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ABSTRACT

Introduction  The annual mortality and national expense of the opioid crisis continue to rise in the USA (130 deaths/day, $50 billion/year). Opioid use disorder usually starts with the prescription of opioids for a medical condition. Its risk is associated with greater pain intensity and coping strategies characterised by pain catastrophising. Non-pharmacological analgesics in the hospital setting are critical to abate the opioid epidemic. One promising intervention is virtual reality (VR) therapy. It has performed well as a distraction tool and pain modifier during medical procedures; however, little is known about VR in the acute pain setting following traumatic injury. Furthermore, no studies have investigated VR in the setting of traumatic brain injury (TBI). This study aims to establish the safety and effect of VR therapy in the inpatient setting for acute traumatic injuries, including TBI.

Methods and analysis  In this randomised within-subjects clinical study, immersive VR therapy will be compared with two controls in patients with traumatic injury, including TBI. Affective measures including pain catastrophising, trait anxiety and depression will be captured prior to beginning sessions. Before and after each session, we will capture pain intensity and unpleasantness, additional affective measures and physiological measures associated with pain response, such as heart rate and variability, pupillometry and respiratory rate. The primary outcome is the change in pain intensity of the VR session compared with controls.

Ethics and dissemination  Dissemination of this protocol will allow researchers and funding bodies to stay abreast in their fields through exposure to research not otherwise widely publicised. Study protocols are compliant with federal regulation and University of Maryland Baltimore’s Human Research Protections and Institutional Review Board (protocol number HP-00090603). Study results will be published on completion of enrolment and analysis, and deidentified data can be shared by request to the corresponding author.

Trial registration number  NCT04356963; Pre-results.

INTRODUCTION

The US Department of Health and Human Services has declared a national opioid crisis, as more than 130 Americans die each day from an opioid overdose.1,2 In addition, non-medical use of prescription opioids has an estimated annual cost of over $50 billion to the US economy.3 Opioid use disorder typically starts with a prescription for opioids for a medical condition.4 Higher doses and longer durations of opioid treatment during the acute inpatient phase of injury increase the risk of opioid use disorder, especially when pain is severe and refractory.4-8

Patients with traumatic injuries, including acute traumatic brain injury (TBI), may be at a particularly high risk of opioid use disorder. Each year in the USA, an estimated 35 million people visit the emergency department with an injury, with nearly 2.8 million being treated for TBI.9,10 Traumatic injury has been independently associated with persistent opioid usage.11,12 Postinjury usage risk factors of prolonged use include pain severity, catastrophic thinking and depression.11,13,14 Patients suffering depressive symptoms may be up to three times as likely to report persistent opioid usage after traumatic injury.11 In TBI, the vast majority of cases are classified as mild, with the most common symptom being headache, present in up to 90% of patients.15 The pain is typically severe, persistent and refractory to medical

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Within-subjects trial design allows for a lower number of participants as each act as its own control.
- Linear mixed-effects modelling allows for the inclusion of subjects missing data points, a commonality in a trauma centre population.
- The inclusion of only patients with mild TBI who are likely to be quickly discharged may limit opportunities for enrolment.
therapies, with over a third of patients complaining of headache 12 months post-TBI. Although opioids are not recommended for headaches associated with mild TBI, data suggest that they are commonly prescribed. Among soldiers returning from active duty who have a TBI diagnosis, nearly 60% are prescribed an opioid during the postdeployment year. In a study of patients with acute neurological injury suffering from aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage, opioid use was associated with discrete pain trajectories, pain burden and craniotomy. Opioid sparing during hospitalisations with acute pain is an important component of addressing the current opioid epidemic. It is pivotal to develop novel, non-pharmacological therapeutics that effectively manage pain and reduce opioid use in the acute phase of traumatic injury to mitigate the risk of chronic opioid use disorder.

Virtual reality (VR) has shown promise as a non-pharmacological pain intervention and adjunctive pain reduction therapy. It has been suggested that VR may serve as a pain therapeutic capable of reducing the incidence of prescription opioid usage; however, this has not yet been determined. Previous studies have found that hospitalised patients with persistent pain from orthopaedic traumatic injuries, burns and other complaints have benefitted from the addition of VR to standard of care treatments. Patients with acute brain injuries have largely been excluded from VR studies for acute pain out of concern for intolerance due to nausea and motion sickness and due to a perceived elevation in seizure risk. Thus, the safety and feasibility of VR for analgesia in patients with TBI are unknown. Moreover, a recent review of VR for other forms of acute pain revealed multiple methodological concerns in the existing literature; most studies lacked appropriate controls and focused solely on pain intensity while neglecting other important aspects of the pain experience.

We designed this study to address these two important gaps in the literature. First, we aim to establish VR as a safe and feasible adjunctive treatment for pain in the acute phase of traumatic injury, including TBI. Second, we aim to improve on prior work by including proper control conditions in a randomised within-subjects design. We are also interested in exploring patient characteristics that may predict a more significant response to VR therapy.

**Study hypotheses**

**Hypothesis 1**: VR therapy is a safe and feasible intervention for patients with acute traumatic injuries, including those with TBI.

**Hypothesis 2**: VR therapy reduces pain from traumatic injuries including TBI while improving pain-related affective measures, autonomic measures and subjective experience.

**Hypothesis 3**: Patient factors such as increased gaming engagement, boredom, suggestibility and expectancy predict response to VR therapy.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study design**

We will conduct a randomised, within-subject, crossover clinical trial, comparing the effects of an immersive VR environment against two control interventions. In one of the control interventions, identical content to the immersive VR environment will be presented in a non-immersive, tablet-based form. The other intervention will control for the external sensory deprivation of the VR system by having participants wear the VR headset without any content. We are recruiting 60 participants with traumatic injury. Participants will complete a prestudy survey to assess their baseline characteristic and symptoms, the three interventional sessions in a randomised order and a poststudy survey (figure 1).

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients with traumatic injury and their families were not involved in setting the research question or the outcome measures; however, they were involved in the selection and design of the intervention. Patients with traumatic injury provided input on which VR experiences were favourable for use in the study. These patients advised that VR experiences involving calming and dynamic scenes, mild interaction and music were more enjoyable, which guided the choice of the WEVR theBlu VR experience over other options. Patients were not involved in recruitment or conduct of the study.

**Setting**

The study will be conducted at the R. Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Center, a freestanding trauma hospital in Baltimore, Maryland, that receives more than 7000 yearly admissions, including over 1000 patients with TBI. We started recruiting patients in October 2020 and will continue until July 2022.

**Participant recruitment**

Sixty patients will be enrolled. An automated research management system will be used to screen all patients admitted to Shock Trauma. A research team member will review the medical record and determine eligibility. If the patient is a candidate for the study, he or she will be approached in accordance with the Institutional Review Board (IRB) guidelines. The study is described in detail, including the study scope, expectations of participants, potential risks and benefits, and participant rights. In addition, at this time it is determined whether the location of the patient injury would preclude the use of the VR headset. Patients can ask any questions they may have, and if interested in enrolment, they are evaluated to assess their competency and ability to give informed consent. With adequate responses, the participants and the research team will complete the informed consent form, a Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act authorisation form and a COVID-19 statement of risk, and make copies of these for the patient, the study file...
and the patient chart. Participants may withdraw from the study at any point.

Eligibility
Inclusion criteria
Participants must (1) have a diagnosis of traumatic injury, (2) be at least 18 years of age, (3) have a Glasgow Coma Score of 15, (4) report a numerical pain score of at least 3/10 within 24 hours of enrolment and (5) be expected to remain hospitalised for at least 12 hours after enrolment to complete the study protocol.

Exclusion criteria
Excluded are participants (1) who cannot consent for themselves, (2) who have a medical history of seizure or a known intolerance of VR, (3) who are pregnant and (4) who are non-English-speaking. ‘Known inability to use VR’ has typically presented itself as patients self-reporting dizziness after their previous VR experiences. Although the study has not yet encountered it to date, any report of past acute stress disorder or seizure secondary to immersive VR would also be excluded.

Assessments
Prior to beginning the study sessions, participants will complete a survey containing questions about their prior experience with the proposed VR therapeutic, any optimism regarding the expected success of VR as an analgesic and several validated surveys. Surveys include the Pain Catastrophizing Scale, the Multidimensional State Boredom Scale, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Survey, and the Opioid Risk Tool. Participants will also complete the Multidimensional Iowa Suggestibility Scale (MISS).

The participant will be taught how to use the VR Head-Mounted Display: The Oculus Rift (Oculus VR, Irvine, California, USA) VR system will be used. Participants will undergo three different 20 min sessions administered in random order and spaced a minimum of 4 hours apart. Immersive VR experience: theBlu (WEVR, Inc, Venice, California, USA) delivered via Oculus Rift headset (figure 2). This immersive experience simulates the participant observing naturally relaxing and dynamic environment of a coral reef and has been used in other studies to induce relaxation and precepted presence.35 36
Outcomes measured

The primary outcome is reduction in pain severity measured by the pre-session and post-session numerical rating scale for VR sessions compared with controls. Exploratory secondary outcomes include pain assessment per the Trauma Function and Comfort Assessment, opioid usage, pain durability post-session, affective measures (anxiety), autonomic measures (pupillary maximum constriction velocity and relative constriction amplitude, and heart rate variability) and subjective experience measures.

The STAI is an anxiety affective measure, which we suspect will improve following immersive VR. The Brockmyer Gaming Engagement Questionnaire is a measure of immersion, flow, absorption and other key concepts that correspond to how people experience games, which we hypothesise may correlate with a participant’s pain reduction response. Prestudy responses to the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, Pain Catastrophizing Scale and MISS will be tested for any correlation to pain response. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale is a measure of anxiety or depressive states, which is stable across age groups and demographics. The MISS is a measure of susceptibility, defined by an individual’s tendency to accept extrinsic messages.

The safety and feasibility of immersive VR for patients with acute injury or TBI will be qualified in several ways. The typical medical concerns for immersive VR are seizures and motion sickness. During and after each session, the research team monitors patients for seizure. Any patients experiencing seizure will have the treatment team notified, will have their study participation end and a record of the adverse event will be made for the analysis of study safety. In addition, before and after each session, patients report their dizziness and nausea levels, as well as affective measures of stress through the STAI described above. The incidence of seizure, increased dizziness or nausea, or patients being unable to tolerate sessions is recorded and used to characterise the safety and feasibility of the study in an in-patient acute trauma setting.

Sample size calculation

Prior work suggests that a 33% pain intensity difference or a 2-point difference on a 0–10 pain numeric rating scale is an appropriate surrogate for a patient-determined clinically important response. We will enrol 60 patients, projecting a study dropout of 30%, leaving us with 42 patients to give us an 80% likelihood to detect a treatment difference at a one-sided 0.05 significance level.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics will be used to characterise the patient population. Mixed-effects models will be used to analyse the differences between the ratings over time to allow for missing data expected in a trauma population. To investigate whether demographics or patient measures of anxiety/depression, boredom or suggestibility are related to the pain effect, we will use Pearson’s
correlation between the questionnaire scores and the difference of the means of pain reduction measures for all sessions. Analysis will be performed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS V.24) software.

Data collection
All source data and research documentation will be kept in a locked cabinet in the research coordinator’s locked office which is in a locked office suite. Electronic data will be kept on a desktop computer which is encrypted and password-protected by the guidelines implemented from the University of Maryland School of Medicine. To ensure confidentiality, all data files will only be accessible to the research team.

Data monitoring
This study will be reviewed weekly by the primary investigator to assess for adverse events. An interim analysis will be conducted when 20 patients with non-TBI injuries and 20 patients with TBI have been enrolled. Safety monitoring results will be reported to the IRB.

Ethics and dissemination
The dissemination of this protocol will allow fellow researchers and funding bodies to stay up to date in their fields by providing exposure to research that may not be otherwise widely publicised.

All study protocols are compliant with federal regulation and the University of Maryland Baltimore’s Human Research Protections and IRB policies. The protocol is IRB approved and active (protocol number HP-00090603 V.9 valid until 19 July 2022) and registered on ClinicalTrials.gov. All past and future modifications to the protocol undergo IRB approval prior to implementation by the research team.

Study involvement will be voluntary, and participants may withdraw at any time. All study drop-outs or withdrawals will be documented. Any adverse effects from the study intervention will be documented and reported, and the study will be ceased with that individual.

Device safety
The Oculus Rift is a commercially available portable VR headset device for gaming and relaxation with non-significant risks. There is a precedent of using VR in hospitalised medical patients. In a 2018 review of 11 randomised controlled trials (including nearly 500 patients) that used VR in hospitalised patients found the VR to be feasible in the hospital and safe. A study evaluating VR for acute pain management after trauma did not include patients with TBI and found no safety concerns. Similarly, a review of 11 studies of VR for TBI rehabilitation found no safety concerns. We therefore believe VR to be safe in the acute phase after TBI.
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