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Effect of meteorological factors and air pollutants on fractures: a nationwide population-based ecological study
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ABSTRACT

Objective To determine the association of meteorological factors and air pollutants (MFAPs) with fracture and to estimate the effect size/time lag.

Design This is a nationwide population-based ecological study from 2008 to 2017.

Setting Eight large metropolitan areas in Korea.

Participants Of 8 093 820 patients with fractures reported in the Korea National Health Insurance database, 2 129 955 were analysed after the data set containing patient data (age, sex and site of fractures) were merged with MFAPs. Data on meteorological factors were obtained from the National Climate Data Center of the Korea Meteorological Administration. Additionally, data on air pollutants (atmospheric particulate matter ≤2.5 µm in diameter (PM2.5), PM10, ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide) were obtained from the Air Korea database.

Primary and secondary outcome measures We hypothesised that there would be an association between MFAPs and the incidence of fracture. A generalised additive model was used while factoring in the non-linear relationship between MFAPs and fractures as well as a time lag ≤7 days. Multivariate analysis was performed. Backward elimination with an Akaike information criterion was used to fit the multivariate model.

Results Overall, in eight urban areas, 2 129 955 patients with fractures were finally analysed. These included 370 344, 187 370, 173 100, 140 358, 246 775, 650 1, 228 346, 57 183 and 719 978 patients with hip, knee, shoulder, elbow, wrist, hand, ankle, foot and spine fractures, respectively. Various MFAPs (average temperature, daily rain, wind speed, daily snow and PM2.5) showed significant association with fractures, with positive correlations at time lags 7, 5–7, 5–7, 3–7 and 6–7 days, respectively.

Conclusions Various MFAPs could affect the occurrence of fractures. The average temperature, daily rain, wind speed, daily snow and PM2.5 were most closely associated with fracture. Thus, improved public awareness on these MFAPs is required for clinical prevention and management of fractures.

INTRODUCTION

Fractures are common globally, with reported increasing incidence, and are major public health issues, with a heavy burden on health resources.1–3 The annual global number of fractures is expected to increase due to ageing population.4 In elderly populations, fractures can not only cause temporary dysfunction but also mortality.5–7 Advances in surgical techniques and postoperative care have led to lower morbidity and mortality. However, attention has recently turned towards the prevention of fractures. Understanding the circumstances surrounding the occurrence of fractures may provide important information about when and why these injuries occur and may improve prevention.

The relationship between meteorological factors and air pollutants (MFAPs) and their impact on the incidence of fracture has been the subject of many studies; most of which reported that more fractures occur during the winter.6,7 Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain this association. One hypothesis suggests that MFAPs influence the incidence of fracture through bone metabolism effects. Reduced exposure to ultraviolet radiation may result in reduced vitamin D synthesis, thereby resulting in vitamin D and parathyroid hormone level changes.8 It affects bone mineral density (BMD) and muscle strength, which can affect mobility and resistance to falls.9 However, these effects on bone metabolism are long-term impacts of MFAPs.10

Strengths and limitations of this study

► The study’s main strength is that it is the first to investigate the relationship between various meteorological factors and air pollutants (MFAPs) and fractures.
► The study has a sample size of 2 129 955, much larger than that of the majority of other studies.
► The limitations are that the study sampled patients who lived in major metropolitan cities and that individual MFAP exposure levels were not evaluated.
► Individual risk factors could not be covered in the analysis.
Other hypotheses are based on the short-term relationship of MFAPs with the incidence of fracture. Increased risk of falling depends on weather conditions due to slippery surfaces. Freezing temperatures, rain, snow and ice may increase the risk of slipping due to the conditions underfoot, and frequent falling is a known risk factor for fractures. In low temperatures, there is an impaired thermoregulation, hypothermia and consequent motor coordination deficits that predispose the elderly to falls, and there is an increased risk of falls due to clumsiness in movements. These can explain the many occurrences of fractures, indoors and outdoors. Increased risk of falls can also be due to reduced visual acuity. The presence of haze is associated with increased incidence of fracture. In foggy weather, air pollutants (dust, ash, clay, sand or ambient air pollutants) are suspended in the atmosphere.

However, most previous studies focused only on hip fracture or total fractures, without the discrimination of the sites of fractures, specific age groups, and the size and location of hospitals. There are also insufficient nationwide population-based data. Although previous studies provided data on risk factors for fractures and possible preventive measures, risk factors, age-specific incidence and prognoses may differ depending on the site of fracture. Therefore, it is necessary to determine the association between MFAPs and the occurrence of fractures by fracture site.

These hypotheses could help in explaining the results of the association between MFAPs and fracture. Understanding the association between MFAPs and the incidence of fracture may lead to improved risk management and development of appropriate interventions. Thus, this study aimed to determine the association between MFAPs and fracture occurrence and to estimate their effect size and lag time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data acquisition

It should be mentioned that the study methodology was based on the authors’ previous study. Records of patients with fractures were provided by the National Health Insurance Service (NHIS), a government-affiliated agency in Korea. We retrieved clinical data on bone fractures for both inpatients and outpatients between 2008 and 2017. The sites of bone fractures were according to the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification codes, with the following surgical codes: hip (S72.0–S72.3 and S72.7–S72.9), knee (S72.4 and S82.0–S82.2), shoulder (S12.0–S12.3), elbow (S24.2, S25.0–S25.4 and S25.7–S25.9), wrist (S25.5–S25.6), hand (S62 and T10), ankle (S82.3 and S82.5–S82.6), foot (S92 and T12) and spine (S32.0–S32.2, S32.7–S32.8, S22.0–S22.1 and T08). During the study period (2008–2017), we collected 8093820 diagnoses of patients with bone fractures and extracted data from major metropolitan areas, including Seoul, Incheon, Daejeon, Gwangju, Daegu, Ulsan, Busan and Jeju in Korea. The overall number of patients with fractures in eight urban areas was 2129955 after the data set containing patient data were merged with MFAPs. Data on the general meteorological factors were obtained from the Korea Meteorological Administration National Climate Data Center, while data on air pollutants, such as particulate matter, carbon monoxide and ozone, were from Air Korea, during the same period.

RESULTS

From a total of 8093820 cases of fractures identified during the 10-year study period in eight urban areas,
there were 2,129,955 patients with fractures overall. These included 370,344, 187,370, 173,100, 140,358, 246,775, 6,501, 228,346, 57,183 and 719,978 patients with hip, knee, shoulder, elbow, wrist, hand, ankle, foot and spine fractures, respectively (figure 1). Of all the fractures, spine (33.8%) and hip (17.4%) fractures had the largest proportions. The incidence of fractures increased continuously over the study period. Summaries of the number of fractures by age and sex are presented in figure 2. The mean and SD of MFAP data, by years of exposure to MFAPs, are presented in online supplemental table 1. Among the 13 MFAPs, AT, DR, WS, DS and PM$_{2.5}$ had the lowest AIC and were selected for further analyses (online supplemental table 2). Models including these five selected MFAPs showed statistically significant association with incidence of fracture.

The predictive models for the incidence of hip fracture using the univariate GAM are shown in figure 3. AT
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**Figure 1** Flow chart of fracture case selection. MFAPs, meteorological factors and air pollutants.
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**Figure 2** Summary characteristics of the number of fractures.
showed a typical significantly inverted U-shaped correlation (p<0.001), and fracture was higher in both extremes of AT. A negative risk was seen, from −2°C to 21°C, with the highest risk at −7°C. Furthermore, there was an abrupt increase in the risk of hip fracture at extreme temperatures (−2°C and >21°C). The risk associated with rising DR constantly increased with the incidence of hip fractures, with a linear correlation (p<0.001). DR had negative and positive relative risks at <60 mm and >60 mm, respectively. There was a significant association between hip fracture and WS (p<0.001), with the highest risk at 1.9 m/s². There was a significant association between hip fracture and DS (p<0.001), with a gradual S-shaped curve. Moreover, there was a significant association between hip fracture and PM₂.₅ level (p<0.001). An excess risk was seen in the most frequently observed interval (IQR: 38–64 µg/m³).

Fractures at all other sites showed consistent patterns in relation to MFAPs (online supplemental figures 1–8).

For the five selected variables, time lags were analysed using multivariate GAM to identify which prolonged exposure time lag for each variable affects the incidence of fracture (online supplemental table 3). All the five selected MFAPs showed a maximum lag period of 7 days in the impulse response functions analysis, with no further effect beyond this time point. The box plot models of the estimated risk of fracture for the five MFAPs are shown in figure 4.
Multivariate analyses provided the time lags for the effects of MFAPs on the risk of hip fracture. An increase in AT reflected a significant increase in the risk of hip fracture until 7 days later. The effects of DR, WS, DS and PM$_{2.5}$ were inversely correlated with lag time, with significantly positive associations 5–7 days before the occurrence of hip fracture.

The results for the time lags also showed consistent patterns in fractures at all other sites (online supplemental figures 9–16).

**DISCUSSION**

In our analysis of the nationwide data of the association between fracture and MFAPs, we found AT, DR, WS, DS and PM$_{2.5}$ to be closely associated with fracture among various MFAPs. These selected MFAPs were shown to affect fractures up to 7 days later. Our evaluation was based on the short-term relationship between daily variations in different MFAPs and daily incidence of fractures, which occurred due to increased risk of falling from adverse MFAPs. This would explain the significantly positive correlations between fractures and several MFAPs. Our study strengthens the importance of the association of various MFAPs in the incidence of fractures. Fractures at all other sites showed a consistent pattern in relation to MFAPs.

**Figure 4** Level of selected meteorological factors and adjusted excess risk of hip fracture: (A) average temperature, (B) daily rain, (C) wind speed, (D) daily snow and (E) particulate matter ≤2.5µm (PM$_{2.5}$). The y-axis shows the percentage of adjusted excess risk with 95% CI. *P<0.05.
Globally, fractures are important public health problems due to the related morbidity and mortality, diminished health-related quality of life, and associated costs. Despite the development of effective surgical treatments, the cost of surgery and subsequent disabilities make the prevention of fractures an integral part of any strategy to reduce their impact, especially considering the ageing trend of the population.23

Most fractures are not due to a single cause, but from multiple interactions between individuals and the environment.24 The reason for the increased number of fractures in adverse MFAPs is not well understood. Recent studies have shown that seasonal patterns observed in fractures may be related to weather patterns such as temperature, snow or ice.13 25 However, these previous studies reported associations between fractures and weather data driven by seasonal factors, not by daily variability in the incidence of fractures. Moreover, analyses of the relationship between fractures and MFAPs using day as the unit of analysis are very rare in the literature.

Several mechanisms have been proposed for the short-term relationship between the incidence of fractures and various MFAPs. The mechanisms of fractures in each site appeared to be similar because fractures at all other sites showed a consistent pattern in relation to MFAPs.

AT, DR, WS and DS were shown in our study to correlate with a rise in the incidence of fractures. Previous research has shown that slippery conditions greatly enhance the incidence of fractures, explaining this relationship.26 DR had a negative relative risk at >60 mm and a relatively positive risk at <60 mm. This can be explained by the fact that, although people do not go outside, with more rain the road becomes more slippery and the risk of traffic accidents increases. DS showed a gradual S-shaped curve, or an irregular pattern, due to the few days of snow. Jacobsen et al.27 reported a significant increase in the incidence of hip fractures with increased DS, consistent with that with frozen rain. Levy et al.28 reported a significant increase in the incidence of hip fractures during days with freezing precipitation. Lau et al.29 concluded that AT is a more important independent risk factor for hip fracture.

We found that AT was closely related to a higher incidence of fractures. A possible mechanism is that weather conditions affect activity levels.28 Lower temperatures cause blood pressure and haemodynamic changes and decrease dexterity, leading to increased falls and fractures.29 They can also reduce physical activity, leading to impaired coordination and consequently bone fragility.30 The more cold people feel, the more likely they are to wear extra clothes, which may make them clumsier. Darker and colder weather may increase the number of falls.15 Even though many falls occur indoors, changes in activity level due to prevailing weather conditions lead to changes in the risk of falls and fracture rates. These provide plausible explanations for why the rates of fracture are higher on cold days.28

Several studies have included wind as an MFAP variable in their analyses. One possible explanation could be that the greater the exposure to wind, the greater the risk of falling. Lau et al.29 found an excessive incidence of hip fractures on more windy days. Mirchandani et al.24 found a significant correlation between WS and the incidence of hip fractures. Jacobsen et al.30 observed an increase in the risk of hip fractures with high WS days. Tenías et al.31 also confirmed increased risk of hip fracture on more windy days.

The mechanisms of the relationship between air pollution and the incidence of fracture are still unclear. Several studies have investigated the possible relationship between air pollution, BMD and fractures.31–34 Alvarez et al.32 found an association between osteoporosis, forearm fractures and air pollution, with an inverse association found between BMD and air pollution. Prada et al.33 found an association between long-term exposure to PM_{2.5} and osteoporosis-related fractures. Chang et al.34 showed a tendency of an increased association between air pollution and risk of osteoporosis, suggesting that exposure to air pollution could increase the risk of osteoporosis. Therefore, if reduced bone resistance is the mechanism by which air pollution is involved in hip fracture, there would be no effect in the short term.

What we are actually observing is that air pollution increases the risk of falls.17 Reduced visual acuity has long been regarded as a risk factor for fracture.18 35 The reduced hours of sunlight and increased air pollution reduce visual acuity, which predisposes to falls and hip fracture.16 In a large-scale cohort study, reduced visual acuity increased the likelihood of falls and fractures in the elderly population.18 Also, acute exposure to PM_{2.5} can stimulate the autonomic nervous system (ANS), increasing the risk of arrhythmia, orthostasis and syncope.35 Therefore, PM_{2.5} exposure has been associated with changes in heart rate in the elderly.36 Reduced heart rate variability due to impairment of ANS could increase the risk of falls.

Our observations on the association of MFAPs with fractures can help in developing prevention strategies for fractures. The elevated incidence during winter implies that we should raise awareness on the risk of slippery conditions, and the importance of keeping warm, improving lighting conditions and avoiding use of cumbersome clothing.38

There were some limitations to our study. First, we sampled patients who lived in major metropolitan cities. Weather stations are sparsely placed in rural areas; thus, we ruled to exclude rural areas owing to the concern for unreliable data. Second, individual MFAP exposure levels were not evaluated and we assumed that individuals were exposed to identical environments. Therefore, the possibility of ecological fallacy should be noted. Third, individual risk factors such as comorbidities and lifestyle, which would affect fracture occurrence, could not be controlled in the analysis. Fourth, the decision of whether a fracture occurred was dependent only on the diagnostic
incidence of fracture are needed for clinical prevention. In the future, further confirmatory studies and improved association of MFAPs with fracture was possible in the model. Maximum of 7 days. Visualisation of the effect–time association of MFAPs with fracture was possible in the model. In the future, further confirmatory studies and improved public awareness regarding MFAPs that are related to the incidence of fracture are needed for clinical prevention and management of fractures.

CONCLUSIONS
In conclusion, we investigated the relationship between various MFAPs and fractures. Second, because we used national-level NHIS data, we had the advantage of including a sample size of 2,129,955, much larger than in the majority of other studies. As a result of the single-payer universal healthcare coverage in Korea, the catchment of fractures was expected to be very high. These facilitated the analyses of a large and credible data set, which is often hard to implement in other countries. Third, we investigated the relationship between all 15 MFAPs portrayed by the Korea Meteorological Administration, and this allowed a plausible review of the real-world influences and interactions of PM$_{2.5}$ with diverse MFAPs. Fourth, the current study was representative of the capital city and seven other areas in Korea, which could reduce bias by diminishing region-specific effects such as race, ethnicity, economic level and accessibility to hospitals. Fifth, time series Poisson analysis was used with GAM to consider the interaction among MFAPs in terms of fracture occurrence.
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