

# BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email [info.bmjopen@bmj.com](mailto:info.bmjopen@bmj.com)

# BMJ Open

## Urinary Incontinence and Sedentary Behaviour in Nursing-Home residents in Osona, Catalonia: protocol for the OsoNaH project, a multicentre observational study

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal:                      | <i>BMJ Open</i>  |
| Manuscript ID                 | bmjopen-2020-041152  |
| Article Type:                 | Protocol   |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 04-Jun-2020  |
| Complete List of Authors:     | <p>Farrés-Godayol, Pau; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Jerez, Javier; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Minobes-Molina, Eduard; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Yildirim, Meltem; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Goutan-Roura, Ester; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Coll-Planas, Laura; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30); Autonomous University of Barcelona, Fundació Salut i Envel·liment (Foundation on Health and Ageing)</p> <p>Escribà-Salvans, Anna; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Molas Tuneu, Miriam; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Moreno-Martin, Pau; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Rierola-Fochs, Sandra; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Rierola-Colomer, Sergi; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Romero-Mas, Montse; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Torres-Moreno, Míriam; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and</p> |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
|                  | <p>Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)<br/> Naudó-Molist, Jordi; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)<br/> Bezerra de Souza, Dyego; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30); Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Department of Collective Health<br/> Booth, Jo; Glasgow Caledonian University<br/> Skelton, Dawn; Glasgow Caledonian University, School of Health &amp; Life Sciences<br/> Giné-Garriga, Maria; Blanquerna Ramon Llull University Faculty of Psychology Education and Sport Sciences; Blanquerna Ramon Llull University Faculty of Health Sciences</p> |
| <p>Keywords:</p> | <p>GERIATRIC MEDICINE, Urinary incontinences &lt; UROLOGY, REHABILITATION MEDICINE, Adult pathology &lt; PATHOLOGY</p>   |
|                  |  |





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1  
2  
3 **Urinary Incontinence and Sedentary Behaviour in Nursing-Home residents in Osona, Catalonia:**  
4 **protocol for the OsoNaH project, a multicentre observational study**  
5

6  
7 Pau Farrés-Godayol<sup>1\*</sup>, Javier Jerez-Roig<sup>1\*</sup>, Eduard Minobes-Molina<sup>1</sup>, Meltem Yildirim<sup>1</sup>, Ester Goutan-  
8 Roura<sup>2</sup>, Laura Coll-Planas<sup>1,3</sup>, Anna Escribà-Salvans<sup>1</sup>, Míriam Molas Tuneu<sup>1</sup>, Pau Moreno-Martin<sup>1</sup>, Sandra  
9 Rierola-Fochs<sup>1</sup>, Sergi Rierola-Colomer<sup>1</sup>, Montse Romero-Mas<sup>1</sup>, Miriam Torres-Moreno<sup>1</sup>, Jordi Naudó-  
10 Molist<sup>4</sup>, Dyego Leandro Bezerra de Souza<sup>1,5</sup>, Joanne Booth<sup>6</sup>, Dawn A Skelton<sup>6</sup>, Maria Giné-Garriga<sup>7,8</sup>  
11  
12  
13

14 \* Both authors equally contributed to this article.  
15

16  
17 1 Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences  
18 (M<sub>3</sub>O). Faculty of Health Sciences and Welfare. Centre for Health and Social Care Research (CESS).  
19 University of Vic-Central University of Catalonia (UVic-UCC).  
20  
21

22  
23 2 Research group on Tissue Repair and Regeneration Laboratory (TR2Lab). Faculty of Health Sciences  
24 and Welfare. Centre for Health and Social Care Research (CESS). University of Vic-Central University of  
25 Catalonia (UVic-UCC).  
26  
27

28  
29 3 Fundació Salut i Envel·liment (Foundation on Health and Ageing), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,  
30 Barcelona, Spain  
31

32  
33 4 Research group on Mental Health and Social Innovation (SAMIS). Faculty of Health Sciences and  
34 Welfare. Centre for Health and Social Care Research (CESS). University of Vic-Central University of  
35 Catalonia (UVic-UCC).  
36  
37

38  
39 5 Department of Collective Health, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Rio Grande do  
40 Norte, Brazil.  
41

42  
43 6 Centre for Living, School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, United  
44 Kingdom.  
45

46  
47 7 Blanquerna Faculty of Psychology, Education and Sport Sciences, Ramon Llull University, Barcelona,  
48 Spain.  
49

50  
51 8 Blanquerna Faculty of Health Sciences, Ramon Llull University, Barcelona, Spain.  
52

53  
54 **Correspondence to:** Dr Eduard Minobes Molina; C/ de la Sagrada Família, 7, 08500 Vic, Barcelona;  
55 [eduard.minobes@uvic.cat](mailto:eduard.minobes@uvic.cat)  
56

57  
58 **Keywords:** Geriatric medicine, Urinary Incontinences, Sedentary behaviour, Nursing home, COVID-19  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Word Count:** 4152.  
4  
5  
6  
7

8 **Abstract**  
9

10  
11 **Introduction.** Several studies have shown that physical activity (PA) levels and sedentary behaviour (SB)  
12 are independent risk factors for many health-related issues. However, there is scarce evidence supporting  
13 the relationship between SB and urinary incontinence (UI) in community dwelling older adults, and no  
14 information on any possible association in institutionalized older adults. Stage 1 of this project has the  
15 main objective of determining the prevalence of UI and its associated factors in nursing home (NH)  
16 residents, as well as analysing the association between UI (and its types) and SB. Stage 2 aims to  
17 investigate the incidence and predictive factors of functional and continence decline, falls,  
18 hospitalizations, mortality and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic among NH residents.  
19  
20  
21  
22

23  
24 **Methods and analysis.** Stage 1 is an observational multicentre study that consists of a cross-sectional  
25 study with mixed methodology that aims to explore the current status of health-related outcomes in NH  
26 residents of the Osona country. The Prevalence Ratio will be used as an association measure and  
27 multivariate analysis will be undertaken using Poisson regression with robust variance. Stage 2 is a 2-year  
28 longitudinal study that aims to analyse functional and continence decline, incidence of falls,  
29 hospitalizations, mortality and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on these outcomes. A survival  
30 analysis using the actuarial method for functional decline and continence, evaluated every 6 months, and  
31 the Kaplan-Meier method for falls, hospitalizations and deaths and Cox regression for multivariate analysis  
32 will be undertaken.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 **Ethics and dissemination.** The study was approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of the  
42 University of Vic – Central University of Catalonia (reference numbers: 92/2019 and 109/2020) and the  
43 Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Osona Foundation for health research and education (FORES)  
44 (code 2020118/PR249). Study results will be disseminated at conferences, meetings and through peer-  
45 reviewed journals.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 **ClinicalTrials.gov ID:** NCT04297904  
52

53 **Article Summary**  
54

55  
56 Strengths and limitations of this study:  
57  
58  
59  
60

- The first study to focus on the association between UI and SB in the older institutionalized population and the largest study analysing SB patterns in the older institutionalized population with a gold standard measure (ActivPAL).
- Mixed methods study (quantitative and qualitative approach) considering a wide range of variables to assess health, based on the biopsychosocial model.
- An initial cohort firstly assessed before the pandemic (from January to March 2020) will be followed to analyse the impact of COVID-19 in NH residents.
- Limitations include: participation of NH residents or legal guardians in research-based studies, cognitive impairment that may affect information on some independent variables that require the participant response and the potential increase in SB during the COVID-19 pandemic.

## Introduction

Low birth rates and an increased life expectancy are transforming the age pyramid of the European Union (EU); probably the most important change will be the marked transition towards an aged society, a characteristic that is already evident in several EU member states, in 2017, the 65+ population had an increase of 0.3% compared to the previous year, and an increase of 2.4% compared to the previous 10 years, in fact people aged over 80 years old are increasing at a faster rate than any other age segment of the EU population (1). This increase is linked to a growing demand for long-term care, which represents a significant overload on public health resources. One in four older adults will spend a period of their life in a nursing home (NH), and the need for such care will persist until their death (2). Older adults who live in a NH are the most frail of our society with high levels of functional limitations and physical dependence (3,4), and one third of them have cognitive impairment (5).

The prevalence of urinary incontinence (UI) in Spain is approximately 10% in women aged between 25 and 64 years old, and over 50% in those over 65 years old (6). In NH, this proportion is around 50% and is frequently associated with cognitive impairment, physical inactivity and immobility syndrome, among other factors (7). In this context, we can find a type of UI described as "functional" in that it is caused by an inability to move to the toilet independently, due to a physical, communicative or cognitive problem (e.g. dementia)(8). Most older adults mistakenly believe that incontinence is part of the normal aging process and/or is an irresolvable problem (9,10). However, UI is a geriatric syndrome that represents an indicator of frailty and quality of health care, as well as a risk factor for pressure ulcers, falls, fractures and even urinary sepsis or death (11–14).

1  
2  
3 NH residents are the least physically active of all older adults, and spend most of their awake time  
4 sedentary (15,16). Doing regular physical activity (PA) limits the development and progression of most  
5 prevalent chronic diseases (17). However, the time spent in sedentary behaviour (SB) by older adults has  
6 increased considerably in the last three decades (18) and SB increases with age (19). SB has been gaining  
7 recognition as a risk factor for specific health conditions and reduced mobility, sometimes independent  
8 of PA levels (20). A typical day for a resident will consist in a sequence of periods of SB, light intensity PA  
9 (LPA) and moderate to vigorous intensity PA (MVPA)(21,22). NH residents spend an average of 79% of  
10 their day sedentary, 20% in LPA and 1% in MVPA (23).

11  
12  
13  
14  
15 There is a consensus among researchers that low levels of PA and prolonged patterns of SB could be direct  
16 risk factors for UI in older adults (24–27). A recent observational study on the association between SB and  
17 urinary incontinence in community dwelling older women concluded that urgency urinary incontinence  
18 (UUI) was significantly associated with increased average duration of SB bouts. The importance of  
19 objective measurement of SB was highlighted and it was suggested that decreasing time in prolonged  
20 sitting may be a target intervention to reduce UUI (28). Researchers conclude that there is a lack of  
21 complementary studies of higher quality on the association between SB and UI (29–33)

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 Frailty is one of the most important concerns regarding our aging population as it is a leading contributor  
29 to functional decline and early mortality in older adults (5–7). Evidence grows that this state is linked to  
30 several relevant health outcomes, similarly prevalent in all countries. The last consensus defined frailty as  
31 “a clinical state in which there is an increase in an individual’s vulnerability for developing an increased  
32 dependency and/or mortality when exposed to a stressor”(8).

33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Functional decline is one of the main health-related issues that affect older adults because it limits their  
autonomy and leads to dependency (34). In older adults, functional capacity can be defined as the ability  
to carry out basic activities of daily living (BADL)(10). The association between functional decline and  
urinary incontinence (UI) could be bidirectional, which can lead to a cycle where continence reduction  
results in functional decline, and functional decline leads to further decrease in continence (14,18).

Falls, though preventable, are common among older adults, and the resulting injuries can threaten their  
health, independence, and everyday routines. Aging is one of the main risk factors for falls, for this reason,  
older adults have a high risk of injuries, increased dependence, disabilities, and institutionalization. All  
these outcomes are also risk factors for frailty. (19,20). Several studies have shown that the transition  
from in-home to institutional care is related to substantially higher mortality rates, as well as reduced  
physical and cognitive function (21,29). It is well known that hospital admission can affect the process of  
usual aging due to adverse health outcomes after hospitalization, especially in terms of functional decline  
(35), mortality (21,22), frailty (23) and cognitive impairment (24).

Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine the prevalence of UI and its associated factors, specifically  
the association between UI types and SB patterns in older people living in NH in the Osona country



1  
2  
3 (Barcelona, Spain). Also, stage 1 of this project aims to analyse the current status of health-related  
4 outcomes, based on the biopsychosocial model of health, and to describe the current interventions to  
5 reduce SB and increase PA, and the control measures to manage UI by the NHs of the Osona country. In  
6 addition to this, it aims to understand the experience of having UI among residents and the experience of  
7 providing healthcare to these individuals among health professionals, using descriptive phenomenology.  
8  
9

10  
11 On the other hand, the SARS-CoV-2, called coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19), has emerged as a worldwide  
12 pandemic (36). This virus has been shown to be particularly deadly for older adults and those with certain  
13 underlying medical conditions (37–40). In relation to deaths from COVID-19 in Spain, 87% of the reported  
14 deaths were 70 years or more and a 95% presented comorbidity (41). The population living in NH,  
15 generally with older age and multiple comorbidities, are the most vulnerable to COVID-19 (42). In  
16 Catalonian NHs 28,418 suspected cases, 11,560 confirmed positive cases and 3,055 deaths were reported  
17 until May 2020 (43–45). Due to the vulnerability of NH themselves to outbreaks of respiratory diseases  
18 (46,47) and the frailty of NH population, there is a need of analysing the impact of COVID-19 in NH  
19 residents in terms of mortality, hospitalisation, as well as other health, social and cognitive-related  
20 variables.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 Stage 2 aims to follow-up the included cohort of stage 1 and analyse the incidence and predictive factors  
31 of functional decline, frailty, continence decline, falls, hospitalizations and mortality among older people  
32 living in NHs for a 2-year period. The cohort firstly assessed before the first diagnosis of COVID-19 in  
33 Catalonian NHs will be followed to identify the potential risk and protective factors for mortality due to  
34 COVID-19 and the impact of this disease on functioning and hospitalizations.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Methods and analysis

### Study design

The present study follows the STROBE (STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology) guidelines and consists of two stages:

1. Observational cross-sectional study on the prevalence of UI (and its types) and SB patterns and the possible association between both issues in the older population living in NH of the Osona country (Barcelona, Spain)
2. Observational 2-year longitudinal (cohort) study on functional and continence status, falls, hospitalizations and mortality (including COVID-19 data) among NH residents of the Osona country (Barcelona, Spain).

### Stage 1. Prevalence of UI and its associated factors among NH residents in the Osona country (Barcelona, Spain)

#### Design

Cross-sectional study with mixed methodology.

#### Setting and location

The present study will be conducted in NHs of the Osona country (Barcelona, Spain). According to the Catalonia Government, there are 19 registered NH: 12 public (or private) and 7 for-profit. The first contact with the NHs will be by email and phone call, to explain the project, resolve any queries and send them the participation documents for the study if they are interested in taking part.

#### Patient and public involvement

There was no patient or public involvement in the design and conduct of the stage 1.

#### Sample size

The calculation of the study sample is based on the preliminary data from the pilot study. Calculating the sample from the difference between variables (presence of IU or not and the mean of the total time in hours of SB), an absolute precision of the 5% and a significance level of the 5%, the sample to estimate the association between IU and SB will be 120 subjects. Considering a 30% of possible non-response rate, the final sample corresponds to 145 subjects. A simple random sampling will be undertaken. The exclusion criteria will be given on a flow chart (48).

#### Eligibility criteria

All nursing home residents (male or female) aged 65 years or older who live in the institution permanently; residents with or without cognitive impairment will be included in the quantitative part of the study. Exclusion criteria are subjects in coma or palliative care (prognosis of short life), hospitalised and those who refuse to participate in the study. For the qualitative part of the study, inclusion criteria for older

1  
2  
3 people are: i) voluntary participation in the study, ii) diagnosed with UI for at least 6 months, iii) able to  
4 express themselves verbally. Inclusion criteria for NH professionals are: i) voluntary participation in the  
5 study and ii) caring older people with UI for at least 6 months.  
6  
7  
8

### 9 **Study procedures**

10 In the beginning of the project, the research team will be trained, receive standardised operating  
11 procedures, and be calibrated to ensure the reliability of the data regarding anthropometry, handgrip test  
12 and Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) with its corresponding calculation of the interclass  
13 correlation coefficient (ICC). After the calibration, a pilot study will be conducted with a minimum of  
14 20 participants, with the aim to check if the evaluations and tests are reliable. Before starting data  
15 collection, every NH director must accept the participation in the project with a formal consent. After  
16 that, the list of residents will be obtained, and the individuals selected according to inclusion/exclusion  
17 criteria. Then, the residents or their legal guardians will be informed about the project and if willing to  
18 participate will sign the informed consent. The assessment procedure starts with the placement of the  
19 activPAL3™ activity monitor (PAL Technologies Ltd., Glasgow, UK), a reliable and valid device considered  
20 as a gold standard to record and analyse the SB (49–51) The device will be worn on the anterior medial  
21 part of the right thigh, sealed with a flexible nitrile cover and adhered to the skin with a hypoallergenic  
22 adhesive dressing. The device will capture data continuously during both awake and sleeping time, for 7  
23 consecutive days. Sociodemographic information will be obtained from the NH registers. Information on  
24 the continence status and other conditions will be checked with the residents' caregivers. Cognitive status  
25 will be assessed in all individuals and a more extended questionnaire on quality of life, incontinence, lower  
26 urinary tract symptoms, depressive and anxiety symptoms, social network and loneliness will be applied  
27 to residents with cognitive capacity. The approximate time of application of the physical tests and the  
28 questionnaire to the participant is 30-45 minutes. In case of fatigue, the participant will be offered the  
29 possibility of interrupting or stopping the assessment whenever he/she wishes.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 **Data collection**

44 Section H of Minimum Data Set (MDS) version 3.0 (52) will be used to assess the presence of UI and other  
45 bladder and bowel conditions. Where a resident has preserved cognitive capacity to answer  
46 questionnaires, the continence status will be checked with the International Consultation on Incontinence  
47 Questionnaire Urinary Incontinence - Short Form (ICIQ-UI SF), validated to Spanish (53). According to the  
48 MDS and the ICIQ UI-SF, the type of UI will be determined: stress, urgency, mixed and functional. The  
49 number of absorbents (pads/diapers) used daily will also be taken into account. In addition, information  
50 on lower urinary tract symptoms will be collected using the International Prostate Symptoms Score (IPSS)  
51 (54). To evaluate SB, the variables of steps, duration in minutes of SB periods, total time in SB, SB bouts,  
52 total time in standing position and walking in hours and transitions from sitting to standing will be taken  
53 with the activPAL3™ activity monitor, (PAL Technologies Ltd., Glasgow, UK) for 7 consecutive days. The  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 device placement is on the anterior and middle of the right thigh, or on the unaffected leg thigh in cases  
4 of stroke.  
5

6 Sociodemographic variables such as age, gender, date of birth, date of institutionalization, number and  
7 type of deliveries (vaginal or caesarean), level of education, marital status, chronic conditions (high blood  
8 pressure, diabetes, cancer, lung disease, stroke, dementia, Parkinson's, osteoporosis, kidney failure,  
9 dyslipidaemia, cardiac disease and mental illness), history/current tobacco use and alcohol consumption  
10 urinary tract infection in the last 30 days, bone fracture in the last year, hospitalization in the last year,  
11 meds and normal routine blood analysis from NH records (biochemical data of vitamin D, albumin and  
12 pre-albumin, Protein C-Reactive) will be recorded. Regarding health-related variables, delirium, ulcers  
13 (any type), functional ability (modified Barthel Index )(55,56), cognitive status (Pfeiffer Scale)(57), faecal  
14 incontinence (according to MDS 3.0), lower tract urinary symptoms (through the International Prostate  
15 Symptoms Score), falls during the last year (number, places and consequences, from NH records), physical  
16 capacity using the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB)(58), mobility (Rivermead Mobility  
17 Index)(59), frailty (Clinical Frailty Scale)(60) and quality of life using the self-reported questionnaire  
18 EUROQOL-5D (EQ-5D)(61) will be assessed. To ensure we can compare with other studies on  
19 sarcopenia/frailty, we will also report any unintended weight loss in the last year (more than 4.5 kg or  
20 more than 5% of previous weight in the last year), and handgrip strength will be measured by JAMAR Plus  
21 Digital Hand dynamometer. The approximate consumption of water and drinks in millilitres and types of  
22 drinks will be collected over a 24-hour period and will be completed by the resident themselves, where  
23 their cognitive capacity is sufficiently preserved, or by health professionals in the NH. The total number  
24 of medications in daily use will be registered, as well as the types of medications, according to the  
25 international classification system *Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical* classification system and the Defined  
26 Daily Dose (ATC/DDD)(62). In addition, psychosocial factors will be considered: number of monthly visits  
27 from friends/family, according to the caregivers, as well as the Yesavage questionnaire (GDS-VE) (63) to  
28 assess depressive symptoms, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) for anxiety (64), social  
29 network through the Lubben social network scale (65) and loneliness through the 6-item Gierveld Scale  
30 (66,67) in all those subjects with sufficient cognitive capacity to answer questionnaires.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Anthropometric variables include weight (kg), height (m), body mass index (BMI), arm circumference (cm),  
46 waist circumference (cm), hip circumference (cm) and calf circumference (cm). These measurements will  
47 be obtained using a Seca 213 measuring device (Seca Medizinische Messsysteme und Waagen., Hamburg,  
48 Deutschland) and a measuring tape. Measures related to body composition will be reported as a  
49 percentage (%) of body fat, % of fat-free mass and % of body water, using a Tanita TBF-300 bioimpedance  
50 device (Tanita Institute., Tokyo, Japan). Finally, the nutritional status will be evaluated by the Mini  
51 Nutritional Assessment (MNA)(68), considered as a gold standard method for evaluating nutritional  
52 status.  
53  
54  
55  
56

57  
58 In the qualitative part of the study, descriptive phenomenology will be used as it is one of the leading  
59 methodologies used in social sciences and healthcare research in order to understand the lived  
60

1  
2  
3 experiences of individuals (69). Therefore, for being able to understand the experience of having UI among  
4 residents and explore health professionals' experience of providing health services to residents with UI,  
5 descriptive phenomenology will be considered as the methodological approach of the qualitative part.  
6 We aim for the participants to be heterogeneous in terms of their descriptive characteristics (e.g. age,  
7 gender, duration and level of incontinence among residents; gender and years of experience with  
8 residents with UI among health professionals).  
9  
10  
11

12  
13 Two semi-structured interview guides will be used, one with residents and one with health professionals.  
14 The guides were created by the researchers with two general research questions in mind: (a) What is your  
15 experience of having UI and what effects does it have on everyday life? (b) How is the experience of  
16 providing healthcare to residents with UI and what are the difficulties experienced in this aspect?  
17 Individual interviews will be conducted with residents due to the delicate character of the experienced  
18 problem, meanwhile, with health professionals, a focus group will be conducted as it is a method which  
19 facilitates remembering the forgotten experiences. In both interviews, the data collection process will be  
20 terminated after data saturation is reached, in other words, when no new topic arises during the  
21 interviews (70). As recommended by Sandelowski (1995) (71) the sample size will be large enough to allow  
22 the unfolding of a new and richly textured understanding of the studied phenomenon, but small enough  
23 to be able to do a deep and case-oriented analysis of the qualitative data. In the qualitative analysis of the  
24 obtained data, Colaizzi's phenomenological data analysis method will be considered. This method was  
25 largely influenced by Husserl's descriptive phenomenological approach and it will allow the researchers  
26 to discover the fundamental structures of the phenomena which is being investigated (72).  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

### 37 **Statistical Analysis**

38 Firstly, descriptive analysis will be undertaken indicating absolute and relative frequencies for categorical  
39 variables and mean and standard deviation for quantitative variables. Before doing the bivariate analysis,  
40 a sub-analysis of the minimum number of days with the ActivPAL that are necessary to have a reliable  
41 data record on SB will be performed, following the PA procedure performed by Nicolai et al. (2010)  
42 (73) Subsequently, the bivariate analysis will be applied through the Chi-square test (or Fisher's test) and  
43 the linear Chi-square test in case of dichotomous or ordinal variables, as well as the Student t-test for  
44 quantitative variables. As an association measure, the Prevalence Ratio will be used, with a confidence  
45 level of 95%. The multivariate analysis will be undertaken through the Poisson regression with robust  
46 variance.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Stage II. Incidence and predictor factors of functional and continence decline, falls, hospitalisations,**  
4 **mortality among older people in NH. A two-year cohort study.**  
5  
6

7  
8 **Design**

9 Longitudinal prospective 2-year study.  
10

11  
12 **Setting**

13 NHs and residents participating in stage 1 will be followed up over the next two years. Every 6 months  
14 through interviews with the professionals of the institutions will be asked for information on functional  
15 decline, frailty, continence status, hospitalizations, mortality, diagnosis and suspected cases of COVID-19  
16 and changes in the medication of their residents. Data related to falls will be collected through a  
17 continuous prospective register in every institution.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 **Patient and public involvement**

24 There was no patient or public involvement in the design and conduct of the stage 2.  
25  
26

27 **Sample size**

28 According to a 2-year longitudinal study conducted by Jerez-Roig *et al.* (2017)(4) in institutionalised older  
29 people, an initial sample of 280 people is powered to detect prognostic factors of functional decline.  
30  
31  
32

33 **Eligibility criteria**

34 All nursing home residents (male or female) aged 65 years or older who live in the institution permanently.  
35 Subjects in coma or palliative care (prognosis of short life) will be excluded. For the study of functional  
36 decline, residents with limitations in all basic activities of daily living will be excluded from the study. For  
37 the study of continence decline, the participants who have a urinary catheter fitted, or ostomy, as well as  
38 those with total UI defined by Section H of Minimum Data Set (MDS) version 3.0 (52) at baseline will be  
39 excluded. For analysing the incidence of falls, those subjects who do not walk independently (with or  
40 without aids) will be excluded.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 **Study procedures**

49 After baseline (stage I), the Stage 2 of the OsoNaH. The variables are mortality and causes, hospitalizations  
50 and causes, falls, functional capacity evaluated by means of the Barthel scale, frailty evaluated by the  
51 Clinical Frailty Scale, COVID-19 diagnostic by test (PCR or serological), suspected case of COVID-19 and  
52 modifications in the medication in the last 6 months.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57

58 **Data collection**  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Functional status will be assessed by the modified (5-point Likert scale) Barthel's index. Continence status  
4 will be assessed using the section H of Minimum Data Set (MDS) 3.0. Falls will be registered continuously  
5 taking into account the date, location and consequences of falls. Dates and causes of hospitalizations and  
6 mortality (dates and causes) will be also registered retrospectively during the 6-month assessments. For  
7 the COVID-19-related variables the following information will be collected: date and results of diagnosis  
8 tests of COVID-19 (PCR or serological antibody test), suspected case (symptoms of cough, fever and/or  
9 breathing difficulties during the previous 6 months). The levels of frailty of the resident will be assessed  
10 with the Clinical Frailty Scale (60). Finally, any change in the regular medication in the last 6 months  
11 (include the name of the med, dose and the duration of treatment) will be registered.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

### 19 **Statistical Analysis**

20 The actuarial method will be utilized to analyse functional and continence decline throughout the 5-wave  
21 cohort. The Kaplan-Meier method will be used for falls, hospitalizations and deaths. Log-rank test will be  
22 applied for bivariate analysis. Those variables with  $p < 0.25$  and variables "age" and "sex" will be considered  
23 susceptible for testing in the multiple model. Multivariate analysis will be performed using Cox regression.  
24 Forward selection will be utilized to introduce covariables in the model, firstly introducing those variables  
25 with higher hazard ratio (HR) values and observing the behaviour and adjustment of the model (stepwise  
26 forward). Risk measurements will be presented for HR, with the respective confidence intervals (CI) and  
27 p values. Finally, the proportionality test will be carried out for the final model, followed by Schoenfeld  
28 residual analysis to verify validity of Cox's semiparametric model. The ROC curve will be analysed to  
29 determine the predictive ability of the created functionality decline index. The inferential statistical  
30 analysis will be performed at a 95% confidence level.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 **Author Contributions:** PF, JJ, MG and EM were involved in designing of the study and the writing of the  
41 manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript draft.  
42  
43

44 **Funding statement:** This work was supported by Hestia foundation grant number BI-CHAISS-2019/003.  
45  
46

47 **Competing interests statement:** None.  
48  
49

50 **Data availability statement:** Data are available upon reasonable request. The dataset from this study  
51 will be made available on request to [eduard.minobes@uvic.cat](mailto:eduard.minobes@uvic.cat).  
52  
53

### 54 **References**

- 55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
1. People in the EU - statistics on an ageing society - Statistics Explained [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 7]. Available from: [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=People\\_in\\_the\\_EU\\_-\\_statistics\\_on\\_an\\_ageing\\_society](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=People_in_the_EU_-_statistics_on_an_ageing_society)

- 1
- 2
- 3 2. Harwood RH. Do we still need care homes? *Age Ageing*. 2004 Nov;33(6):529–30.
- 4
- 5 3. Gordon AL, Franklin M, Bradshaw L, Logan P, Elliott R, Gladman JRF. Health status of UK care
- 6 home residents: a cohort study. *Age Ageing*. 2014 Jan;43(1):97–103.
- 7
- 8 4. Jerez-Roig J, De Medeiros JF, Do Nascimento Moreira Fidélis K, De Lima Filho BF, De Oliveira
- 9 NPD, Paes De Andrade FLJ, et al. Activity Limitations in Brazilian Institutionalized Older Adults. *J*
- 10 *Geriatr Phys Ther*. 2017;40(4):214–22.
- 11
- 12 5. Vermeiren S, Vella-Azzopardi R, Beckwée D, Habbig AK, Scafoglieri A, Jansen B, et al. Frailty and
- 13 the Prediction of Negative Health Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*.
- 14 2016;17(12):1163.e1-1163.e17.
- 15
- 16 6. Hoogendijk EO, Muntinga ME, van Leeuwen KM, van der Horst HE, Deeg DJH, Frijters DHM, et al.
- 17 Self-perceived met and unmet care needs of frail older adults in primary care. *Arch Gerontol*
- 18 *Geriatr*. 2014;58(1):37–42.
- 19
- 20 7. Dent E, Morley JE, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Woodhouse L, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Fried LP, et al. Physical
- 21 Frailty: ICFSR International Clinical Practice Guidelines for Identification and Management. *J Nutr*
- 22 *Health Aging*. 2019 Nov 3;23(9):771–87.
- 23
- 24 8. Morley JE, Vellas B, Abellan van Kan G, Anker SD, Bauer JM, Bernabei R, et al. Frailty consensus:
- 25 A call to action. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2013;14(6):392–7.
- 26
- 27 9. Verbrugge LM, Jette AM. The disablement process. *Soc Sci Med*. 1994;38(1):1–14.
- 28
- 29 10. Macêdo AMLL, Cerchiari EAN, Alvarenga MRM, Faccenda O, De Oliveira MAC. Avaliação
- 30 funcional de idosos com déficit cognitivo. *ACTA Paul Enferm*. 2012;25(3):358–63.
- 31
- 32 11. Jerez-Roig J, Santos MM, Souza DLB, Amaral FLJS, Lima KC. Prevalence of urinary incontinence
- 33 and associated factors in nursing home residents. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2016 Jan;35(1):102–7.
- 34
- 35 12. Jerez-Roig J, Moreira FSM, da Câmara SMA, Ferreira LM de BM, Lima KC. Predicting continence
- 36 decline in institutionalized older people: A longitudinal analysis. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2019 Mar
- 37 14;38(3):958–67.
- 38
- 39 13. Jerez-Roig J, Santos MM, Souza DLB, Amaral FLJS, Lima KC. Prevalence of urinary incontinence
- 40 and associated factors in nursing home residents. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2016;35(1).
- 41
- 42 14. Agulló EM, Cerdá JLR, Pérez LG, Backhaus MR, Oliva FD, Rebollo P, et al. Prevalencia de
- 43 incontinencia urinaria y vejiga hiperactiva en la población española: Resultados del estudio
- 44 EPICC. *Actas Urológicas Españolas*. 2009;33(2):159–66.
- 45
- 46 15. Sackley, C. M.; Levin, S.; Cardoso, K.; Hoppitt TJ. Observations of activity levels and social
- 47 interaction in a residential care setting. *Int J Ther Rehabil*. 2006;13:370–3.
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60



16. Marmeleira J, Ferreira S, Raimundo A. Physical activity and physical fitness of nursing home residents with cognitive impairment: A pilot study. *Exp Gerontol*. 2017;100(September):63–9.
17. Boyington JE, Howard DL, Carter-Edwards L, Gooden KM, Erdem N, Jallah Y, et al. Differences in resident characteristics and prevalence of urinary incontinence in nursing homes in the southeastern United States. *Nurs Res*. 2007;56(2):97–107.
18. Coll-Planas L, Denkinger MD, Nikolaus T. Relationship of urinary incontinence and late-life disability: implications for clinical work and research in geriatrics. *Z Gerontol Geriatr*. 2008;41(4):283–90.
19. Pellicer B, Juárez-Vela R, Sevilla D, Castan L, Abadía B, Arbués E. Prevalence and profile of the elderly home care valid suffering in a private residence falls. *Rev Enferm*. 2013 Dec 1;36:8–16.
20. Siqueira FV, Facchini LA, Silveira DS da, Piccini RX, Tomasi E, Thumé E, et al. Prevalence of falls in elderly in Brazil: a countrywide analysis. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2011;27(9):1819–26.
21. Ponzetto M, Maero B, Maina P, Rosato R, Ciccone G, Merletti F, et al. Risk Factors for Early and Late Mortality in Hospitalized Older Patients: The Continuing Importance of Functional Status. *Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2003;58(11):M1049–54.
22. Walter LC. Development and Validation of a Prognostic Index for 1-Year Mortality in Older Adults After Hospitalization. *JAMA*. 2001 Jun 20;285(23):2987.
23. Feng L, Nyunt MSZ, Gao Q, Feng L, Lee TS, Tsoi T, et al. Physical frailty, cognitive impairment, and the risk of neurocognitive disorder in the Singapore longitudinal ageing studies. *Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2017;72(3):369–75.
24. Feng L, Zin Nyunt MS, Gao Q, Feng L, Yap KB, Ng TP. Cognitive Frailty and Adverse Health Outcomes: Findings From the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Studies (SLAS). *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2017;18(3):252–8.
25. World Health Organization. *Global Recommendations On Physical Activity for Health*. 2010. 58 p.
26. Van der Ploeg HP, Venugopal K, Chau JY, van Poppel MNM, Breedveld K, Merom D, et al. Non-occupational sedentary behaviors: population changes in The Netherlands, 1975-2005. *Am J Prev Med*. 2013 Apr;44(4):382–7.
27. Bellettiere J, Carlson JA, Rosenberg D, Singhania A, Natarajan L, Berardi V, et al. Gender and Age Differences in Hourly and Daily Patterns of Sedentary Time in Older Adults Living in Retirement Communities. *Zanetta DMT, editor. PLoS One*. 2015 Aug;10(8):e0136161.
28. Jerez-Roig J, Booth J, Skelton DA, Giné-Garriga M, Chastin SFM, Hagen S. Is urinary incontinence associated with sedentary behaviour in older women? Analysis of data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(2):e0227195.

- 1
- 2
- 3 29. Aneshensel CS, Pearlin LI, Schuler RH. Mortality Among People With Dementia. *J Gerontol Soc*
- 4 *Sci.* 2000;55(3):152–62.
- 5
- 6
- 7 30. H. Lee A, Hirayama F. Physical Activity and Urinary Incontinence in Older Adults: A Community-
- 8 Based Study. *Curr Aging Sci.* 2012;5(1):35–40.
- 9
- 10 31. Leirós-Rodríguez R, Romo-Pérez V, García-Soidán JL. Prevalencia de la incontinencia urinaria y su
- 11 relación con el sedentarismo en España. *Actas Urol Esp.* 2017;41(10):624–30.
- 12
- 13
- 14 32. Stenzelius K, Molander U, Odeberg J, Hammarström M, Franzen K, Midlöv P, et al. The effect of
- 15 conservative treatment of urinary incontinence among older and frail older people: A systematic
- 16 review. *Age Ageing.* 2015;44(5):736–44.
- 17
- 18
- 19 33. Steenstrup B, Le Rumeur E, Moreau S, Cornu JN. Sedentary lifestyle and urinary incontinence in
- 20 women: A literature review. *Prog en Urol.* 2018;28(17):973–9.
- 21
- 22
- 23 34. Suskind AM, Cawthon PM, Nakagawa S, Subak LL, Reinders I, Satterfield S, et al. Urinary
- 24 Incontinence in Older Women: The Role of Body Composition and Muscle Strength: From the
- 25 Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2017 Jan;65(1):42–50.
- 26
- 27
- 28 35. De RT, Jerez-roig J, Maria L, Macedo DB, Lima KC. Functional decline in nursing home residents :
- 29 A prognostic study Functional decline in nursing home residents : A prognostic study.
- 30 2017;(May).
- 31
- 32
- 33 36. WHO Director-General’s opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020.
- 34
- 35
- 36 37. Nikolich-Zugich J, Knox KS, Rios CT, Natt B, Bhattacharya D, Fain MJ. SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19
- 37 in older adults: what we may expect regarding pathogenesis, immune responses, and outcomes.
- 38 *GeroScience.* 2020;19.
- 39
- 40
- 41 38. Shahid Z, Kalayanamitra R, McClafferty B, Kepko D, Ramgobin D, Patel R, et al. COVID-19 And
- 42 Older Adults: What We Know. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2020;1(718).
- 43
- 44
- 45 39. Fu L, Wang B, Yuan T, Chen X, Ao Y, Fitzpatrick T, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus
- 46 disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect.* 2020 Apr;
- 47
- 48
- 49 40. Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-Fatality Rate and Characteristics of Patients Dying in
- 50 Relation to COVID-19 in Italy. *JAMA - J Am Med Assoc.* 2020;2019:2019–20.
- 51
- 52 41. Instituto de Salud Carlos III y Centro Nacional de Epidemiología. Informe sobre la situación de
- 53 COVID-19 en España Contenido [Internet]. 2020. Available from:
- 54 [https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTran](https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTransmisibles/Documents/INFORMES/Informes COVID-19/Informe nº 29. Situación de COVID-19 en España a 07 de mayo de 2020.pdf)
- 55 [smisibles/Documents/INFORMES/Informes COVID-19/Informe nº 29. Situación de COVID-19 en](https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTransmisibles/Documents/INFORMES/Informes COVID-19/Informe nº 29. Situación de COVID-19 en España a 07 de mayo de 2020.pdf)
- 56 [España a 07 de mayo de 2020.pdf](https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTransmisibles/Documents/INFORMES/Informes COVID-19/Informe nº 29. Situación de COVID-19 en España a 07 de mayo de 2020.pdf)
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1  
2  
3 42. D'Adamo H, Yoshikawa T, Ouslander JG. Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Geriatrics and Long-term  
4 Care: The ABCDs of COVID-19. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2020;1–6.  
5  
6  
7 43. Oficina de Comunicació del Departament de Salut de la Generalitat de Catalunya. Dades  
8 territorials d'impacte de Covid -19 per regió sanitària de Catalunya – Dades 3 de MAIG de 2020.  
9 2020;  
10  
11  
12 44. Dades actualitzades SARS-CoV-2. Agència de Qualitat i Avaluació Sanitàries de Catalunya  
13 (AQuAS) [Internet]. [cited 2020 May 5]. Available from:  
14 <http://aquas.gencat.cat/ca/actualitat/ultimes-dades-coronavirus/>  
15  
16  
17 45. Mapa de mortalitat per comarques . Agència de Qualitat i Avaluació Sanitàries de Catalunya  
18 (AQuAS) [Internet]. [cited 2020 May 5]. Available from:  
19 <http://aquas.gencat.cat/ca/actualitat/ultimes-dades-coronavirus/mapa-mortalitat-comarques/>  
20  
21  
22 46. Lansbury LE, Brown CS, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS. Influenza in long-term care facilities. Vol. 11,  
23 Influenza and other Respiratory Viruses. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2017. p. 356–66.  
24  
25  
26 47. Hand J, Rose EB, Salinas A, Lu X, Sakthivel SK, Schneider E, et al. Severe respiratory illness  
27 outbreak associated with human coronavirus NL63 in a long-term care facility. Vol. 24, *Emerging*  
28 *Infectious Diseases*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); 2018. p. 1964–6.  
29  
30  
31 48. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S, Organization WH. Sample size determination in health studies : a  
32 practical manual / S. K. Lwanga and S. Lemeshow [Internet]. Geneva PP - Geneva: World Health  
33 Organization; Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/40062>  
34  
35  
36 49. Grant PM, Ryan CG, Tigbe WW, Granat MH. The validation of a novel activity monitor in the  
37 measurement of posture and motion during everyday activities. *Br J Sports Med.*  
38 2006;40(12):992–7.  
39  
40  
41 50. KOZEY-KEADLE S, LIBERTINE A, LYDEN K, STAUDENMAYER J, FREEDSON PS. Validation of  
42 Wearable Monitors for Assessing Sedentary Behavior. *Med Sci Sport Exerc.* 2011  
43 Aug;43(8):1561–7.  
44  
45  
46 51. Sellers C, Dall P, Grant M, Stansfield B. Validity and reliability of the activPAL3 for measuring  
47 posture and stepping in adults and young people. *Gait Posture.* 2016;43:42–7.  
48  
49  
50 52. Klusch L. The MDS 3.0 and its impact on bladder and bowel care. *Provider.* 2012;38(6):33, 35, 37  
51 passim.  
52  
53  
54 53. Espuña Pons M, Rebollo Alvarez P, Puig Clota M. [Validation of the Spanish version of the  
55 International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Short Form. A questionnaire for  
56 assessing the urinary incontinence]. *Med Clin (Barc).* 2004 Mar;122(8):288–92.  
57  
58  
59 54. Badía X, García-Losa M, Dal-Ré R. Ten-language translation and harmonization of the  
60

- 1  
2  
3 International Prostate Symptom Score: developing a methodology for multinational clinical  
4 trials. *Eur Urol.* 1997;31(2):129–40.  
5  
6  
7 55. Shah S, Vanclay F, Cooper B. Improving the sensitivity of the Barthel Index for stroke  
8 rehabilitation. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1989;42(8):703–9.  
9  
10 56. Baztán JJ. Índice de Barthel : Instrumento válido para la valoración funcional de pacientes con  
11 enfermedad cerebrovascular. 2016;(March).  
12  
13  
14 57. Martínez de la Iglesia J, Duenas Herrero R, Onís Vilches MC, Aguado Taberne C, Albert Colomer  
15 C, Luque Luque R. Spanish language adaptation and validation of the Pfeiffer’s questionnaire  
16 (SPMSQ) to detect cognitive deterioration in people over 65 years of age. *Med Clin (Barc).*  
17 2001;117(4):129–34.  
18  
19  
20 58. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Glynn RJ, Berkman LF, Blazer DG, et al. A Short Physical  
21 Performance Battery Assessing Lower Extremity Function: Association With Self-Reported  
22 Disability and Prediction of Mortality and Nursing Home Admission Energetic cost of walking in  
23 older adults View project IOM committee on cognitive agi. *Artic J Gerontol.* 1994;49(2):85–94.  
24  
25  
26 59. Collen FM, Wade DT, Robb GF, Bradshaw CM. The rivermead mobility index: A further  
27 development of the rivermead motor assessment. *Disabil Rehabil.* 1991;13(2):50–4.  
28  
29  
30 60. Rockwood K. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. *Can Med Assoc J.*  
31 2005 Aug 30;173(5):489–95.  
32  
33  
34 61. Janssen MF, Pickard AS, Golicki D, Gudex C, Niewada M, Scalone L, et al. Measurement  
35 properties of the EQ-5D-5L compared to the EQ-5D-3L across eight patient groups: A multi-  
36 country study. *Qual Life Res.* 2013;22(7):1717–27.  
37  
38  
39 62. WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. Guidelines for ATC classification and  
40 DDD assignment 2013. 16th ed. Oslo; 2012. 284 p.  
41  
42  
43 63. Martínez de la Iglesia J, Onís Vilches MC, Dueñas Herrero R, Albert Colomer C, Aguado Taberné  
44 C, Luque Luque R. Versión española del cuestionario de Yesavage abreviado (GDS) para el  
45 despistaje de depresión en mayores de 65 años: adaptación y validación. *Medifam.* 2002  
46 Dec;12(10):1206–12.  
47  
48  
49 64. Herrero MJ, Blanch J, Peri JM, De Pablo J, Pintor L, Bulbena A. A validation study of the hospital  
50 anxiety and depression scale (HADS) in a Spanish population. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.*  
51 2003;25(4):277–83.  
52  
53  
54 65. Lubben J, Blozik E, Gillmann G, Iliffe S, Von Kruse WR, Beck JC, et al. Performance of an  
55 abbreviated version of the lubben social network scale among three European community-  
56 dwelling older adult populations. *Gerontologist.* 2006;46(4):503–13.  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 66. Buz J, Adánez GP. Análisis de la escala de soledad de de jong gierveld mediante el modelo de  
4 rasch. *Univ Psychol*. 2013;12(3):971–81.  
5  
6  
7 67. Gierveld JDJ, Van Tilburg T. A 6-item scale for overall, emotional, and social loneliness:  
8 Confirmatory tests on survey data. *Res Aging*. 2006;28(5):582–98.  
9  
10 68. Guigoz, Y.; Vellas, B. J.; Garry PJ. Mini Nutritional Assessment: a practical tool for grading the  
11 nutritional state of elderly patients. *Facts Reserch Gerontol*. 1994;4(2):15–59.  
12  
13  
14 69. Christensen M, Welch A, Barr J. Husserlian Descriptive Phenomenology: A review of  
15 intentionality, reduction and the natural attitude. *J Nurs Educ Pract*. 2017;7(8):113.  
16  
17  
18 70. Holloway I WS. *Qualitative Research in Nursing and Healthcare*. 3rd ed. Wiley-Blackwell  
19 Publishing, United Kingdom. 2010. 146–147 p.  
20  
21  
22 71. Sandelowski M. Sample size in qualitative research. *Res Nurs Health*. 1995;18(2):179–83.  
23  
24 72. Wirihana L, Welch A, Williamson M, Christensen M, Bakon S, Craft J. Using Colaizzi’s method of  
25 data analysis to explore the experiences of nurse academics teaching on satellite campuses.  
26 *Nurse Res*. 2018;25(4):30–4.  
27  
28  
29 73. Nicolai S, Benzinger P, Skelton DA, Aminian K, Becker C, Lindemann U. Day-to-day variability of  
30 physical activity of older adults living in the community. *J Aging Phys Act*. 2010;18(1):75–86.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# Reporting checklist for protocol of a clinical trial.

Based on the SPIRIT guidelines.

|   |                     | Reporting Item   | Page Number |
|---|---------------------|--|-------------|
| <b>Administrative information</b>           |                     |  |             |
| Title                                       | <a href="#">#1</a>  | Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym | 1           |
| Trial registration                          | <a href="#">#2a</a> | Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry                         | 2           |
| Trial registration: data set                | <a href="#">#2b</a> | All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set                                     | n/a         |
| Protocol version                            | <a href="#">#3</a>  | Date and version identifier  | n/a         |
| Funding                                     | <a href="#">#4</a>  | Sources and types of financial, material, and other support  | 11          |
| Roles and responsibilities: contributorship | <a href="#">#5a</a> | Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors  | 1, 11       |

|    |                      |                     |  |     |
|----|----------------------|---------------------|--|-----|
| 1  | Roles and            | <a href="#">#5b</a> | Name and contact information for the trial sponsor       | n/a |
| 2  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 3  | responsibilities:    |                     |  |     |
| 4  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 5  | sponsor contact      |                     |  |     |
| 6  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 7  | information          |                     |  |     |
| 8  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 9  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 10 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 11 | Roles and            | <a href="#">#5c</a> | Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study      | n/a |
| 12 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 13 | responsibilities:    |                     | design; collection, management, analysis, and            |     |
| 14 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 15 | sponsor and funder   |                     | interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the   |     |
| 16 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 17 |                      |                     | decision to submit the report for publication, including |     |
| 18 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 19 |                      |                     | whether they will have ultimate authority over any of    |     |
| 20 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 21 |                      |                     | these activities   |     |
| 22 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 23 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 24 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 25 | Roles and            | <a href="#">#5d</a> | Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the          | n/a |
| 26 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 27 | responsibilities:    |                     | coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint        |     |
| 28 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 29 | committees           |                     | adjudication committee, data management team, and        |     |
| 30 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 31 |                      |                     | other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if     |     |
| 32 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 33 |                      |                     | applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)  |     |
| 34 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 35 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 36 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 37 | <b>Introduction</b>  |                     |  |     |
| 38 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 39 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 40 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 41 | Background and       | <a href="#">#6a</a> | Description of research question and justification for   | 3   |
| 42 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 43 | rationale            |                     | undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant     |     |
| 44 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 45 |                      |                     | studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits   |     |
| 46 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 47 |                      |                     | and harms for each intervention                          |     |
| 48 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 49 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 50 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 51 | Background and       | <a href="#">#6b</a> | Explanation for choice of comparators                    | n/a |
| 52 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 53 | rationale: choice of |                     |  |     |
| 54 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 55 | comparators          |                     |  |     |
| 56 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 57 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 58 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 59 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 60 |                      |                     |  |     |

|    |                           |                      |  |       |
|----|---------------------------|----------------------|--|-------|
| 1  | Objectives                | <a href="#">#7</a>   | Specific objectives or hypotheses                            | 4     |
| 2  |                           |                      |  |       |
| 3  |                           |                      |  |       |
| 4  | Trial design              | <a href="#">#8</a>   | Description of trial design including type of trial (eg,     | 4,5   |
| 5  |                           |                      | parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group),         |       |
| 6  |                           |                      | allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority,            |       |
| 7  |                           |                      | equivalence, non-inferiority, exploratory)                   |       |
| 8  |                           |                      |  |       |
| 9  |                           |                      |  |       |
| 10 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 11 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 12 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 13 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 14 | <b>Methods:</b>           |                      |  |       |
| 15 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 16 | <b>Participants,</b>      |                      |  |       |
| 17 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 18 | <b>interventions, and</b> |                      |  |       |
| 19 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 20 | <b>outcomes</b>           |                      |  |       |
| 21 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 22 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 23 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 24 | Study setting             | <a href="#">#9</a>   | Description of study settings (eg, community clinic,         | 6, 10 |
| 25 |                           |                      | academic hospital) and list of countries where data will be  |       |
| 26 |                           |                      | collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be     |       |
| 27 |                           |                      | obtained   |       |
| 28 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 29 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 30 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 31 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 32 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 33 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 34 | Eligibility criteria      | <a href="#">#10</a>  | Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If        | 6,10  |
| 35 |                           |                      | applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and       |       |
| 36 |                           |                      | individuals who will perform the interventions (eg,          |       |
| 37 |                           |                      | surgeons, psychotherapists)                                  |       |
| 38 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 39 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 40 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 41 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 42 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 43 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 44 | Interventions:            | <a href="#">#11a</a> | Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow | 7,11  |
| 45 |                           |                      | replication, including how and when they will be             |       |
| 46 | description               |                      | administered   |       |
| 47 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 48 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 49 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 50 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 51 | Interventions:            | <a href="#">#11b</a> | Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated            | n/a   |
| 52 |                           |                      | interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose   |       |
| 53 | modifications             |                      |  |       |
| 54 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 55 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 56 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 57 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 58 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 59 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 60 |                           |                      |  |       |



|    |                      |   |       |
|----|----------------------|---|-------|
| 1  |                      | change in response to harms, participant request, or                            |       |
| 2  |                      | improving / worsening disease)  |       |
| 3  |                      |   |       |
| 4  |                      |   |       |
| 5  |                      |   |       |
| 6  | Interventions:       | <a href="#">#11c</a> Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, | n/a   |
| 7  |                      |   |       |
| 8  | adherence            | and any procedures for monitoring adherence (eg, drug                           |       |
| 9  |                      | tablet return; laboratory tests)  |       |
| 10 |                      |   |       |
| 11 |                      |   |       |
| 12 |                      |   |       |
| 13 | Interventions:       | <a href="#">#11d</a> Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are       | n/a   |
| 14 |                      |   |       |
| 15 | concomitant care     | permitted or prohibited during the trial  |       |
| 16 |                      |   |       |
| 17 |                      |   |       |
| 18 | Outcomes             | <a href="#">#12</a> Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the       | 7, 11 |
| 19 |                      | specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood                               |       |
| 20 |                      | pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final                     |       |
| 21 |                      | value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, median,                       |       |
| 22 |                      | proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation                       |       |
| 23 |                      | of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm                           |       |
| 24 |                      | outcomes is strongly recommended  |       |
| 25 |                      |   |       |
| 26 |                      |   |       |
| 27 |                      |   |       |
| 28 |                      |   |       |
| 29 |                      |   |       |
| 30 |                      |   |       |
| 31 |                      |   |       |
| 32 |                      |   |       |
| 33 |                      |   |       |
| 34 |                      |   |       |
| 35 | Participant timeline | <a href="#">#13</a> Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any    | 7,11  |
| 36 |                      | run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for                              |       |
| 37 |                      | participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended                         |       |
| 38 |                      | (see Figure)  |       |
| 39 |                      |   |       |
| 40 |                      |   |       |
| 41 |                      |   |       |
| 42 |                      |   |       |
| 43 |                      |   |       |
| 44 |                      |   |       |
| 45 | Sample size          | <a href="#">#14</a> Estimated number of participants needed to achieve          | 6, 10 |
| 46 |                      | study objectives and how it was determined, including                           |       |
| 47 |                      | clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample                      |       |
| 48 |                      | size calculations   |       |
| 49 |                      |   |       |
| 50 |                      |   |       |
| 51 |                      |   |       |
| 52 |                      |   |       |
| 53 |                      |   |       |
| 54 |                      |   |       |
| 55 | Recruitment          | <a href="#">#15</a> Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to  | 6, 10 |
| 56 |                      | reach target sample size  |       |
| 57 |                      |   |       |
| 58 |                      |   |       |
| 59 |                      |   |       |
| 60 |                      |   |       |

|    |                           |  |     |
|----|---------------------------|--|-----|
| 1  | <b>Methods:</b>           |  |     |
| 2  |                           |  |     |
| 3  | <b>Assignment of</b>      |  |     |
| 4  | <b>interventions (for</b> |  |     |
| 5  | <b>controlled trials)</b> |  |     |
| 6  |                           |  |     |
| 7  |                           |  |     |
| 8  |                           |  |     |
| 9  |                           |  |     |
| 10 |                           |  |     |
| 11 | Allocation: sequence      | <a href="#">#16a</a>   | 6   |
| 12 | generation                | Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions |     |
| 13 |                           |  |     |
| 14 |                           |  |     |
| 15 |                           |  |     |
| 16 |                           |  |     |
| 17 |                           |  |     |
| 18 |                           |  |     |
| 19 |                           |  |     |
| 20 |                           |  |     |
| 21 |                           |  |     |
| 22 |                           |  |     |
| 23 |                           |  |     |
| 24 |                           |  |     |
| 25 |                           |  |     |
| 26 |                           |  |     |
| 27 |                           |  |     |
| 28 | Allocation                | <a href="#">#16b</a>   | n/a |
| 29 | concealment               | Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned  |     |
| 30 | mechanism                 |  |     |
| 31 |                           |  |     |
| 32 |                           |  |     |
| 33 |                           |  |     |
| 34 |                           |  |     |
| 35 |                           |  |     |
| 36 |                           |  |     |
| 37 |                           |  |     |
| 38 | Allocation:               | <a href="#">#16c</a>   | n/a |
| 39 | implementation            | Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions  |     |
| 40 |                           |  |     |
| 41 |                           |  |     |
| 42 |                           |  |     |
| 43 |                           |  |     |
| 44 |                           |  |     |
| 45 | Blinding (masking)        | <a href="#">#17a</a>   | n/a |
| 46 |                           | Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how  |     |
| 47 |                           |  |     |
| 48 |                           |  |     |
| 49 |                           |  |     |
| 50 |                           |  |     |
| 51 |                           |  |     |
| 52 |                           |  |     |
| 53 | Blinding (masking):       | <a href="#">#17b</a>   | n/a |
| 54 | emergency                 | If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial   |     |
| 55 | unblinding                |  |     |
| 56 |                           |  |     |
| 57 |                           |  |     |
| 58 |                           |  |     |
| 59 |                           |  |     |
| 60 |                           |  |     |

1 **Methods: Data**

2 **collection,**

3 **management, and**

4 **analysis**

|   |                                 |                      |  |       |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|-------|
| 5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29 | Data collection plan            | <a href="#">#18a</a> | Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol | 7, 11 |
| 30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39  | Data collection plan: retention | <a href="#">#18b</a> | Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols  | 7, 11 |
| 40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50<br>51  | Data management                 | <a href="#">#19</a>  | Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol  | n/a   |
| 52<br>53<br>54<br>55<br>56<br>57<br>58<br>59<br>60  | Statistics: outcomes            | <a href="#">#20a</a> | Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol   | 9, 11 |

|    |                            |                      |   |       |
|----|----------------------------|----------------------|---|-------|
| 1  | Statistics: additional     | <a href="#">#20b</a> | Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and       | 9, 11 |
| 2  |                            |                      | adjusted analyses)  |       |
| 3  | analyses                   |                      |   |       |
| 4  |                            |                      |   |       |
| 5  |                            |                      |   |       |
| 6  | Statistics: analysis       | <a href="#">#20c</a> | Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non- | 9, 11 |
| 7  |                            |                      | adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any             |       |
| 8  | population and             |                      | statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple    |       |
| 9  | missing data               |                      | imputation)   |       |
| 10 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 11 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 12 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 13 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 14 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 15 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 16 | <b>Methods: Monitoring</b> |                      |   |       |
| 17 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 18 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 19 | Data monitoring:           | <a href="#">#21a</a> | Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC);             | n/a   |
| 20 |                            |                      | summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of   |       |
| 21 | formal committee           |                      | whether it is independent from the sponsor and              |       |
| 22 |                            |                      | competing interests; and reference to where further         |       |
| 23 |                            |                      | details about its charter can be found, if not in the       |       |
| 24 |                            |                      | protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is     |       |
| 25 |                            |                      | not needed  |       |
| 26 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 27 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 28 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 29 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 30 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 31 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 32 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 33 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 34 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 35 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 36 | Data monitoring:           | <a href="#">#21b</a> | Description of any interim analyses and stopping            | n/a   |
| 37 |                            |                      | guidelines, including who will have access to these         |       |
| 38 | interim analysis           |                      | interim results and make the final decision to terminate    |       |
| 39 |                            |                      | the trial   |       |
| 40 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 41 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 42 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 43 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 44 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 45 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 46 | Harms                      | <a href="#">#22</a>  | Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing    | n/a   |
| 47 |                            |                      | solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and     |       |
| 48 |                            |                      | other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial    |       |
| 49 |                            |                      | conduct   |       |
| 50 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 51 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 52 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 53 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 54 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 55 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 56 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 57 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 58 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 59 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 60 |                            |                      |   |       |

|    |                      |                      |  |     |
|----|----------------------|----------------------|--|-----|
| 1  | Auditing             | <a href="#">#23</a>  | Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if      | n/a |
| 2  |                      |                      | any, and whether the process will be independent from        |     |
| 3  |                      |                      | investigators and the sponsor                                |     |
| 4  |                      |                      |  |     |
| 5  |                      |                      |  |     |
| 6  |                      |                      |  |     |
| 7  |                      |                      |  |     |
| 8  |                      |                      |  |     |
| 9  | <b>Ethics and</b>    |                      |  |     |
| 10 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 11 | <b>dissemination</b> |                      |  |     |
| 12 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 13 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 14 | Research ethics      | <a href="#">#24</a>  | Plans for seeking research ethics committee / institutional  | 2   |
| 15 |                      |                      | approval   |     |
| 16 |                      |                      | review board (REC / IRB) approval                            |     |
| 17 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 18 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 19 | Protocol             | <a href="#">#25</a>  | Plans for communicating important protocol modifications     | 2   |
| 20 |                      |                      | (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to |     |
| 21 |                      |                      | relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC / IRBs, trial       |     |
| 22 | amendments           |                      | participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)        |     |
| 23 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 24 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 25 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 26 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 27 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 28 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 29 | Consent or assent    | <a href="#">#26a</a> | Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential    | n/a |
| 30 |                      |                      | trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see    |     |
| 31 |                      |                      | Item 32)   |     |
| 32 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 33 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 34 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 35 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 36 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 37 | Consent or assent:   | <a href="#">#26b</a> | Additional consent provisions for collection and use of      | n/a |
| 38 |                      |                      | participant data and biological specimens in ancillary       |     |
| 39 | ancillary studies    |                      | studies, if applicable                                       |     |
| 40 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 41 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 42 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 43 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 44 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 45 | Confidentiality      | <a href="#">#27</a>  | How personal information about potential and enrolled        | n/a |
| 46 |                      |                      | participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in    |     |
| 47 |                      |                      | order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after   |     |
| 48 |                      |                      | the trial  |     |
| 49 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 50 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 51 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 52 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 53 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 54 | Declaration of       | <a href="#">#28</a>  | Financial and other competing interests for principal        | 11  |
| 55 |                      |                      | investigators for the overall trial and each study site      |     |
| 56 | interests            |                      |  |     |
| 57 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 58 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 59 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 60 |                      |                      |  |     |

|    |                       |                      |  |     |
|----|-----------------------|----------------------|--|-----|
| 1  | Data access           | <a href="#">#29</a>  | Statement of who will have access to the final trial           | 11  |
| 2  |                       |                      | dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that         |     |
| 3  |                       |                      | limit such access for investigators                            |     |
| 4  |                       |                      |  |     |
| 5  |                       |                      |  |     |
| 6  |                       |                      |  |     |
| 7  |                       |                      |  |     |
| 8  | Ancillary and post    | <a href="#">#30</a>  | Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for | n/a |
| 9  | trial care            |                      | compensation to those who suffer harm from trial               |     |
| 10 |                       |                      | participation  |     |
| 11 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 12 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 13 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 14 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 15 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 16 | Dissemination policy: | <a href="#">#31a</a> | Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial       | n/a |
| 17 | trial results         |                      | results to participants, healthcare professionals, the         |     |
| 18 |                       |                      | public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication,        |     |
| 19 |                       |                      | reporting in results databases, or other data sharing          |     |
| 20 |                       |                      | arrangements), including any publication restrictions          |     |
| 21 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 22 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 23 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 24 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 25 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 26 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 27 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 28 | Dissemination policy: | <a href="#">#31b</a> | Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of      | n/a |
| 29 | authorship            |                      | professional writers   |     |
| 30 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 31 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 32 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 33 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 34 | Dissemination policy: | <a href="#">#31c</a> | Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full          | n/a |
| 35 | reproducible          |                      | protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code      |     |
| 36 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 37 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 38 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 39 | research              |                      |  |     |
| 40 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 41 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 42 | <b>Appendices</b>     |                      |  |     |
| 43 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 44 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 45 | Informed consent      | <a href="#">#32</a>  | Model consent form and other related documentation             | n/a |
| 46 | materials             |                      | given to participants and authorised surrogates                |     |
| 47 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 48 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 49 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 50 | Biological specimens  | <a href="#">#33</a>  | Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of    | n/a |
| 51 |                       |                      | biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in      |     |
| 52 |                       |                      | the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if  |     |
| 53 |                       |                      | applicable   |     |
| 54 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 55 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 56 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 57 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 58 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 59 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 60 |                       |                      |  |     |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For peer review only

# BMJ Open

## Urinary Incontinence and Sedentary Behaviour in Nursing-Home residents in Osona, Catalonia: protocol for the OsoNaH project, a multicentre observational study

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal:                      | <i>BMJ Open</i>  |
| Manuscript ID                 | bmjopen-2020-041152.R1   |
| Article Type:                 | Protocol   |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 14-Jan-2021  |
| Complete List of Authors:     | <p>Farrés-Godayol, Pau; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Jerez, Javier; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Minobes-Molina, Eduard; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Yildirim, Meltem; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Goutan-Roura, Ester; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Coll-Planas, Laura; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30); Autonomous University of Barcelona, Fundació Salut i Envel·liment (Foundation on Health and Ageing)</p> <p>Escribà-Salvans, Anna; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Molas Tuneu, Miriam; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Moreno-Martin, Pau; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Rierola-Fochs, Sandra; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Rierola-Colomer, Sergi; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Romero-Mas, Montse; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)</p> <p>Torres-Moreno, Míriam; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and</p> |



|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
|                                 | Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)<br>Naudó-Molist, Jordi; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30)<br>Bezerra de Souza, Dyego; Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya, Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences (M30); Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Department of Collective Health<br>Booth, Jo; Glasgow Caledonian University<br>Skelton, Dawn; Glasgow Caledonian University, School of Health & Life Sciences<br>Giné-Garriga, Maria; Blanquerna Ramon Llull University Faculty of Psychology Education and Sport Sciences; Blanquerna Ramon Llull University Faculty of Health Sciences |
| <b>Primary Subject Heading</b>: | Geriatric medicine  |
| Secondary Subject Heading:      | Pathology, Qualitative research, Sports and exercise medicine, Urology  |
| Keywords:                       | GERIATRIC MEDICINE, Urinary incontinences < UROLOGY, REHABILITATION MEDICINE, Adult pathology < PATHOLOGY   |
|                                 |   |

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1  
2  
3 **Urinary Incontinence and Sedentary Behaviour in Nursing-Home residents in Osona, Catalonia:**  
4 **protocol for the OsoNaH project, a multicentre observational study**  
5  
6

7 Pau Farrés-Godayol<sup>1\*</sup>, Javier Jerez-Roig<sup>1\*</sup>, Eduard Minobes-Molina<sup>1</sup>, Meltem Yildirim<sup>1</sup>, Ester Goutan-  
8 Roura<sup>2</sup>, Laura Coll-Planas<sup>1,3</sup>, Anna Escribà-Salvans<sup>1</sup>, Miriam Molas-Tuneu<sup>1</sup>, Pau Moreno-Martin<sup>1</sup>, Sandra  
9 Rierola-Fochs<sup>1</sup>, Sergi Rierola-Colomer<sup>1</sup>, Montse Romero-Mas<sup>1</sup>, Miriam Torres-Moreno<sup>1</sup>, Jordi Naudó-  
10 Molist<sup>4</sup>, Dyego Leandro Bezerra de Souza<sup>1,5</sup>, Joanne Booth<sup>6</sup>, Dawn A Skelton<sup>6</sup>, Maria Giné-Garriga<sup>7,8</sup>  
11  
12  
13

14 \* Both authors equally contributed to this article.  
15  
16

17 1 Research group on Methodology, Methods, Models and Outcomes of Health and Social Sciences  
18 (M<sub>3</sub>O). Faculty of Health Sciences and Welfare. Centre for Health and Social Care Research (CESS).  
19 University of Vic-Central University of Catalonia (UVic-UCC).  
20  
21  
22

23 2 Research group on Tissue Repair and Regeneration Laboratory (TR2Lab). Faculty of Health Sciences  
24 and Welfare. Centre for Health and Social Care Research (CESS). University of Vic-Central University of  
25 Catalonia (UVic-UCC).  
26  
27

28 3 Fundació Salut i Envel·liment (Foundation on Health and Ageing), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,  
29 Barcelona, Spain  
30  
31

32 4 Research group on Mental Health and Social Innovation (SAMIS). Faculty of Health Sciences and  
33 Welfare. Centre for Health and Social Care Research (CESS). University of Vic-Central University of  
34 Catalonia (UVic-UCC).  
35  
36  
37

38 5 Department of Collective Health, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Rio Grande do  
39 Norte, Brazil.  
40  
41

42 6 School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, United Kingdom.  
43  
44

45 7 Blanquerna Faculty of Psychology, Education and Sport Sciences, Ramon Llull University, Barcelona,  
46 Spain.  
47  
48

49 8 Blanquerna Faculty of Health Sciences, Ramon Llull University, Barcelona, Spain.  
50  
51

52 **Correspondence to:** Dr Eduard Minobes Molina; C/ de la Sagrada Família, 7, 08500 Vic, Barcelona;  
53 [eduard.minobes@uvic.cat](mailto:eduard.minobes@uvic.cat)  
54  
55

56 **Keywords:** Geriatric medicine, Urinary Incontinences, Sedentary behaviour, Nursing home, COVID-19  
57  
58

59 **Word Count:** 4711.  
60

## Abstract

**Introduction.** Several studies have shown that physical activity (PA) levels and sedentary behaviour (SB) are independent risk factors for many health-related issues. However, there is scarce evidence supporting the relationship between SB and urinary incontinence (UI) in community dwelling older adults, and no information on any possible association in institutionalized older adults. Stage I of this project has the main objective of determining the prevalence of UI and its associated factors in nursing home (NHs) residents, as well as analysing the association between UI (and its types) and SB. Stage II aims to investigate the incidence and predictive factors of functional and continence decline, falls, hospitalizations, mortality and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic among NHs residents.

**Methods and analysis.** Stage I is an observational, multicentre, cross-sectional study with mixed methodology that aims to explore the current status of several health-related outcomes in NHs residents of Osona (Barcelona, Spain). The Prevalence Ratio will be used as an association measure and multivariate analysis will be undertaken using Poisson regression with robust variance. Stage II is a 2-year longitudinal study that aims to analyse functional and continence decline, incidence of falls, hospitalizations, mortality and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on these outcomes. A survival analysis using the actuarial method for functional decline and continence, evaluated every 6 months, and the Kaplan-Meier method for falls, hospitalizations and deaths and Cox regression for multivariate analysis will be undertaken.

**Ethics and dissemination.** The study received the following approvals: University of Vic - Central University of Catalonia Ethics and Research Committee (92/2019 and 109/2020), Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Osona Foundation for Health Research and Education (FORES) (code 2020118/PR249). Study results will be disseminated at conferences, meetings and through peer-reviewed journals.

**ClinicalTrials.gov ID:** NCT04297904

## Article Summary

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- The first study to focus on the association between UI and SB in the older institutionalized population and the largest study analysing SB patterns in the older institutionalized population with a gold standard measure (ActivPAL).
- Mixed methods study (quantitative and qualitative approach) considering a wide range of variables to assess health, based on the biopsychosocial model.
- An initial cohort firstly assessed before the pandemic (from January to March 2020) will be followed to analyse the impact of COVID-19 in NHs residents.

- Limitations include: participation of NHs residents or legal guardians in research-based studies, cognitive impairment that may affect information on some independent variables that require the participant response and the potential increase in SB during the COVID-19 pandemic.

## Introduction

Low birth rates and an increased life expectancy are transforming the age pyramid of the European Union (EU); probably the most important change will be the marked transition towards an aged society, a characteristic that is already evident in several EU member states, in 2017, the 65+ population had an increase of 0.3% compared to the previous year, and an increase of 2.4% compared to the previous 10 years, in fact people aged over 80 years old are increasing at a faster rate than any other age segment of the EU population (1). This increase is linked to a growing demand for long-term care, which represents a significant overload on public health resources. One in four older adults will spend a period of their life in a nursing home (NHs), and the need for such care will persist until their death (2). Older adults who live in a NHs are the most frail of our society with high levels of functional limitations and physical dependence (3,4), and one third of them have cognitive impairment (5).

The prevalence of urinary incontinence (UI) in Spain is approximately 10% in women aged between 25 and 64 years old, and over 50% in those over 65 years old (6). In NHs, this proportion is around 50% and is frequently associated with cognitive impairment, physical inactivity and immobility syndrome, among other factors (7). In this context, we can find a type of UI described as "functional" in that it is caused by an inability to move to the toilet independently, due to a physical, communicative or cognitive problem (e.g. dementia)(8). Most older adults mistakenly believe that incontinence is part of the normal aging process and/or is an irresolvable problem (9,10). However, UI is a geriatric syndrome that represents an indicator of frailty and quality of health care, as well as a risk factor for pressure ulcers, falls, fractures and even urinary sepsis or death (11–14).

NHs residents are the least physically active of all older adults, and spend most of their awake time sedentary (15,16). Doing regular physical activity (PA) limits the development and progression of most prevalent chronic diseases (17). However, the time spent in sedentary behaviour (SB) by older adults has increased considerably in the last three decades (18) and SB increases with age (19). SB has been gaining recognition as a risk factor for specific health conditions and reduced mobility, sometimes independent of PA levels (20). A typical day for a resident will consist in a sequence of periods of SB, light intensity PA (LPA) and moderate to vigorous intensity PA (MVPA)(21,22). NHs residents spend an average of 79% of their day sedentary, 20% in LPA and 1% in MVPA (23).

There is a consensus among researchers that low levels of PA and prolonged patterns of SB could be direct risk factors for UI in older adults (24–27). A recent observational study on the association between SB and urinary incontinence in community dwelling older women concluded that urgency urinary incontinence (UUI) was associated with significantly increased average duration of SB bouts. The importance of

1  
2  
3 objective measurement of SB was highlighted and it was suggested that decreasing time in prolonged  
4 sitting may be a target intervention to reduce UUI (28). Researchers conclude that there is a lack of  
5 complementary studies of higher quality on the association between SB and UI (29–33)  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Frailty is one of the most important concerns regarding our aging population as it is a leading contributor  
11 to functional decline and early mortality in older adults (5–7). Evidence grows that this state is linked to  
12 several relevant health outcomes, similarly prevalent in all countries. The last consensus defined frailty as  
13 “a clinical state in which there is an increase in an individual’s vulnerability for developing an increased  
14 dependency and/or mortality when exposed to a stressor”(8).  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 Functional decline is one of the main health-related issues that affect older adults because it limits their  
20 autonomy and leads to dependency (34). In older adults, functional capacity can be defined as the ability  
21 to carry out basic activities of daily living (BADL) (10). The association between functional decline and  
22 urinary incontinence (UI) could be bidirectional, which can lead to a cycle where continence reduction  
23 results in functional decline, and functional decline leads to further decrease in continence (14,18).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 Falls, though preventable, are common among older adults, and the resulting injuries can threaten their  
30 health, independence, and everyday routines. Aging is one of the main risk factors for falls, for this reason,  
31 older adults have a high risk of injuries, increased dependence, disabilities, and institutionalization. All  
32 these outcomes are also risk factors for frailty (19,20). Several studies have shown that the transition from  
33 in-home to institutional care is related to substantially higher mortality rates, as well as reduced physical  
34 and cognitive function (21,29). It is well known that hospital admission can affect the process of usual  
35 aging due to adverse health outcomes after hospitalization, especially in terms of functional decline (35),  
36 mortality (21,22), frailty (23) and cognitive impairment (24).  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine the prevalence of UI and its associated factors, specifically  
44 the association between UI types and SB patterns in older people living in NHs in Osona, a region of  
45 Catalonia, Spain. Also, Stage I of this project aims to analyse the current status of health-related outcomes,  
46 based on the biopsychosocial model of health, and to describe the current interventions to reduce SB and  
47 increase PA, and the control measures to manage UI by the NHs of Osona. In addition to this, it aims to  
48 understand the experience of having UI among residents and the experience of providing healthcare to  
49 these individuals among health professionals, using descriptive phenomenology.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 On the other hand, the SARS-CoV-2, called coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19), has emerged as a worldwide  
57 pandemic (36). This virus has been shown to be particularly deadly for older adults and those with certain  
58 underlying medical conditions (37–40). In relation to deaths from COVID-19 in Spain, 87% of the reported  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 deaths were 70 years or more and 95% presented comorbidity (41). The population living in NHs, generally  
4 with older age and multiple comorbidities, are the most vulnerable to COVID-19 (42). In Catalonian NHs  
5 from 28,418 suspected cases, 11,560 confirmed positive cases and 3,055 deaths were reported until May  
6 2020 (43–45). Due to the vulnerability of NHs themselves to outbreaks of respiratory diseases (46,47) and  
7 the frailty of NHs populations, there is a need to analyse the impact of COVID-19 on NHs residents in terms  
8 of mortality, hospitalisation, as well as other health, social and cognitive-related variables.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

15 Stage II aims to follow-up the included cohort of Stage I and analyse the incidence and predictive factors  
16 for functional decline, frailty, continence decline, falls, hospitalizations and mortality among older people  
17 living in NHs for a 2-year period. The cohort firstly assessed before the first diagnosis of COVID-19 in NHs  
18 will be followed to identify the potential risk and protective factors for mortality due to COVID-19 and the  
19 impact of this disease on functioning and hospitalizations.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Methods and analysis

### Study design

The present study follows the STROBE (STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology) guidelines and consists of two stages (48):

- Stage I. Observational cross-sectional study on the prevalence of UI (and its types) and SB patterns and the possible association between both issues in the older population living in NHs.
- Stage II. Observational 2-year longitudinal (cohort) study on functional and continence status, falls, hospitalizations and mortality (including COVID-19 data) among NHs residents.

### Stage I. Prevalence of UI and its associated factors among NHs residents in Osona (Barcelona, Spain)

#### Design

Cross-sectional study with mixed methodology. The starting month was September 2019, main data collection was conducted between January and March 2020 and, after data analysis, the study is planned to be finalised in May 2021.

#### Setting and location

The present study was conducted in NHs of Osona. According to the Catalonia Government, there are 19 registered NHs: 12 public (or private) and 7 for-profit. The first contact with the NHs was done by email and phone call, to explain the project, resolve any queries and send them the participation documents for the study if they are interested in taking part.

#### Patient and public involvement

There was no patient or public involvement in the design and conduct of the Stage I.

#### Sample size

The calculation of the study sample was based on the preliminary data from the pilot study. Calculating the sample from the difference between variables (presence of IU or not and the mean of the total time in hours of SB), an absolute precision of the 5% and a significance level of the 5%, the sample to estimate the association between IU and SB was 120 subjects. Considering a 30% possible non-response rate, the final sample corresponds to 145 subjects. A simple random sampling was undertaken. The exclusion criteria will be given in a flow chart (49).

#### Eligibility criteria

All NHs residents (male or female) aged 65 years or older who lived in the institution permanently, with or without cognitive impairment were included in the quantitative part of the study. Exclusion criteria were subjects in a coma or palliative care (prognosis of short life), hospitalised and those who refused to participate in the study. For the qualitative part of the study, inclusion criteria for older people were: i)



1  
2  
3 voluntary participation in the study, ii) diagnosed with UI for at least 6 months, iii) able to express  
4 themselves verbally. Inclusion criteria for NHs professionals were: i) voluntary participation in the study  
5 and ii) caring older people with UI for at least 6 months.  
6  
7  
8

### 9 **Study procedures**

10 In the beginning of the project (October-November 2019), the research team was trained, received  
11 standardised operating procedures, and was calibrated to ensure the reliability of the data regarding  
12 anthropometry, handgrip test and Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) with its corresponding  
13 calculation of the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC). After the calibration, a pilot study was conducted  
14 with a minimum of 20 participants in January 2020, with the aim to check if the evaluations and tests were  
15 reliable. Before starting data collection, every NHs director accepted the participation in the project with  
16 a formal consent. After that, the list of residents was obtained, and the individuals selected according to  
17 inclusion/exclusion criteria. Then, the residents or their legal guardians were informed about the project  
18 and those who accepted to participate, signed the informed consent. The assessment procedure started  
19 with the placement of the activPAL<sup>3</sup>™ activity monitor (PAL Technologies Ltd., Glasgow, UK), a reliable  
20 and valid device considered as a gold standard to record and analyse the SB (50–52).  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 The device was worn on the anterior medial part of the right thigh, sealed with a flexible nitrile cover and  
30 adhered to the skin with a hypoallergenic adhesive dressing. The device captured data continuously  
31 during both awake and sleeping time, for 7 consecutive days. Sociodemographic information was  
32 obtained from the NHs registers. Information on the continence status and other conditions were checked  
33 with the residents' caregivers. Cognitive status was assessed in all individuals and a more extended  
34 questionnaire on quality of life, incontinence, lower urinary tract symptoms, depressive and anxiety  
35 symptoms, social network and loneliness was applied to residents with cognitive capacity (53). The  
36 approximate time of application of the physical tests and the questionnaire to the participant was 30-45  
37 minutes. In case of fatigue, the participant was offered the possibility of interrupting or stopping the  
38 assessment whenever he/she wished.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

### 46 **Data collection**

47 Section H of Minimum Data Set (MDS) version 3.0 (54) was used to assess the presence of UI and other  
48 bladder and bowel conditions. When a resident had preserved cognitive capacity to answer  
49 questionnaires, the continence status was checked with the International Consultation on Incontinence  
50 Questionnaire Urinary Incontinence - Short Form (ICIQ-UI SF), validated to Spanish (55). According to the  
51 MDS and the ICIQ UI-SF, the type of UI was determined: stress, urgency, mixed and functional. The number  
52 of absorbents (pads/diapers) used daily were also considered. In addition, information on lower urinary  
53 tract symptoms was collected using the International Prostate Symptoms Score (IPSS) (56). To evaluate  
54 SB, the variables of steps, duration in minutes of SB periods, total time in SB, SB bouts, total time in  
55 standing position and walking in hours and transitions from sitting to standing were taken with the  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 activPAL3™ activity monitor, (PAL Technologies Ltd., Glasgow, UK) for 7 consecutive days. The device  
4 placement was on the anterior and middle of the right thigh, or on the unaffected leg thigh in cases of  
5 stroke.  
6  
7

8 Sociodemographic variables such as age, gender, date of birth, date of institutionalization, number and  
9 type of deliveries (vaginal or caesarean), level of education, marital status, chronic conditions (high blood  
10 pressure, diabetes, cancer, lung disease, stroke, dementia, Parkinson's, osteoporosis, kidney failure,  
11 dyslipidemia, cardiac disease and mental illness), history/current tobacco use and alcohol consumption  
12 urinary tract infection in the last 30 days, bone fracture in the last year, hospitalization in the last year,  
13 medication and normal routine blood analysis from NHs records (biochemical data for Vitamin D,  
14 Albumin, Pre-Albumin and Protein C-Reactive) were recorded. Regarding health-related variables,  
15 delirium, ulcers (any type), functional ability (modified Barthel Index)(57,58), cognitive status (Pfeiffer  
16 Scale)(59), faecal incontinence (according to MDS 3.0), lower tract urinary symptoms (through the  
17 International Prostate Symptoms Score), falls during the last year (number, places and consequences,  
18 from NHs records), physical capacity using the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB)(60), mobility  
19 (Rivermead Mobility Index)(61), frailty (Clinical Frailty Scale)(62) and quality of life using the self-reported  
20 questionnaire EUROQOL-5D (EQ-5D)(63) were assessed. To ensure a possible comparison with other  
21 studies on sarcopenia/frailty, the handgrip strength measured by JAMAR Plus Digital Hand dynamometer  
22 (64) and any unintended weight loss in the last year (more than 4.5 kg or more than 5% of previous weight  
23 in the last year), were recorded. The approximate consumption liquids (water and drinks in millilitres and  
24 types of drinks) were collected over a 24-hour period, completed by the residents themselves if their  
25 cognitive capacity was sufficiently preserved, or by health professionals of the NHs. The total number of  
26 daily use medications were registered, as well as the types of medications, according to the international  
27 *Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical* classification system (ATC)(65). In addition, psychosocial factors were  
28 considered in all residents with sufficient cognitive capacity to answer questionnaires: number of monthly  
29 visits from friends/family, according to the caregivers, as well as the Yesavage geriatric depression scale  
30 (5-GDS) (66) to assess depressive symptoms, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) for anxiety  
31 (67), social networks through the Lubben social network scale (68) and loneliness through the 6-item De  
32 Jong- Gierveld Loneliness Scale (69,70).  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 Anthropometric variables included weight (kg), height (m), body mass index (BMI), arm circumference  
50 (cm), waist circumference (cm), hip circumference (cm) and calf circumference (cm). These measurements  
51 were obtained using a Seca 213 measuring device (Seca Medizinische Messsysteme und Waagen,  
52 Hamburg, Deutschland) and a measuring tape. Measures related to body composition were reported as  
53 a percentage (%) of body fat, % of fat-free mass and % of body water, using a Tanita TBF-300  
54 bioimpedance device (Tanita Institute., Tokyo, Japan) (71) Finally, the nutritional status was evaluated by  
55 the Mini Nutritional Assessment Test (MNA)(72), considered as a gold standard method for evaluating  
56 nutritional status in old people.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In the qualitative part of the study, descriptive phenomenology will be used, as it is one of the leading  
4 methodologies used in social sciences and healthcare research, in order to understand the lived  
5 experiences of individuals (73). Therefore, to understand the experience of having UI among residents  
6 and explore health professionals' experience of providing health services to residents with UI, descriptive  
7 phenomenology is planned to be considered as the methodological approach of the qualitative part. We  
8 aimed for the participants to be heterogeneous in terms of their descriptive characteristics (e.g. age,  
9 gender, duration and level of incontinence among residents; gender and years of experience with  
10 residents with UI among health professionals).

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 During the initial plan, two semi-structured interview guides will be used, one with residents and one with  
19 health professionals. The guides were created by the researchers with two general research questions in  
20 mind: (a) What is your experience of having UI and what effects does it have on everyday life? (b) How is  
21 the experience of providing healthcare to residents with UI and what are the difficulties experienced in  
22 this aspect? Individual interviews were considered as the data collection method to use with residents  
23 due to the delicate character of the experienced problem, meanwhile, with health professionals, a focus  
24 group was considered as an ideal data collection method and facilitates remembering forgotten  
25 experiences. In both interviews, the data collection process will be terminated after data saturation is  
26 reached, in other words, when no new topic arises during the interviews (74). As recommended by  
27 Sandelowski (1995) (75) the sample size must be large enough to allow the unfolding of a new and richly  
28 textured understanding of the studied phenomenon, but small enough to be able to do a deep and case-  
29 oriented analysis of the qualitative data. In the qualitative analysis of the obtained data, Colaizzi's  
30 phenomenological data analysis method will be considered. This method was largely influenced by  
31 Husserl's descriptive phenomenological approach and will allow the researchers to discover the  
32 fundamental structures of the phenomena which is being investigated (76).

33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41 The feasibility of the qualitative dimension was adversely affected by the physical restrictions applied in  
42 NHs due to the COVID-19 pandemic as face-to-face interviews with residents, and focus groups with  
43 health professionals were considered unsafe for both participant groups due to increased risk of  
44 transmission. For this reason, online video conferencing was planned to be used during the collection of  
45 the qualitative data. However, it is foreseen that conducting individual interviews via video conferencing  
46 with residents will decrease both the applicability of the interview and the quality of the data obtained  
47 due to their unfamiliarity with this virtual method and the possible auditory and/or visual limitations that  
48 they may have. Thus, it was decided to exclude the dimension of UI experiences among residents and only  
49 have individual interviews with healthcare professionals via video conferencing instead of creating online  
50 focus groups, which will be relatively challenging to manage virtually.

### Statistical Analysis

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 Firstly, descriptive analysis will be undertaken indicating absolute and relative frequencies for categorical  
6 variables and mean and standard deviation for quantitative variables. Before doing the bivariate analysis,  
7 a sub-analysis of the minimum number of days with the ActivPAL that are necessary to have a reliable  
8 data record on SB will be performed, following the PA procedure performed by Reid et al. (2013) (77)  
9  
10 Subsequently, the bivariate analysis will be applied through the Chi-square test (or Fisher's test) and the  
11 linear Chi-square test in case of dichotomous or ordinal variables, as well as the Student t-test for  
12 quantitative variables. As an association measure, the Prevalence Ratio will be used, with a confidence  
13 level of 95%. The multivariate analysis will be undertaken through the Poisson regression with robust  
14 variance.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Stage II. Incidence and predictor factors of functional and continence decline, falls, hospitalisations,**  
4 **mortality among older people in NHs. A two-year cohort study.**  
5  
6  
7

8 **Design**

9 Stage II of the OsoNaH project is a longitudinal prospective 2-year study and follows the STROBE  
10 (STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology) guidelines (48). The starting  
11 month was January 2020 and, following the data analysis, the study is planned to be finalized in December  
12 2022. Data will be collected every 6 months over 2 years focussing on functional decline, frailty,  
13 continence status, hospitalizations, mortality, diagnosis and suspected cases of COVID-19 and changes in  
14 the medication of their residents in the NHs, already assessed at the baseline from January to March 2020.  
15 The information is provided by the NHs staff and the NHs records according to the COVID-19 health  
16 measures, by phone call or email avoiding direct contact with the NHs staff.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 **Setting**

24 NHs and residents participating in Stage I will be followed up over the next two years. Every 6 months  
25 through interviews with the professionals of the institutions will be asked for information on functional  
26 decline, frailty, continence status, hospitalizations, mortality, diagnosis and suspected cases of COVID-19,  
27 COVID-19 containment measures within NHs and changes in the medication of their residents. Data  
28 related to falls will be collected through a continuous prospective register in every institution.  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 **Patient and public involvement**

34 There was no patient or public involvement in the design and conduct of the Stage II.  
35  
36  
37

38 **Sample size**

39 According to a 2-year longitudinal study conducted by Jerez-Roig *et al.* (2017)(4) in institutionalised older  
40 people, an initial sample of 280 people is powered to detect prognostic factors of functional decline.  
41  
42  
43

44 **Eligibility criteria**

45 NHs residents (male or female) aged 65 years or older who live in the institution permanently will be  
46 included. Subjects in coma or palliative care (prognosis of short life) will be excluded. For the study of  
47 functional decline, residents with limitations in all basic activities of daily living will be excluded from the  
48 study. For the study of continence decline, the participants who have a urinary catheter fitted, or ostomy,  
49 as well as those with total UI defined by Section H of Minimum Data Set (MDS) version 3.0 (54) at baseline  
50 will be excluded. For analysing the incidence of falls, those subjects who do not walk independently (with  
51 or without aids) will be excluded.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57

58 **Study procedures**  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 From the baseline of January 2020 to March 2020, every six months the data will be collected, until  
4 accomplishing the 2-year follow-up, in March 2022. The data is provided by the NHs staff and the NHs  
5 who previously agreed to participate in the study signing the informed consent to access the records and  
6 the variables of mortality and causes, hospitalizations and causes, falls, functional capacity evaluated by  
7 means of the Barthel scale, frailty evaluated by the Clinical Frailty Scale, COVID-19 diagnostic by test (PCR  
8 or serological), suspected case of COVID-19 and modifications in the medication in the last 6 months. Due  
9 to COVID-19 restrictions, interviews are conducted by phone call or email with the NHs staff every six  
10 months.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

### 18 **Data collection**

19  
20 Functional status will be assessed by the modified (5-point Likert scale) Barthel's index. Continence status  
21 will be assessed using the section H of Minimum Data Set (MDS) 3.0. Falls will be registered continuously  
22 taking into account the date, location and consequences of falls. Dates and causes of hospitalizations and  
23 mortality (dates and causes) will be also registered retrospectively during the 6-month assessments. For  
24 the COVID-19-related variables the following information will be collected: date and results of diagnosis  
25 tests of COVID-19 (PCR or serological antibody test), suspected case (symptoms of cough, fever and/or  
26 breathing difficulties during the previous 6 months) and room lockdown (duration in days). The levels of  
27 frailty of the resident will be assessed with the Clinical Frailty Scale (62). Finally, any new comorbidity  
28 diagnosis as well as any change in the regular medication (registered according to ATC classification (65))  
29 in the last 6 months will be assessed.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38 **Statistical Analysis**

39 The actuarial method will be utilized to analyse functional and continence decline throughout the 5-wave  
40 cohort. The Kaplan-Meier method will be used for falls, hospitalizations and deaths. Log-rank test will be  
41 applied for bivariate analysis. Those variables with  $p < 0.25$  and variables "age" and "sex" will be considered  
42 susceptible for testing in the multiple model. Multivariate analysis will be performed using Cox regression.  
43 Forward selection will be utilized to introduce covariables in the model, firstly introducing those variables  
44 with higher hazard ratio (HR) values and observing the behaviour and adjustment of the model (stepwise  
45 forward). Risk measurements will be presented for HR, with the respective confidence intervals (CI) and  
46 p values. Finally, the proportionality test will be carried out for the final model, followed by Schoenfeld  
47 residual analysis to verify validity of Cox's semiparametric model. The ROC curve will be analysed to  
48 determine the predictive ability of the created functionality decline index. The inferential statistical  
49 analysis will be performed at a 95% confidence level.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### Ethics and dissemination

The study received the following approvals: University of Vic - Central University of Catalonia (UVic-UCC) Ethics and Research Committee (92/2019 and 109/2020), Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Osona Foundation for health research and education (FORES) (code 2020118/PR249). On December 2019 the UVic-UCC's Ethics and Research Committee approved an amendment to the project that consisted of adding questionnaires on physical activity, loneliness, social network and number of visits to residents. Later, modifications due to Covid-19 restrictions were evaluated and approved by the same Ethics and Research Committee on November 2020 with registry number 009.

Every NHs director accepted the participation in the project with a formal consent. Then, NHs staff were informed about the project and the ones who accepted to participate signed the informed consent. Finally, the selected residents or their legal guardians were informed about the project and those who accepted to participate signed the informed consent. Participants also had been informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time without giving any reasons.

Study results will be disseminated at conferences, meetings and through peer-reviewed journals. The researchers may also communicate the results to NHs, NHs staff, residents and resident's families.

**Author Contributions:** PFG, JJ, MGG and EMM were involved in designing of the study and the writing of the manuscript. AES, MM, PMM, SRC, SRF and MY were involved in the acquisition of data. DB participated in the design and the sample size calculation. EGR, LCP, MRM, MTM, JNM, DB, JB, DS and the rest of authors reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

**Funding statement:** This work was supported by Hestia foundation grant number BI-CHAISS-2019/003.

**Competing interests statement:** None.

**Data availability statement:** Data are available upon reasonable request. The dataset from this study will be made available on request to [eduard.minobes@uvic.cat](mailto:eduard.minobes@uvic.cat).

### References

1. People in the EU - statistics on an ageing society - Statistics Explained [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 7]. Available from: [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=People\\_in\\_the\\_EU\\_-\\_statistics\\_on\\_an\\_ageing\\_society](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=People_in_the_EU_-_statistics_on_an_ageing_society)
2. Harwood RH. Do we still need care homes? *Age Ageing*. 2004 Nov;33(6):529–30.
3. Gordon AL, Franklin M, Bradshaw L, Logan P, Elliott R, Gladman JRF. Health status of UK care home residents: a cohort study. *Age Ageing*. 2014 Jan;43(1):97–103.

- 1
  - 2
  - 3
  - 4
  - 5
  - 6
  - 7
  - 8
  - 9
  - 10
  - 11
  - 12
  - 13
  - 14
  - 15
  - 16
  - 17
  - 18
  - 19
  - 20
  - 21
  - 22
  - 23
  - 24
  - 25
  - 26
  - 27
  - 28
  - 29
  - 30
  - 31
  - 32
  - 33
  - 34
  - 35
  - 36
  - 37
  - 38
  - 39
  - 40
  - 41
  - 42
  - 43
  - 44
  - 45
  - 46
  - 47
  - 48
  - 49
  - 50
  - 51
  - 52
  - 53
  - 54
  - 55
  - 56
  - 57
  - 58
  - 59
  - 60
4. Jerez-Roig J, De Medeiros JF, Do Nascimento Moreira Fidélis K, De Lima Filho BF, De Oliveira NPD, Paes De Andrade FLJ, et al. Activity Limitations in Brazilian Institutionalized Older Adults. *J Geriatr Phys Ther.* 2017;40(4):214–22.
  5. Vermeiren S, Vella-Azzopardi R, Beckwée D, Habbig AK, Scafoglieri A, Jansen B, et al. Frailty and the Prediction of Negative Health Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2016;17(12):1163.e1-1163.e17.
  6. Hoogendijk EO, Muntinga ME, van Leeuwen KM, van der Horst HE, Deeg DJH, Frijters DHM, et al. Self-perceived met and unmet care needs of frail older adults in primary care. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2014;58(1):37–42.
  7. Dent E, Morley JE, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Woodhouse L, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Fried LP, et al. Physical Frailty: ICFSR International Clinical Practice Guidelines for Identification and Management. *J Nutr Health Aging.* 2019 Nov 3;23(9):771–87.
  8. Morley JE, Vellas B, Abellan van Kan G, Anker SD, Bauer JM, Bernabei R, et al. Frailty consensus: A call to action. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2013;14(6):392–7.
  9. Verbrugge LM, Jette AM. The disablement process. *Soc Sci Med.* 1994;38(1):1–14.
  10. Macêdo AMLL, Cerchiari EAN, Alvarenga MRM, Faccenda O, De Oliveira MAC. Avaliação funcional de idosos com déficit cognitivo. *ACTA Paul Enferm.* 2012;25(3):358–63.
  11. Jerez-Roig J, Santos MM, Souza DLB, Amaral FLJS, Lima KC. Prevalence of urinary incontinence and associated factors in nursing home residents. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 2016 Jan;35(1):102–7.
  12. Jerez-Roig J, Moreira FSM, da Câmara SMA, Ferreira LM de BM, Lima KC. Predicting continence decline in institutionalized older people: A longitudinal analysis. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 2019 Mar 14;38(3):958–67.
  13. Jerez-Roig J, Santos MM, Souza DLB, Amaral FLJS, Lima KC. Prevalence of urinary incontinence and associated factors in nursing home residents. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 2016;35(1).
  14. Agulló EM, Cerdá JLR, Pérez LG, Backhaus MR, Oliva FD, Rebollo P, et al. Prevalencia de incontinencia urinaria y vejiga hiperactiva en la población española: Resultados del estudio EPICC. *Actas Urológicas Españolas.* 2009;33(2):159–66.
  15. Sackley, C. M.; Levin, S.; Cardoso, K.; Hoppitt TJ. Observations of activity levels and social interaction in a residential care setting. *Int J Ther Rehabil.* 2006;13:370–3.
  16. Marmeleira J, Ferreira S, Raimundo A. Physical activity and physical fitness of nursing home residents with cognitive impairment: A pilot study. *Exp Gerontol.* 2017;100(September):63–9.
  17. Boyington JE, Howard DL, Carter-Edwards L, Gooden KM, Erdem N, Jallah Y, et al. Differences in



- 1  
2  
3 resident characteristics and prevalence of urinary incontinence in nursing homes in the  
4 southeastern United States. *Nurs Res.* 2007;56(2):97–107.  
5  
6  
7 18. Coll-Planas L, Denkinger MD, Nikolaus T. Relationship of urinary incontinence and late-life  
8 disability: implications for clinical work and research in geriatrics. *Z Gerontol Geriatr.*  
9 2008;41(4):283–90.  
10  
11  
12 19. Pellicer B, Juárez-Vela R, Sevilla D, Castan L, Abadía B, Arbués E. Prevalence and profile of the  
13 elderly home care valid suffering in a private residence falls. *Rev Enferm.* 2013 Dec 1;36:8–16.  
14  
15  
16 20. Siqueira FV, Facchini LA, Silveira DS da, Piccini RX, Tomasi E, Thumé E, et al. Prevalence of falls in  
17 elderly in Brazil: a . *Cad Saude Publica.* 2011;27(9):1819–26.  
18  
19  
20 21. Ponzetto M, Maero B, Maina P, Rosato R, Ciccone G, Merletti F, et al. Risk Factors for Early and  
21 Late Mortality in Hospitalized Older Patients: The Continuing Importance of Functional Status.  
22 *Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2003;58(11):M1049–54.  
23  
24  
25 22. Walter LC. Development and Validation of a Prognostic Index for 1-Year Mortality in Older  
26 Adults After Hospitalization. *JAMA.* 2001 Jun 20;285(23):2987.  
27  
28  
29 23. Feng L, Nyunt MSZ, Gao Q, Feng L, Lee TS, Tsoi T, et al. Physical frailty, cognitive impairment, and  
30 the risk of neurocognitive disorder in the Singapore longitudinal ageing studies. *Journals*  
31 *Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2017;72(3):369–75.  
32  
33  
34 24. Feng L, Zin Nyunt MS, Gao Q, Feng L, Yap KB, Ng TP. Cognitive Frailty and Adverse Health  
35 Outcomes: Findings From the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Studies (SLAS). *J Am Med Dir Assoc.*  
36 2017;18(3):252–8.  
37  
38  
39 25. World Health Organization. Global Recommendations On Physical Activity for Health. 2010. 58 p.  
40  
41  
42 26. Van der Ploeg HP, Venugopal K, Chau JY, van Poppel MNM, Breedveld K, Merom D, et al. Non-  
43 occupational sedentary behaviors: population changes in The Netherlands, 1975-2005. *Am J*  
44 *Prev Med.* 2013 Apr;44(4):382–7.  
45  
46  
47 27. Bellettiere J, Carlson JA, Rosenberg D, Singhania A, Natarajan L, Berardi V, et al. Gender and Age  
48 Differences in Hourly and Daily Patterns of Sedentary Time in Older Adults Living in Retirement  
49 Communities. Zanetta DMT, editor. *PLoS One.* 2015 Aug;10(8):e0136161.  
50  
51  
52 28. Jerez-Roig J, Booth J, Skelton DA, Giné-Garriga M, Chastin SFM, Hagen S. Is urinary incontinence  
53 associated with sedentary behaviour in older women? Analysis of data from the National Health  
54 and Nutrition Examination Survey. *PLoS One.* 2020;15(2):e0227195.  
55  
56  
57 29. Aneshensel CS, Pearlin LI, Schuler RH. Mortality Among People With Dementia. *J Gerontol Soc*  
58 *Sci.* 2000;55(3):152–62.  
59  
60

- 1
- 2
- 3 30. H. Lee A, Hirayama F. Physical Activity and Urinary Incontinence in Older Adults: A Community-
- 4 Based Study. *Curr Aging Sci.* 2012;5(1):35–40.
- 5
- 6
- 7 31. Leirós-Rodríguez R, Romo-Pérez V, García-Soidán JL. Prevalencia de la incontinencia urinaria y su
- 8 relación con el sedentarismo en España. *Actas Urol Esp.* 2017;41(10):624–30.
- 9
- 10
- 11 32. Stenzelius K, Molander U, Odeberg J, Hammarström M, Franzen K, Midlöv P, et al. The effect of
- 12 conservative treatment of urinary incontinence among older and frail older people: A systematic
- 13 review. *Age Ageing.* 2015;44(5):736–44.
- 14
- 15
- 16 33. Steenstrup B, Le Rumeur E, Moreau S, Cornu JN. Sedentary lifestyle and urinary incontinence in
- 17 women: A literature review. *Prog en Urol.* 2018;28(17):973–9.
- 18
- 19
- 20 34. Suskind AM, Cawthon PM, Nakagawa S, Subak LL, Reinders I, Satterfield S, et al. Urinary
- 21 Incontinence in Older Women: The Role of Body Composition and Muscle Strength: From the
- 22 Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2017 Jan;65(1):42–50.
- 23
- 24
- 25 35. De RT, Jerez-roig J, Maria L, Macedo DB, Lima KC. Functional decline in nursing home residents :  
26 A prognostic study Functional decline in nursing home residents : A prognostic study.  
27 2017;(May).
- 28
- 29
- 30 36. WHO Director-General’s opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020.
- 31
- 32 37. Nikolich-Zugich J, Knox KS, Rios CT, Natt B, Bhattacharya D, Fain MJ. SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19
- 33 in older adults: what we may expect regarding pathogenesis, immune responses, and outcomes.  
34 *GeroScience.* 2020;19.
- 35
- 36
- 37 38. Shahid Z, Kalayanamitra R, McClafferty B, Kepko D, Ramgobin D, Patel R, et al. COVID-19 And
- 38 Older Adults: What We Know. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2020;1(718).
- 39
- 40
- 41 39. Fu L, Wang B, Yuan T, Chen X, Ao Y, Fitzpatrick T, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus
- 42 disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect.* 2020 Apr;
- 43
- 44
- 45 40. Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-Fatality Rate and Characteristics of Patients Dying in
- 46 Relation to COVID-19 in Italy. *JAMA - J Am Med Assoc.* 2020;2019:2019–20.
- 47
- 48
- 49 41. Instituto de Salud Carlos III y Centro Nacional de Epidemiología. Informe sobre la situación de
- 50 COVID-19 en España Contenido [Internet]. 2020. Available from:  
51 [https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTran](https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTransmisibles/Documents/INFORMES/Informes COVID-19/Informe nº 29. Situación de COVID-19 en España a 07 de mayo de 2020.pdf)  
52 [smisibles/Documents/INFORMES/Informes COVID-19/Informe nº 29. Situación de COVID-19 en](https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTransmisibles/Documents/INFORMES/Informes COVID-19/Informe nº 29. Situación de COVID-19 en España a 07 de mayo de 2020.pdf)  
53 [España a 07 de mayo de 2020.pdf](https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTransmisibles/Documents/INFORMES/Informes COVID-19/Informe nº 29. Situación de COVID-19 en España a 07 de mayo de 2020.pdf)  
54
- 55
- 56
- 57 42. D’Adamo H, Yoshikawa T, Ouslander JG. Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Geriatrics and Long-term
- 58 Care: The ABCDs of COVID-19. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2020;1–6.
- 59
- 60

- 1  
2  
3 43. Oficina de Comunicació del Departament de Salut de la Generalitat de Catalunya. Dades  
4 territorials d'impacte de Covid -19 per regió sanitària de Catalunya – Dades 3 de MAIG de 2020.  
5 2020;  
6  
7  
8 44. Dades actualitzades SARS-CoV-2. Agència de Qualitat i Avaluació Sanitàries de Catalunya  
9 (AQuAS) [Internet]. [cited 2020 May 5]. Available from:  
10 <http://aquas.gencat.cat/ca/actualitat/ultimes-dades-coronavirus/>  
11  
12  
13 45. Mapa de mortalitat per comarques . Agència de Qualitat i Avaluació Sanitàries de Catalunya  
14 (AQuAS) [Internet]. [cited 2020 May 5]. Available from:  
15 <http://aquas.gencat.cat/ca/actualitat/ultimes-dades-coronavirus/mapa-mortalitat-comarques/>  
16  
17  
18 46. Lansbury LE, Brown CS, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS. Influenza in long-term care facilities. Vol. 11,  
19 Influenza and other Respiratory Viruses. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2017. p. 356–66.  
20  
21  
22 47. Hand J, Rose EB, Salinas A, Lu X, Sakthivel SK, Schneider E, et al. Severe respiratory illness  
23 outbreak associated with human coronavirus NL63 in a long-term care facility. Vol. 24, Emerging  
24 Infectious Diseases. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); 2018. p. 1964–6.  
25  
26  
27 48. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The  
28 Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)  
29 statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Journal of Clinical  
30 Epidemiology. 2008 Apr;61(4):344–9.  
31  
32  
33 49. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S, Organization WH. Sample size determination in health studies : a  
34 practical manual / S. K. Lwanga and S. Lemeshow [Internet]. Geneva PP - Geneva: World Health  
35 Organization; Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/40062>  
36  
37  
38 50. Grant PM, Ryan CG, Tigbe WW, Granat MH. The validation of a novel activity monitor in the  
39 measurement of posture and motion during everyday activities. Br J Sports Med.  
40 2006;40(12):992–7.  
41  
42  
43 51. Kozey-Keadle S, LIBERTINE A, LYDEN K, STAUDENMAYER J, FREEDSON PS. Validation of Wearable  
44 Monitors for Assessing Sedentary Behavior. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2011 Aug;43(8):1561–7.  
45  
46  
47 52. Sellers C, Dall P, Grant M, Stansfield B. Validity and reliability of the activPAL3 for measuring  
48 posture and stepping in adults and young people. Gait Posture. 2016;43:42–7.  
49  
50  
51 53. Martínez de la Iglesia J, Duenas Herrero R, Onis Vilches MC, Aguado Taberne C, Albert  
52 Colomer C, Luque Luque R. Spanish language adaptation and validation of the Pfeiffer's  
53 questionnaire (SPMSQ) to detect cognitive deterioration in people over 65 years of age.  
54 Medicina clinica. 2001;117(4):129–34.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 54. Klusch L. The MDS 3.0 and its impact on bladder and bowel care. *Provider*. 2012;38(6):33, 35, 37  
4 passim.
- 5  
6  
7 55. Espuña Pons M, Rebollo Alvarez P, Puig Clota M. [Validation of the Spanish version of the  
8 International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Short Form. A questionnaire for  
9 assessing the urinary incontinence]. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2004 Mar;122(8):288–92.
- 10  
11  
12 56. Badía X, García-Losa M, Dal-Ré R. Ten-language translation and harmonization of the  
13 International Prostate Symptom Score: developing a methodology for multinational clinical  
14 trials. *Eur Urol*. 1997;31(2):129–40.
- 15  
16  
17 57. Shah S, Vanclay F, Cooper B. Improving the sensitivity of the Barthel Index for stroke  
18 rehabilitation. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1989;42(8):703–9.
- 19  
20  
21 58. Baztán JJ. Índice de Barthel : Instrumento válido para la valoración funcional de pacientes con  
22 enfermedad cerebrovascular. 2016;(March).
- 23  
24  
25 59. Martínez de la Iglesia J, Duenas Herrero R, Onis Vilches MC, Aguado Taberne C, Albert Colomer  
26 C, Luque Luque R. Spanish language adaptation and validation of the Pfeiffer's questionnaire  
27 (SPMSQ) to detect cognitive deterioration in people over 65 years of age. *Med Clin (Barc)*.  
28 2001;117(4):129–34.
- 29  
30  
31 60. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Glynn RJ, Berkman LF, Blazer DG, et al. A Short Physical  
32 Performance Battery Assessing Lower Extremity Function: Association With Self-Reported  
33 Disability and Prediction of Mortality and Nursing Home Admission Energetic cost of walking in  
34 older adults View project IOM committee on cognitive agi. *Artic J Gerontol*. 1994;49(2):85–94.
- 35  
36  
37 61. Collen FM, Wade DT, Robb GF, Bradshaw CM. The rivermead mobility index: A further  
38 development of the rivermead motor assessment. *Disabil Rehabil*. 1991;13(2):50–4.
- 39  
40  
41 62. Rockwood K. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. *Can Med Assoc J*.  
42 2005 Aug 30;173(5):489–95.
- 43  
44  
45 63. Janssen MF, Pickard AS, Golicki D, Gudex C, Niewada M, Scalone L, et al. Measurement  
46 properties of the EQ-5D-5L compared to the EQ-5D-3L across eight patient groups: A multi-  
47 country study. *Qual Life Res*. 2013;22(7):1717–27.
- 48  
49  
50 64. Sipers WMWH, Verdijk LB, Sipers SJE, Schols JMGA, van Loon LJC. The Martin  
51 Vigorimeter Represents a Reliable and More Practical Tool Than the Jamar  
52 Dynamometer to Assess Handgrip Strength in the Geriatric Patient. *Journal of the*  
53 *American Medical Directors Association*. 2016 May 1;17(5):466.e1-466.e7.
- 54  
55  
56 65. WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. Guidelines for ATC classification and  
57 DDD assignment 2013. 16th ed. Oslo; 2012. 284 p.

- 1  
2  
3 66. Martínez de la Iglesia J, Onís Vilches MC, Dueñas Herrero R, Albert Colomer C, Aguado Taberné  
4 C, Luque Luque R. Versión española del cuestionario de Yesavage abreviado (GDS) para el  
5 despistaje de depresión en mayores de 65 años: adaptación y validación. *Medifam*. 2002  
6 Dec;12(10):1206–12.  
7  
8  
9  
10 67. Herrero MJ, Blanch J, Peri JM, De Pablo J, Pintor L, Bulbena A. A validation study of the hospital  
11 anxiety and depression scale (HADS) in a Spanish population. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*.  
12 2003;25(4):277–83.  
13  
14  
15 68. Lubben J, Blozik E, Gillmann G, Iliffe S, Von Kruse WR, Beck JC, et al. Performance of an  
16 abbreviated version of the lubben social network scale among three European community-  
17 dwelling older adult populations. *Gerontologist*. 2006;46(4):503–13.  
18  
19  
20 69. Buz J, Adánez GP. Análisis de la escala de soledad de de jong gierveld mediante el modelo de  
21 rasch. *Univ Psychol*. 2013;12(3):971–81.  
22  
23  
24 70. Gierveld DJJ, Van Tilburg T. A 6-item scale for overall, emotional, and social loneliness:  
25 Confirmatory tests on survey data. *Res Aging*. 2006;28(5):582–98.  
26  
27  
28 71. Cridlig J, Alquist M, Kessler M, Nadi M. Formulation of a dry weight bioimpedance  
29 index in hemodialysis patients. *International Journal of Artificial Organs*. 2011  
30 Nov;34(11):1075–84.  
31  
32  
33 72. Guigoz, Y.; Vellas, B. J.; Garry PJ. Mini Nutritional Assessment: a practical tool for grading the  
34 nutritional state of elderly patients. *Facts Reserch Gerontol*. 1994;4(2):15–59.  
35  
36  
37 73. Christensen M, Welch A, Barr J. Husserlian Descriptive Phenomenology: A review of  
38 intentionality, reduction and the natural attitude. *J Nurs Educ Pract*. 2017;7(8):113.  
39  
40  
41 74. Holloway I WS. *Qualitative Research in Nursing and Healthcare*. 3rd ed. Wiley-Blackwell  
42 Publishing, United Kingdom. 2010. 146–147 p.  
43  
44  
45 75. Sandelowski M. Sample size in qualitative research. *Res Nurs Health*. 1995;18(2):179–83.  
46  
47  
48 76. Wirihana L, Welch A, Williamson M, Christensen M, Bakon S, Craft J. Using Colaizzi's method of  
49 data analysis to explore the experiences of nurse academics teaching on satellite campuses.  
50 *Nurse Res*. 2018;25(4):30–4.  
51  
52  
53 77. Reid N, Eakin E, Henwood T, Keogh JWL, Senior HE, Gardiner PA, et al. Objectively measured  
54 activity patterns among adults in residential aged care. *International Journal of Environmental  
55 Research and Public Health*. 2013 Dec 4;10(12):6783–98.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# Reporting checklist for protocol of a clinical trial.

Based on the SPIRIT guidelines.

|   |                     | Reporting Item   | Page Number |
|---|---------------------|--|-------------|
| <b>Administrative information</b>           |                     |  |             |
| Title                                       | <a href="#">#1</a>  | Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym | 1           |
| Trial registration                          | <a href="#">#2a</a> | Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry                         | 2           |
| Trial registration: data set                | <a href="#">#2b</a> | All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set                                     | n/a         |
| Protocol version                            | <a href="#">#3</a>  | Date and version identifier  | n/a         |
| Funding                                     | <a href="#">#4</a>  | Sources and types of financial, material, and other support  | 11          |
| Roles and responsibilities: contributorship | <a href="#">#5a</a> | Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors  | 1, 11       |

|    |                      |                     |  |     |
|----|----------------------|---------------------|--|-----|
| 1  | Roles and            | <a href="#">#5b</a> | Name and contact information for the trial sponsor       | n/a |
| 2  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 3  | responsibilities:    |                     |  |     |
| 4  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 5  | sponsor contact      |                     |  |     |
| 6  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 7  | information          |                     |  |     |
| 8  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 9  |                      |                     |  |     |
| 10 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 11 | Roles and            | <a href="#">#5c</a> | Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study      | n/a |
| 12 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 13 | responsibilities:    |                     | design; collection, management, analysis, and            |     |
| 14 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 15 | sponsor and funder   |                     | interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the   |     |
| 16 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 17 |                      |                     | decision to submit the report for publication, including |     |
| 18 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 19 |                      |                     | whether they will have ultimate authority over any of    |     |
| 20 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 21 |                      |                     | these activities   |     |
| 22 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 23 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 24 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 25 | Roles and            | <a href="#">#5d</a> | Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the          | n/a |
| 26 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 27 | responsibilities:    |                     | coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint        |     |
| 28 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 29 | committees           |                     | adjudication committee, data management team, and        |     |
| 30 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 31 |                      |                     | other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if     |     |
| 32 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 33 |                      |                     | applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)  |     |
| 34 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 35 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 36 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 37 | <b>Introduction</b>  |                     |  |     |
| 38 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 39 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 40 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 41 | Background and       | <a href="#">#6a</a> | Description of research question and justification for   | 3   |
| 42 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 43 | rationale            |                     | undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant     |     |
| 44 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 45 |                      |                     | studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits   |     |
| 46 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 47 |                      |                     | and harms for each intervention                          |     |
| 48 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 49 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 50 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 51 | Background and       | <a href="#">#6b</a> | Explanation for choice of comparators                    | n/a |
| 52 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 53 | rationale: choice of |                     |  |     |
| 54 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 55 | comparators          |                     |  |     |
| 56 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 57 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 58 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 59 |                      |                     |  |     |
| 60 |                      |                     |  |     |

|    |                           |                      |  |       |
|----|---------------------------|----------------------|--|-------|
| 1  | Objectives                | <a href="#">#7</a>   | Specific objectives or hypotheses                            | 4     |
| 2  |                           |                      |  |       |
| 3  |                           |                      |  |       |
| 4  | Trial design              | <a href="#">#8</a>   | Description of trial design including type of trial (eg,     | 4,5   |
| 5  |                           |                      | parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group),         |       |
| 6  |                           |                      | allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority,            |       |
| 7  |                           |                      | equivalence, non-inferiority, exploratory)                   |       |
| 8  |                           |                      |  |       |
| 9  |                           |                      |  |       |
| 10 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 11 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 12 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 13 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 14 | <b>Methods:</b>           |                      |  |       |
| 15 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 16 | <b>Participants,</b>      |                      |  |       |
| 17 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 18 | <b>interventions, and</b> |                      |  |       |
| 19 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 20 | <b>outcomes</b>           |                      |  |       |
| 21 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 22 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 23 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 24 | Study setting             | <a href="#">#9</a>   | Description of study settings (eg, community clinic,         | 6, 10 |
| 25 |                           |                      | academic hospital) and list of countries where data will be  |       |
| 26 |                           |                      | collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be     |       |
| 27 |                           |                      | obtained   |       |
| 28 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 29 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 30 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 31 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 32 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 33 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 34 | Eligibility criteria      | <a href="#">#10</a>  | Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If        | 6,10  |
| 35 |                           |                      | applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and       |       |
| 36 |                           |                      | individuals who will perform the interventions (eg,          |       |
| 37 |                           |                      | surgeons, psychotherapists)                                  |       |
| 38 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 39 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 40 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 41 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 42 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 43 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 44 | Interventions:            | <a href="#">#11a</a> | Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow | 7,11  |
| 45 |                           |                      | replication, including how and when they will be             |       |
| 46 | description               |                      | administered   |       |
| 47 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 48 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 49 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 50 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 51 | Interventions:            | <a href="#">#11b</a> | Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated            | n/a   |
| 52 |                           |                      | interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose   |       |
| 53 | modifications             |                      |  |       |
| 54 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 55 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 56 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 57 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 58 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 59 |                           |                      |  |       |
| 60 |                           |                      |  |       |



|    |                      |   |       |
|----|----------------------|---|-------|
| 1  |                      | change in response to harms, participant request, or                            |       |
| 2  |                      | improving / worsening disease)  |       |
| 3  |                      |   |       |
| 4  |                      |   |       |
| 5  |                      |   |       |
| 6  | Interventions:       | <a href="#">#11c</a> Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, | n/a   |
| 7  |                      |   |       |
| 8  | adherence            | and any procedures for monitoring adherence (eg, drug                           |       |
| 9  |                      | tablet return; laboratory tests)  |       |
| 10 |                      |   |       |
| 11 |                      |   |       |
| 12 |                      |   |       |
| 13 | Interventions:       | <a href="#">#11d</a> Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are       | n/a   |
| 14 |                      |   |       |
| 15 | concomitant care     | permitted or prohibited during the trial  |       |
| 16 |                      |   |       |
| 17 |                      |   |       |
| 18 | Outcomes             | <a href="#">#12</a> Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the       | 7, 11 |
| 19 |                      | specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood                               |       |
| 20 |                      | pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final                     |       |
| 21 |                      | value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, median,                       |       |
| 22 |                      | proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation                       |       |
| 23 |                      | of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm                           |       |
| 24 |                      | outcomes is strongly recommended  |       |
| 25 |                      |   |       |
| 26 |                      |   |       |
| 27 |                      |   |       |
| 28 |                      |   |       |
| 29 |                      |   |       |
| 30 |                      |   |       |
| 31 |                      |   |       |
| 32 |                      |   |       |
| 33 |                      |   |       |
| 34 |                      |   |       |
| 35 | Participant timeline | <a href="#">#13</a> Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any    | 7,11  |
| 36 |                      | run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for                              |       |
| 37 |                      | participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended                         |       |
| 38 |                      | (see Figure)  |       |
| 39 |                      |   |       |
| 40 |                      |   |       |
| 41 |                      |   |       |
| 42 |                      |   |       |
| 43 |                      |   |       |
| 44 |                      |   |       |
| 45 | Sample size          | <a href="#">#14</a> Estimated number of participants needed to achieve          | 6, 10 |
| 46 |                      | study objectives and how it was determined, including                           |       |
| 47 |                      | clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample                      |       |
| 48 |                      | size calculations   |       |
| 49 |                      |   |       |
| 50 |                      |   |       |
| 51 |                      |   |       |
| 52 |                      |   |       |
| 53 |                      |   |       |
| 54 |                      |   |       |
| 55 | Recruitment          | <a href="#">#15</a> Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to  | 6, 10 |
| 56 |                      | reach target sample size  |       |
| 57 |                      |   |       |
| 58 |                      |   |       |
| 59 |                      |   |       |
| 60 |                      |   |       |

|    |                           |  |     |
|----|---------------------------|--|-----|
| 1  | <b>Methods:</b>           |  |     |
| 2  |                           |  |     |
| 3  | <b>Assignment of</b>      |  |     |
| 4  | <b>interventions (for</b> |  |     |
| 5  | <b>controlled trials)</b> |  |     |
| 6  |                           |  |     |
| 7  |                           |  |     |
| 8  |                           |  |     |
| 9  |                           |  |     |
| 10 |                           |  |     |
| 11 | Allocation: sequence      | <a href="#">#16a</a>   | 6   |
| 12 | generation                | Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions |     |
| 13 |                           |  |     |
| 14 |                           |  |     |
| 15 |                           |  |     |
| 16 |                           |  |     |
| 17 |                           |  |     |
| 18 |                           |  |     |
| 19 |                           |  |     |
| 20 |                           |  |     |
| 21 |                           |  |     |
| 22 |                           |  |     |
| 23 |                           |  |     |
| 24 |                           |  |     |
| 25 |                           |  |     |
| 26 |                           |  |     |
| 27 |                           |  |     |
| 28 | Allocation                | <a href="#">#16b</a>   | n/a |
| 29 | concealment               | Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned  |     |
| 30 | mechanism                 |  |     |
| 31 |                           |  |     |
| 32 |                           |  |     |
| 33 |                           |  |     |
| 34 |                           |  |     |
| 35 |                           |  |     |
| 36 |                           |  |     |
| 37 |                           |  |     |
| 38 | Allocation:               | <a href="#">#16c</a>   | n/a |
| 39 | implementation            | Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions  |     |
| 40 |                           |  |     |
| 41 |                           |  |     |
| 42 |                           |  |     |
| 43 |                           |  |     |
| 44 |                           |  |     |
| 45 | Blinding (masking)        | <a href="#">#17a</a>   | n/a |
| 46 |                           | Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how  |     |
| 47 |                           |  |     |
| 48 |                           |  |     |
| 49 |                           |  |     |
| 50 |                           |  |     |
| 51 |                           |  |     |
| 52 |                           |  |     |
| 53 | Blinding (masking):       | <a href="#">#17b</a>   | n/a |
| 54 | emergency                 | If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial   |     |
| 55 | unblinding                |  |     |
| 56 |                           |  |     |
| 57 |                           |  |     |
| 58 |                           |  |     |
| 59 |                           |  |     |
| 60 |                           |  |     |

1 **Methods: Data**

2 **collection,**

3 **management, and**

4 **analysis**

|   |                                 |                      |  |       |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|-------|
| 5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29 | Data collection plan            | <a href="#">#18a</a> | Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol | 7, 11 |
| 30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39  | Data collection plan: retention | <a href="#">#18b</a> | Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols  | 7, 11 |
| 40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50<br>51  | Data management                 | <a href="#">#19</a>  | Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol  | n/a   |
| 52<br>53<br>54<br>55<br>56<br>57<br>58<br>59<br>60  | Statistics: outcomes            | <a href="#">#20a</a> | Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol   | 9, 11 |

|    |                            |                      |   |       |
|----|----------------------------|----------------------|---|-------|
| 1  | Statistics: additional     | <a href="#">#20b</a> | Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and       | 9, 11 |
| 2  |                            |                      | adjusted analyses)  |       |
| 3  | analyses                   |                      |   |       |
| 4  |                            |                      |   |       |
| 5  |                            |                      |   |       |
| 6  | Statistics: analysis       | <a href="#">#20c</a> | Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non- | 9, 11 |
| 7  |                            |                      | adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any             |       |
| 8  | population and             |                      | statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple    |       |
| 9  | missing data               |                      | imputation)   |       |
| 10 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 11 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 12 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 13 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 14 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 15 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 16 | <b>Methods: Monitoring</b> |                      |   |       |
| 17 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 18 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 19 | Data monitoring:           | <a href="#">#21a</a> | Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC);             | n/a   |
| 20 |                            |                      | summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of   |       |
| 21 | formal committee           |                      | whether it is independent from the sponsor and              |       |
| 22 |                            |                      | competing interests; and reference to where further         |       |
| 23 |                            |                      | details about its charter can be found, if not in the       |       |
| 24 |                            |                      | protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is     |       |
| 25 |                            |                      | not needed  |       |
| 26 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 27 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 28 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 29 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 30 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 31 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 32 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 33 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 34 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 35 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 36 | Data monitoring:           | <a href="#">#21b</a> | Description of any interim analyses and stopping            | n/a   |
| 37 |                            |                      | guidelines, including who will have access to these         |       |
| 38 | interim analysis           |                      | interim results and make the final decision to terminate    |       |
| 39 |                            |                      | the trial   |       |
| 40 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 41 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 42 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 43 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 44 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 45 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 46 | Harms                      | <a href="#">#22</a>  | Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing    | n/a   |
| 47 |                            |                      | solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and     |       |
| 48 |                            |                      | other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial    |       |
| 49 |                            |                      | conduct   |       |
| 50 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 51 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 52 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 53 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 54 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 55 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 56 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 57 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 58 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 59 |                            |                      |   |       |
| 60 |                            |                      |   |       |

|    |                      |                      |  |     |
|----|----------------------|----------------------|--|-----|
| 1  | Auditing             | <a href="#">#23</a>  | Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if      | n/a |
| 2  |                      |                      | any, and whether the process will be independent from        |     |
| 3  |                      |                      | investigators and the sponsor                                |     |
| 4  |                      |                      |  |     |
| 5  |                      |                      |  |     |
| 6  |                      |                      |  |     |
| 7  |                      |                      |  |     |
| 8  | <b>Ethics and</b>    |                      |  |     |
| 9  | <b>dissemination</b> |                      |  |     |
| 10 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 11 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 12 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 13 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 14 | Research ethics      | <a href="#">#24</a>  | Plans for seeking research ethics committee / institutional  | 2   |
| 15 | approval             |                      | review board (REC / IRB) approval                            |     |
| 16 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 17 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 18 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 19 | Protocol             | <a href="#">#25</a>  | Plans for communicating important protocol modifications     | 2   |
| 20 | amendments           |                      | (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to |     |
| 21 |                      |                      | relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC / IRBs, trial       |     |
| 22 |                      |                      | participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)        |     |
| 23 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 24 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 25 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 26 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 27 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 28 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 29 | Consent or assent    | <a href="#">#26a</a> | Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential    | n/a |
| 30 |                      |                      | trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see    |     |
| 31 |                      |                      | Item 32)   |     |
| 32 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 33 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 34 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 35 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 36 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 37 | Consent or assent:   | <a href="#">#26b</a> | Additional consent provisions for collection and use of      | n/a |
| 38 | ancillary studies    |                      | participant data and biological specimens in ancillary       |     |
| 39 |                      |                      | studies, if applicable                                       |     |
| 40 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 41 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 42 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 43 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 44 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 45 | Confidentiality      | <a href="#">#27</a>  | How personal information about potential and enrolled        | n/a |
| 46 |                      |                      | participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in    |     |
| 47 |                      |                      | order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after   |     |
| 48 |                      |                      | the trial  |     |
| 49 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 50 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 51 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 52 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 53 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 54 | Declaration of       | <a href="#">#28</a>  | Financial and other competing interests for principal        | 11  |
| 55 | interests            |                      | investigators for the overall trial and each study site      |     |
| 56 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 57 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 58 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 59 |                      |                      |  |     |
| 60 |                      |                      |  |     |

|    |                       |                      |  |     |
|----|-----------------------|----------------------|--|-----|
| 1  | Data access           | <a href="#">#29</a>  | Statement of who will have access to the final trial           | 11  |
| 2  |                       |                      | dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that         |     |
| 3  |                       |                      | limit such access for investigators                            |     |
| 4  |                       |                      |  |     |
| 5  |                       |                      |  |     |
| 6  |                       |                      |  |     |
| 7  |                       |                      |  |     |
| 8  | Ancillary and post    | <a href="#">#30</a>  | Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for | n/a |
| 9  | trial care            |                      | compensation to those who suffer harm from trial               |     |
| 10 |                       |                      | participation  |     |
| 11 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 12 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 13 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 14 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 15 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 16 | Dissemination policy: | <a href="#">#31a</a> | Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial       | n/a |
| 17 | trial results         |                      | results to participants, healthcare professionals, the         |     |
| 18 |                       |                      | public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication,        |     |
| 19 |                       |                      | reporting in results databases, or other data sharing          |     |
| 20 |                       |                      | arrangements), including any publication restrictions          |     |
| 21 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 22 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 23 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 24 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 25 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 26 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 27 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 28 | Dissemination policy: | <a href="#">#31b</a> | Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of      | n/a |
| 29 | authorship            |                      | professional writers   |     |
| 30 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 31 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 32 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 33 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 34 | Dissemination policy: | <a href="#">#31c</a> | Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full          | n/a |
| 35 | reproducible          |                      | protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code      |     |
| 36 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 37 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 38 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 39 | research              |                      |  |     |
| 40 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 41 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 42 | <b>Appendices</b>     |                      |  |     |
| 43 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 44 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 45 | Informed consent      | <a href="#">#32</a>  | Model consent form and other related documentation             | n/a |
| 46 | materials             |                      | given to participants and authorised surrogates                |     |
| 47 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 48 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 49 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 50 | Biological specimens  | <a href="#">#33</a>  | Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of    | n/a |
| 51 |                       |                      | biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in      |     |
| 52 |                       |                      | the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if  |     |
| 53 |                       |                      | applicable   |     |
| 54 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 55 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 56 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 57 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 58 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 59 |                       |                      |  |     |
| 60 |                       |                      |  |     |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For peer review only