Prevalence and incidence of Parkinson’s disease and other forms of parkinsonism in a cohort of elderly individuals in Southern Brazil: protocol for a population-based study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Parkinsonism is one of the most common neurological disorders affecting the elderly. Several population-based studies have determined the epidemiology of Parkinsonism, mainly Parkinson’s disease (PD), but there is still little evidence in the Brazilian population. This protocol study aims to assess the prevalence and incidence of cases of PD and other parkinsonian syndromes in a 5-year cohort in a population-based study in the southern region of Brazil.

Methods and analysis A prospective population-based longitudinal study, with a cohort of development of cases of Parkinsonism, divided into two phases: in phase I, two questionnaires to screen for Parkinsonism (Tanner’s questionnaire), Rapid Eyes Movement (REM) sleep behaviour disorder (REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Single-Question Screen) and a short interview will be conducted with all elderly residents of Veranópolis (the first longevity Brazilian county located in the Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil) aged 60 or over. The positive screened cases will be examined independently by at least two movement disorder-trained physicians and prevalence will be determined. A comprehensive evaluation of prodromic symptoms, risk factors and clinical characteristics will be carried out. Subjects with subtle parkinsonism and a sample of healthy subjects will be followed for 5 years in a developmental cohort of Parkinsonism cases. For crude incidence, all individuals admitted at the beginning of the study will be re-evaluated.

Ethics and dissemination The study was approved by the research ethics committee of the Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (protocol n° 4.095.609). All participants provide their informed consent before evaluations. Findings from this survey will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications and will be presented at conferences.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinsonism is one of the most common neurological disorders that affects older adults and is associated with the increased risk of adverse health outcomes and age-related brain pathologies. There are different types of parkinsonism, which can be primary such as Parkinson’s disease (PD), or secondary to medication or other neurological conditions such as vascular and other neurodegenerative diseases.

PD is a cause frequently identified as parkinsonism and has an estimated prevalence of 0.3% in industrialised countries for the entire population and may vary according to the age group, where, in people under the age of 60, it ranges from 0.13% to 1.6% and reaches the maximum of 9% for individuals over 80 years old. Also, there is a slight predominance in men. The incidence is thought to be 15-17 cases per 100 000 person-years.

The prevalence and incidence of PD and parkinsonism vary considerably in research...
reports, probably because of methodological differences, differences in case ascertainment, use of diagnostic criteria, geographical location, results of genetic and environmental factors and ethnic differences. However, based population studies have been estimating rates more concisely and with less variation due to the local geographic control and similar methodologies.

To our knowledge, in Brazil, only two studies using this approach have determined the prevalence of parkinsonism. In the population-based study in Bambuí city (state of Minas Gerais), the prevalence was 3.3% for PD among those over 64 years of age. For other parkinsonian syndromes, only one study conducted in the southwest of the country provides data on individuals over 75 years old and found a crude prevalence of 10.7% of parkinsonian syndromes in this population. Thereby, other Brazilian regions with different environmental and genetic characteristics lack studies on the epidemiology of parkinsonism. Veranópolis, a municipal district in the northeast of Rio Grande do Sul, is considered one of the cities of Brazil with high life expectancy. In the 90s, Veranópolis was recognised as the first longevity Brazilian county. Also, it was the first Brazilian city to receive the certificate of ‘Friendly City for the Elderly’ by the WHO. Life expectancy is 77.9 years for women and 73.2 years for men. This fact has been stimulated researchers to investigate determinants of longevity and several features’ diseases related to ageing.

The ageing process is the most important risk factor to PD, and the differential diagnosis among parkinsonian syndromes is often difficult, based on the characterisation and evolution of clinical signs. Also, considering the prevalence and incidence of parkinsonism is little known in Brazil, and there is high longevity in Veranópolis, we propose this protocol study to assess the prevalence of cases of PD and other parkinsonian syndromes and to verify the conversion of prodromal symptoms to parkinsonian syndromes in a 5-year cohort in a population based of elderly in Veranópolis, Brazil.

METHODS

Study design

This protocol will be carried out in two distinct phases. Phase I (baseline survey) will be a cross-sectional, observational, population-based study, with the assessment of the prevalence of cases of PD and other parkinsonism. Phase II (incidence of parkinsonism) will be a prospective population-based longitudinal study, with the development cohort of cases of parkinsonism. In this phase, the participants who reach the end of phase I will be followed up annually for 5 years. Also, all participants contacted in phase I will be reassessed to determine the incidence of parkinsonism.

Eligibility

Eligible individuals are those ≥aged 60 years, living in Veranópolis, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

Population and recruitment

This study will be carried out with the participation of older people aged 60 or over, living in the county of Veranópolis, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil and will be developed as an arm of the Veranópolis project: Studies on Aging, Longevity and Quality of Life, which addresses a large number of older people in the city. According to the last census, the population of Veranópolis is estimated at 25,936 inhabitants, where 3,493 individuals are over 60 years old. Thus, our goal is to recruit all individuals over 60 years old of this city at the baseline survey to establish a census of the crude prevalence of PD and other parkinsonian syndromes. Initial recruitment started in August 2020 and the final recruitment is expected to occur in January 2023. Initially, participants have been invited to participate via advertisements in local radio programmes, where information about the study, the importance of this investigation and how they could be contacted have been provided. Two distinct methods are used to improve the recruitment of the baseline participants. First, we contact by phone call all individuals registered in the Veranópolis project. Second, a door-to-door approach will be conducted to assess the individuals registered in the health units or who cannot be reached by phone.

Those who screened positively showing clinical signs or symptoms in the second phase will be invited to participate in the 5-year cohort. A paired sample by age and sex that does not show any symptom will also be invited to participate in the cohort. They will be selected from negative screened in the first phase (figure 1). Matching will consider one control for two confirmed cases in the cohort study.

In addition, participants who obtain a clinical diagnosis of PD will be admitted to the cohort to monitor the progression of the disease.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in the study’s design, conduction, reporting or dissemination plans.

Measures

We chose our instruments for the cohort survey following the Movement Disorders Society (MDS) recommendation of the last update of criteria for Prodromal PD in order to evaluate potential predictive values of risk and prodromal markers in our individuals. Therefore, we also included additional domains relevant for the general clinical aspects and a screening questionnaire for phase I. The instruments for data collection are divided into five classes: (1) clinical and sociodemographic screening; (2) parkinsonian syndrome screening; (3) prodromal screening, which includes the evaluation of non-motor symptoms such as (a) REM sleep behavioural disorder (RBD), (b) hyposmia, (c) constipation, (d) postural hypertension, (e) depressive symptoms, (f) excessive daytime somnolence, (g) global cognitive deficit, (h) aggressiveness and (i) loss of verbal fluency; (4) Quality of Life and

Nutritional Assessment and (5) screening of biochemical markers. An overview of all the clinical aspects and instruments is presented in table 1.

**Procedures**

We plan to execute a two-phase survey ascertainment approach to verify the prevalence (phase I, the baseline survey) and incidence of cases (phase II, the cohort survey). A flowchart of the procedures in each phase is presented in figure 1.

**Baseline survey—investigating the prevalence of parkinsonian syndromes and non-motor symptoms associated with PD**

In phase I, a cross-sectional study will be carried out in the first step to assess the prevalence of parkinsonian syndromes. Trained interviewers will contact by phone or in their residence in Veranópolis and apply Tanner’s questionnaire, RBDQ1 (items 2 and 3a), and questions that address the following aspects: age, sex, coffee consumption and smoking habits. Interviews are occurring daily by phone and presentional door-to-door is expected to occur once a week. Those who present positive scores for motor or non-motor symptoms will be referred for neurological evaluation. These individuals will perform a neurological evaluation using MDS-Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS). During the consultation, individuals will be evaluated by two movement disorder-trained neurologists. A senior neurologist expert in movement disorders will evaluate all suspect cases to confirm the diagnosis and etiologic classification of parkinsonism. Presentional consultations will occur monthly at Moriguchi Institute. In each case, we...
Table 1  Measures and instruments of the survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical and sociodemographic screening</strong></td>
<td>Age, sex, education, occupation, family history of parkinsonism, diabetes, physical activity, smoking, tea and coffee consumption, exposure to pesticides and heavy metals, comorbidities, medications in use, and history of falls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinsonian syndrome screening</td>
<td>The Tanner’s questionnaire adapted by Barbosa et al., consists of nine objective and easily interpreted items about changes and difficulties in motor function. A cut-off score of ≥ 2 will be used, whose sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of parkinsonism is 100% and 29%, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prodromal screening</strong></td>
<td>A single screening question, self-administered, answered with ‘yes’ or ‘no’, for the classic representation of the dramatisation of dreams with a sensitivity of 93.8% and a specificity of 87.2%, being used for screening of RBD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 13-item, self-administered, dichotomous instrument, which may be useful for RBD screening and diagnosis of Brazilian patients with Parkinson’s disease (PD).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sniffin’ Sticks odor identification test (SST—Burghart Medizintchnik, Germany)</strong></td>
<td>It consists of 16 pens with the common odorants: orange, rose, banana, cinnamon, peppermint, licorice, garlic, coffee, leather, apple, cloves, pineapple, anise, fish, lemon and turpentine (strong pine aroma). During the test, the pen is placed 2 cm from the nostrils of the patient, who needs to identify the odor and choose one of the four options listed for each pen. The interval between each pen is at least 30 s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Constipation</strong></td>
<td>Screening about usual daily bowel movement frequency and the use of laxatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postural hypotension</strong></td>
<td>Assessment of blood pressure and heart rate in the supine position (period in supine position before the measurement is at least 5 min) and for up to 3 min while upright. A positive test shows a decrease in systolic blood pressure (BP) ≥ 20 mm Hg and/or in diastolic BP ≥ 10 mm Hg changing from supine to upright position. If the test is negative, the passive head-up tilt testing is recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beck Depression Inventory-II</strong></td>
<td>It consists of 21 items, ranging in intensity from 0 to 3, related to depressive symptoms. A good cut-off of 13/14 has been suggested in PD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epworth Sleepiness Scale</strong></td>
<td>It is a self-administered questionnaire that refers to the possibility of napping in eight everyday situations. To scale the probability of napping, a scale from 0 to 3 is used, where 0 corresponds to none and three represents the high probability of napping. Scores &gt; 10 points indicate a great possibility of Excessive Daytime Sleepiness and scores &gt; 16 are indicative of severe sleepiness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Montreal Cognitive Assessment</strong></td>
<td>It is a brief screening for mild cognitive decline that evaluates executive functions, visuospatial skills, naming, memory recovery, digits, sentence, abstract reasoning and orientation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory—2</strong></td>
<td>It is an instrument that provides concise measures of the experience and expression of anger. It makes it possible to investigate not only the intensity of angry feelings but the frequency with which they are experienced. It contains 57 items that assess the state of anger, traits of anger and anger control, with an average application time of 12–15 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verbal fluency test (FAS)</strong></td>
<td>In semantic FAS, subjects are asked to speak the largest number of animals for 1 min. In phonemic FAS, the subjects will be asked to generate the largest number of words starting with / F / / A / / S / for 1 min, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MDS—Unified Assessment Scale for Parkinson’s Disease (MDS-UPDRS)</strong></td>
<td>The MDS-UPDRS is an instrument to assess the progression and effectiveness of treatments in PD. It consists of 42 items, classified into four parts: part I (non-motor aspects of daily life), part II (motor aspects of daily life), part III (motor evaluation) and part IV (motor complications). Prodromal criteria recommend a MDS-UPDRS score &gt; 6 for possible subthreshold parkinsonism, excluding postural and action tremor and possible confounders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of Life and Nutritional Assessment</strong></td>
<td>It consists of 24 questions, which vary on a scale of 1 to 5 points. The questions are related to sensory abilities; autonomy; past, present, and future activities; social participation; death and dying; and intimacy. Higher scores represent good quality of life and lower scores represent a low quality of life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Food record</strong></td>
<td>A food record will be applied for three alternating days of the week. The individual reports all the food and drinks consumed, including the food consumed outside the home.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
attempt to provide a cause of parkinsonism according to current diagnosis criteria (table 2).

If participants have not received a definitive diagnosis after neurological assessment, then they will receive one of the diagnostic impressions according to the following conditions:
1. Diagnosis of Clinically Established Probable PD.
2. Diagnosis of Clinically Established PD.
3. Atypical parkinsonism.
5. Motor symptoms secondary to another neurological condition (non-parkinsonian condition, eg, stroke, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, etc).
6. Motor symptoms secondary to a condition other than central nervous system (CNS) disease (osteoarthritis, musculoskeletal injuries, etc).
7. Presence of RBD with no motor symptoms.
8. Presence of subtle parkinsonism without diagnostic criteria for the conditions above.

Once a neurological condition is identified, the individual will receive guidance from the neurologist and professional team on the available treatments.

After performing the diagnostic impression, individuals classified in groups A, B, G and H and a sample of asymptomatic individuals will respond to the instruments corresponding to the complete clinical and sociodemographic questionnaire, prodromal and risk markers, quality of life and nutritional assessment. During the appointment, a blood sample will be collected for the analysis of biomarkers. Sample processing will occur in two different forms. Samples for routine exams will be processed at the local laboratory unit that serves the city community, following the processing protocols of the biochemical unit. To measure the levels of transferrin, ferritin, iron and uric acid, a blood collection will be made with a total volume of 10 mL of venous blood from the patients. The samples will be placed in tubes without anticoagulants and centrifuged. The plasma serum will be frozen at a temperature of −80°C until analysis. The serum levels of the evaluated markers will be measured using ELISA kits (ELISA method), using commercial reagents according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Definition of parkinsonism causes according to current diagnosis criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parkinsonism</td>
<td>Diagnosis criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic PD</td>
<td>United Kingdom Brain Bank Criteria.33–35 Other causes should have been excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple system atrophy</td>
<td>Consensus Statement.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticobasal degeneration</td>
<td>NINDS-SPSP criteria.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia with Lewy bodies.</td>
<td>Consensus guidelines for the clinical and pathological diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive supranuclear palsy</td>
<td>NINDS-SPSP criteria.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-induced parkinsonism</td>
<td>Historic of antidopaminergic drugs in the 6 months preceding the initial symptoms and previously negative for parkinsonism signs. The diagnosis is confirmed if in 1 month later, without the use of antidopaminergic drugs the parkinsonism disappears.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular parkinsonism</td>
<td>Presence at least two of these clinical conditions: repeated strokes with abrupt onset (with the progression of parkinsonism signs), pyramidal signs, broad-based rigid gait, and hypertension. Also, imaging exams presenting lesions in white matter, in basal ganglia or widespread in both hemispheres.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NINDS-SPSP, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke and the Society for Progressive supranuclear palsy; PD, Parkinson’s disease.
Cohort survey—investigation of the incidence of parkinsonian syndromes and the risk of converting associated non-motor symptoms to PD

Participants who have reached the end of phase I will be invited to participate in the cohort. However, groups C–F will be excluded from this phase once they have a specific diagnosis according to the consensus criteria or have no suspicion of prodromal symptoms. Thus, participants with primary PD diagnosis, changes suggestive of RBD without motor changes, the presence of subtle parkinsonism without diagnostic criteria for the above conditions (groups A–B and G–H) and the control sample will be followed up annually up to complete 5 years.

Annually, neurological evaluations will be performed, including the assessments using the same instruments and blood collection samples as in phase I. We plan to perform five consecutive collections from the completion of phase I. The screening questionnaires will be applied in controls to verify the development of parkinsonism syndromes in this group. In the case of positive screening in this group, the participant will receive the same orientations and follow-up as the other positive parkinsonian participant. The expected follow-up period is 5 years.

In addition, to verify the new cases of parkinsonism in the total elderly population of Veranópolis, all participants screened at the beginning of the study will be contacted and evaluated after 5 years.

Analytical approach

Initially, survey data will be stored in the Excel programme from the Microsoft Office professional plus 2019. For each instrument, we will follow all recommended methods of summarisation and presentation of data. We will monitor missing data according to the number of participants admitted during the data collection and take proactive measures to minimise it in future collections. We plan to use for minor missing data a multiple imputation method, where the imputed items are used to obtain punctual estimates.

For quantitative analyses, we will export data to IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows V.26. Quantitative variables will be assessed to verify their distribution characteristics. In case of asymmetry, non-parametric tests, transformation methods of data or alternative methods (eg, qualitative analysis) will be used appropriately if necessary. Descriptive analysis will characterise participants’ responses or scores using mean, the SD of mean, median, minimum and maximum or percentage, according to the variable type and considering the distribution analysis. For comparisons, we will use the $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables and $t$ test for continuous variables, such as sociodemographic status, clinical assessments and biomarkers. Non-parametric tests will be appropriately used when necessary.

The cross-sectional survey will obtain prevalence rates for all types of parkinsonism found and for specific aetiologies, according to age and sex, using the $\chi^2$ test. For the association between risk factors, multiple linear or logistic regression will be performed for continuous outcomes or Poisson regression with robust variances to analyse qualitative variables.

In the cohort survey, we will employ Cox proportional hazard models to determine the number of participants with the development of PD or another parkinsonism type. Also, a generalised linear mixed model or mixed logistic regression will be used for repeated measures. All associations will be first assessed using univariate models. After, we will include specific covariates in each model according to the clinical relevance associated with the outcome of interest in the multivariate analysis. Time variable will be an important continuous predictor in the models (being coded as 0 months=0, 12 months=1, 2 years=2, 3 years=3, 4 years=4 and 5 years=5). In all analyses, the significance level corresponding to $p<0.05$ will be considered.

RECRUITMENT PROGRESS

We started the recruitment of phase I in August 2020. Until now, 766 older people were partially screened and presented a mean age of 73.00±8.33 years, schooling of 8.64±9.66 years of study and 59% (452) were women. Regarding smoking habits, 73.5% never smoked, 23.8% reported previous smoking habits and only 2.7% currently smoke. The initial screening for parkinsonism, according to Tanner’s Questionnaire, 20.7% had a positive outcome. RBD1Q showed 10.6% of individuals had a positive screening for REM sleep disorders. Thus, of the sample collected, 25.4% were considered able to perform the neurological appointment. Phase I is in progress only by phone call due to the COVID-19 pandemic. We estimate to start the neurological assessment in July 2021, following all preventive and health measures provided by local health authorities and WHO.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The research ethics committee of the Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA) Brazil (protocol n° 4.095.609) approved the study. All participants provide their informed consent before evaluations. The findings of this survey will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications and will be presented at conferences.
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