

## Appendix B

	All	metro-politan	regional	Chi-square (p)/ t (p)^	public	private	Chi-square (p)/ t (p)^
<b>All respondents involved in clinical assessments (n)</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>41</b>		<b>116</b>	<b>24</b>	
<b>Self-reported measures</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>80%</b>	4.59 (.03)*	<b>90%</b>	<b>83%</b>	1.07 (.30)
1) GDS (15 items)	2.9 (1.4)^	3.0 (1.4)	2.9 (1.4)	0.13 (.90)	2.9 (1.4)	3.2 (1.4)	0.95 (.35)
2) DASS (21 items)	4.1 (1.3)	4.2 (1.2)	3.7 (1.6)	1.70 (.10)	4.1 (1.3)	4.1 (1.4)	0.07 (.94)
3) Epworth Sleepiness Scale	4.5 (0.8)	4.5 (0.8)	4.5 (0.7)	0.12 (.90)	4.5 (0.7)	4.5 (0.9)	-0.40 (.70)
<b>Informant-rated measures</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>66%</b>	1.51 (.22)	<b>56%</b>	<b>50%</b>	0.38 (.54)
1) IQCODE	3.4 (1.6)	3.6 (1.6)	3.1 (1.6)	1.34 (.19)	3.4 (1.6)	3.6 (1.3)	0.45 (.66)
2) CBI-R	4.4 (1.1)	4.4 (1.1)	4.4 (1.1)	-0.08 (.93)	4.4 (1.2)	4.8 (0.6)	1.71 (.10)
3) Zarit Burden	4.4 (1.3)	4.2 (1.4)	4.7 (1.0)	-1.49 (.14)	4.3 (1.3)	4.8 (0.9)	1.42 (.17)
<b>Clinician-rated measures</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>32%</b>	0.02 (.90)	<b>29%</b>	<b>33%</b>	0.09 (.76)
1) Clinical Dementia Rating	3.7 (1.4)	3.8 (1.3)	3.6 (1.6)	0.33 (.74)	3.7 (1.4)	3.6 (1.5)	-0.27 (.80)
2) Neuropsychiatric Inventory	3.7 (1.5)	3.5 (1.5)	4.2 (1.3)	-1.56 (.13)	3.6 (1.6)	4.1 (0.6)	1.60 (.12)
3) Hamilton Depression Rating	4.7 (0.8)	4.7 (0.9)	4.8 (0.4)	-0.70 (.50)	4.7 (0.9)	4.7 (0.5)	-0.9 (.93)
<b>Subjective Cognitive Concerns</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>15%</b>	0.04 (.85)	<b>14%</b>	<b>13%</b>	0.03 (.85)
1) IQCODE	3.0 (1.6)	3.2 (1.7)	2.8 (1.5)	0.42 (.68)	2.9 (1.7)	3.7 (0.58)	1.35 (.21)
2) ECog (Self)	4.8 (0.7)	4.7 (0.9)	5.0 (0.0)	-1.30 (.22)	4.8 (0.8)	5.0 (0)	1.29 (.22)
3) ECog (Informant)	4.8 (0.7)	4.8 (0.8)	5.0 (0.0)	-0.67 (.51)	4.8 (0.8)	5.0 (0)	1.00 (.33)
<b>Cognitive Screening</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>100%</b>	0.04 (.84)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	0.99 (.32)
1) Clock drawing	2.2 (1.3)	2.1 (1.3)	2.4 (1.5)	-1.22 (.22)	2.2 (1.3)	2.4 (1.6)	0.70 (.49)
2) MMSE	2.3 (1.4)	2.2 (1.3)	2.7 (1.6)	-1.87 (.07)	2.3 (1.4)	2.6 (1.5)	1.11 (.28)
3) MoCA	3.5 (1.3)	3.6 (1.3)	3.4 (1.4)	0.50 (.62)	3.5 (1.2)	3.5 (1.5)	-0.26 (.80)
<b>Neuropsychological Measures</b>							
	All	metro-politan	regional	Chi-square (p)/ t (p)^	public	private	Chi-square (p)/ t (p)^
<b>n</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>17</b>		<b>51</b>	<b>8</b>	
<b>Premorbid function</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>71%</b>	0.20 (.65)	<b>76%</b>	<b>75%</b>	0.35 (.55)
1) TOPF	3.1 (1.6)	<b>3.2 (1.5)</b>	<b>2.6 (1.7)</b>	1.19 (.25)	3.1 (1.6)	2.8 (1.5)	-0.41 (.69)
2) WAIS-IV Vocabulary	4.0 (1.4)	4.2 (1.2)	3.3 (1.6)	1.90 (.08)	4.0 (1.4)	3.7 (1.5)	-0.51 (.63)
3) NART	4.4 (1.2)	4.3 (1.3)	4.8 (0.4)	-2.21 (.03)*	4.5 (1.1)	3.8 (1.6)	-1.01 (.36)
<b>Processing Speed</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>76%</b>	2.03 (.16)	<b>86%</b>	<b>88%</b>	0.01 (.93)
1) Trail Making A	2.1 (1.1)	<b>2.2 (1.2)</b>	<b>1.9 (0.9)</b>	0.76 (.45)	2.0 (1.1)	2.6 (1.4)	1.0 (.35)
2) WAIS-IV Coding	3.1 (1.5)	3.1 (1.6)	3.1 (1.5)	0.06 (.95)	3.1 (1.5)	3.0 (1.6)	-0.17 (.86)
3) WAIS-IV Symbol Search	3.1 (1.5)	3.6 (1.5)	3.1 (1.5)	1.05 (.31)	3.5 (1.5)	3.1 (1.8)	-0.51 (.63)
<b>Attention/ Working Memory</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>76%</b>	0.78 (.54)	<b>80%</b>	<b>87%</b>	0.23 (.63)
1) Digit span (2 subtests)	3.0 (1.8)	3.1 (1.8)	2.9 (1.8)	0.36 (.72)	3.0 (1.8)	3.3 (1.9)	0.44 (.67)
2) Digit span (3 subtests)	3.4 (1.5)	3.3 (1.6)	3.5 (1.5)	-0.45 (.66)	3.5 (1.5)	2.6 (1.7)	-1.36 (.21)

3) TEA	4.5 (1.1)	4.8 (0.6)	4.2 (1.0)	2.05 (.06)	4.6 (0.7)	4.9 (0.4)	1.22 (.24)
<b>Memory</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>71%</b>	1.21 (.27)	<b>80%</b>	<b>75%</b>	0.12 (.73)
1) WMS-IV Logical Memory	2.8 (1.6)	<b>2.9 (1.6)</b>	<b>2.5 (1.6)</b>	0.71 (.49)	2.9 (1.7)	2.3 (1.2)	-0.93 (.38)
2) Rey Complex figure (30min)	3.2 (1.6)	3.0 (1.6)	3.8 (1.4)	-1.62 (.12)	3.2 (1.6)	3.3 (1.9)	0.23 (.82)
3) WMS-IV Visual Reproduction	3.3 (1.5)	3.4 (1.6)	2.8 (1.4)	1.17 (.25)	3.3 (1.6)	3.0 (1.4)	-0.47 (.66)
<b>Language</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>88%</b>	0.33 (.56)	<b>90%</b>	<b>100%</b>	0.86 (.36)
1) Category Fluency (Animals)	1.9 (1.2)	1.8 (1.1)	2.3 (1.3)	-1.46 (.16)	1.9 (1.1)	2.1 (1.4)	0.46 (.66)
2) COWAT (FAS)	2.5 (1.6)	2.3 (1.6)	2.8 (1.7)	-0.92 (.37)	2.4 (1.6)	2.6 (1.7)	0.30 (.77)
3) Boston Naming (60items)	3.0 (1.4)	2.8 (1.4)	3.7 (1.3)	-2.34 (.03)*	3.0 (1.4)	3.4 (1.8)	0.60 (.56)
<b>Visuo-spatial</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>94%</b>	0.45 (.50)	<b>96%</b>	<b>100%</b>	0.33 (.57)
1) Clock drawing	2.2 (1.3)	2.1 (1.3)	2.4 (1.4)	-0.74 (.46)	2.0 (1.2)	2.9 (1.8)	1.26 (.24)
2) Rey Complex figure (copy)	2.5 (1.5)	2.3 (1.5)	3.0 (1.6)	-1.45 (.16)	2.5 (1.6)	2.6 (1.6)	0.22 (.83)
3) Cube copying/ drawing)	2.7 (1.5)	2.7 (1.5)	2.6 (1.4)	0.20 (.84)	2.7 (1.5)	2.8 (1.5)	0.14 (.90)
<b>Executive Function</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>94%</b>	0.21 (.65)	<b>90%</b>	<b>100%</b>	0.86 (.36)
1) Trail Making B	2.2 (1.2)	2.2 (1.1)	2.3 (1.3)	-0.41 (.68)	2.2 (1.2)	2.1 (1.3)	-0.20 (.85)
2) WAIS-IV Similarities	2.9 (1.7)	2.8 (1.6)	3.1 (1.7)	-0.59 (.56)	2.8 (1.7)	3.0 (1.8)	0.26 (.80)
3) Stroop (DKEFS)	4.1 (1.3)	4.2 (1.4)	4.0 (1.2)	0.49 (.63)	4.2 (1.3)	3.6 (1.7)	-0.95 (.37)
<b>Social Cognition</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>18%</b>	0.34 (.56)	<b>16%</b>	<b>0</b>	1.45 (.23)
1) Reading the Mind in the Eyes	4.1 (0.6)	4.0 (0.7)	4.3 (0.6)	-0.73 (.50)	4.1 (0.6)	n/a	n/a
2) The Awareness of Social Interference Test	4.4 (0.7)	4.6 (0.5)	4.0 (1.0)	0.96 (.42)	4.4 (0.7)	n/a	n/a
3) Facial Expressions of Emotion / Ekman Faces	4.9 (0.4)	4.8 (0.4)	5.0 (0)	-1.00 (.37)	4.9 (0.4)	n/a	n/a
<b>Effort</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>59%</b>	0.61 (.44)	<b>51%</b>	<b>50%</b>	0.00 (.96)
1) WAIS-IV embedded measure reliable digit span	4.0 (1.1)	4.3 (0.9)	3.4 (1.3)	2.03 (.06)	4.2 (1.0)	2.8 (1.0)	-2.8 (.05)*
2) WAIS-IV embedded measure logical Memory, delayed recognition	4.1 (1.0)	4.3 (0.9)	3.8 (1.1)	1.09 (.29)	4.2 (0.9)	3.8 (1.5)	-0.52 (.63)
3) Advanced Clinical Solutions – word choice	4.1 (1.0)	4.3 (0.9)	3.8 (1.1)	1.21 (.25)	4.3 (0.8)	3.0 (1.4)	-1.80 (.16)

^ the values refer to the mean rating on the Likert Scale (1=always; 2=most of the time; 3=about half the time; 4=sometimes; 5=never/rarely)

^^ chi-square applies for nominal data describing if assessments of a particular type were conducted (highlighted in green) / t-tests were performed for to determine differences in test use across different clinical settings

\* highlights a significant difference  $p < .05$