### Supplementary File 10: Outcome Domains and Sub-Domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Domains</th>
<th>Primary Care No. of Papers &amp; Refs</th>
<th>Secondary/Tertiary Care No. of Papers &amp; Refs</th>
<th>Emergency &amp; Pre-hospital Care No. of Papers &amp; Refs</th>
<th>Other/Mixed No. of Papers &amp; Refs</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient &amp; Family</strong></td>
<td>N=43</td>
<td>N=27</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-43</td>
<td>44-70</td>
<td>71, 72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients’ Perceptions and Experiences of ACP Roles</strong></td>
<td>N=41</td>
<td>N=22</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-23, 25-27, 29-43</td>
<td>45-64, 66, 67</td>
<td>71, 72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Status &amp; Behaviour</strong></td>
<td>N=16</td>
<td>N=8</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1, 2, 6-8, 13, 21-27, 29-31, 39</td>
<td>44, 58, 60, 65, 67-70</td>
<td>71, 72</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healthcare Provider &amp; Stakeholder</strong></td>
<td>N=45</td>
<td>N=38</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>N=14</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1, 3, 4, 8, 10-12, 15, 18-21, 23-28, 31, 33-36, 40-43, 74-91</td>
<td>44-46, 52, 53, 57, 64, 66, 69, 92-120</td>
<td>71, 72, 121-125</td>
<td>73, 126-138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healthcare Team Acceptance of, and Satisfaction with, ACP Roles</strong></td>
<td>N=24</td>
<td>N=15</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>N=4</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healthcare Team Performance</strong></td>
<td>N=25</td>
<td>N=22</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>N=4</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACP Job Satisfaction and Perceived Support from Colleagues</strong></td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>N=11</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19, 27, 28, 80, 85, 87, 89-91</td>
<td>66, 100-102, 104, 113, 117</td>
<td>122-124</td>
<td>73, 126-128, 132, 135, 137, 138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACPs’ Perception of Role Impact</strong></td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>N=6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of Care</strong></td>
<td>N=18</td>
<td>N=26</td>
<td>N=4</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20, 21, 29, 32, 35, 40, 43, 88, 89, 139</td>
<td>44, 46, 53, 57, 66, 99, 100, 102, 107, 109, 111, 140-154</td>
<td>71, 72, 122, 125</td>
<td>73, 131, 134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to Care</strong></td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4, 8, 10, 13, 15, 20, 21, 29, 31, 32, 40, 43, 139</td>
<td>46, 60, 99, 140, 148-150</td>
<td>71, 72, 125</td>
<td>73, 134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient Safety</strong></td>
<td>N=6</td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11, 19, 21, 31, 35, 88</td>
<td>46, 57, 66, 141-147, 149, 151, 152</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Domains</th>
<th>Primary Care No. of Papers &amp; Refs</th>
<th>Secondary/Tertiary Care No. of Papers &amp; Refs</th>
<th>Emergency &amp; Pre-hospital Care No. of Papers &amp; Refs</th>
<th>Other/Mixed No. of Papers &amp; Refs</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Processes of Care</strong></td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>N=12</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13, 89</td>
<td>53, 57, 66, 70, 99, 100, 102, 109, 111, 150, 153, 154</td>
<td>71, 122</td>
<td>131, 134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healthcare Use &amp; Costs</strong></td>
<td>N=29</td>
<td>N=64</td>
<td>N=8</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** Appropriateness of Care**</td>
<td>N=26</td>
<td>N=55</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Department Visits</strong></td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>183</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost Savings &amp; Revenue Generation</strong></td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12, 29, 31, 32, 35, 38, 40, 41, 43, 83, 84, 139, 157</td>
<td>44, 57, 66, 69, 113, 141, 151, 152, 166, 172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospital Length of Stay &amp; Readmission Rates</strong></td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>N=9</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66, 67, 99, 151, 164, 170, 172, 175, 176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organisation, Professional &amp; Workforce Issues</strong></td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
<td>102, 113, 119</td>
<td></td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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