ABSTRACT

Introduction Progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) is a neurodegenerative disorder for which there are currently no disease-modifying therapies. The neuropathology of PSP is associated with the accumulation of hyperphosphorylated tau in the brain. We have previously shown that protein phosphatase 2 activity in the brain is upregulated by sodium selenate, which enhances dephosphorylation. Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the efficacy and safety of sodium selenate as a disease-modifying therapy for PSP.

Methods and analysis This will be a multi-site, phase 2b, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of sodium selenate. 70 patients will be recruited at six Australian academic hospitals and research institutes. Following the confirmation of eligibility at screening, participants will be randomised (1:1) to receive 52 weeks of active treatment (sodium selenate; 15 mg three times a day) or matching placebo. Regular safety and efficacy visits will be completed throughout the study period. The primary study outcome is change in an MRI volume composite (frontal lobe+midbrain–3rd ventricle) over the treatment period. Analysis will be with a general linear model (GLM) with the treatment group as an independent variable and baseline MRI composite at 52 weeks as the dependent variable, treatment (sodium selenate; 15 mg three times a day) or matching placebo. Regular safety and efficacy visits will be completed throughout the study period. The primary study outcome is change in an MRI volume composite (frontal lobe+midbrain–3rd ventricle) over the treatment period. Analysis will be with a general linear model (GLM) with the treatment group as an independent variable and baseline MRI composite at 52 weeks as the dependent variable, treatment group as an independent variable and baseline MRI composite as a covariate. Secondary outcomes are change in PSP rating scale, clinical global impression of change (clinician) and change in midbrain mean diffusivity. These outcomes will also be analysed with a GLM as above, with the corresponding baseline measure entered as a covariate. Secondary safety and tolerability outcomes are frequency of serious adverse events, frequency of down-titration occurrence and frequency of study discontinuation. Additional, as yet unplanned, exploratory outcomes will include analyses of other imaging, cognitive and biospecimen measures.

Ethics and dissemination The study was approved by the Alfred Health Ethics Committee (594/20). Each participant or their legally authorised representative and their study partner will provide written informed consent at trial commencement. The results of the study will be presented at national and international conferences and published in peer-reviewed journals.

Trials registration number Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12620001254987).

INTRODUCTION

Progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) is a rare, rapidly progressing, neurodegenerative movement disorder. Richardson’s syndrome (PSP-RS) is the classical and most common form of PSP. It is a Parkinsonian disorder, characterised by vertical oculomotor (OM) dysfunction, frontal dysexecutive dysfunction and postural instability and falls. The prevalence rate is approximately 6 per 100,000 people, with typical survival being 7–8 years from symptoms onset. There are currently...
no approved disease-modifying treatments for PSP, and none of the limited number of international clinical trials that have been conducted to date have been successful. Therefore there is a major unmet clinical need for the treatment of PSP.

PSP is considered pathologically in the group of diseases termed ‘tauopathies’ which are characterised by the accumulation of hyperphosphorylated inclusions of the microtubule-associated protein tau, which in patients with PSP initially accumulates in the basal ganglia and deep nuclei of the cerebellum, before spreading to other cortical and subcortical brain regions. Thus, hyperphosphorylated tau is a potential target for the treatment of PSP that warrants exploration in randomised clinical trials.

Pharmacological reduction of tau hyperphosphorylation may be achieved by two broad approaches: (1) inhibition of tau phosphorylation through action on serine/threonine kinases, the group of enzymes responsible for phosphorylation, or (2) increasing dephosphorylation of hyperphosphorylated tau by activating tau serine/threonine phosphatases, the group of enzymes that dephosphorylate proteins. Protein phosphatase 2 (PP2A) is the major tau phosphatase in the brain accounting for more than 70% of brain phosphatase activity, and thus stimulation of its activity presents a compelling strategy for reducing hyperphosphorylated tau. PP2A is colocalised with tau, and in many neurodegenerative diseases, reduced PP2A activity is observed alongside reductions in tau dephosphorylation.

The trace metal selenium is an essential element in humans. It is present in low concentrations in the environment and in foods such as Brazil nuts. Previously, dietary supplementation with selenium has been reported to have potential chemopreventive benefits, however, this has been limited to selenium, with the potential therapeutic benefits of other selenium compounds yet to be comprehensively investigated. Work by our team and others is amassing a large growing body of preclinical, and emerging clinical data, demonstrating that sodium selenate, a selenium salt, may have potential as a therapeutic agent. Our work has demonstrated that through the activation of PP2A increasing rates of dephosphorylation, sodium selenate has potential as a disease-modifying treatment in neurodegenerative diseases associated with hyperphosphorylated tau, as well as epilepsy and traumatic brain injury. We have reported sodium selenate provides benefits in a range of animal models of disease including Alzheimer’s disease (AD), cancer, traumatic brain injury and epilepsy. Moreover, these benefits were specific to sodium selenate, with no benefits observed with other selenium species, and were only observed when supranutritional doses were administered.

Three clinical trials completed by our team have demonstrated the safety and tolerability of chronic dosing with sodium selenate in clinical populations other than PSP. The first study was a phase 1 safety and tolerability study in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer. Safety and tolerability was good, with doses up to 60 mg per day being well-tolerated, and dose-limiting toxicity observed at 90 mg per day. A phase 2a trial in patients with mild-moderate AD (n=40), confirmed the safety and tolerability of sodium selenate (30 mg/day) at this dose over 6 months. In exploratory efficacy analyses, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) measures indicated relatively less neurodegeneration in the treatment group compared with the combined placebo/nutritional dose group. Widespread neurodegeneration was observed on DTI, with the corpus callosum showing the most severe degeneration. Increased selenium levels in serum and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of the treatment group demonstrated that the sodium selenate was able to cross the blood-brain barrier and enter the central nervous system. The degree of cognitive decline (measured on the Mini-Mental State Examination) over 24 weeks of treatment inversely correlated with selenium levels in the CSF, suggesting neuroprotective efficacy may be dependent on greater drug exposure levels. Long-term safety and tolerability were demonstrated in an open-label extension study, where patients with AD received sodium selenate (30 mg/day) for up to 23 months. Furthermore, cognitive decline measured on the Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale Cognitive Subscale and other psychometric scales showed substantially less decline than would be predicted based on the natural progression of AD.

Most recently we completed a small phase 1b open-label study of sodium selenate treatment at doses up to 45 mg per day in patients with possible behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD), another neurodegenerative disease characterised by hyperphosphorylated tau (ACTRN12617001218381). Safety and tolerability were again excellent, with all patients (n=12) completing the study. Adverse events were mild and similar to those reported in previous studies, the most common being nail changes (58%) and hair loss (42%). Exploratory efficacy measures (MRI, cognition, behaviour) suggested slowing of disease progression in a subgroup of participants (n=7), with the other subgroup (n=4) showing substantial disease progression. This division of ‘responders’ and ‘non-responders’ is in keeping with the known incidence of tau and non-tau pathology in bvFTD. Informed by these results we have recently commenced recruitment of a phase 2b placebo-controlled randomised controlled trial of sodium selenate as a treatment for bvFTD (ACTRN1262000236998).

These prior experiences in neurodegenerative diseases defined by aggregation of hyperphosphorylated tau have informed this current study, a multi-centre placebo-controlled, double-blind randomised controlled trial of sodium selenate as a treatment for PSP. The present study closely mirrors our phase 2b trial in bvFTD in overall trial design, schedule of assessments (including safety assessments and outcomes, cognitive measures and exploratory biomarkers) and numerous exclusion criteria.
METHODS AND ANALYSIS

This is a multi-site, phase 2, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial to assess the safety and efficacy of sodium selenate as a treatment for PSP (RS). Participants will receive either sodium selenate (15 mg, three times a day) or placebo for 52 weeks. Seventy patients will be recruited in to this study. The study will be conducted at six centres in Melbourne, Sydney, Brisbane and Adelaide. The study is funded by the Australian Medical Research Future Fund (GNT1200254). Ethics approval was granted by Alfred Health Human Research Ethics Committee, Melbourne (594/20). The trial is registered with the ANZCTR (ACTRN12620001254987). The study commenced recruitment in July 2021 and is anticipated to complete (last patient last visit) in March 2025.

Outcomes

The primary outcome measure will be a change in MRI composite volume (frontal lobe–midbrain–3rd ventricle) over the 52-week treatment period.

The secondary outcome measures (safety) will be the rate and severity of adverse events and the rate of study withdrawal. Secondary efficacy outcome variables will be the change in PSP symptoms as measured by the PSP rating scale total score, change in disease severity as measured by the clinical global impression of change (CGI-C) total score and change in mean diffusivity (MD) in the midbrain calculated from diffusion-weighted MRI over the 52 weeks of treatment.

Numerous exploratory outcomes will also be measured including changes in protein biomarkers (total-tau, phospho-tau and neurofilament light chain (NFL)) in CSF, plasma and serum, changes in cognitive measures, changes in OM functioning (including measures of motor and cognitive functioning), changes in other structural and functional neuroimaging metrics including regional volumes and cortical thickness, advanced MRI (diffusion imaging, quantitative susceptibility mapping, resting state functional MRI) and tau-binding positron emission tomography (PET) and pharmacokinetic modelling. Advanced statistical modelling will be investigated to identify baseline predictors of treatment response and non-response. Finally, correlation analyses will be used to investigate the relationships between objective biomarkers and the presence and progression of symptoms.

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

Participants will be aged over 40, have a diagnosis of probable PSP-RS and symptoms present for <5 years at the time of screening. The participant must live in the community and have at least 10 contact hours per week with a responsible carer. The carer should be capable of ensuring the participant’s compliance with the medication and study, and complete questionnaires about the participant’s symptoms throughout the study. Participants must be using effective contraception for the duration of the trial. Participants must have a lumbar puncture (LP) and MRI performed during screening. The structural brain MRI must be not inconsistent with a diagnosis of PSP-RS with no other gross structural abnormalities indicating another neurological disorder. Written informed consent must be obtained from the participant or their legally authorised representative (as required by local laws and regulations), and the participant’s carer.

Exclusion criteria

Participants will be excluded based on: history of substance use disorder (including alcohol and cannabis); previous participation in an interventional clinical trial (within 3 months of screening), with the exception of prior exposure to sodium selenate; known sensitivity to selenium, sodium selenate, any medicine or vitamin containing sodium selenate, similar agents or any of the excipients (including microcrystalline cellulose) used; likely non-compliance with the trial visit schedule or trial medication; evidence or history of neurological, psychiatric or other illness that could contribute to PSP-like symptoms; known history of familial AD or genetic variant that confers likelihood of another neurodegenerative condition (eg, PRNP, SYNJ1, PSEN1, C9orf72 expansion); significant comorbid medical (including unstable diabetes) or neurological disease, with the exception of PSP, that is not adequately controlled by therapy and may interfere with the patient’s ability to complete the study or affect the participant’s cognitive performance; contraindication to MRI or LP; significant impairment of renal, hepatic or haematological function; participant is or has (within 6 weeks of the screening visit) taken any of the following: N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonists, oral and/or injectable steroids, digoxin, pheno-barbitone or warfarin; commencement or titration of other medications known to have an effect on mood or cognition within the 4 weeks prior to screening, including anticholinergics, hypnotics, sedatives, anxiolytics, antidepressants, antiepileptics, antipsychotics, memory-enhancing drugs, nutraceuticals and other supplements which contain selenium and dopaminergic drugs.

Intervention, randomisation and blinding

Once consent has been obtained, each participant will be provided a unique screening number. On completion of all screening assessments and confirmation of eligibility, a sequential randomisation number will be generated from within the redcap electronic Case Report Form (eCRF) subject to entry of key data into the eCRF. Once the randomisation number has been provided to the unblinded site pharmacist, the unblinded pharmacist will dispense the drug/placebo in accordance with the randomisation schedule. Participants will be allocated at a ratio of 1:1 either sodium selenate or placebo for 52 weeks. Each tablet will contain 5 mg of drug or placebo. Participants will titrate from an initial dose of two tablets (10 mg) three times a day, increasing to three tablets (15 mg) three times a day at week 4, subject to tolerability. If an adverse event occurs that is potentially related to the
study drug administration, treatment may be temporarily interrupted, at the discretion of the investigator, a single within-subject dose reduction, to 10 mg three times a day will also be allowed. A further down-titration to 5 mg three times a day will require consultation with and approval by the medical monitor.

Throughout the course of the study, the participant, their study partner and all site staff (with the exception of the pharmacy team) will remain blinded to treatment allocation. Emergency unblinding of individual participants may performed by site pharmacy staff or by accessing individual unblinding envelopes kept on site. The data safety monitoring board (DSMB) will remain blinded to treatment allocation when reviewing safety data. Unblinding of data may be requested by the DSMB in the event of unexpected adverse events for which unblinding is deemed necessary for the assessment of potential causality.26

**Procedures and assessments**

Table 1 outlines the testing and procedures that participants will undergo which is based on our other phase 2 trial in bvFTD.28 Briefly, at screening, the participant will be reviewed to ensure they meet all the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria. Neuroimaging (MRI) will follow to corroborate the PSP-DS diagnosis. At baseline, the participant’s eligibility will be confirmed by repeated review of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Baseline tau-PET, CSF sampling and cognitive and symptomatic assessment will be completed. OM testing will be performed in participants who consent to the OM substudy. Finally, the participant will receive their first dose of the study drug (10 mg) in the clinic and multiple blood draws taken for pharmacokinetic analysis.

Safety phone calls to monitor for adverse events will be completed. Subject to tolerability, participants will be uptitrated to three tablets (15 mg three times a day) at week 4. Both solicited and unsolicited adverse events that occur between clinic visits will be recorded in diary cards given to participants.

As detailed in table 1, regular study visits will occur to assess participant safety and well-being, and to ensure treatment compliance and continued supply of the study medication. Additionally at weeks 26 and 52, the cognitive and symptomatic assessment (as well as OM testing for those in the substudy) will be repeated. Repeated neuroimaging (MRI and tau-PET) and CSF sampling for biomarker analyses will occur in the 2 weeks prior to the week 52 clinical visit. A final safety visit will be completed 4 weeks after the end of treatment (week 52) visit.

**Measures**

**Neuroimaging**

MRIs will be acquired during the screening period and week 52. The following sequences are included in the MRI protocol: whole-brain volumetric 3D T1-weighted (0.8 mm isotropic voxels), T2-weighted (0.8 mm isotropic) and T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR; 0.8 mm isotropic) images; multi-echo T2*-weighted images (0.8 mm isotropic) for susceptibility mapping; multi-shell diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI; 2 mm isotropic) and multi-band resting state functional MRI (2.4 mm isotropic).

The primary study outcome will be the change in MRI composite (frontal lobe+midbrain−3rd ventricle) volume, measured using T1-weighted structural MRI, from baseline to 52 weeks. Change in composite volume will be measured using the method described by Höglinger et al.28 Volumes will be corrected for intracranial volume (ICV), and normalised to the mean ICV for the whole study population.

**Cognitive and symptomatic battery**

The cognitive and symptomatic battery, consisting of the following scales will be administered at three timepoints throughout the study, baseline, week 26 and week 52.

**PSP rating scale**

The PSP rating scale is a clinician-administered quantitative measure of disability in participants with PSP.29 The PSP rating scale comprises 28 items in 6 areas. The available total score ranges from 0 (normal) to 100. The six areas assessed are: daily activities, mentation, bulbar, ocular motor, limb and gait.

**Clinical global impression of change**

The CGI-C scale is a clinician-administered scale which measures the change in the patient’s clinical status from a specific point in time.30 Using a 7-point scale, ranging from 1 (very much improved) to 7 (very much worse), with a score of 4 indicating no change.

**Trail Making Test A and B**

The Trail Making Test is a test of visual attention, processing speed and task switching. There are two parts to the test, A and B, where the participant is asked to draw lines to connect 25 targets as quickly as possible without making any mistakes. In the first part of the test, the participant is asked to connect sequential numbers in order (1–25). In the second part the targets alternate between numbers and letters (1, A, 2, B, etc). Part A is a measure of processing speed, while part B measures executive function. The number of seconds taken to complete each path are the scores for this assessment.31

**Frontal assessment battery**

The frontal assessment battery is a battery of six short tests which examines executive function.35 The tests consist of (1) similarities, whereby the participant must identify in what way two objects are similar (eg, banana and orange), (2) verbal fluency, whereby the participant must...
**Table 1** Schedule of assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visit #</th>
<th>Screening</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week</td>
<td>−8 to 0</td>
<td>−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written informed consent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess eligibility</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation of eligibility</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation of Dx of PSP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI scan</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tau PET scan</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar puncture</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical examination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital signs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-lead ECG</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological examination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oculomotor testing (optional)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSP rating scale</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-C</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal assessment battery</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails A and B</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span (forward and reverse)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT and CFT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Stroop</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayling Sentence</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH toolbox</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF-A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-SSRS</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematology</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood collected for future exploratory assessments</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood collected for pharmacokinetic analysis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinalysis (dipstick)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine pregnancy test</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma hCG pregnancy test</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispense drug</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redispense drug</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study drug administration in clinic</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispense diary card</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of diary card</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of AEs/SAEs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of concomitant medications</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BRIEF-A, Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function A; CFT, Category Fluency Test; CGI-C, clinical global impression of change; COWAT, Controlled Oral Word Association Test; C-SSRS, Colombia Suicide Severity Rating Scale; EQ-5D, European Quality of Life, 5 Dimensions; hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; PET, positron emission tomography; PSP, progressive supranuclear palsy; SAE, serious adverse event.
name as many words as they can that begin with a particular letter in 60s, (3) programming, whereby patients are asked to copy then repeat a series of motor acts, (4) conflicting instructions, whereby the participant is asked to tap the table once when the examiner taps it twice, and tap the table twice when the examiner taps it once. After a practice, the trial consists of a series of 10, (5) go/no–go, whereby the participant is instructed to tap the table once if the examiner does so, but do nothing if the examiner taps twice, (6) prehension behaviour, the examiner places the participant’s hands palms up on their knees and instructs them to do nothing, the examiner then places their hands on the participant’s palms and scores according to the participant’s response. The score is computed from summing each of the task scores (range 0–18).

**Category Fluency Test**
The Category Fluency Test is a test of verbal fluency that measures the participant’s ability to spontaneously produce words that belong to a specific category (animals). The participant scored on the number of words they can correctly name in 1 min that belong to that category.

**Controlled Oral Word Association Test**
The Controlled Oral Word Association Test is another test of verbal fluency that also measures executive function. Participants are given a letter of the alphabet and asked to name as many words, within the bounds of test rules (no proper nouns, no repetitions, no identical stem words), that begin with that letter as they can in 1 min. The test is administered three times with three different letters. They are scored on the number of correct responses over the three trials. The whole examination usually takes up to 5 min.

**Digit span**
The digit span test measures both attention and working memory. A sequence of digits is read to the participant, which the participant must then repeat back to the examiner. The length of the digit sequences becoming increasing longer over the test. The test is administered both forwards and backwards, with two trials presented at each string length. The score is the sum of correct trials repeated under the two conditions.

**Victoria Stroop Test**
Executive function is measured by the Victoria Stroop Test. Three test conditions are used whereby the participant must name the colour of the ink of the stimulus presented. In the first condition they are presented with dots, in the second neutral words and the third incongruent colours. There are 24 items for each condition.

**Hayling Sentence Test**
The Hayling Sentence Completion test measures both response initiation and response suppression. The test is entirely verbal, meaning it can be administered to patients who have impairments in reading or visual perception such as those with PSP. The test involves two series of 15 sentences which are missing the final word. For the first part the examiner reads each sentence aloud which the participant must complete as quickly as they can, thus generating a measure of the speed of response initiation. In the second half of the test, the participant must again complete the sentence read to them, but this time with a non-sensical ending, which measures the ability to suppress responses as well as thinking time. The test administration takes approximately 5 min. The test produces three measures of executive function that can be used alone or in combination.

**NIH toolbox**
The NIH toolbox cognitive battery consists of a number of cognitive tests that can be used alone or in combination to assess global cognitive function. It has been designed for longitudinal measurement of participants’ function and is thus validated for repeated administration. The cognitive battery takes approximately 30 min to complete.

**European Quality of Life, 5 Dimensions, 5 Levels**
The European Quality of Life, 5 Dimensions, 5 Levels is a quality of life scale that comprises five dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression. Each dimension has five levels: no problems, slight problems, moderate problems, severe problems and extreme problems, expressed as a single digit (range 1-5). The participant’s health state is the combination of these digits in to a 5-digit number. The participant is also asked to mark their health on a visual analogue scale (range 0–100).

**Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function A**
The Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function A is a participant-administered and informant-administered scale which assesses executive function. In instances where the participant is unable or has limited awareness of their own difficulties, the informant-only report may be used.

The assessment covers nine areas of executive functioning: inhibition, self-monitoring, planning and organisation, attention shifting, initiative task monitoring, emotional control, working memory and organisation of materials. These areas form two broad indices: behavioural regulation and metacognition, as well as an overall global executive composite.

**OM testing**
In participants who consent to the OM substudy, testing will be carried out at baseline, week 26 and week 52. Horizontal and vertical displacement of both eyes will be recorded using the Saccadometer Research Advanced (Ober Consulting) or the Eyelink 1000 plus dark pupil video-oculography system at a sample frequency of 1000Hz. These systems incorporate built-in visual targets using miniature laser projectors mounted on a sensor forehead plate, and records average movement of both eyes in response to a number of pre-programmed trials. Participants will be seated 50cm from
a blank wall. Experimental trials will include: Horizontal saccades (test of basic OM function)—participants will generate saccades to targets that step from centre fixation to either 5° or 10° left or right of centre; vertical saccades (test of basic OM function)—participants will generate saccades to targets that step from centre fixation to either 5° or 10° directly above or below centre; antisaccades (test of inhibitory/executive control)—participants will generate saccades in the mirror-opposite location of targets that step from centre fixation to either 5° or 10° left or right of centre; memory-guided saccades (test of working memory)—participants will generate saccades to the remembered location of a previously presented target that appeared at either 5° or 10° left or right of centre; fixation (test of basic OM function)—participants will maintain fixation on a stationary target that appears at centre or 5° or 10° left or right of centre.

For each task the measures of interest will include: saccade latency, saccade velocity, saccade accuracy and task error. Test results will be stored in the device’s memory, downloaded and transferred to the central laboratory to be collated and analysed.

Safety assessments
Each clinic visit will include the following safety assessments: physical examination, 12-lead ECG and haematology, chemistry and urinalysis (all visits except week 16). Neurological examinations will also be completed at screening, baseline, week 26 and 52. The results of these investigations will be reviewed and clinically significant abnormalities will be documented as adverse events. At each clinic visit the participant and their study partner will be asked about any adverse events or concomitant medications, and any adverse events recorded on the diary cards confirmed and recorded.26

Blood biomarkers
Pharmacokinetic, biomarker and exploratory blood samples will be collected as detailed in table 1. Blood samples (6mL/sample) for pharmacokinetics will be taken predose (~1 hour), then 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 hours after dosing at the baseline, week 8, and week 52 visits. Plasma will be stored at −80°C until measurement of sodium selenate levels for establishing the pharmacokinetic profile.

Plasma and serum samples will be taken at baseline, week 8, week 26 and week 52 for exploratory analyses. Additional samples for DNA and RNA will be taken only at baseline. Biomarkers of neurodegeneration including total-tau, phospho-tau, neurofilament light, as well as testing for genes associated with PSP (MAPT, LRRK2) will be included in the exploratory analyses. Additional hypothesis driven testing may be performed.26

CSF biomarkers
Sampling of CSF will be performed at baseline (pretreatment) and week 52. Approximately 20mL of CSF will be collected using atraumatic needles (20G) and polypropylene tubes (10mL) cooled on ice. Samples will remain on ice until they are aliquotted in to 500µL polypropylene aliquots. Samples will be stored at −80°C until analysis. Planned analyses will measure the proteins total-tau, phospho-tau and NfL. Additional testing of CSF will be performed as new research questions emerge.

Power and sample size
The study is powered to detect a difference in the primary outcome and will therefore be declared positive or negative on the primary outcome measure. The sample size has been determined on the primary outcome variable (MRI composite volume). The annual rate of change of this composite in PSP-RS is −12.9% (SD=7.1).28 The mean atrophy rate in controls is 3.76%.40 A sample size of 46 patients (randomised 1:1 into two groups) would be sufficient to detect a medium effect size (Cohen’s d=0.50, alpha=5%, power=80%). This equates to detecting a 7% rate of atrophy, which is a 46% reduction in atrophy rate compared with the natural history, which will represent a clinically meaningful treatment effect.

Trials in PSP have high withdrawal rates. Recruitment of 70 participants will allow for up to 30% attrition while ensuring the study remains adequately powered. Previous studies have demonstrated the safety and tolerability of sodium selenate, for this reason there will be no interim safety, efficacy or futility analyses.

Outcomes and statistical overview
Primary endpoint
The primary endpoint measure is the change in MRI volume composite (frontal lobe+midbrain–3rd ventricle) from baseline to week 52 between treatment and placebo groups. The primary analysis will include all participants with a post-baseline MRI. Statistical analysis will use a GLM, with the MRI composite at week 52 as the dependent variable, treatment group as an independent variable, and baseline MRI composite as the covariate.

Secondary endpoints
Descriptive statistics (mean, median, minimum, maximum, SD) by visit will report all continuous secondary efficacy endpoints. Data transformations (such as change and percentage change) will be summarised similarly.

The change from baseline to week 52 in PSPRS, CGI-C and midbrain MD (measured on DWI) will be analysed using a GLM which includes the respective baseline measure as a covariate in the model. The model will estimate the adjusted mean change (with 95% confidence limits) as a marker of treatment.

Safety and tolerability
Safety and tolerability measures will be presented as frequency tables of categorical outcomes by visit (number of participants and percentage). Tables will demonstrate both the number of participants affected (N) and the number of incidences (n).

Determination of safety and tolerability will be by the frequency of serious adverse events (SAEs), Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events score ≥3),
frequency of down-titration events and frequency of study discontinuation.26

Monitoring and data quality
In accordance with the International Conference on Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice guidelines, source data verification will be completed by the project manager at regular intervals throughout the study to ensure the eCRF remains up to date, accurate and reliable. The rate of subject recruitment will determine monitoring visit frequency.26

Safety oversight will be provided by an independent medical monitor, who will oversee the study conduct and regularly (every 3 months) review all safety-related events. The DSMB will be made up of an independent clinician, an independent biostatistician and the medical monitor. The DSMB meetings will begin within 2 weeks of the week 8 visit for the third randomised patient or within 1 week of the second SAE occurring, whichever is first. Subsequently meetings will be at 6-monthly intervals. The medical monitor or site principal investigator may request additional DSMB meetings should there be urgent safety concerns. The medical monitor will make recommendations to the principal investigator based on the safety and tolerability issues after each DSMB review.26

Patient and public involvement
Study conception and design did not involve patients. As is required by Australian ethics committees and stated in the study consent forms, a plain English summary of the study will be provided to all study participants (and their person responsible/study partner) at the conclusion of the study. Wider dissemination of the results of the study to the community will be done via the media, patient support groups such as Parkinson’s Australia and PSP Australia, and open events at our hospitals and research institutes.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
Ethics approval for the study has been granted by the Alfred Hospital Ethics Committee (HREC, 594/20). All participants or their legally authorised representative and their study partner will provide written informed consent prior to commencement of any study assessments. An example form is available as online supplemental file 1. The study results will be disseminated through presentations at national and international conferences and published in peer-reviewed journals. Any protocol amendments will be approved by the HREC prior to implementation and subsequently updated on ANZCTR.
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