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nSeP: immune and metabolic biomarkers for early detection of neonatal sepsis—protocol for a prospective multicohort study

Mallinath Chakraborty,1,2 Patricia R S Rodrigues,2 W John Watkins,3 Angela Hayward,1 Alok Sharma,1 Rachel Hayward,1 Elisa Smit,1 Rebekka Jones,1 Nitin Goel,3 Amarporn Asokkumar,1 Jennifer Calvert,1 David Odd,1 Ian Morris,1 Cora Doherty,1 Sian Elliott,1 Angela Strang,2 Robert Andrews,2 Summiah Zaher,4 Simran Sharma,5,6 Sarah Bell,7 Siva Oruganti,1 Claire Smith,8 Judith Orme,5 Sarah Edkins,2 Marie Craig,9 Daniel White,2 Widad Dantoft,2 Linda Moet,2 James E McLaren,2 Samantha Clarkstone,2 Gareth L Watson,2 Kerenza Hood,2 Sailesh Kotecha,1,2 Patrícia R S Rodrigues,2 W John Watkins,3 Peter Ghazal,11


Received 15 February 2021
Accepted 02 December 2021

ABSTRACT

Introduction  Diagnosing neonatal sepsis is heavily dependent on clinical phenotyping as culture-positive body fluid has poor sensitivity, and existing blood biomarkers have poor specificity. A combination of machine learning, statistical and deep pathway biology analyses led to the identification of a tripartite panel of biologically connected immune and metabolic markers that showed greater than 99% accuracy for detecting bacterial infection with 100% sensitivity. The cohort study described here is designed as a large-scale clinical validation of this previous work.

Methods and analysis  This multicentre observational study will prospectively recruit a total of 1445 newborn infants—1084 with suspected early—or late-onset sepsis, and 361 controls—over 4 years. A small volume of whole blood will be collected from infants with suspected sepsis at the time of presentation. This sample will be used for integrated transcriptomic, lipidomic and targeted proteomics profiling. In addition, a subset of samples will be subjected to cellular phenotype and proteomic analyses. A second sample from the same patient will be collected at 24 hours, with an opportunistic sampling for stool culture. For control infants, only one set of blood and stool sample will be collected to coincide with clinical blood sampling. Along with detailed clinical information, blood and stool samples will be analysed and the information will be used to identify and validate the efficacy of immune-metabolic networks in the diagnosis of bacterial neonatal sepsis and to identify new host biomarkers for viral sepsis.

Ethics and dissemination  The study has received research ethics committee approval from the Wales Research Ethics Committee 2 (reference 19/WA/0008) and operational approval from Health and Care Research Wales. Submission of study results for publication will include making available all anonymised primary and processed data on public repository sites.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Early diagnosis of sepsis working towards a rapid point-of-care test using a single drop of blood.
- Identification of host–response patterns for discriminating various infections (bacterial, viral and fungal).
- Simultaneous study on maternal sepsis (ongoing) with linking of data between the mother–infant dyad.
- Involvement of multiple centres for extending the applicability of findings to other populations.

Trial registration number  NCT03777670

INTRODUCTION

Neonatal sepsis: definition and epidemiology

Neonatal sepsis remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality, especially in preterm and low birth weight infants.1 Globally, 2.6 million newborn infants are estimated to die every year (about 7000 everyday), accounting for 46% of deaths in children younger than 5 years.2 Estimated rates of death vary from 17.6 per 1000 live births to 20 per 1000 live births.3 While the precise cause of death is difficult to ascertain in many cases, complications after preterm birth, birth asphyxia and neonatal sepsis remain the major causes of neonatal deaths worldwide.3 The majority of deaths occur in low-to-middle-income countries, where the impact of neonatal infection, in particular, is significant.4 In the UK, around 10% of live-born infants are treated empirically with
Diagnosis of neonatal sepsis: current approach and challenges

Clinicians use a combination of physiological, biochemical (C reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin), haematological (full blood count (FBC), white cell count, neutrophils) and microbiological investigations to diagnose sepsis. However, the biochemical or haematological tests fare poorly as sensitive or specific markers of sepsis. Currently, the gold standard for diagnosing sepsis, including neonatal sepsis, remains a culture-positive body fluid that is normally sterile (e.g., blood, CSF, urine). However, sepsis is a dynamic clinical condition and has been difficult to define even in adults. Traditional laboratory culture methods are not able to grow all bacteria and are not always positive even in the presence of clinical signs.

Newborn infants may have reduced clinical signs and symptoms suggestive of sepsis; a problem exacerbated in EOS after exposure to potentially pathogenic organisms during delivery or established maternal infection. Consequently, clinical practice is often to have a low threshold for starting antibiotics in infants, often based on a combination of risk factors. Overall, the incidence of proven EOS remains low in clinically well infants started on a combination of risk factors. Onset sepsis occurs within the first 72 hours after birth and late-onset sepsis occurs after the first 3 days of life.

Pathway network analysis of whole blood—a system biology approach

Several studies have shown that changes in host gene expression occur in response to infection, allowing blood cells to act as biosensors for the changes. Here, the analyses of unbiased high throughput measurements, such as the transcriptome of whole blood, describe a systems biology approach that can be further extended to integrate other multiple types of ‘omic’ data such as metabolomics and proteomics data as well as integrative cellular phenotyping.

Earlier studies from our group investigating optimal methods for the sampling and extraction of neonatal whole-blood transcription products demonstrated the first feasibility studies for using genome-wide RNA analysis as a methodological approach for identifying host biomarkers of infection and vaccination in early life. The sampling methods were further refined in 2015 with the development of a single drop (50 μl) methodology. Furthermore, virtual clinical trials showed the requirement for multiple markers; optimally 24 biomarkers, in discrete biological pathways underpinning causality using blood samples taken at the first presentation of clinical infection. A combination of machine learning, statistical and deep pathway biology analyses led to the identification of a 52-gene probe panel of biologically connected network modules. Nineteen biomarkers were found to provide a zero per cent misclassification, a number very close to the simulation prediction of 24. The modules comprise three central pathways, innate-immune or inflammatory, adaptive-immune and, unexpectedly at that time, metabolic and in combination, give an unusually high diagnostic quality. Despite patient heterogeneity and possible confounding issues with gestational age, the pathway biomarkers had greater than 99% accuracy for detecting bacterial infection with 100% sensitivity (figure 1). Furthermore, these specific combinations of biomarkers allowed the detection of neonatal sepsis in samples that had displayed blood culture negative results, illustrating the specific diagnostic benefits of the combinations of biomarkers. The high accuracy and sensitivity values could not have resulted from the investigation of any of the individual biomarkers alone. A critical part of these findings is the integration of metabolic pathways for increasing both sensitivity and specificity. To date, these approach, the Kaiser Permanente group from California created a multivariable prediction model for EOS incorporating existing risk factors along with the infant’s clinical status at birth. Implementation of this model has significant potential for reducing the empirical use of antibiotics for EOS and has been incorporated in the recent NICE guidance (NG195). However, these initiatives do not have an impact on the fundamental problem: the lack of a rapid and definitive test to conclusively diagnose neonatal sepsis. Until that goal is achieved, significant progress in managing newborn infants with suspected sepsis is unlikely.

Significantly, there have been attempts at antibiotic stewardship in the neonatal community. Using a Bayesian
Hypothesis and specific aims

We hypothesise that integrative analytical measurements of networked immune and metabolic pathways that selectively change on infection can accurately identify neonatal sepsis and discriminate from bacterial, viral or fungal infection. Our specific aims include:

1. To conduct prospective observational studies on validation cohorts of infants with suspected sepsis (cases) and blood culture positive neonatal sepsis cases (N=26) using the tri-pathway classifier for neonatal bacterial infection, comprising probes for (A) 25 genes for the innate (inflammatory and danger signals), (B) 12 gene probes for the metabolic and (C) nine gene probes comprising adaptive immune pathways. Control, blue; bacterial sepsis, red.

2. To apply clinical phenotyping to identify bacterial, viral, fungal and non-infective inflammatory conditions (eg, necrotising enterocolitis, hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy, intraventricular haemorrhage) and to apply machine learning and deep systems network and pathway analyses.

3. To conduct prospective observational studies on validation cohorts of infants with suspected sepsis and to further discriminate between the type of infection (in particular, bacterial, viral or fungal) and predictability of progression to sepsis.

METHODS

Inclusion criteria

The study is open to the inclusion of neonates admitted to neonatal units in the UK and international centres. To qualify for inclusion, they must be:

1. Screened with traditional tests (FBC, inflammatory markers like CRP and blood culture) for suspected sepsis (including non-infective inflammatory conditions) and started on antibiotics (suspected sepsis).
2. Being sampled for non-septic conditions (blood sampling for routine monitoring, jaundice, hypoglycaemia, etc) (Controls).
3. Have informed consent from parents/guardians to use blood and stool samples (initial sample and 24-hour sample) and clinical data for the study.

Exclusion criteria

Although no specific clinical exclusion criteria will be used, the research team may consider excluding some infants if faced with the following situations:

1. Language and communication issues, which impair informed consent even after using interpreters.
2. When, in the opinion of the attending clinician, an infant is not expected to survive the next 24 hours (to avoid undue distress to the family).

Group stratification criteria

The control group will comprise neonates being sampled for non-septic conditions (blood sampling for routine monitoring, jaundice, hypoglycaemia, etc).

The ‘suspected sepsis’ group will include neonates suspected of having an infection due to risk factors for EOS, clinical signs or other features suggestive of sepsis (NICE CG149 and NG195). These infants will undergo standard clinical investigations (including blood/CSF culture, FBC, biochemistry including CRP as appropriate) and be started on antibiotic treatment. Infants will subsequently be further classified into three groups (figure 2):

1. Confirmed sepsis: infants with a positive blood/CSF culture with a pathogenic organism agreed to be the cause of clinical symptoms.
2. Confirmed no sepsis: infants with no growth on their blood culture, no change in their differential cell counts or rise on CRP and become clinically well within 24 hours. These infants will usually have short-term antibiotics (36 hours), which will be discontinued once culture results (including blood) are available.
3. Clinical sepsis (judgement made by two senior clinicians blinded to blood culture outcome): infants who have no growth of a pathogenic organism in blood/CSF culture but fulfil at least one criterion from each of the following three domains or ≥3 criteria in total

- Ongoing clinical signs in keeping with sepsis and unexplained by other causes, including irregular temperature (<36.5°C, >37.5°C); lethargy; poor feeding/feed intolerance/vomiting; impaired central or peripheral perfusion; haemodynamic signs

Figure 2  Details of study workflow. MS = mass spectrometry, FA = fatty acids
(tachycardia, hypotension); hypoglycaemia/hyperglycaemia; apnoea; increased ventilatory support; seizures; poor urine output.
- Change in blood results from normal range, including white cell count <4 x 10^9/L or >20 x 10^9/L, platelets count <100 x 10^9/L, CRP >15 mg/L, hyperlactataemia >2.0 mmol/L.
- Continued use of antibiotics by the clinical team for at least 5 days due to ongoing suspicion of sepsis or clinical concerns.

Medical notes and charts from these infants will be examined by two senior clinicians independently to reach a diagnosis of clinical sepsis. If there is a disagreement between senior clinicians in diagnosing clinical sepsis, then a third senior clinician will act as the adjudicator to reach a final diagnosis of sepsis.

Recruitment and consent
Infants in the neonatal unit can be suspected of having sepsis at any point during their admission. This is considered an emergency, and the intervention (screening and starting antibiotics) is delivered to infants often without prior discussion with parents/guardians.

For our study, sample collection along with other screening tests at the beginning of the infective episode and before starting antibiotics would be most appropriate. However, if parents/guardians are not present at that time to provide informed consent, this could bias the study towards less significant sepsis episodes. Thus, we will collect and store the first blood sample during the initial screening and seek deferred consent from parents/guardians within 72 hours of the sample collection. At this time, parents/guardians will be asked to provide written consent for use of their infant’s previously collected blood and stool samples and have routine health-related information of mother and infant collected from their medical records. Consent will be taken by a trained doctor, nurse, or research staff member.

For control infants, where sepsis is not suspected, there is usually sufficient time to discuss the study with the infant’s parents/guardians. Written consent will be sought before any samples are taken; consent will also cover the collection of routine health-related information while their infant is in the NICU. Consent will be taken by an appropriately trained doctor, nurse or research staff member. Deferred consent will only be sought in emergencies where sepsis is suspected as described above, and ethical approval was granted to do this (REC reference 19/WA/0008).^{34–37} For infants with possible sepsis, consent will be sought from parents/guardians to collect samples from three distinct episodes in total, each separated by at least 1 week.

Wherever consent has not been granted by parents/guardians, all samples will be discarded, and the infant will not be entered into the research study.

We will follow the CONsent methods in children’s emergency medicine and urgent Care Trials (CONNECT) guidance related to deferred consenting in paediatric trials (https://www.liverpool.ac.uk/psychology-health-and-society/research/connect/).

The clinical pathway will involve the following steps (figure 3):

1. Suspected sepsis in an admitted newborn infant on the neonatal unit, leading to screening tests (FBC, CRP, blood culture), or control infants who are undergoing phlebotomy for routine monitoring, jaundice, hypoglycaemia, etc. Along with the clinical screening tests, two additional small samples of blood (50 µl or one drop of blood each for immune-metabolite and transcriptomic analysis, respectively, a total of 100 µl) and a sample of stool will be collected for the research study in a minivette or capillary tube and stored in a vial at −20°C on the unit.
2. At the earliest opportunity, the clinical team will approach parents/guardians for permission for the research team members to discuss the study with them.
3. A research team member will give detailed written information to parents/guardians and inform them of the sample collection. At this stage, parents/guardians will be reassured that the child is not yet in the research study and will only do so if parents/guardians give consent. They will also be reassured that participation is voluntary; if they decline, this will not affect the clinical care of their child in any way, and the samples of blood collected for the research study will be discarded on the ward using the usual pathway (as per Human Tissue Act).
4. After giving parents/guardians reasonable time to consider the information, and an opportunity to ask questions, written consent will be requested from them for their child to participate in the study.

Figure 3 Study flow diagram for the collection of samples.
blood sample (with or without a stabiliser for cellular phenotyping) and after cell, and targeted proteomics and metabolomics analyses will be banked for future use, for validating future diagnostic platforms. Stool samples initially stored at 4°C are processed within 24 hours for later bacterial cultivation by suspension and homogenization into PBS supplemented with 25% glycerol and subsequent freezing in cryogenic vials at −80°C degrees. Stool samples initially stored at −20°C are intended for lipid quantification and are transferred within 24 hours to −80°C storage in the original stool sample tube. Stool samples will be processed for metabolite and microbial analysis using standard methods and are no longer considered human tissue.

We aim to apply a systems biology multiomic analysis of blood samples. This will involve using microarray and RNAseq methodology for probing the transcriptome of whole blood. While for specific immune cell characterisation, we will use techniques such as the Cellular Indexing of Transcriptomes and Epitopes by Sequencing (CITE-Seq) methods that perform RNAseq along with quantitative and qualitative information on surface proteins at a single-cell level. For metabolite and proteomic analyses, we will use the methods of liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) in a targeted high-throughput manner, and we will use quantitative lipidic profiling (LC-MS/MS) for comprehensive screening of specific pathways such as the complement system.

**Clinical data**

Detailed clinical data will be collected from the participant, including the following:

1. Demographic data (gestation, birth weight, age in days, mode of delivery, sex).
2. Antenatal clinical data (chorioamnionitis, antenatal corticosteroids, intrapartum antibiotics and type of delivery).
3. Reason for suspecting sepsis:
   - Risk factors for EOS.
   - Clinical signs.
4. Standard markers of infection at presentation (CRP, white cell count, neutrophil count).
5. Vital physiological monitoring data (continuous and discrete).
6. Final diagnosis
   - Infection
     - (1) confirmed bacterial sepsis (blood culture),
     - (2) confirmed non-bacterial sepsis (viral/fungal by immunology, PCR, blood culture),
     - (3) clinical sepsis (consensus of two senior attending neonatal clinicians).
   - Non-infective inflammation.
   - Not infected and not inflamed.
7. Outcome of sepsis episode defined as the duration of antibiotic treatment:
   - Complete clinical resolution.
   - Clinical resolution with sequelae.
respectively.

Statistical analysis plan
Sample size calculation and data analyses plan
Prior transcriptomic power calculations for sampling neonates and infants from a variety of different populations have shown a power sample calculation that ranges from N=10 to 30 for 90% power to detect twofold change with a false discovery rate-adjusted type-1-error (alpha) of 0.01 in genome wide gene expression.26 27 Both data processing and analysis will be performed with R Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing (2016). Available from http://www.R-project.org) followed by quality control and data processing using Bioconductor packages.38 Preliminary lipidomic investigations using a new platform suggest that for 1084 cases and 361 controls (total 1445), 70% of the biomarkers would distinguish cases from controls with a power of 0.95 or better with the standard alpha of 0.05. As the platform technology for these measurements is new, further power calculations for lipid metabolite data (using independent data sets) will be reassessed during the study applying an adaptive approach, which may change the sample size calculation further. For RNAseq and microarray transcriptomic data, a per-gene hypothesis of differential average expression will be tested using a negative binomial generalised linear model—DESeq2 package and the empirical Bayes moderated t test—limma package, respectively,39 and resulting p-values will be adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg and Bonferroni-Holm algorithm, respectively.40 For classification, a variety of machine learning and statistical pathway biology approaches, as described in Smith et al,26 will be used. Pathway analyses will be carried out stepwise using a pathway biology approach, becoming more focused. For metabolomic and proteomic data, absolute concentrations (determined by LC-MS/MS) of analytes in extracts from blood samples will apply validated tools such as MetaboAnalyst V.4.0.11 Further multivariate statistical testing (principal component analysis, partial least squares-discriminant analysis, random forest, analysis of variance) using group assignment derived from clinical phenotyping (types of sepsis and between sepsis and non-septic controls), will also be used to determine metabolites or proteins that are significantly different in abundance between clinical phenotypes. For single-cell analyses (CITE-seq12 using surface protein and RNA libraries (10× Genomics) and next-generation sequencing, it will be multiplexed by cell ‘hashing’.43 Demultiplexed sequencing data will be aligned to the reference transcriptome using CellRanger (10× Genomics) and the number of unique molecular identifiers per cell will be quantified. Computational analyses and quality control will be performed using R packages including Seurat44 to integrate hashtag, protein and RNA libraries while also enabling demultiplexing of donors, doublet detection and cell clustering.

For the final pathway biomarker assessment of the predictive success of the model, receiver operator characteristics curves will be applied.

Patient and public involvement
Patients and advisory groups were directly involved in the planning and preparatory stages of this research study, and study results will be disseminated through sepsis advisory groups.

FUNDING, ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
The study has received funding from the Welsh Government for a Ser Cymru II programme grant for Project Sepsis and ethical approval from the Wales Research Ethics Committee 2. Operational approval was received from Health and Care Research Wales and local resource and capacity were supported by the Research and Development Department of the Cardiff and Vale University Health Board. The study is sponsored by Cardiff University. The sensor or funder do not have any role in the study design data collection or analysis. Submission of study results for publication will involve making available all anonymised primary and processed data on public repository sites. All study results will be presented at national and international conferences and published in peer-reviewed open access journals.

DISCUSSION
The nSeP study is the first large-scale independent validation for a new blood test to diagnose neonatal sepsis based on a combination of transcriptomic and lipidomic data. We hypothesise that this test will discriminate bacterial from viral sepsis and non-infected patients. The potential strengths of the study are:
1. Early diagnosis, offering a rapid point-of-care test in time.
2. A clear distinction between infected and non-infected patients.
3. Identification of pattern of various infections (bacterial, viral and fungal).
4. Simultaneous study on maternal sepsis with linking of data between the mother–infant dyad.
5. Potentially change clinical practice by identifying or ruling out infection early.

Recruitment to the study started in March 2020. However, due to the global pandemic, recruitment to non-COVID-19-related studies was temporarily halted. Recruitment was restarted again in October 2020 but slowed down in the first 3 months of 2021 due to the redeployment of key staff. Since April 2021, we have been consistently recruiting to the study. From collaborating centres, we have now recruited 603 infants (blinded to cases and controls) so far.

The investigation of transcriptomic data will provide the necessary validation of the original 52-biomarker classifier. More importantly, the study will allow a further
reduction to a minimal set with high reproducibility, sensitivity and specificity in multiple cohorts; we anticipate from 3 to 19 biomarkers dependant on performance. The high dimensionality of the primary data allows for further computationally intensive work using machine learning and artificial intelligence to identify completely new biomarkers for increasing overall reliability and accuracy. New biomarkers would be derived from the different data modalities, comprising gene transcript and lipid species levels. The validated biomarkers would be implemented in the near term in clinical settings using a computationally efficient scoring algorithm applied to existing well-established diagnostic platforms (point-of-care or laboratory based), such as PCR and mass spectrometry. Optimisation for the negative predictive value for the test will be a key feature of the scoring algorithm.
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