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ABSTRACT

Introduction Biliary atresia (BA) is a life-threatening disease with persistent neonatal cholestasis and progressive liver fibrosis. Timely non-invasive diagnosis of BA can result in early hepatic portoenterostomy (HPE) and better prognosis. Quantitative elastography enables the non-invasive measurement of liver stiffness. However, the studies on elastography methods in the diagnosis of BA and the prediction of post-HPE outcomes vary in their results and have small sample sizes. Thus, we propose this systematic review and meta-analysis to obtain comprehensive evidence on the value of elastography in BA.

Methods and analysis We will search the PubMed, Embase and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials databases for studies evaluating the diagnostic performance of elastography in patients with BA and the prognostic value of postoperative elastography, from inception to 31 December 2020. We plan to use the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2 list and the Quality In Prognosis Studies tool to assess the risk of bias in the included studies and the study quality. We will evaluate the diagnostic performance of elastography by synthesising the pooled sensitivity, pooled specificity, pooled positive likelihood ratio, pooled negative likelihood ratio, pooled diagnostic OR and summary receiver operating characteristic curve using Meta-Disc V1.4. We will evaluate the predictive value of elastography after HPE by synthesising the pooled correlation coefficient and pooled OR of prognostic outcomes using STATA V.14. The funnel plot and Egger’s test will be used to evaluate the potential publication bias. Sensitivity analysis will be conducted by examining the estimated effects of individual studies.

Ethics and dissemination As this study is a meta-analysis based on previously published literature, ethical approval is not necessary according to the ethics committee of West China Hospital, Sichuan University. The results of this study will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42020162055.

INTRODUCTION

Biliary atresia (BA) is an inflammatory obliterative cholangiopathy involving intrabiliary and extrabiliary trees that presents as persistent neonatal cholestasis and progressive liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. Without surgical treatment, end-stage liver disease ensues, and patients die in the first 2 years of life.1 Kasai hepatic portoenterostomy (HPE) remains the standard treatment for BA, and early HPE (before 46 days of life) results in a higher rate of survival with native liver and better long-term clinical outcomes.2 Intraoperative cholangiography (IOC) and histopathological examination are the gold standards for BA diagnosis.3 However, timely non-invasive diagnosis of BA remains challenging in infants with cholestasis caused by various diseases.

Conventional ultrasound (US) is recommended for BA diagnosis. Although it has good diagnostic performance, normal ultrasound findings do not rule out nonsyndromic BA.3 In addition, it is difficult to

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study will be the first systematic review and meta-analysis to investigate the diagnostic performance and prognostic value of elastography in patients with biliary atresia and after hepatic portoenterostomy.
- We will determine the cut-off value and pooled diagnostic performance for patients with biliary atresia preoperatively.
- We will determine the pooled prognostic outcomes of elastography after hepatic portoenterostomy of patients with biliary atresia.
- We will use the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2 list to assess the quality of diagnostic studies and the Quality In Prognosis Studies tool to assess the quality of prognostic studies.
- The main limitation is the inevitable heterogeneity of included studies and potential confounding factors.
evaluate liver fibrosis and postoperative outcomes based on conventional US. Since patients with BA develop progressive liver fibrosis in early infancy, the assessment of liver stiffness by elastography may facilitate the differential diagnosis and evaluation of postoperative prognosis.

Quantitative elastography enables the non-invasive measurement of liver stiffness through shear wave propagation. The main quantitative elastography techniques include transient elastography (TE), acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) and shear wave elastography (SWE).4 There are accumulating reports of the application of quantitative elastography methods in the diagnosis of BA and prediction of post-HPE outcomes.5–12 However, these studies vary in the diagnostic performance and prognostic value of elastography, and they have small sample sizes. Thus, we will conduct this systematic review and meta-analysis to synthesise the results and obtain comprehensive evidence on the value of elastography in BA.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
The protocol is in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement. As this is a systematic literature research, ethical approval is waived.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
We will include all prospective or retrospective studies that meet the following criteria:
1. Participants: patients with a diagnosis of BA confirmed by surgical exploration, IOC under laparoscopy or liver biopsy.
2. Interventions: Liver and/or spleen stiffness was measured before and/or after HPE by SSE, TE or ARFI.
3. Comparison: Patients without BA as controls.
4. Outcomes:
   ▶ The cut-off value and diagnostic performance of the preoperative liver stiffness value measured by SSE, TE or ARFI for BA diagnosis.
   ▶ The coefficient of correlation between the preoperative liver stiffness value and the histological METAVIR Score of liver biopsy.
   ▶ The coefficients of correlation between the postoperative liver stiffness value (after HPE) and serum total bilirubin and direct bilirubin levels.
   ▶ The prognostic ORs associated with the correlations between the postoperative liver and/or spleen stiffness value (after HPE) and the complications of portal hypertension after HPE, including caput medusae, oesophageal varices or variceal bleeding, ascites, spleenomegaly and thrombocytopenia.
   ▶ The prognostic ORs associated with the correlations between the postoperative liver stiffness value (after HPE) and the need for liver transplantation.

Exclusion criteria:
1. The study did not contain a control group.
2. The patients in the study had congenital diseases other than BA.

Search strategy
From inception to 31 December 2020, PubMed, Embase and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials will be searched using the MeSH terms “biliary atresia”, “portoenterostomy”, “hepatic”, “ultrasonography”, “diagnostic imaging” and “elasticity imaging technique”, and the related keywords “elastography” and “Kasai procedure”. The languages will not be limited in our search strategy. The search strategy of PubMed is presented in online supplemental table 1.

Data abstraction
HY and LD will independently assess the eligibility of reports from the title and/or abstract. A third reviewer, JL, will join them to resolve any disagreements. Studies that meet the inclusion criteria will be selected for further analysis. For included studies, we will ask for the original data from corresponding authors for diagnosis and prognosis analysis.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies and study quality
We plan to use the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2 (QUADAS-2) list for the quality assessment of diagnostic studies13 and the Quality In Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) tool to assess the quality of prognostic studies.14 QUADAS-2 includes four domains: patient selection, index test, reference standard and flow and timing. All domains are assessed in terms of risk of bias, and the first three domains are also assessed in terms of concerns regarding applicability. The results of the assessment will be presented as high risk, low risk or unclear risk.13 A summarised bar graph of the percentage of studies that have a low, a high or an unclear risk of bias or concerns about applicability for each domain will be presented. The QUIPS includes six domains: study participation, study attrition, prognostic factor measurement, outcome measurement, study confounding factors and statistical analysis and reporting. The results of all the domain assessments will be presented as high risk, moderate risk or low risk.14

Assessment of publication bias
We plan to use the funnel plot and Egger’s test to evaluate the potential publication bias by STATA V.14 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA). P<0.05 will be considered to indicate significant publication bias.

Assessment of heterogeneity
The χ² test will be used to examine heterogeneity in pooling analysis. Heterogeneity is considered to be statistically significant when p<0.10 in these qualitative tests. We plan to use the I² test to estimate the proportion of total variation across studies that is attributable to heterogeneity rather than chance, with values of 25%, 50% and 75% indicating low, moderate and high inconsistency, respectively. To
determine the source of heterogeneity, we will conduct a meta-regression on different factors within STATA V.14. We also plan to conduct a subgroup analysis on different elastography techniques, machines or other potential confounders.

**Sensitivity analysis**

To determine the robustness of the pooled results, we will conduct a sensitivity analysis by examining individual studies on estimated effects using STATA V.14.

**Data synthesis**

We plan to use Meta-Disc V.1.4 (Ramón y Cajal Hospital, Madrid, Spain) for threshold analysis and pooling analysis of diagnostic performance. The pooled sensitivity, pooled specificity, pooled positive likelihood ratio, pooled negative likelihood ratio and pooled diagnostic OR will all be synthesised by a random-effect model. The summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curve will be synthesised by a random-effect model (DerSimonian-Laird model) if it is symmetrical, while the SROC curve will be synthesised by the Moses-Shapiro-Littenberg model if it is asymmetrical.

For data synthesis and statistical analysis on the pooled correlation coefficient and pooled OR, we will use STATA V.14 (StataCorp LP). A fixed-effect model will be used for analyses when heterogeneity is not present (p>0.05). Otherwise, a random-effect model will be used.

**DISCUSSION**

Since Ophir J. first used elastography to image biological elasticity, the TE, ARFI and SWE techniques have been widely and well studied in adult liver fibrosis caused by viral hepatitis or non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Although elastography techniques have limitations and confounding factors, they are all reliable and have good diagnostic performance based on current evidence. Quite a few studies have found that liver stiffness measured by TE, ARFI or SWE had good diagnostic performance in differentiating BA from other causes of neonatal cholestasis and assessing the severity of liver fibrosis. Elastography techniques have been used to evaluate the degree of portal hypertension and predict liver-related events and other prognostic outcomes after HPE.

However, these studies vary in their results and even reach contrary conclusions. The study by Wang et al showed that supersonic SWE had a high area under the curve (AUC) of 0.997 for differentiating BA from infantile hepatitis syndrome, while Zhou et al only obtained an AUC of 0.790 using the same supersonic SWE technique. The liver or spleen stiffness measured by ARFI was reported to predict oesophageal varices after HPE. The study by Tomita H. et al found that liver stiffness measurements exhibited good predictive value for oesophageal varices, with a high AUC (0.90), while spleen stiffness measurements failed to predict oesophageal varices. Many confounding factors, such as small sample sizes, may have led to contradictory conclusions.

This systematic review and meta-analysis will provide comprehensive evidence to evaluate the diagnostic performance and prognostic value of different elastography methods in patients with BA and after HPE.
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