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ABSTRACT

Introduction Patient education is a crucial component in the management of chronic kidney disease (CKD); however, many education programmes do not tailor to patients’ disease-related belief and emotional reactions. According to Leventhal’s self-regulation model, such beliefs and reactions, known as illness perceptions, are a part of patients’ understanding of their disease and treatment-related actions. The existing research of illness perception in the field of nephrology has been heterogeneous in terms of study outcomes and in study population; and the evidence of how illness perceptions affect behavioural and decisional outcomes is limited. This scoping review aims to map and summarise the evidence of published literature on illness perception among patients with CKD not yet treated by dialysis and kidney failure.

Methods and analysis This study bases its approach on Joanna Briggs Institute Guidelines on scoping review methods. The search strategy was developed together with a medical information specialist. Searches will be performed on acceptance of publication in the following databases: PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO via Ovid, Scopus and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature. Searches will be run without incorporating a date restriction in order to capture content from the databases’ inception to present day. Search terms including ‘illness perception’, ‘kidney disease’ and ‘kidney failure’ will be screened in titles and abstracts. Two independent researchers will screen the abstracts and full text for full eligibility. We will include studies focusing on illness perception of patients with CKD with estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min/1.73 m², kidney failure or recipients of kidney transplant. We will exclude patients <18 years of age, patients with acute kidney injury and non-English articles. All demographic data, study design and study findings will be collected and analysed using a data abstraction tool.

Ethics and dissemination This study does not require internal review board approval. We will present the findings of this scoping review in a peer-reviewed journal.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) affects 9% of the population worldwide, with 2.5 million people currently receiving treatment for kidney failure every year.1 Kidney failure is associated with greater disease burden, higher rates of hospitalisation and higher mortality.2 In order to optimise the management of kidney disease and to slow progression of disease, guidelines from the WHO and several national kidney societies have recommended patient education and self-management programmes.3–6 Despite the increase in education and self-management programmes, the effectiveness of these programmes in changing behaviour and outcomes has been inconsistent.7 One of the challenges with existing programmes is the lack of targeting the intervention to patients’ specific cultural expectations or tailoring its content to individual disease-related beliefs, expectations and emotional reactions.8–10

Individuals with acute or chronic diseases form their own belief systems to make sense of and cope with their medical condition.11,12 Such belief systems, also known as illness perceptions are theorised within Leventhal’s Common Sense Model of self-regulation. Illness perceptions are defined by the following attributes: identity (what the disease is), cause (what caused it), timeline (acute, chronic, cyclic), consequences (minor, moderate, severe), control (whether anything can be done about the disease) and emotional response (anxiety, worry).11,12 Research on illness perceptions has shown that improved adherence to recommended regimens and
improved health outcomes are associated with medically accurate illness perceptions. Furthermore, studies across a number of medical subspecialties (eg, cardiology, endocrinology and rehabilitation) have shown that individuals’ illness perceptions are amenable to interventions.\textsuperscript{15–16} However, the evidence on illness perceptions in the domain of kidney health is less well established.

**STUDY RATIONALE**

In the field of nephrology, the existing work on illness perception has been heterogenous and wide ranging in terms of study outcomes and study population.\textsuperscript{17–20} Studies have shown that patients who hold positive illness perceptions about their kidney disease have better psychosocial and clinical outcomes, specifically, greater quality of life, treatment adherence and survival.\textsuperscript{18} \textsuperscript{21–24} Additionally, illness perceptions vary across CKD at different categories of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and treatment modalities in response to kidney failure.\textsuperscript{25–26} Patients receiving dialysis perceive illness consequences as more severe than those who have not yet started dialysis. Further, once patients have started dialysis, they are more likely to consider their illness as chronic versus acute.\textsuperscript{25} When it comes to kidney failure treatment modalities, patients on home-based dialysis indicate that they have higher treatment control compared with patients on in-centre haemodialysis.\textsuperscript{26} \textsuperscript{27} While illness perception is an emerging area of research in nephrology, the majority of studies are cross-sectional in design, with only a few prospective observational studies and randomised-controlled trials.\textsuperscript{21–24} \textsuperscript{28} \textsuperscript{29} Moreover, the effect of illness perception on behavioural/decisional outcomes such as self-management or decision-making has not been studied. Given the heterogeneity of prior research studies in this topic, a scoping review allows us to examine the breadth of the studies, identify available evidence, analyse knowledge gaps and identify new developments in the field.

**STUDY AIMs**

This aim of this study is to explore the extent and nature of published literature on illness perception according to the self-regulation model, map and summarise the evidence, and inform future research among patients across the different stages of CKD and kidney failure.

Through discussions with the research team, the research questions are defined as:

1. What are the differences in illness perception across the different categories of CKD by eGFR and kidney failure?

   Does illness perception change with time?

2. What are the differences in illness perceptions for patients with kidney failure receiving different types of dialysis including haemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis?

3. To what extent do illness perceptions affect clinical, psychosocial, behavioural and decisional outcomes?

Clinical outcomes include CKD progression, medication adherence, dialysis treatment adherence and mortality.

Psychosocial and behavioural outcomes include depression, quality of life, anxiety, self-control, self-management, return to work and physical activity.

Decisional outcomes include treatment related shared decision-making, decisional regret, and decisional conflict.

4. Which interventions incorporating illness perceptions have been investigated or being developed?

What are the reported effects and outcomes from such interventions?

**METHODS**

**Protocol development**

The protocol was developed according to the Joanna Briggs’s Institute Guidelines.\textsuperscript{30} In order to ensure clear and transparent reporting of the data, the results of the search and the study inclusion process will be reported in full in the final scoping review and presented in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) extension for scoping review flow diagram.\textsuperscript{31}

**Study definitions**

CKD\textsuperscript{32}—patients who have eGFR <60\text{mL/min/1.73m}^2 for a minimum of 3 months and not yet treated by dialysis. CKD is further categorised into eGFR categories: G3a, eGFR 45–90\text{mL/min/1.73m}^2; G3b, eGFR 30–44\text{mL/min/1.73m}^2; G4, eGFR 15–29\text{mL/min/1.73m}^2.

Kidney failure\textsuperscript{32}—patients who have eGFR <15\text{mL/min/1.73m}^2 for a minimum of 3 months and or treated with maintenance dialysis.

Kidney transplantation—recipient of a kidney transplant.

Treatment modality—the form of treatment when patient goes into kidney failure. This includes haemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis and conservative care management.

**Search strategy**

The following databases will be searched from inception onwards on acceptance of this protocol: PubMed (MEDLINE), EMBASE, Scopus, PsycINFO and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature. The literature search will be supplemented by scanning the reference lists of included studies and searching grey literature sources, such as Google Scholar, as well as conference proceedings and abstracts published by select journals and organisations, including but not limited to the International Society of Nephrology, World Congress of Nephrology, American Society of Nephrology and the National Kidney Foundation.

A preliminary search was conducted in PubMed on 24 April 2020 to identify, broadly, the varying types of studies available which assess the impact of CKD, kidney failure and kidney transplantation on emotional response, clinical outcomes and decision making. Search terms were analysed and determined for use based on these results and from input provided by the research team and from input provided by the research team and from input provided by the research team.
information specialist. A draft of the search strategy, which can be found in the online supplemental material 1, is comprised of Medical Subject Headings and free text terms. The search strategy will be modified as necessary for the other databases. The search strategy will not be limited by study design, year or language of dissemination. The final search strategy will be peer reviewed by another information specialist using the Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies checklist.33

Eligibility criteria
The proposed scoping review will include works published in peer-reviewed academic journals, doctoral dissertations, research reports and conference abstracts. The type of study designs that are eligible include the following: meta-analysis, systematic reviews, scoping reviews, narrative reviews; randomised-controlled trials, quasi-experimental studies; observational, qualitative studies; letter to the editors; and published protocols with published results of the following: systematic reviews, scoping reviews, clinical trials and cohort study registries. Non-English published work will be excluded, as translation services are not available for this study.

The eligibility criteria of studies are based on the population, concept, context framework.

Population—this refers to: (1) patients with CKD not yet receiving kidney replacement therapy and (2) patients with kidney failure on different kidney replacement therapy (haemodialysis, home haemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis or conservative management), (3) patients with kidney transplantation. Pregnant patients will be included. There will be no limit to patients’ country origin or health system. Studies involving non-human subjects will be not be eligible. Studies involving patients <18 years of age will be excluded.

Studies involving patients with acute kidney injury will be excluded. Articles not written in English will also be excluded.

Concept—we will investigate the concept of illness perception or illness representation, a theoretical mental framework based on the Common-Sense Model of self-regulation.11 12

Context—we will include studies in the outpatient and inpatient healthcare setting, as well as community setting.

Study selection
Titles and abstracts of articles will be independently reviewed by two authors (JHN and MD). JHN is a nephrologist and clinical epidemiologist, and MD is a behavioural scientist who is an expert in the self-regulation model. If the articles are representative of the inclusion criteria, the articles will go through two full-text independent reviews by two authors (JHN and MD). In order to ensure inter-rater reliability, both the reviewers will assess 20% of the articles independently at both the title and abstract review stage and at the full article review stage. If disagreements arise either at the abstract or full text phase of the review, the two reviewers will discuss until consensus is reached. If consensus cannot be reached, a third-party reviewer would be consulted. A draft of the PRISMA inclusion and exclusion flow chart is included in the online supplemental material 1.

Data extraction
A data charting form was developed by the team to collect information on the following:

1. Article identifiers (authors, year of publication, objective).
2. Study identifiers (sample size, design, country, length of follow-up, inclusion and exclusion criteria).
3. Setting and population (age, sex, gender, CKD with eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m², kidney failure, kidney transplantation, type of kidney replacement modality, inpatient/outpatient/community setting).
4. Comorbid conditions (diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, cancer, HIV, etc.).
5. How illness perception is applied in the study (as the exposure or outcome).
6. Validated instruments used for illness perception, if applicable, such as Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ), Revised IPQ and Brief IPQ.30–32
7. Themes related to the domains of illness perception (for qualitative studies).
8. Outcome measures (clinical, psychosocial/behavioural and decisional outcomes).

If a particular data field is not available, we will attempt to contact the authors to obtain more information.

Collating, summarising and reporting of results
We will perform descriptive summary statistics to reflect the number of studies included, study design, CKD at different eGFR categories, kidney failure, kidney transplantation, characteristics of study population, exposures and outcomes, publication year and country where studies were conducted. The data will be presented in the form of tables and figures. For qualitative studies, we will summarise the themes provided by the authors. The draft of the data charting form is provided in the online supplemental material 1.

Limitations
There are several limitations to the study. The search strategy may not be sensitive to studies that included a heterogeneous population of people with chronic diseases (including some with kidney disease). Although we are using five large databases in the search strategy, we may miss relevant publications outside of these databases. We will also miss non-English publications due to the restriction in English language articles.

Patient and public involvement
Patients and the public were not involved in the development of this protocol.
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