit index for LA pressure among echocardiographic indices in HFpEF.¹⁷ $\text{Ed/Ea} = (E/e')/(0.9 \times \text{systolic blood pressure})$ is the LA pressure relative to systemic pressure and may show the ratio of preload to afterload pressure of the left ventricle. Thus, the Ed/Ea ratio may be an index that reflects the whole left-sided heart function, including the atrioventricular-arterial interaction under a preserved LVEF. Furthermore, patients with a higher NT-proBNP level and higher Ed/Ea had the poorest prognosis. The NT-proBNP level is a powerful prognostic factor in HFpEF.²⁰ Although NT-proBNP reflects cardiac morphology and function,²¹ it remains uncertain whether NT-proBNP levels solely reflect cardiac processes or whether it also plays a role independent of cardiac remodelling. Several recent studies have reported that NT-proBNP may be an additional marker of extra-cardiac vascular diseases.^{22 23} At least a part of the association of NT-proBNP with prognosis is independent of cardiac remodelling measures.²⁴ In combination with the NT-proBNP level, the significance of higher Ed/Ea for evaluating the prognosis was obvious in elderly patients with HFpEF.

Among the indices of LAV overload, LAVI but not SV/LAV significantly differed between patients with and without all-cause mortality or admission for HF. As the area under the curve of LAVI in the ROC curve analysis was small and no significant finding was observed in the

Figure 2 The Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis for all-cause mortality or admission for heart failure with stratified examination using the ratio of Ed/Ea and NT-proBNP level in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. Patients with NT-proBNP level $>783 \text{ pg/mL}$ and Ed/Ea >0.121 exhibited higher all-cause mortality or admission for heart failure. In patients with a higher NT-proBNP level, the effect of a higher Ed/Ea on all-cause mortality or admission for heart failure was obvious. Ea, arterial elastance; Ed, diastolic elastance; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

multivariable analysis of the Cox HR for all-cause mortality or admission for HF in patients with HFpEF, we conclude that an index of LA volume overload such as LAVI is not a suitable factor for evaluating prognosis. LAVI is an indicator of long-term elevation of LV filling pressure, and an enlarged LAVI may be a secondary phenomenon. Even in patients without all-cause mortality or admission for HF, the mean LAVI was 47.9 mL/m^2 , which was considerably higher than the criterion for LVDD ($>34 \text{ mL/m}^2$).

LV Ed is expressed as $(E/e')/\text{SV}$ ²⁵ or $(E/e')/\text{LV end-diastolic volume}$.²⁶ Ea was calculated as $(0.9 \times \text{systolic blood pressure})/\text{SV}$.²⁵ Although Ed and Ea were reported to be negatively correlated in younger patients with hypertension,²⁷ both indices were higher in elderly women than in men under stable conditions.^{25 26} Elevated Ed in elderly women could be an epiphenomenon because of the associated increase in Ea. We previously reported that Ed/Ea is an index of the LV diastolic function relative to the afterload and can be calculated as $(E/e')/(0.9 \times \text{systolic blood pressure})$ when Ed is $(E/e')/\text{SV}$.^{8 9} Accordingly, Ed/Ea was not directly related to the parameters of

cardiac volume, such as LAV and SV. We recently reported a larger LAV and higher Ed/Ea in elderly women with preserved ejection fraction, regardless of HF status.^{3 8 9} Ed/Ea is a novel afterload-integrated parameter for LV diastolic function that may be useful as a severity index for prognosis in elderly patients with HFpEF.

Limitations

Further studies are required to investigate differences in the clinical significance of Ed/Ea for prognosis between younger patients with normal renal function and moderate-to-severe LV hypertrophy and elderly patients (mean age, 80 years) with renal dysfunction (mean eGFR, 42.3 mL/min/1.73 m²) and mild LV hypertrophy (mean LVMI, 108.4 g/m²) included in our study. We could not discuss echocardiographic parameters in patients with atrial fibrillation. The role of the right side of the heart in prognosis, as possibly reflected in the involvement of TRPG, remains unclear in this study. Even in the small sample size, the multivariable Cox model with the number of variables included/input was within the rough rule of one variable per 10 events. Under this condition, Ed/Ea was a significant prognostic factor, independent of NT-proBNP level. Although our results need to be interpreted carefully, our finding that a higher Ed/Ea was associated with a poor prognosis in patients with a higher NT-proBNP level may be clinically important. We examined all-cause mortality rather than cardiac death because the determination of cardiac death can be challenging in elderly patients.

CONCLUSIONS

LA pressure overload, rather than LAV overload, is a useful marker of prognosis in elderly patients with HFpEF showing sinus rhythm. As an index for LA pressure overload among non-invasive echocardiographic findings, Ed/Ea provides additional prognostic information on the serum NT-proBNP level.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Yao Municipal Hospital, Yao, Osaka, Japan

²Division of Cardiology, Osaka General Medical Center, Osaka, Osaka, Japan

³Cardiovascular Division, Osaka Police Hospital, Osaka, Osaka, Japan

⁴Division of Cardiology, Kawanishi City Hospital, Kawanishi, Hyogo, Japan

⁵Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, National Hospital Organization Osaka National Hospital, Osaka, Osaka, Japan

⁶Cardiovascular Division, Kobe Ekisaikai Hospital, Kobe, Hyogo, Japan

⁷Division of Cardiology, Amagasaki Chuo Hospital, Amagasaki, Hyogo, Japan

⁸Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Suita, Osaka, Japan

Acknowledgements We thank Hiroyuki Kurakami and Tomomi Yamada for their statistical support. We also thank Nagisa Yoshioka, Kyoko Tatsumi, Satomi Kishimoto, Noriko Murakami and Sugako Mitsuoka for their excellent assistance with data collection.

Collaborators Shunsuke Tamaki, Masatake Fukunami, and Takahisa Yamada, Osaka General Medical Center, Osaka, Japan; Takaharu Hayashi and Yoshiharu Higuchi, Osaka Police Hospital, Osaka, Japan; Masaharu Masuda, Mitsutoshi Asai, and Toshiaki Mano, Kansai Rosai Hospital, Amagasaki, Japan; Hisakazu Fuji, Kobe Ekisaikai Hospital, Kobe, Japan; Daisaku Masuda, Yoshihiro Takeda,

Yoshiyuki Nagai, and Shizuya Yamashita, Rinku General Medical Center, Izumisano, Japan; Masami Sairyo, Yusuke Nakagawa, and Shuichi Nozaki, Kawanishi City Hospital, Kawanishi, Japan; Haruhiko Abe, Yasunori Ueda, Masaaki Uematsu, and Yukihiro Koretsune, National Hospital Organization Osaka National Hospital, Osaka, Japan; Kunihiro Nagai, Ikeda Municipal Hospital, Ikeda, Japan; Masamichi Yano, Masami Nishino, and Jun Tanouchi, Osaka Rosai Hospital, Sakai, Japan; Yoh Arita and Shinji Hasegawa, Japan Community Health Care Organization Osaka Hospital, Osaka, Japan; Takamaru Ishizu, Minoru Ichikawa, and Yuzuru Takano Higashiosaka City Medical Center, Higashiosaka, Japan; Eisai Rin, Kawachi General Hospital, Higashiosaka, Japan; Tetsuya Watanabe and Shiro Hoshida, Yao Municipal Hospital, Yao, Japan; Masahiro Izumi, Kinki Central Hospital, Itami, Japan; Hiroyoshi Yamamoto and Hiroyasu Kato, Japan Community Health Care Organization, Osaka Minato Central Hospital, Osaka, Japan; Kazuhiro Nakatani and Hisatoyo Hiraoka, Sumitomo Hospital, Osaka, Japan; Mayu Nishio and Keiji Hirooka, Saiseikai Senri Hospital, Suita, Japan; Takahiro Yoshimura and Yoshinori Yasuoka, National Hospital Organization Osaka Minami Medical Center, Kawachinagano, Japan; Akihiro Tani, Kano General Hospital, Osaka, Japan; Yasushi Okumoto and Hideharu Akagi, Kinan Hospital, Tanabe, Japan; Yasunaka Makino, Hyogo Prefectural Nishinomiya Hospital, Nishinomiya, Japan; Toshinari Ohnishi and Katsuomi Iwakura, Sakurabashi Watanabe Hospital, Osaka, Japan; Nagahiro Nishikawa and Yoshiyuki Kijima, Japan Community Health Care Organization, Hoshigaoka Medical Center, Hirakata, Japan; Takashi Kitao and Hideyuki Kanai, Minoh City Hospital, Minoh, Japan; Wataru Shioyama and Masashi Fujita, Osaka International Cancer Institute, Osaka, Japan; Koichiro Harada, Suita Municipal Hospital, Suita, Japan; Masahiro Kumada and Osamu Nakagawa, Toyonaka Municipal Hospital, Toyonaka, Japan; Ryo Araki and Takayuki Yamada, Otemae Hospital, Osaka, Japan; Akito Nakagawa and Yoshio Yasumura, Amagasaki Chuo Hospital, Amagasaki, Japan; Fusako Sera, Kei Nakamoto, Hidetaka Kioka, Tomohito Ohtani, Katsuki Okada, Hiroya Mizuno, Tomoharu Dohi, Takayuki Kojima, Hirota Kida, Akihiro Sunaga, Oeun Bolrathanak, Shinichiro Suna, Daisaku Nakatani, Shungo Hikoso, Toshihiro Takeda, Yasushi Matsumura, and Yasushi Sakata, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Suita, Japan.

Contributors (1) Conception and design of the study, acquisition of, and/or analysis and interpretation of data: SH, KT, YS, TM, YH, YN, HA and HF. (2) Discuss on the planning, drafting the article and/or revising it critically for important intellectual content: SH, TY, YY, SH, DN and YS. (3) Final approval of the version to be submitted: All authors.

Funding This study was funded by Roche Diagnostics KK and FUJIFILM Toyama Chemical. No grant numbers were applicable.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This protocol (Osaka University Clinical Research Review Committee, R000024414) was approved by the ethics committee of Yao Municipal Hospital (2016-No.0006). All participants provided written informed consent.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. No additional data available.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Shiro Hoshida <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0268-9417>

Shungo Hikoso <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2284-1970>

Yasushi Sakata <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5618-4721>

REFERENCES

- 1 Nagueh SF, Middleton KJ, Kopelen HA, *et al*. Doppler tissue imaging: a noninvasive technique for evaluation of left ventricular relaxation and estimation of filling pressures. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;30:1527–33.

- 2 Geske JB, Sorajja P, Nishimura RA, *et al.* Evaluation of left ventricular filling pressures by Doppler echocardiography in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: correlation with direct left atrial pressure measurement at cardiac catheterization. *Circulation* 2007;116:2702–8.
- 3 Hoshida S, Watanabe T, Shinoda Y, *et al.* Sex-Related differences in left ventricular diastolic function and arterial elastance during admission in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the pursuit HFpEF study. *Clin Cardiol* 2018;41:1529–36.
- 4 Santos M, Rivero J, McCullough SD, *et al.* E/e' ratio in patients with unexplained dyspnea: lack of accuracy in estimating left ventricular filling pressure. *Circ Heart Fail* 2015;8:749–56.
- 5 Sharifov OF, Schiros CG, Aban I, *et al.* Diagnostic accuracy of tissue Doppler index E/e' for evaluating left ventricular filling pressure and diastolic Dysfunction/Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2016;5:e002530.
- 6 Obokata M, Kane GC, Reddy YNV, *et al.* Role of diastolic stress testing in the evaluation for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a simultaneous Invasive-Echocardiographic study. *Circulation* 2017;135:825–38.
- 7 Andersen OS, Smiseth OA, Dokainish H, *et al.* Estimating Left Ventricular Filling Pressure by Echocardiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;69:1937–48.
- 8 Hoshida S, Shinoda Y, Ikeoka K, *et al.* Age- and sex-related differences in diastolic function and cardiac dimensions in a hypertensive population. *ESC Heart Fail* 2016;3:270–7.
- 9 Hoshida S, Shinoda Y, Ikeoka K, *et al.* Fluctuation of Dynamic Diastolic Function Relative to Static Cardiac Structure - New Insights Into the Underlying Mechanism of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction in Elderly Patients. *Circ J* 2017;81:755–8.
- 10 Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, *et al.* Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2016;29:277–314.
- 11 Sanchis L, Andrea R, Falces C, *et al.* Differential clinical implications of current recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2018;31:1203–8.
- 12 Suna S, Hikoso S, Yamada T, *et al.* Study protocol for the PURSUIT-HFpEF study: a prospective, multicenter, observational study of patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e038294.
- 13 Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, *et al.* Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of echocardiography and the European association of cardiovascular imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2015;28:1–39.
- 14 Minamisaka T, Watanabe T, Shinoda Y, *et al.* Transient manifestation of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction following ablation in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Clin Cardiol* 2018;41:978–84.
- 15 Hoshida S, Watanabe T, Shinoda Y, *et al.* Considerable scatter in the relationship between left atrial volume and pressure in heart failure with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:90.
- 16 Matsumura Y, Hattori A, Manabe S, *et al.* Case report form reporter: a key component for the integration of electronic medical records and the electronic data capture system. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 2017;245:516–20.
- 17 Abbasi SA, Shah RV, McNulty SE, *et al.* Left atrial structure and function in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a relax substudy. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0164914.
- 18 Shah AM, Claggett B, Sweitzer NK, *et al.* Cardiac structure and function and prognosis in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: findings from the echocardiographic study of the treatment of preserved cardiac function heart failure with an aldosterone antagonist (TOPCAT) trial. *Circ Heart Fail* 2014;7:740–51.
- 19 Nauta JF, Hummel YM, van der Meer P, *et al.* Correlation with invasive left ventricular filling pressures and prognostic relevance of the echocardiographic diastolic parameters used in the 2016 ESC heart failure guidelines and in the 2016 ASE/EACVI recommendations: a systematic review in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;20:1303–11.
- 20 Kang S-H, Park JJ, Choi D-J, *et al.* Prognostic value of NT-proBNP in heart failure with preserved versus reduced EF. *Heart* 2015;101:1881–8.
- 21 Luchner A, Burnett JC, Jougasaki M, *et al.* Evaluation of brain natriuretic peptide as marker of left ventricular dysfunction and hypertrophy in the population. *J Hypertens* 2000;18:1121–8.
- 22 Kara K, Lehmann N, Neumann T, *et al.* Nt-proBNP is superior to BNP for predicting first cardiovascular events in the general population: the heinz Nixdorf recall study. *Int J Cardiol* 2015;183:155–61.
- 23 Portegies MLP, Kavousi M, Leening MJG, *et al.* N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide and the risk of stroke and transient ischaemic attack: the Rotterdam study. *Eur J Neurol* 2015;22:695–701.
- 24 Dietl A, Stark K, Zimmermann ME, *et al.* Nt-proBNP predicts cardiovascular death in the general population independent of left ventricular mass and function: insights from a large population-based study with long-term follow-up. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0164060.
- 25 Redfield MM, Jacobsen SJ, Borlaug BA, *et al.* Age- and gender-related ventricular-vascular stiffening: a community-based study. *Circulation* 2005;112:2254–62.
- 26 Gori M, Lam CSP, Gupta DK, *et al.* Sex-specific cardiovascular structure and function in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2014;16:535–42.
- 27 Mottram PM, Haluska BA, Leano R, *et al.* Relation of arterial stiffness to diastolic dysfunction in hypertensive heart disease. *Heart* 2005;91:1551–6.