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Petra Grahn,1 Juha-Jaakko Sinikumpu,2 Yrjänä Nietosvaara,1,3 Johanna Syvänen,4 Anne Salonen,5 Matti Ahonen,1 Ilkka Helenius6

ABSTRACT

Introduction The forearm is the most common fracture location in children, with an increasing incidence. Displaced forearm shaft fractures have traditionally been treated with closed reduction and cast immobilisation. Diaphyseal fractures in children have poor remodelling capacity. Malunion can cause permanent cosmetic and functional disability. Internal fixation with flexible intramedullary nails has gained increasing popularity, without evidence of a better outcome compared with closed reduction and cast immobilisation.

Method and analysis This is a multicentre, randomised superiority trial comparing closed reduction and cast immobilisation to flexible intramedullary nails in children aged 7–12 years with >10° of angulation and/or >10 mm of shortening in displaced single forearm shaft fractures (AO-paediatric classification: 22D/2.1–5.2). A total of 78 patients with minimum 2 years of expected growth left are randomised in 1:1 ratio to either treatment group. The study has a parallel non-randomised patient preference arm. Both treatments are performed under general anaesthesia. In the cast group a long arm cast is applied for 6 weeks and the flexible intramedullary nail group is immobilised in a collar and cuff sling for 4 weeks. Data are collected at baseline and at each follow-up until 1 year.

Primary outcome is (1) PROMIS paediatric upper extremity and (2) forearm pronation-supination range of motion at 1-year follow-up. Secondary outcomes are Quick DASH, Paediatric Pain Questionnaire, Cosmetic Visual Analogue Scale, wrist and elbow range of motion as well as any complications and costs of treatment. We hypothesise that flexible intramedullary nailing results in a superior outcome.

Ethics and dissemination We have received ethical board approval (number: 78/1801/2020) and permissions to conduct the study from all five participating university hospitals. Informed consent is obtained from the parent(s). Results will be disseminated in peer-reviewed publications.

Trial registration number NCT04664517.

Strengths and limitations of this study

First randomised controlled trial (RCT) to examine the treatment and outcome of displaced both bone forearm paediatric fractures.

Multicentre RCT with blinded outcome assessors and recruiters.

Use of several patient-reported outcome measures as well as active and passive upper limb range of motion.

Bone age to determine remodelling capacity.

Patient and treating surgeons not blinded.

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of paediatric forearm fractures is increasing, and almost half of all fractures in the growing skeleton are located in the forearm.1–3 Angular malunion exceeding 10° can result in limited forearm rotation.4–6 The remodelling capacity of shaft fractures in children is poorer and less predictable than in metaphyseal and physeal fractures. More correction can be expected in children younger than 9 years and in children with fractures close to the distal physis.7,8 The reported angular correction rate in the diaphysis is only 1°–2° per year until the end of growth, in malrotation probably even less.9–11 Active forearm rotation is restored during the first year after the fracture, after which regardless of remodelling very little change can be expected.12 Minimal total forearm rotation for performing activities of daily living in children and adolescents is considered to be 110° (50° of supination, 65° of pronation) and in adults (50°–60° of supination and 40°–50° of pronation).13,14 Loss of ≤60° goes unnoticed in many patients.15
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Good forearm function has been documented in patients with ≤50° of loss in pronation and supination. Non-operative treatment (reduction and casting) is the golden standard in closed paediatric forearm shaft fractures, especially in children younger than 12 years of age. There is abundant evidence, that non-operative treatment of most forearm fractures gives satisfactory long-term cosmetic and functional outcomes. Completely displaced both bone forearm fractures in older children and adolescents tend to be treated surgically, although evidence of successful outcome with cast treatment exists. Failure of reduction after closed treatment is higher in children >10 years of age and with fractures exceeding 15° of angulation.

Flexible intramedullary nailing (FIN) has become the most popular method of internal fixation of paediatric forearm shaft fractures. FIN when applied correctly is minimally invasive surgery, with low complication rates compared with open reduction and internal fixation. Reported risk of iatrogenic complications varies between 9% and 31% with local wound infections and tendon injuries being the most common. Surgical management of paediatric forearm fractures has increased >250% during the last two decades. The two treatment modalities of displaced both bone forearm fractures have different benefits (table 1). Level I evidence regarding optimal treatment is missing. Different patient-reported outcome measures have been used, but only few are validated. The Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) Paediatric Item Bank V.2.0 is validated and has been used in prior paediatric upper extremity fracture studies.

The aim of this study is to compare outcome of closed reduction and casting under general anaesthesia to internal fixation with FIN in paediatric displaced both bone forearm fractures. We hypothesise, that internal fixation of the fractures with FIN provides better functional outcome than closed reduction and cast treatment.

### METHODS AND ANALYSIS

#### Study design

This is a multicentre parallel-group superiority randomised controlled trial (RCT) that complies with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials statement. The trial offers a patient choice arm (figure 1). The study is coordinated by Helsinki University Central Hospital, Children’s Hospital unit for paediatric orthopaedics. Recruitment is done at all five Finnish university hospitals (Helsinki, Kuopio, Oulu, Tampere, Turku). The study is overseen by an external monitor provided by HUCH Clinical Research Institute (Clinical Research Institute HUCH, Helsinki, Finland, https://hyksinstituutti.fi/services/monitoring-services/?lang=en).

Any changes in study protocol will be uploaded to the trial registry.

#### Patient recruitment

A specialist of either hand surgery, paediatric surgery, paediatric orthopaedics or orthopaedics screens all patients fitting the inclusion criteria for eligibility. If inclusion criteria are met, written consent is asked from the guardian. Patients and parents are given a written informed consent regarding the trial. The patient version is age adjusted for easier understanding according to the Finnish Investigators Network for Pediatric Medicines (www.finpedmed.fi).

### Table 1 Pros and cons of the two treatment modalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cast treatment</td>
<td>Non-invasive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast wedging</td>
<td>Frequent FU visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inexpensive</td>
<td>Loss of reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of reduction rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invasive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implant removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIN treatment</td>
<td>Low need for immobilisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less FU visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less affected ROM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modified from Mehlman and Wall. FIN, flexible intramedullary nail; FU, follow-up; ROM, range of motion.
Eligibility criteria

We will include all children aged 7–12 years with open distal radial physis presenting with a single bone forearm shaft (diaphysis) fractures (AO-paediatric classification: 22D/2.1–5.2)36 >10° of angulation with or without >10 mm of shortening (figures 1–3). Patients with bilateral forearm fractures, Gustilo-Anderson grade I–III open fracture,37 ipsilateral upper limb fractures, neurovascular deficit, compartment syndrome, pathological fracture or patient not able to give a written informed consent will be excluded from the study (figure 1).

After agreeing to participation in the trial participants bone age is calculated from hand radiograph by the method described by Greulich and Pyle38 by an experienced paediatric radiologist.

Randomisation

Included patients receive a temporary long arm cast supporting the fracture. Randomisation is done at treatment day 1 with patient under general anaesthesia in the operating theatre with the treating surgeon opening the assigned envelop. Prior to trial recruitment randomisation is performed at the main study for the expected trial population using a computer generated list. Assigned allocation (FIN or Cast) is sealed in individual coded envelopes. Randomisation ratio is 1:1, block size 10. Each trial centre is sent one block of envelopes at a time. Allocation sequence is kept at the main study centre and is not available to recruiting specialists.

Patient’s choice arm

Patients who meet inclusion criteria, but refuse participation in the RCT are offered to choose the treatment method (reduction and casting or FIN). They continue through the trial in a prospective parallel patient preference arm, following the same treatment and follow-up (FU) protocol as the randomised patients (figure 4).

Baseline

Fracture displacement, angulation and patient bone age is calculated by an experienced paediatric radiologist unrelated to the trial from the lateral and anteroposterior (AP) radiographs of the forearm and AP radiograph of the hand. Date of injury, method of injury, patient’s age at time of injury, sex, injured side, hand dominance and main sport or musical instrument as well as level are documented. Motor and sensory function of the injured side as well as range of motion (ROM) of uninjured arm (prosupination, elbow and wrist extension and flexion) are assessed.

Intervention

Time from injury to intervention (days), length of procedure (minutes) and surgeon’s level of training (consultant, registrar) is documented.

Cast group

Fractures are reduced under general anaesthesia within 3 days from injury and a synthetic circular above elbow cast in neutral prosupination is applied for 6 weeks.39 Final fracture alignment is documented with standard AP and lateral radiographs after cast application. If failure of reduction is observed at 10 days cast is wedged in the outpatient clinic followed by control radiographs (AP and lateral) of the forearm. Transfer to FIN group is recommended to all children, whose fracture alignment is not satisfactory after wedging (figure 4). Failure of reduction is defined as >10° of angulation on one or both bones in either AP and/or lateral radiograph, with or without >10 mm of shortening as well as >10 mm shortening in one or both bones alone.
Sling can be removed daily into the study. Recruiter will be blinded. The patient will be informed and recruit patients for protection against treatment bias. Surgeon on duty at the emergency department will inform and recruit patients for clinical intervention that is not suitable through full ROM. Hardware is not routinely removed.

**Blinding**

This trial tests a clinical intervention that is not suitable for protection against treatment bias. Surgeon on duty at the emergency department will inform and recruit patients into the study. Recruiter will be blinded. The patient will be blinded to primary choice of treatment, but not to the treatment itself. Randomisation and allocation will be performed by the treating surgeon after induction of general anaesthesia. Trial data are collected at each appointment at the outpatient clinic by a physician not related to the trial, they will not be blinded. Main researchers will not participate in data collection. Statistician will be blinded.

**FU protocol and data collection**

Patients are examined at the paediatric orthopaedic outpatient clinic. Clinical and radiological FU for all patients regardless of treatment group is scheduled at 10 days, 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 1 year (figure 3, table 2). Active and passive ROM of both forearms (forearm prosupination, wrist and elbow extension-flexion) is measured using a goniometer at all FU appointments for FIN group and from cast removal for cast group. Active and passive prosupination is measured with the elbow was flexed at a 90° angle with upper arm in adduction to eliminate compensation of potentially limited forearm pronation by shoulder abduction. The rotation measurements are taken from the distal forearm at the level of radius metaphysis to eliminate additional motion of the hand.40 Patients and guardians are requested to answer the following patient-reported outcome measures at each appointment: The PROMIS Paediatric Item Bank V2.0-Upper Extremity,34 QuickDASH,31 Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL),42 PedsQL Paediatric Pain Questionnaire,22 Cosmetic Visual Analogue Scale (VAS 0–100).

Patients are allowed to commence full weight bearing when fracture union is observed (defined as three cortices out of four united). If fracture union is partial, but fractures are pain free on palpation, patients are allowed to commence non-weight-bearing activities with injured arm until next FU visit. If no signs of fracture unions are observed at 6 weeks (defined as no callus formation, painful on palpation) open reduction and internal plate fixation is recommended.

Time of returning to main sport or music and its level will be documented (weeks). Any adverse effects (wound infection, nerve or and tendon injury, delayed union, malunion and non-union) are documented as well as conversion of treatment during the FU period (cross-over from cast to FIN).

The data are collected using paper forms. The questionnaires will be completed at the outpatient clinic during the control visits. Research assistants will enter the data containing individual identification for each patient into two separate electronic databases located on a secure network drive. Data are protected with access codes known only by research assistants. Research assistants will contact patient and guardian if missing, implausible or inconsistent data are noticed.

**Outcome**

**Primary outcome**

1. PROMIS Paediatric Item Bank V2.0-Upper Extremity at 1 year.34

---

**FIN group**

Both fractures are reduced under general anaesthesia within 3 days of injury and a FIN is inserted to support the reduction. Nails size should be between 60% and 70% of the medullary canal of the radius or ulna measured in radiographs. Nails are inserted percutaneously, the radius nail radially, proximal to the physis, and the ulna nail from the lateral aspect of the olecranon, distal to the radius metaphysis to eliminate additional motion of the hand.40 Patients and guardians are requested to answer the following patient-reported outcome measures at each appointment: The PROMIS Paediatric Item Bank V2.0-Upper Extremity,34 QuickDASH,31 Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL),42 PedsQL Paediatric Pain Questionnaire,22 Cosmetic Visual Analogue Scale (VAS 0–100).

Patients are allowed to commence full weight bearing when fracture union is observed (defined as three cortices out of four united). If fracture union is partial, but fractures are pain free on palpation, patients are allowed to commence non-weight-bearing activities with injured arm until next FU visit. If no signs of fracture unions are observed at 6 weeks (defined as no callus formation, painful on palpation) open reduction and internal plate fixation is recommended.

Time of returning to main sport or music and its level will be documented (weeks). Any adverse effects (wound infection, nerve or and tendon injury, delayed union, malunion and non-union) are documented as well as conversion of treatment during the FU period (cross-over from cast to FIN).

The data are collected using paper forms. The questionnaires will be completed at the outpatient clinic during the control visits. Research assistants will enter the data containing individual identification for each patient into two separate electronic databases located on a secure network drive. Data are protected with access codes known only by research assistants. Research assistants will contact patient and guardian if missing, implausible or inconsistent data are noticed.

**Outcome**

**Primary outcome**

1. PROMIS Paediatric Item Bank V2.0-Upper Extremity at 1 year.34

---

**Figure 4** Patient flow chart in the study. Failure of reduction is defined as >10° of angulation on one or both bones in either AP and or lateral radiograph, with or without >10 mm of shortening as well as >10 mm shortening in one or both bones alone. If after wedging above criteria are met patients are transferred to flexible intramedullary nail group. AP, anteroposterior.
2. Difference (%) in forearm pronation-supination ROM at 1-year FU in comparison to uninjured side.

Secondary outcome
At all FU time points; Quick DASH, PROMIS Paediatric Item Bank V.2.0—Upper Extremity PedsQL, PEDS QL Pain module, Cosmetic VAS, difference in elbow and wrist extension/flexion and forearm pronation-supination in comparison to uninjured side. Malunion, delayed union (defined as nonunion at 3 months or later), pseudoarthrosis (defined as three cortices out of four not united at 6 months or later), need for reintervention other than wedging during 1-year FU, any adverse effects (wound infection, nerve and/or tendon damage). Level of practised sport or musical instrument before and at end of trial, as well as time from injury to return to hobby is registered.

Statistical power calculation
With two primary outcomes groups size was calculated for both, and then using the one requiring a larger cohort. We aim to collect 39 patients per group, taking into account a 10% drop-out rate.

PROMIS Paediatric Item Bank V.2.0—Upper Extremity
We aim to confirm that 75% of FIN patients have a better PROMIS UE score than what the mean score of the cast patients will be assuming both groups have the same SD and scores for both groups are normally distributed. We will need at a minimum of 35 patients per group to achieve 80% power with significance level set at 0.05 for testing superiority of the FIN patients.

To account for potential drop-outs (10%), we will collect an additional four patients per group. While this sample size calculation might not be optimal, due to assuming a normal distribution for the score, it is perhaps the only way we could estimate a required sample size in this case as we failed to find other analytical solutions for sample size estimation, and we did not have access to a similar data set to perform power simulations on.

Difference (10%) in forearm pronation-supination ROM
For difference in prosupination, we aim for a 10% difference in the forearm prosupination in benefit for FIN group. Assuming SD 10% and 80% power the required amount of patients per group would be 16.

Table 2  Diagram showing the data collection time points for all treatment groups; long arm cast and flexible intramedullary nail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection time points</th>
<th>Long arm cast treatment</th>
<th>Flexible intramedullary nail treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Check-up 10 days</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Check-up 6 weeks</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Check-up 3 months</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Check-up 6 months</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Check-up 12 months</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Standard lateral and AP radiograph taken after fracture fixation.
†PROMIS Paediatric Upper Extremity, PedsQL Main, QuickDASH.
AP, anterioposterior; PedsQL, Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory; PROM, patient-reported outcome measure.
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