

Supplementary Material

Supplemental Figure 1

A)

	Center 1	Center 2	Center 3	Center 4	Center 5	Center 6	Center 7	Center 8	Center 9	Center 10	Center 11	Center 12	Center 13	Center 14
Access Control														
Patient Testing														
Testing HCP														
PPE Policy														
Patient Cohorting														
HCP Cohorting														
Suspension of Routine Care														
Remote work														
RESPONSE RATE (%) - Time point 1	31	37	37	40	40	44	46	48	50	50	52	56	60	62

B)

	Center 1	Center 2	Center 3	Center 4	Center 5	Center 6	Center 7	Center 8	Center 9	Center 10	Center 11	Center 12	Center 13	Center 14
Access Control														
Patient Testing														
Testing HCP														
PPE Policy														
Patient Cohorting														
HCP Cohorting														
Suspension of Routine Care														
Remote work														
RESPONSE RATE (%) - Time point 1	31	37	37	40	40	44	46	48	50	50	52	56	60	62

C)

	Center 1	Center 2	Center 3	Center 4	Center 5	Center 6	Center 7	Center 8	Center 9	Center 10	Center 11	Center 12	Center 13	Center 14
Access Control														
Patient Testing														
Testing HCP														
PPE Policy														
Patient Cohorting														
HCP Cohorting														
Suspension of Routine Care														
Remote work														
RESPONSE RATE (%) - Time point 1	31	37	37	40	40	44	46	48	50	50	52	56	60	62

Legend to Supplemental Figure 1.

Heat map displaying heterogeneity and dynamics of countermeasures implemented against COVID-19 in 14 EPDWG centers in 12 European countries between March 20 (Time point 1) and April 3, 2020, as well as decisive drivers (expert decisions, hospital authority decisions, resource dependency). Columns are sorted by average center response rates at March 20 (calculated as mean relative frequencies of implemented countermeasures), beginning with the lowest, from left to right. Rows are sorted by a logical response domain order, and within domain by response rate for each countermeasure, from top (lowest) to bottom (highest). Response rates are color-coded from dark blue (lowest) to dark red (highest).

Panel A: BLACK = implementation at March 20, RED = additional implementation at April 3,

BLUE = implementation reversed at March 20.

Panel B: GREEN = expert decision, YELLOW = expert and hospital authority decision, RED = hospital authority decision,

YES = countermeasure was implemented.

Panel C: GREY = resource dependency, YES = countermeasure was implemented.

Supplemental Table 1**Media Attitude scores and Governmental Strictness scores in the countries of the EPDWG**

Country	Media Attitude score (rank)		Governmental Strictness score (rank)	
Austria	*3.38	*1	*52	*1
Belgium	2.64	8	*43	*3
Czech Republic	2.8	4	*48	*2
France	2.73	5	17	7
Germany	2.65	7	26	6
Greece	2.56	10	39	4
Italy	2.62	9	35	5
Lithuania	2.2	11	*43	*3
Poland	2.67	6	17	7
Spain	*3.13	*3	*43	*3
United Kingdom	*3.17	*2	4	8

**) top three highest ranking countries for Media Attitude score and Governmental Strictness score
EPDWG = European Pediatric Dialysis Working Group*