ABSTRACT

Introduction Physical fitness (PF) is an important indicator of health in children and adolescents. Internationally, test batteries have been used to assess overall PF. In Latin America, however, while PF has been widely measured, there is no accepted test battery, making it difficult to monitor and/or compare the PF levels of Latin children. The aim of this study, therefore, is to systematically review and potentially meta-analyse the peer-reviewed literature regarding the assessment of PF in Latin American children and adolescents.

Methods and analysis This systematic review and meta-analysis will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols statement. The systematic literature search will be performed in MEDLINE, Scopus, SciELO, EMBASE, Cochran Library, Web of Science, SPORTDiscus, Lilacs and Latinex (Spanish) to locate articles published up to April 2021. Eligible studies will include both descriptive and analytic study designs. Meta-analyses are planned for sufficiently homogeneous PF outcomes with regard to statistical and methodological characteristics. Narrative syntheses are planned for PF outcomes that are considered to be too heterogeneous. The statistical program STATA V.15 will be used for meta-analyses, with subgroup analyses performed according to the characteristics of included studies.

Ethics and dissemination This systematic review and meta-analysis protocol is designed to provide updated evidence on the PF of Latin American children and adolescents. Findings from this review may be useful for teachers, researchers and other professionals responsible for paediatric fitness and health promotion/surveillance. The results will be disseminated through peer-reviewed scientific publications, conferences, educational talks and infographics.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42020189892.

INTRODUCTION

Global guidelines for physical activity (PA) provided by WHO recommend that school-age youth accumulate on average 60 min of moderate to vigorous intensity PA on average per day are required to improve their health. The scientific literature indicates that in addition to meeting PA guidelines, it is important to consider physical fitness (PF)—a proximal outcome of PA levels—as both factors are independently related to health among children and adolescents. High childhood PF is related to better cardiorespiratory and mental health; reduced metabolic risk and total adiposity; increased bone mineral density; improved coordination and range of movement; and reduced adiposity and better cardiometabolic health later in life. In addition, both cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness are related to early death and disease in later life. For these reasons, PF is an important indicator of health in children and adolescents.

Internationally, there are numerous tests and test batteries used to assess PF levels in children and adolescents, enabling decision-makers to monitor population health. In this way, various instruments have been developed in different parts of the world in order to report or track population levels of PF, especially for children and adolescents, because schools provide opportunities for population-based testing that do not normally exist for adults. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have identified and gathered the results of published studies related to PF, which have allowed global comparisons,
temporal trends and health-related criterion-referenced cut-points to be determined. 14–20

In Latin America, Brazil developed a fit-for-purpose PF test battery21 that has thus far only been used to assess Brazilian youth. In other Latin American countries, PF has been reported using different test protocols,22–27 which makes between-country comparisons challenging. Furthermore, international surveillance efforts such as Active Healthy Kids Global Alliance’s Global Matrix 3.0 initiative, has identified the paucity of available data, and the need for up-to-date information on the PF levels of Latin American youth.28–35

OBJECTIVE
The aim of this study protocol is to describe a standardised methodology for the development of a systematic review and/or meta-analysis, which describes the available literature on PF for Latin American children and adolescents aged 5–17 years.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
Reporting will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement36 and the Manual of Collaboration of Cochrane.37

Eligibility criteria
For this protocol, studies retrieved from the peer-reviewed literature must have reported on PF and meet the following criteria:

Inclusion criteria
► Exposure: Health-related PF6 components including muscular strength/power, cardiorespiratory endurance and speed.
► Design: Descriptive cross-sectional, cohort, randomised controlled trial, non-randomised experimental study or single-arm pre-post study.
► Outcome: Direct test measures of at least one PF component (eg, cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular fitness, motor fitness), including corresponding summary statistics (eg, means, SDs).
► Period: Published before April 2021.

Exclusion criteria
► Studies evaluating special interest groups of children or adolescents (eg, clinical or athletic groups).
► Studies with a qualitative design.
► Studies that provide duplicate PF data published in another included study.
► Studies reporting self-reported PF measures.
► Other: Published in predatory journals (included in Beall’s list of potential predatory journals).

Information sources
Studies will be identified by searching electronic databases, reference lists, topical systematic analyses/reviews and personal libraries. The electronic databases will include MEDLINE (via PubMed), Scopus, SciELO, Cochrane Library, LILACS, Web of Science, SPORTDiscus and Latindex (Spanish). Filters will be used to locate studies conducted on humans and published in English, Spanish or Portuguese. Additional studies will be located by supplemental searches of reference lists of included studies, topical systematic reviews and personal libraries. Content experts will be contacted requesting other potentially relevant studies.

Search
The electronic database search will be limited to keywords, title, and abstract. Search terms will be combined with a Boolean OR and will be searched concurrently with other search groups using the Boolean AND as shown in table 1. Proximity operators (“*”) will be used to search for root words. The search strategy will include free-text terms and Medical Subject Heading terms in the case of PubMed.

Patient and public involvement
No patient involved.
Study selection
All database references will be imported into Mendeley Reference Manager (V.1.19.4; Elsevier, London, UK) and deduplicated. Record screening will comprise two levels. Level 1 will involve two researchers independently screening the titles and abstracts against inclusion criteria, with consensus required for further screening. Level 2 will involve two researchers independently screening the full texts against inclusion criteria, with consensus required for final inclusion. If necessary, discrepancies between reviewers will resolved by a third reviewer prior to reaching consensus.

Data collection process
The process of identification, selection and the inclusion/exclusion of articles will follow the PRISMA flow chart (shown in figure 1). Descriptive data will be extracted into a spreadsheet by one researcher using a standardised study-specific template and checked for accuracy by a second researcher. If required, additional information will be requested from the corresponding authors via email (eg, to clarify published results, to request additional data or to avoid double counting data).

Data items
The following study-specific data will be extracted: (1) name of the first author; (2) year of publication; (3) year of testing; (4) country; (5) study design; (6) calendar age of the participants; (7) biological (maturational) age (if available); (8) sample method/size; (9) PF test; (10) PF test protocol and (11) PF-specific summary statistics. The information will be summarised in a sex-specific ‘table of characteristics’ (table 2).

Risk of bias in individual studies
Risk of bias will be independently assessed at the study-level by two researchers using the Joanna Briggs Institute critical appraisal checklist for analytical cross-sectional studies. Any disagreements respect to the risk of bias assessment that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion or with a third reviewer. The level of agreement between the reviewers will be reported by calculating the kappa statistics.

Summary measures
Once the main characteristics of the included studies have been extracted, we will determine whether a meta-analysis is possible (eg, PF data may be meta-analysed to determine temporal trends in PF for Latin American children and adolescents). We will use narrative syntheses to describe the results of included studies should the between-study methodological differences (eg, the mix of PF components, tests, protocols) prevent a meta-analysis, or should fewer than four studies addressing the same outcome be identified. When a minimum of four studies addressing the same outcome have been identified, STATA V.15 software will be used to conduct a random-effects meta-analysis to estimate the pooled effects. Heterogeneity (ie, between-study variability) will be assessed using the $I^2$ statistic, with values of 25%, 50% and 75% used as thresholds for small, moderate and large, respectively. Forest plots may be used to graphically display the results of the different studies with 95% CIs, and the pooled outcome with 95% CIs.

Synthesis of results
Subgroup analyses and/or meta-regression may be performed considering potential main factors causing heterogeneity (eg, sex, age (calendar or maturational), country, study design, PF test measures). Furthermore, the methodological quality of the selected studies will be considered for the analysis of additional subgroups.

Additional analysis
To assess the robustness of the summary estimates and detect whether any single study represents a large proportion of heterogeneity, sensitivity analyses will be performed by eliminating each included study one by one from the pooled analyses. Publication bias will be assessed formally by Egger’s regression test and visually by funnel plot asymmetry.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
Ethics committee approval and/or informed consent from patients will not be required, as the data will be published as aggregate data. This study may have implications for public health, as it could provide updated evidence on the PF of Latin American children and adolescents. The
results obtained will be disseminated to the academic public through peer-reviewed publications, conferences or symposia, and to the general public through social networks, educational talks and infographics.

**DISCUSSION**
The aim of this study protocol is to describe a standardised methodology to systematically review and potentially meta-analyse the available scientific literature on PF for Latin American children and adolescents. Available international evidence indicates that PF is positively and significantly related to the current and future health of children and adolescents.²⁻¹⁰

Although data on the PF of Latin American children and adolescents are scarce, several studies have developed norm-referenced data.¹⁹⁻²⁴ To date, there are no systematic reviews to our knowledge that have answered the following questions specific to Latin American children and adolescents: What are the most common tests used to measure health-related PF? How well does the PF of Latin American children and adolescents compare to their age-matched and sex-matched peers from other regions of the world? What is the magnitude and direction of the temporal trends in PF for Latin American children and adolescents? This study protocol describes a standardised methodology for the development of a systematic review and/or meta-analysis that will be used to synthesise the peer-reviewed scientific literature, potentially guide future PF efforts in this region and potentially answer the aforementioned questions.

The limitations of this review may include the absence of published literature and/or typical limitations of systematic reviews/meta-analyses (eg, publication bias, information bias, deficiency in statistical analyses, low methodological quality and heterogeneity of the included studies).

In conclusion, the lack of homogenised information on PF for Latin American children and adolescents highlights the need to systematically review and potentially meta-analyse the available scientific literature, which could meaningfully help to identify literature gaps to guide future studies.
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