Protocol for a randomised trial evaluating a preconception-early childhood telephone-based intervention with tailored e-health resources for women and their partners to optimise growth and development among children in Canada: a Healthy Life Trajectory Initiative (HeLTI Canada)


ABSTRACT

Introduction The ‘Developmental Origins of Health and Disease’ hypothesis suggests that a healthy trajectory of growth and development in pregnancy and early childhood is necessary for optimal health, development and lifetime well-being. The purpose of this paper is to present the protocol for a randomised controlled trial evaluating a preconception-early childhood telephone-based intervention with tailored e-health resources for women and their partners to optimise growth and development among children in Canada: a Healthy Life Trajectory Initiative (HeLTI Canada). The primary objective of HeLTI Canada is to determine whether a 4-phase ‘preconception to early childhood’ lifecourse intervention can reduce the rate of child overweight and obesity. Secondary objectives include improved child: (1) growth trajectories; (2) cardiometabolic risk factors; (3) health behaviours, including nutrition, physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep; and (4) development and school readiness at age 5 years.

Method and analysis A randomised controlled multicentre trial will be conducted in two of Canada’s highly populous provinces—Alberta and Ontario—with 786 nulliparous (15%) and 4444 primiparous (85%) women, their partners and, when possible, the first ‘sibling child.’ The intervention is telephone-based collaborative care delivered by experienced public health nurses trained in healthy conversation skills that includes detailed risk assessments, individualised structured management plans, scheduled follow-up calls, and access to a web-based app with individualised, evidence-based resources. An ‘index child’ conceived after randomisation will be followed until age 5 years and assessed for the primary and secondary outcomes. Pregnancy, infancy (age 2 years) and parental outcomes across time will also be assessed.

Ethics and dissemination The study has received approval from Clinical Trials Ontario (CTO 1776). The findings will be published in peer-reviewed journals and disseminated to policymakers at local, national
and international agencies. Findings will also be shared with study participants and their communities.

Trial registration number: ISRCTN13308752; Pre-results.

**BACKGROUND**

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs), including cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus and mental illness, are major global contributors to premature death and disability. In Canada, NCDs account for an estimated 89% of all mortality of which cardiovascular disease accounts for 33% of all deaths. Cardiovascular disease—hypertension, coronary artery disease and diabetes—has risen in prevalence globally in parallel with economic development, urbanisation, an obesogenic lifestyle and obesity. In Canada, 60% of men and 50% of women are overweight or obese, forecasting serious economic, societal and individual health consequences. Today, 27% of children in Canada are overweight or obese with rates steadily increasing. Accelerated growth in infancy and early childhood is a strong risk factor for obesity in older children. A higher body mass index (BMI) in the preschool-aged child is associated with subclinical atherosclerosis in adulthood. Childhood overweight and obesity can also impact child development, with negative effects found related to cognitive function, social achievement and emotional well-being. This is important given that one in five Canadian children has a mental health problem. Intrauterine and early infancy exposures appear to influence a person’s risk of adult-onset chronic diseases—the core idea of the ‘Developmental Origins of Health and Disease’ hypothesis. Suboptimal maternal nutrition in pregnancy can lead to fetal growth restriction, and a sequence of overcompensatory responses that predispose to cardiometabolic disease in adulthood. Low birth weight and in utero exposure to maternal diabetes, hypertension and obesity are each associated with elevated blood pressure, plasma glucose, insulin and lipid concentrations in children at age 5 years. These childhood risk markers at age 5 years and beyond further predict cardiometabolic disease in adulthood. A similar sequence has been described with a well-studied list of exposures in pregnancy or early infancy: (1) maternal obesity; (2) gestational diabetes (associated with fetal hyperinsulinaemia and excess fetal adiposity); (3) maternal smoking; (4) formula feeding in infancy; and (5) fetal/infant exposure to stress or parental depression.

The preconception period represents an important life stage when exposures can damage germline DNA and epigenetically alter gene expression, subsequently impacting offspring outcomes. A narrative review of preconception interventions to prevent obesity and NCD in children found that no study reported directly on obesity and NCD in children, but rather research to date has focused mainly on pregnancy outcomes and birth weight. Existing approaches tend to focus solely on the mother. Increasingly, scientific evidence shows that the preconception health of the future father is also important, representing an unrealised, underdeveloped and understudied opportunity.

A meta-analysis of 38 studies found a consistent relationship between maternal pre-pregnancy weight and child obesity. Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity is also linked to the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, gestational diabetes, high infant birth weight and shorter breastfeeding duration. A meta-analysis of 23 trials found that preconception interventions can positively modify maternal health behaviours, including calorie restriction with increased physical activity, that when reinforced by a support system and monitoring can be sustained over longer time periods. Importantly, growing evidence suggests that health behaviour interventions, even those producing a modest change, can successfully and efficiently reduce metabolic disease risk in pregnancy. A meta-analysis of 23 studies found maternal exposure to smoking in pregnancy was associated with increased risk of child obesity. Fetal exposure to maternal smoking impacts prematurity, low birth weight, congenital malformations and sudden infant death syndrome, suggesting psychosocial smoking cessation programmes are warranted before conception. Paternal smoking is also associated with childhood cancer, cardiovascular disease and obesity, not only in the child but grandchildren as well possibly through epigenetic mechanisms. Mental illness is common in women and men of reproductive age, of which a substantial proportion go untreated, especially during pregnancy and postpartum. Parental mental illness negatively affects the entire family and increases a child’s risk for poor cognitive, behavioural and emotional developmental trajectories. The recognised association between mental illness and obesity supports evaluation of whether treating the former preconventionally can reduce the latter. Accordingly, we will deliver evidence-based
preconception interventions targeting both a woman and her partner, that align with current evidence suggesting that parental BMI, diet, lifestyle and mental health might alter pregnancy and child health outcomes.

The Healthy Life Trajectory Initiative (HeLTI) was developed in partnership with research teams from Canada, China, India and South Africa and in collaboration with the WHO to address the increasing burden of NCDs around the world. Four separate randomised controlled trials implemented in Soweto (South Africa), Mysore (India), Shanghai (China), and the provinces of Ontario and Alberta (Canada) have been harmonised. All trials are focused on developing evidence-based interventions that span from preconception across pregnancy and into the postnatal period with the primary goal of reducing child obesity and improving maternal, paternal, and child health and well-being. The protocol described here is for HeLTI Canada, one of the four trials in the HeLTI initiative.

Consistent with the international HeLTI studies, our main objectives are to determine whether the complete four-phase (preconception, pregnancy, infancy and early childhood) intervention, compared with standard care, can among index children at age 5 years: (1) reduce overweight and obese status; (2) reduce zBMI and improve zBMI trajectories; (3) reduce adiposity; (4) improve cardiometabolic risk factors; (5) enhance development and school readiness; and (6) improve health behaviours, including nutrition, physical activity, screen time and sleep. We will also examine the impact of the intervention on parental outcomes across time. We will determine the ‘cumulative-impact’ of the four-phase intervention, including the effect of the preconception phase on parental outcomes at the time of conception; the effect of the preconception-pregnancy phases on pregnancy outcomes; and the effect of the preconception-pregnancy-infancy phases on child outcomes at age 2 years. Our unique study design also provides an opportunity to understand the effect of the infancy-early childhood phases of the intervention on ‘sibling child’ outcomes at age 5 years. The Glass and McAtee childhood obesity model provides a general overarching conceptual framework modified based on meta-analytic data on child obesity risk factors. Our study will target modifiable risk factors for childhood obesity during the four phases of the intervention.

METHODS/DESIGN

Study design
A randomised controlled multicentre trial will be conducted in Canada with 5230 women who are planning to be pregnant within the next 3 years. We will recruit up to 786 nulliparous (15%) and at least 4444 primiparous (85%) women, their partners, and, when possible, the first ‘sibling child.’ These women will be randomly allocated in a 1:1 ratio to the four-phase preconception-early childhood intervention or to usual care, using individual, web-based and central randomisation. An ‘index child’ conceived after randomisation (n=3660; 70%) will be followed until age 5 years and assessed for the primary and secondary outcomes. Pregnancy, infancy (at age 2 years) and parental outcomes will also be assessed. In addition, among the 4444 primiparous women planning their second pregnancy, their preceding first child (called the ‘sibling child’), eligible range 3–12 months when the mother is randomised, will also be followed until age 5 years. This concurrent randomised trial will compare those intervention phases specific to infancy and early childhood versus usual care in these ‘sibling’ children. This added component will allow us to estimate the additional effectiveness of the preconception-pregnancy phases of the intervention (which are only received by the index child), beyond that of the infancy-early childhood phases of the intervention (which are also received by the sibling child), while fully preserving randomisation. Couples who do not conceive will complete an exit assessment 3 years postrandomisation.

Setting
The trial will be conducted in two of Canada’s highly populous provinces, Alberta (4.4 million) and Ontario (14.6 million), from three main recruitment settings: (1) public health regions; (2) obstetric and postpartum clinics; and (3) primary care practices and community healthcare centres that provide postpartum and well-child care in Alberta and Ontario. The selected public health regions are strategically located in Edmonton and across Ontario, including rural regions to promote participant diversity. In total, recruitment will be from eight public health regions of which seven are in Southern Ontario (Toronto, Durham, York, Peel, Halton, Hamilton and Niagara) and one is in Alberta (Edmonton). In Edmonton and the surrounding area, the Healthy Living, Population, Public and Indigenous Health team in Alberta Health Services will participate. The obstetric clinics that will participate include those at Mount Sinai Hospital, Sunnybrook Hospital and North York General Hospital. The selected primary care practices are all affiliated with TARGet Kids! in the Greater Toronto Area, where healthy children and their parents are enrolled in a prospective cohort with embedded studies at their primary care practices and followed at their well-child visits. We will also recruit participants via postpartum health clinics (Monarch Centre) in Ottawa and social media including Facebook and Google ads.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria
The target population consists of non-pregnant women who meet the following entry criteria: (1) nulliparous (no children) or primiparous (one child) between 3 and 12 months postpartum; (2) planning a pregnancy in the next 3 years; and (3) understands spoken and written English. Excluded are women with (1) type 1 diabetes; (2) parity ≥2; and (3) residence significantly outside of the eight identified health regions or Ottawa area. If a woman has...
a twin birth, the first child born will be the index child. Single women and those with same-sex partners will be included.

Study design overview
Our intervention will take a ‘cumulative-impact’ approach designed to improve health behaviours (eg, nutrition, physical activity, screen time and sleep) and reduce modifiable risk factors that influence child obesity. The intervention will start prior to conception and continue through to early childhood. It will be evidence-based, professionally facilitated, proactive, individualised, multifaceted, and sex-specific and gender-specific. It will build on existing research and clinical resources while recognising the growing trend of e-health.73 Local stakeholders, such as public health nurses/family physicians, will participate in providing services and referrals to ensure the intervention is tailored to local circumstances. Our intervention will target not only women, but also their partners and other key individuals in the child’s environment who can influence child health, such as grandparents, if appropriate. Among primiparous women, we will also provide information and support to promote healthy growth and development with the sibling child with the goal of taking a family-approach to care. Our intervention, with its foundation on public health and primary care platforms and e-health technologies, is structured to facilitate scalability across Canada, if effective.

Preconception-early childhood intervention
The intervention will be provided in four phases: (1) preconception, (2) pregnancy, (3) infancy (0–2 years), and (4) early childhood (3–5 years). Each phase has time-sensitive goals based on child obesity risk factor meta-analyses.46 To achieve these goals, two core strategies will be used throughout the four phases: (1) public health nurse collaborative care and (2) an individualised e-health resource. Systematic reviews for each of these intervention strategies have demonstrated their growing effectiveness in improving health behaviours and clinical outcomes.72–76 We will combine these two different strategies, which will allow us to: (1) reach participants, including those in rural/remote locations or those with transportation limitations; (2) provide support that is convenient and accessible 24 hours/day; (3) offer multiple options for peer/professional support; and (4) deliver care at a low cost.77

Public health nurse collaborative care
Women allocated to the intervention group will be assigned an experienced public health nurse (HeLTI nurse) hired and trained by the team to provide telephone-based collaborative care starting within a week of randomisation. The HeLTI nurses are trained in Healthy Conversation Skills, an evidence-based client-centred programme developed by UK researchers at Southampton University, designed to support health behaviour change.78 The activities provided will include the standard criteria for collaborative care: (1) individual assessment; (2) structured management plan; and (3) scheduled follow-up.

Part I: Telephone assessment
At the beginning of each of the four intervention phases, the assigned HeLTI nurse will telephone the woman, complete an assessment based on phase goals and identify potential preconception risks.

Part II: Structured management plan
The HeLTI nurses’ role will be to: (1) educate the woman and her partner (if applicable) about identified preconception risks and management options; (2) assess management barriers and preferences; and (3) coordinate a management plan with appropriate public health, primary care and community services.

Part III: Scheduled follow-up
The HeLTI nurse will telephone participants every 2 weeks to follow-up on management plans and track targeted behaviours. Based on behaviour modification and reduced risk, the participant will move from the ‘active phase’ of the intervention to the ‘continuation phase’. During this phase, participants will receive telephone follow-up every 2 months until completion of the phase. At the beginning of each phase, participants return to the ‘active phase’ of the intervention with telephone calls every 2 weeks. All participants have the option to proactively call their HeLTI nurse as needed. All intervention activities will be documented including email and text exchanges and referrals to health and social services.

Responsive HeLTI app
A responsive web-based HeLTI Canada app will be developed with easy access functionality. Each woman and her partner will be provided with their own secure login to a site that includes personalised web-based educational materials and apps based on the needs identified by their HeLTI nurse. Our expert-recommended e-health resources and apps will be easily accessible on a mobile device, tablet or computer and will enable us to provide innovative and engaging support to participants with diverse health issues. All e-health resources and apps will be reviewed and updated annually.

Usual care–control group
Women allocated to the control group will have access to standard care provided to all women from preconception to early childhood (child age 5), but they will not receive the preconception-early childhood intervention. However, as a retention strategy, they will also have access to their own individualised webpage with secure login to receive injury prevention and child safety e-health resources based on recommendations from experts from York University and the University of British Columbia.79 Focus groups with parents suggested this would be useful information and the content will not be directly related to the trial primary and secondary outcomes.
and hospitalisation data, and Early Development Instrument data for children. In Ontario, this includes linkage to Better Outcomes Registry and Network Ontario, a clinical registry with detailed obstetrical and neonatal data for all Ontario in-hospital and out-of-hospital births. Relevant to the current study, this clinical registry will be used to collect data on birth outcomes, including infant birth weight and gestational age. In Alberta, we will use the Alberta Perinatal Health Program, which captures information about all births (and pregnancies).

**Biospecimen collection and management**

It is anticipated that future substudies may require additional biospecimens and supplementary external funding. At baseline, biospecimens will be collected, processed and aliquoted by trained technicians at a province-wide professional lab (LifeLabs) using established standard operating procedures aligned with those outlined at the Global Alliance to Prevent Prematurity and Stillbirth repository. Biospecimens will be stored at Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute’s established biorepository. The laboratory fully complies with the Canadian laboratory accreditation programme.

**Sample size**

Current estimates in Canada suggest that ~25% of children at age 5 years are overweight or obese, defined as greater than the 85th percentile for age and sex standardised BMI. A reduction of overweight and obesity rates of 20% is aligned with the goals of the National Framework for Action to Promote Healthy Weights and provincial recommendations, including the Ontario Ministry of Health. At age 5 years, 1464 children per group (2928 in total) are required to detect a clinically meaningful 20% relative reduction, corresponding to an absolute reduction of 5% with 90% power at a two-sided alpha of 0.05 for the primary randomised comparison of the preconception-lifecourse intervention versus control. Allowing for 20% attrition from conception to age 5 years, 3660 viable conceptions are required. We expect that an average of 70% of women will conceive within 3 years of recruitment and subsequently give birth. This estimate is conservative: The 2013 guidelines on assessing and treating fertility problems of the UK National Institute of Health and Care Excellence estimate the cumulative probability to conceive a viable pregnancy after 2 years (24 cycles) among women without contraception to be 98% for age 19 to 26% to 90% for age 35–39 years based on data from a contemporaneous cohort of 782 women from Western European centres. Estimates in a frequently cited article by Heffner are somewhat lower, but these are 1-year estimates based on historical cohorts of women and are still compatible with our assumptions, with an estimated probability of conception of 86% in women aged 20 to 24% to 70% in women aged 35–39 years after 3 years (36 cycles). Therefore, 5230 women will need to be recruited. The sample size for this trial will also yield more than 95% power to detect a minimal
### Table 1  HeLTI Canada outcome measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary outcome</th>
<th>Outcome measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child overweight and obesity prevalence</td>
<td>BMI &gt;85th percentile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary outcomes</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child outcomes (at ages 2 and 5 years)</td>
<td>Outcome measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child anthropometry and adiposity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (age-standardised and sex-standardised)</td>
<td>zBMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI growth trajectories</td>
<td>zBMI growth rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>WHO reference ranges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-upper arm circumference</td>
<td>WHO reference ranges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference</td>
<td>WHO reference ranges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiposity</td>
<td>Bioelectrical impedance analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child cardiometabolic risk</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>Systolic and diastolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomarkers</td>
<td>Total cholesterol; HDL-cholesterol; triglycerides; non-HDL cholesterol; LDL-cholesterol (Friedewald equation); insulin, glucose, hsCRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin sensitivity and beta cell function</td>
<td>HOMA-IS; HOMA B-cell function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiometabolic risk score</td>
<td>CMR score=$z_{WC}+z_{TRG}+z_{HDL}(−1)+z_{glucose}+z_{SBP}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child health behaviours</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>Breastfeeding behaviours and the Baby Eating Behaviour Questionnaire and Child Eating Behaviour Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity and screen time</td>
<td>Questions adapted from the Canadian Health Measures survey and the Canadian 24-hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years (0–4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child sleep</td>
<td>Parent-report questionnaire and the Brief Screening Questionnaire for Infant Sleep Problems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child development and mental health</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language development</td>
<td>Infant Toddler Checklist and the MacArthur Communicative Development Inventories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioural development</td>
<td>Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioemotional development</td>
<td>Ages and Stages Questionnaire Social Emotional scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperament</td>
<td>Early Childhood Behaviour Questionnaire and Children's Behavioural Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental delay</td>
<td>Ages and Stages Questionnaire-3 and the Global Scale for Early Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School readiness</td>
<td>Early Development Instrument</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Parental outcomes                 | Outcome measure                                                 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parental anthropometry, adiposity and cardiometabolic risk</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity rates</td>
<td>BMI ≥25 and ≥30 kg/m², BMI (continuous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>WHO reference ranges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>Systolic and diastolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood measures</td>
<td>Glucose, HbA1c, CBC and CRP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parental health behaviours</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>PrimeScreen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity and sedentary behaviours</td>
<td>Global Physical Activity Questionnaire and questions adapted from the International Physical Activity Questionnaires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
clinically important difference in age-standardised and sex-standardised BMI z-score of 0.25 between groups.\(^{90,91}\) Our sample size will yield more than 95% power to detect the minimally clinically important difference of 0.25 SD units between groups. The study design will also allow for evaluation of the infancy to early childhood phase of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Continued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental mental health</td>
<td>Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale(^{96})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms (pregnancy and up to 1 year postpartum)</td>
<td>Patient Health Questionnaire-9(^{129})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>Generalised anxiety disorder 7(^{130})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety symptoms</td>
<td>Perceived Stress Scale(^{131})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life stress</td>
<td>Three-item Loneliness Scale(^{132})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loneliness</td>
<td>Dyadic Adjustment Scale(^{133})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship satisfaction</td>
<td>Woman Abuse Screening Tool(^{134})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimate partner violence</td>
<td>Social Provisions Scale(^{135})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>Social Provisions Scale(^{135})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting behaviours</td>
<td>Coparenting Relationship Scale(^{136})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coparenting</td>
<td>Parenting Scale(^{97})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting style</td>
<td>Parenting Sense of Competence Scale(^{137})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting competence</td>
<td>Parenting Stress Index Short-Form (PSI-SF)(^{99})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting stress</td>
<td>Perceived Stress Scale(^{131})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting behaviours</td>
<td>CAGE-AID questionnaire,(^{100}) the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test(^{101}) and environmental toxin questions adapted from the INTERBIO-21(^{138}) study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home environment</td>
<td>Exposure to tobacco smoke, alcohol and substance abuse, and home/work toxins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociodemographic indicators</td>
<td>HeLTI Canada Sociodemographic Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income, education, immigration status, food and housing insecurity, changes in residence, and development of chronic diseases</td>
<td>HeLTI Canada Sociodemographic Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy outcomes</td>
<td>Outcome measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data will be obtained from either provincial databases (eg, BORN Ontario) or from the Canadian Institutes for Health Information Discharge Abstract Database, all linked using health card numbers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain</td>
<td>Net weight gained (kg) (continuous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes</td>
<td>OGTT; gestational diabetes diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational hypertension</td>
<td>Gestational hypertension diagnosis; blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>Pre-eclampsia diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm delivery</td>
<td>Born &lt;37 weeks gestational age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight for gestational age, birth weight</td>
<td>Small for gestational age &lt;10th percentile; large for gestational age ≥90th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal exposure</td>
<td>Maternal exposure to tobacco smoke, prescribed medication use, alcohol and substance use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health service utilisation</td>
<td>ICES Linkage (Ontario)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of and satisfaction with intervention</td>
<td>Intervention Activity Log and Intervention Satisfaction Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic evaluation</td>
<td>Cost-effectiveness of the preconception lifecourse intervention(^{139,148})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigenetics and genetics outcomes</td>
<td>Genetic and epigenomic analyses will be planned when additional funding is received</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; BORN, Better Outcomes Registry and Network; CAGE-AID, cut-annoyed-guilty-eye questionnaire adapted to include drugs; CBC, complete blood count; CMR, cardiometabolic risk; HbA1c, haemoglobin A1c; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HeLTI, healthy life trajectory initiative; HOMA, homeostatic model assessment; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; ICES, Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences; IS, insulin sensitivity; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TRG, triglyceride; WC, waist circumference.
the intervention for the sibling child: Assuming that 85% of women will be primiparous and be randomised when their first, sibling child is aged 6 months (eligible range 3–12 months), up to 4444 children will be included in a concurrent, powered second randomised comparison of the lifecourse intervention received during infancy to early childhood phase versus control. This sample size provides more than 95% power for the same outcome and treatment effect as above after accounting for 20% attrition.

**Patient and public involvement**

Formative work with over 1300 Canadian families was completed to understand preconception needs, prevalence of preconception risk factors, trial recruitment strategies, intervention preferences and key strategies for disseminating trial results.

**Planned analyses**

Primary and concurrent secondary randomised comparisons will be analysed independently and hypothesis testing will use a two-sided 0.05 significance level for both comparisons. Since outcomes are identical in the two concurrent comparisons, the same methods will be used. Primary outcome and binary secondary outcomes will be compared by means of a chi-squared test and treatment effects will be expressed as absolute risk differences with 95% CI. Continuous secondary outcomes will be compared by an independent t-test and treatment effect will be expressed as the mean difference with 95% CI. Additional analyses of pregnancy and parental outcomes will be done using the same approaches. If baseline values are available for continuous parental outcomes, however, we will use analysis of covariance adjusted for baseline values for these outcomes. As secondary outcomes are considered exploratory in nature, we will not adjust for multiple comparisons.

All outcome data will be analysed according to the intention-to-treat principle, analysing all individuals in the group they were originally allocated to. The primary approach for these analyses will be a complete case analysis, including all individuals with available data. Two types of sensitivity analyses will be performed to account for missing outcome data, using multiple imputation and inverse-probability weighting. Results from these sensitivity analyses will be reported along with the primary analyses. For multiple imputation, we will use baseline characteristics of mothers and outcomes of children in the imputation model to create 20 imputed data sets. Standard errors will be calculated using Rubin’s rules, taking the variability in results between the imputed data sets into account. For inverse-probability weighting, we will calculate the probability of having complete outcome data for each individual using logistic regression; observations will then be weighted by the inverse of these probabilities and outcome models will be built to approximate results of a trial with no missing information. To determine the relative effectiveness of the preconception intervention as compared with the infancy intervention, we will do indirect comparisons that fully preserve randomisation. As up to two children per mother can be included in these analyses, we will use mixed maximum-likelihood logistic and linear regression models, which allow for the correlation of children within families. Prespecified subgroup analyses will be performed by sex and by number of children in the family (one versus two) and accompanied by tests for interaction between treatment effect and subgroup.

**Data management and oversight**

We will work with the international HeLTI research teams to establish a detailed collaborative plan and governance/management structure to ensure that the HeLTI initiative objectives are met. A data monitoring committee (DMC) has been established. The DMC is independent of sponsors and competing interests. The principal investigators (PIs; Dennis and Birken) of the Canadian team will sit on the international HeLTI Research Committee, while Canadian workgroup leads will contribute to the international HeLTI working groups. At the HeLTI Canada office, an experienced research manager will oversee the whole HeLTI Canada study while a trial coordinator will be responsible for the day-to-day trial management. Research assistants will be hired to perform recruitment activities (detailed explanation about the study, consent form and eligibility screening) while others, blinded to group allocation, will complete follow-up data collection activities for non-responders and gift card management; they will also receive extensive training and will be able to collect any REDCap outcome data via telephone if necessary. HeLTI nurses will be hired and extensively trained to deliver and document the intervention. Women and their partners in both groups will have access to usual standard care across all intervention phases. During depression screens, any participant who has a positive response on the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) self-harm ideation item will be further assessed by trained research staff. In addition, for ethical reasons, local public health nurses will be notified of all participants scoring very high (>20) on any EPDS or Patient Health Questionnaire-9 assessment. We will follow a protocol for infant/child harm if we suspect any potential child abuse/neglect. All these safety strategies have been effectively used previously by Dennis (lead PI). Negative intervention effects will be assessed through participant evaluations. All data will be managed through REDCap, which is fully configurable and incorporates validation rules to ensure high quality data. It allows for remote web-based data entry directly from the participating sites. REDCap will be managed by the Applied Health Research Centre at the Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael’s Hospital (Toronto).

Nearly one in three Canadian children are overweight or obese, and interventions to prevent obesity have been largely unsuccessful. This randomised controlled trial, conducted with pregnancy planning women and their...
partners, will evaluate whether an intervention starting in the preconception period and continued to early childhood can reduce child overweight and obesity, and improve developmental trajectories and mental health, compared with usual standard care. The harmonisation of the intervention and outcomes across the four HeLTI studies (Canada, India, China and South Africa) will enable pooled analysis and direct comparisons. If effective, this telephone-based intervention with e-health resources may be scalable to other sites and settings.
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