

# BMJ Open Nordic study on human milk fortification in extremely preterm infants: a randomised controlled trial—the N-forte trial

Georg Bach Jensen <sup>1,2</sup>, Fredrik Ahlsson <sup>3</sup>, Magnus Domellöf <sup>4</sup>, Anders Elfvin <sup>5,6</sup>, Lars Naver <sup>7,8</sup>, Thomas Abrahamsson <sup>1,2</sup>

**To cite:** Jensen GB, Ahlsson F, Domellöf M, *et al*. Nordic study on human milk fortification in extremely preterm infants: a randomised controlled trial—the N-forte trial. *BMJ Open* 2021;**11**:e053400. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-053400

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-053400>).

Received 11 May 2021

Accepted 03 November 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

## Correspondence to

Dr Thomas Abrahamsson;  
thomas.abrahamsson@liu.se

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction** The mortality rate of extremely low gestational age (ELGA) (born <gestational week 28+0) infants remains high, and severe infections and necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) are common causes of death. Preterm infants receiving human milk have lower incidence of sepsis and NEC than those fed a bovine milk-based preterm formula. Despite this, fully human milk fed ELGA infants most often have a significant intake of cow's milk protein from bovine-based protein fortifier. The aim of this study is to evaluate whether the supplementation of human milk-based, as compared with bovine-based, nutrient fortifier reduces the prevalence of NEC, sepsis and mortality in ELGA infants exclusively fed with human milk.

**Methods and analysis** A randomised-controlled multicentre trial comparing the effect of a human breast milk-based fortifier with a standard bovine protein-based fortifier in 222–322 ELGA infants fed human breast milk (mother's own milk and/or donor milk). The infants will be randomised to either fortifier before reaching 100 mL/kg/day in oral feeds. The intervention, stratified by centre, will continue until the target postmenstrual week 34+0. The primary outcome is a composite of NEC, sepsis or death. Infants are characterised with comprehensive clinical and nutritional data collected prospectively from birth until hospital discharge. Stool, urine, blood and breast milk samples are collected for analyses in order to study underlying mechanisms. A follow-up focusing on neurological development and growth will be performed at 2 and 5.5 years of age. Health economic analyses will be made.

**Ethics and dissemination** The study is conducted according to ICH/GCP guidelines and is approved by the regional ethical review board in Linköping Sweden (Dnr 2018/193-31, Dnr 2018/384-32). Results will be presented at scientific meetings and published in peer-reviewed publications.

**Trial registration number** The study was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03797157, 9 January 2019.

## INTRODUCTION

### Extremely preterm infants are at risk of severe morbidity and mortality

Although neonatal care has improved markedly during the last decades, mortality and

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- A randomised controlled multicentre trial on human milk-based fortification in extremely low gestational age infants powered to detect a reduction in sepsis, NEC and mortality as a composite outcome.
- Several assessments of outcomes will be made blinded, such as the radiological assessment of NEC.
- Both study groups will receive exclusively enteral feeding with breast milk and thereby not differ, besides the different breast milk fortification.
- A significant positive result of this trial would justify implementation of human milk-based fortifiers in the neonatal intensive care units.
- Targeted fortification of breast milk and the difference in nutrient content between the study product and the standard fortification made it impossible to blind the study after randomisation.

morbidity remain high with a 1-year mortality of 23% in extremely low gestational age (ELGA) neonates in affluent countries.<sup>1</sup> It is well known that nutrition is a key factor for survival, clinical course and later outcome of high-risk preterm infants; and of particular relevance to this trial is the evidence for beneficial roles of human milk in neonatal care, underpinning current recommendations for its use.<sup>2–4</sup> Extremely preterm infants (born before 28 weeks of completed gestation) are initially fed both enterally and parenterally while enteral feed tolerance develops. The primary choice is the infant's own mother's milk. Preterm formula or donor breast milk can be used when mothers provide insufficient amounts of breast milk, and in the Nordic countries, donor breast milk is routinely used for preterm infants up to 32–34 weeks postmenstrual age. A growing literature supports the use of human milk for feeding preterm infants, in particular for the smallest and most vulnerable preterm infants.



### Reduction of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC)

NEC remains a significant problem in neonates with an incidence between 4% and 15% in very low birth weight (<1500 g) infants.<sup>1 5 6</sup> The pathogenesis is multifactorial, and the disease leads to intestinal inflammation, ischaemia and necrosis. The main risk factors besides prematurity are formula feeding, hypoxic–ischaemic injury and abnormal bacterial gut colonisation.<sup>7 8</sup> NEC frequently results in death (around 20%–30%) or need for surgical resection of necrotic gut which may lead to short bowel syndrome. Further, NEC is a major adverse factor for neurological impairment.<sup>9 10</sup> The health economic cost of NEC is large.<sup>11 12</sup> The most recent Cochrane meta-analysis, including 8 randomised controlled trials with a total of 1605 preterm infants, shows that human milk, as compared with formula, reduces the risk of NEC by a factor 1.9 but it is not clear whether this effect is due to protective factors in human milk or the detrimental effects of cow's milk protein in formula.<sup>13</sup>

### Reduction in neonatal sepsis

Neonatal late onset sepsis remains a life-threatening and common problem in neonatal care. The incidence is 35%–40% in Swedish ELGA infants ([www.snq.se](http://www.snq.se)). Growing evidence links sepsis to the mode of feeding. Even though no significant effect of human milk on sepsis was shown in the latest meta-analysis,<sup>13</sup> observational studies have demonstrated that human milk intake is associated with less sepsis.<sup>14–17</sup>

### Long-term impact of human milk in neonatal care

Breast-fed infants have generally higher intelligence quotient scores and lower risk of obesity at school age compared with formula fed.<sup>18</sup> Observational studies have suggested beneficial effects of human milk in preterm infants on health outcomes at school age, including reduced low-density/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio, diastolic blood pressure, insulin resistance and likelihood of obesity/overweight in adolescence,<sup>19–22</sup> higher bone mass in adolescence,<sup>23</sup> higher cognitive performance in childhood<sup>24 25</sup> and increased brain size and white matter in adolescence.<sup>26</sup>

### Nutritional inadequacy of breast milk for preterms: implications

It is well recognised, that despite the health benefits of human milk, it does not meet the nutritional needs of ELGA infants whose rates of somatic and brain growth far exceed that of term neonates. Poor growth in ELGA infants is associated with worse subsequent neurological development.<sup>27</sup> In order to meet the nutritional needs of enterally fed preterm infants, protein containing fortifiers are therefore required, and these are generally cow's milk-based. While cow's milk-based fortifiers are routinely used in modern neonatal care to provide adequate nutrition, there is some evidence, indicating that cow's milk-based fortifiers may have negative effects when compared with human milk-based fortifiers.<sup>28 29</sup>

### Clinical studies on human milk-based breast milk nutrient fortifiers

Three randomised trials have examined the impact of human milk-based versus bovine-based diets<sup>29–31</sup> of which only one study was truly designed to evaluate the impact of a human milk-based fortifier.<sup>31</sup> One examined the impact of replacing cow's milk-based preterm formulas and fortifiers with donor milk and a human milk-based fortifier in 207 infants.<sup>29</sup> Infants fed the human milk-based diet had a lower NEC rate (5.4% vs 15.9%) and lower risk of NEC surgery (1.4% vs 11.6%). A post hoc subgroup analysis of infants not receiving preterm formula (n=114) showed a 4.2-fold increased risk of NEC and a 5.1-fold increased risk of NEC surgery or death in infants receiving a cow's milk-based, as opposed to a human milk-based, fortifier.<sup>28</sup> In a Canadian randomised controlled trial, on human milk-based vs cow's milk-based nutrient fortifier in 127 preterm infants with a birth weight <1250 g, the infants were exclusively fed with breast milk.<sup>31</sup> The primary outcome, feeding tolerance, was similar in the two study groups. Although the trial was not powered to demonstrate a significant effect of severe complications such as NEC and late-onset sepsis, there was a significant reduction of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) (1.6% vs 10.2%, p=0.04) in infants receiving the human milk-based fortifier.

### Objectives

The primary objective is to evaluate if the supplementation of a human milk-based fortifier reduces the severe complications of NEC, sepsis and mortality as compared with bovine protein-based fortifier in extremely preterm infants fed only human breast milk (mother's own milk and/or donor milk). Secondary objectives are to evaluate if a human milk-based fortifier supplementation reduces other severe complications such as bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), ROP and neurological impairment. Possible mechanisms underlying the effect of a human milk-based fortifier will be analysed in blood, stool, urine and breast milk samples. Health economic analyses will be made to evaluate the costs and benefits of an introduction of human milk-based fortifier in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs).

### METHODS

#### Design of the N-forte study

This is a randomised controlled multicentre superiority trial comparing diet supplementation with human breast milk-based nutrient fortifier and standard bovine protein-based nutrient fortifier in extremely preterm infants exclusively fed with human breast milk (protocol V.2020/V.4, 25 March 2020).

#### Setting and participants

Enrolment of extremely preterm infants (born before gestational week 28+0) will be made at 7 level III NICUs in Sweden by an attending physician. The 7 level III NICUs

## Box 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

### Inclusion criteria

- ▶ Gestational age at birth 22+0–27+6; based on prenatal ultrasonography
- ▶ Enteral feeds < 100 mL/kg/day at the day of randomisation
- ▶ Written informed consent from the legal guardians of the infant
- ▶ The home clinic of the infant has the logistics of maintaining the intervention until week 34+0

### Exclusion criteria

- ▶ Lethal or complicated malformation known at the time of inclusion
- ▶ Chromosomal anomalies known at the time of inclusion
- ▶ No realistic hope for survival at the time of inclusion
- ▶ Gastrointestinal malformation known at the time of inclusion
- ▶ Abdominal surgery before the time of inclusion
- ▶ Participation in another intervention trial aiming at having an effect on growth, nutrition, feeding intolerance or severe complications such as NEC and sepsis
- ▶ Infants having nutrient fortifier or formula prior to randomisation

NEC, necrotising enterocolitis.

and 17 level I–II neonatal units in their catchment area regions agreed to participate insuring the continuation in the event of a transfer from one hospital to another during the study period.

### Inclusion

Inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in [box 1](#). A written informed consent will be obtained from the legal guardians before inclusion (online supplemental material). The allocation will be concealed before inclusion, but after randomisation the study is not blinded.

### Randomisation

Infants are randomised 1:1 to receive either a human breast milk-based fortifier or a standard bovine protein-based nutrient fortifier before oral feeds have reached 100 mL/kg/day. Randomisation is based on the following stratification variables: primary enrolment site, gestational week (22+0–24+6 or 25+0–27+6), singleton/twin and gender. Twins are randomised together and therefore receive the same nutritional protocol. An adaptive randomisation scheme is used based on the method of minimisation. This includes a biased-coin randomisation scheme as needed in the adaptive scheme.<sup>32</sup> A web-based randomisation service centre is used: Randomize.net (Interrand, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada).

### Intervention

The active group will receive a human milk-based nutrient fortifier (Humavant +6, Prolacta Bioscience, California, USA). The nutrient content is displayed in online supplemental table 1. The control group will receive the standard bovine milk-based nutrient fortifier of the particular NICU including the infant. Adhering to the Swedish national guidelines, the intervention starts before the enteral feeds have reached 100 mL/kg/day. Any milk fortifiers must not be prescribed prior

to inclusion. The attending physician and/or dietitian prescribes the enteral nutrition on a daily basis during the NICU stay, including the source of breast milk (mother's own milk and/or donor milk), total volume and the desired level of fortification based on individual analyses of the true protein content in the breast milk when such analyses have been done. The intervention is not blinded for the caregivers, clinical staff or study nurses. Targeted fortification will be applied at all study centres. The level of fortification is prescribed stepwise according to local guidelines in order to achieve appropriate protein intake and to ensure that intakes of all nutrients are within recommended ranges. The daily level of fortification for each infant is primarily based on protein intake and the aim is initially 4.0–4.5 g/kg/day but will gradually be decreased, when the infant is approaching term equivalent age and is showing adequate growth. The growth will be assessed by the physicians in charge on a daily basis guided by the same growth charts that are used by all participating NICUs and according to Swedish national guidelines. Macronutrient analyses of mother's own milk (MOM) are performed weekly using an infrared breast milk analyser (Miris, Uppsala, Sweden). Breast milk analyses of donor breast milk are performed once for each batch. If the supplementation with the breast milk fortifier starts before the first analysis has been done, half a dose of fortification is recommended during the first 1–3 days in both study groups. To assist in calculating the individual nutritional needs the computer-aided nutrition calculation programme Nutrium (Nutrium AB, Umeå, Sweden) is used. This will also be used in the prescription of other important supplements (eg, vitamins, iron, calcium and phosphorous) when needed. Since the human milk-based fortifier, that will be used in this trial, has a relatively high content of calcium and phosphorous (online supplemental table 1), the total daily volume of enteral feeds should not exceed 170 mL/kg/day when the breast milk has been fortified with a full dose. Fat supplements can be considered if energy intake is low and growth is suboptimal. The infants receiving the human milk-based nutrient fortifier are supplemented with the human milk-based caloric fortifier Humavant CR (online supplemental table 1), while the infants receiving standard bovine protein-based fortification are supplemented with the standard lipid products used at the unit. The infants in both groups must not be fed with formula during the intervention period, which ends at postmenstrual week 34+0. If protein fortification is still needed hereafter, there will be a transition period in the human milk-based group where the fortification of the breast milk is gradually substituted with standard bovine-based fortifier during a 5-day period.

### Discontinuation

If it is in the best interest of the subject, based on the responsible physician's discretion, a patient may be discontinued from the study. The legal guardians also have the right to discontinue participation in the study at



any time. The subject will still continue to be included in the study for clinical data collection, unless opposed by the legal guardians.

### Primary and secondary outcomes

The enrolled infants are characterised with clinical data including growth, feeding intolerance, use of enteral and parenteral nutrition, treatment, antibiotics and complications collected daily in a study specific case report form (CRF) from birth until discharge from the hospital (not longer than postmenstrual week 44+0).

Primary and secondary clinical outcomes are listed in [table 1](#). The primary outcome is a composite of NEC stage II–III,<sup>33</sup> culture-proven sepsis and mortality during the study period. For the NEC diagnosis, radiological assessment will be made blinded by independent radiologists. Biopsies from the intestine will be collected if acute surgery is performed. If surgery confirms NEC, there is no need of positive radiological findings for diagnosis. The final decision is confirmed by a blinded consensus panel review consisting of the investigators. If the NEC diagnosis is confirmed, this diagnosis will replace any previous sepsis diagnosis during the duration of the NEC episode. For the diagnosis of culture-proven sepsis a positive blood, urine or cerebrospinal fluid culture is required. Furthermore, both clinical deterioration and a laboratory inflammatory response (white blood cell count  $<5$  or  $>20 \times 10^9$  cells/L or total platelet count  $<100 \times 10^9$  cells/L or C-reactive protein  $>15$  mg/L) are required to fulfil the criteria of culture-proven sepsis.<sup>34</sup> All data on nutrition and other fluids will be entered daily in the Nutrium software (Nutrium AB, Umeå, Sweden).

Associated predictor variables (covariates) are listed in [table 2](#).

A follow-up focusing on neurological development, growth and feeding problems will be performed at 2 years ( $\pm 3$  months) of age (corrected) and 5.5 years ( $\pm 3$  months) of age (uncorrected). Additional data from the 2 and 5.5-year follow-up will be obtained from the Swedish neonatal quality register ([www.snq.se](http://www.snq.se)).

Stool, urine, blood and breast milk samples are collected and stored in a longitudinal manner for microbiology, metabolomic, proteomic, lipidomic and immunology analyses in order to study underlying mechanisms. A separate protocol for each laboratory analysis will be created. Time points of collection of samples are displayed in [figure 1](#). Furthermore, vitamin and micronutrient blood levels will be analysed in a sample of infants from both study groups. Blood, stool, urine and breast milk samples are included in the biobank at Children and Women centre at the University Hospital in Linköping, County of Östergötland, Sweden.

### Safety analyses and the data and safety monitoring board (DSMB)

Study monitoring is made by Fravil Clinical Consulting, Stockholm, Sweden, which is independent from the sponsor and competing interest. Moderate and severe

adverse events (SAE) until discharge will be recorded. Many adverse events are also considered as clinical outcomes and displayed in [table 1](#). Other adverse events that will be recorded are displayed in [box 2](#). The morbidity in extremely preterm infants is very high. Thus, a high incidence of severe and moderate adverse events unrelated to the study product could be expected in the participating infants. Typical severe conditions affecting preterm infants are listed in [box 2](#). The incidence of these SAE will be assessed by an independent DSMB to make interim safety analyses after 50, 100 and 150 completed CRFs until discharge. If any SAE is significantly more common ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the human milk-based as compared with the standard group, the DSMB will bring in all medical data on infants affected by this specific SAE and assess the causality for the specific SAE in the affected infants. Based on this analysis, the DSMB will decide if the trial can continue or not after consultation with the coordinating principal investigator and the sponsor. The DSMB may decide that it is ethically correct to pursue if the positive effects of the active intervention outweigh the SAE. In addition, the investigator or the attending physicians at the study site are required to report any suspected unexpected severe adverse reaction (SUSAR) to the sponsor within 24 hours. The sponsor will report SUSARs to the manufacturer and the DSMB.

### Data analysis and statistics

#### Estimated sample size and power

The composite of NEC, culture-proven sepsis and mortality was 47% in extremely preterm infants surviving 3 days according to the Swedish neonatal quality register ([www.snq.se](http://www.snq.se)). Since there is no well-powered trial with 100% coverage of breast milk, it is difficult to estimate the effect on NEC and sepsis from previous trials. With a conservative estimation, based on published studies,<sup>29 30 35</sup> the incidence of the composite outcome is estimated to be reduced to 28.0%. With at least 101 infants in each group a reduction from 47% in the control to 28% in the active group would be detected at a 5% level of significance and with 80% power. With a dropout rate of 10% a total of 222 subjects are needed.

Due to uncertainties in the presupposed effect size, conditional power is estimated in the following sense. An evaluation of the overall rate of the primary clinical endpoint of NEC/sepsis/death will be made prior to the formal analysis in order to determine whether the trial sample size should be re-evaluated and increased in order to continue study enrolment. This evaluation will be based on the methodology suggested by Gould.<sup>36</sup> An independent statistician, not associated with the study conduct will perform a sample size re-estimation when 150 infants have fulfilled the study period. The definitive sample size might be increased (never decreased) based on this interim analysis. Evidently, there is a need of a clinically relevant upper limit of the number of included infants. With a conservative estimation of a 50% NEC reduction in the human milk-based group, a reduction

**Table 1** Clinical outcomes

	Description	Time frame
<b>Primary clinical outcome</b>		
Composite of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC), culture-proven sepsis and mortality	Requires any of these three diagnoses to fulfil the criterion	A
<b>Secondary clinical outcomes</b>		
Feeding intolerance and growth		
Time to reach full enteral feeds	The day of life the infant has received at least 150 mL/kg enteral feeds	A
Feeding interruption	Number of days feedings held for $\geq 12$ hours or feeds reduced by $>50\%$ (mL/kg/day) not due to a clinical procedure or transitioning to the breast	A
Parenteral nutrition	Number of days of parental amino acid and/or lipid infusion; only days when the enteral feed $<150$ mL/kg/day should be included	A
Gastric aspirates	$\geq 100\%$ of prefeed volume (2 hours volume if continuous); lower limit of 2 mL/kg	A
Stool frequency	Number of stools per day	A
Time to regain birth weight	First day of three success days when the infant weight is greater than birth weight	A
Weight, height and head circumference	SD score will be used for the calculations	B
Clinical variables for morbidity		
Infancy		
Mortality		
NEC	Bell's stage II–III; <sup>33</sup> radiological assessment will be made blinded by an independent radiologist; the final decision will be confirmed by a blinded consensus panel review consisting of the investigators	A
Culture-proven sepsis	Positive blood- and/or urine- and/or CSF culture, clinical deterioration and laboratory inflammatory response; <sup>34</sup> further classified into early ( $>72$ hours postpartum) or late ( $>72$ hours postpartum) onset.	A
Composite of NEC and culture-proven sepsis	Requires any of these two diagnoses to fulfil the criterion	A
Mortality and morbidity index	Composite measure requiring any of the following: death, NEC stage II–III, culture-proven sepsis, moderate to severe BPD or ROP stage III–V	
Spontaneous intestinal perforation	Intestinal perforation without signs of intramural and/or portal gas and no signs of inflammation at surgery	A
Abdominal surgery		A
Suspected sepsis, not culture-proven	Clinical deterioration and laboratory inflammatory response but negative blood culture	A
Pneumonia	Pathological X-ray confirmed by a radiologist, need of increased respiratory support/oxygen and laboratory inflammatory response	A
Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD)	Need of extra oxygen, high flow nasal cannula, CPAP or ventilator at w36+0 <sup>40</sup>	C
Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP)	Diagnosed by an independent ophthalmologist according to international classification; classified into stage I–V; <sup>41</sup> the diagnosis is set after w42+0	D
Intraventricular haemorrhage	Assessed with ultrasound; classified into grade I–IV <sup>42</sup>	A

Continued

Table 1 Continued

	Description	Time frame
Periventricular leukomalacia	Assessed with ultrasound and MRI; criteria according to de Vries <sup>43</sup>	A
Number of days with intensive care	Need of respirator or CPAP	A
Length of stay at the hospital	GW and day at discharge	A
Length of need of feeding tube	GW and day when the infant does not need it anymore	A
2-year follow-up		
Neurocognitive development	Bayleys III, <sup>44</sup> Parent Report of Children's Abilities-Revised (PARCA-R), and Ages and Stages Questionnaires (ASQ-3) tested by psychologist	E
Cerebral palsy	Tested by an experienced paediatrician and/or physiotherapist	E
Epilepsy		E
Strabismus and/or impaired vision		E
Impaired hearing		E
Respiratory support	Need of extra oxygen and/or ventilatory support	F
Wheeze and/or asthma		G
Severe infections		H
Mortality	Including cause of death	H
Need of feeding tube		H
Extra nutritional support		H
Level of education of the parents		E
Family status		E
Day-care		E
5.5-year follow-up		
Neurocognitive development	Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence IV tested by a psychologist and Movement ABC-2 tested by a physiotherapist	I
Cerebral palsy	Tested by an experienced paediatrician and/or physiotherapist	I
Epilepsy		I
Strabismus and/or impaired vision		I
Impaired hearing		I
Wheeze and/or asthma		I
Mortality	Including cause of death	J

Timeframe A, from birth until discharge (no longer than w44+0); B, at 7, 14, 21 and 28 days, end of intervention ( $\leq$  w36+0), w36+0, discharge (no longer than w44+0), and 2 years of age (corrected) and 5.5 years of age (uncorrected); C, at w36+0; D, from birth until w42+0; E, at 2 years of age (corrected); F, from w44+0 until 2 years of age; G, from birth until 2 years of age; H, from discharge or w44+0 (whether comes first) until 2 years of age; I, at 5.5 years of age (uncorrected); J, from 2 years until 5 years of age. Week (w) refers to postmenstrual week. CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.

**Table 2** Associated predictor variables (covariates)

1	Gender
2	Caesarean section
3	Multiple pregnancies
4	Birth weight and height
5	Small for gestational age, birth weight<2 SD
6	Maternal smoking during pregnancy
7	Preeclampsia, diagnosis by the responsible obstetrician
8	Chorioamnionitis, clinical diagnosis by the responsible obstetrician
9	Preterm premature rupture of membranes, rupture>1 hour before contractions started
10	Antenatal antibiotics, pertaining the period of the mother's actual attendance at the hospital
11	Antenatal corticosteroids; the mother should have received at least 12 mg betamethasone. The corticosteroid prophylaxis is considered completed if the mother has received two doses at least 24 hours before delivery
12	Born at level 1–2 NICUs
13	NICU inclusion site
14	Apgar score
15	Surfactant-administration
16	Intubation
17	Infant respiratory distress syndrome, verified by X-ray
18	Mechanical ventilation, duration
19	Patent ductus arteriosus, requiring medical or surgical treatment
20	Antibiotics, drug, treatment period and number of days
21	Probiotics, name, treatment period and number of days
22	Opioids, drug, treatment period and number of days
23	Gastric acid inhibitors, drug, treatment period and number of days
24	Day of life when the supplementation of the study product was started
25	The amount of enteral feeds per day that the infants received when the supplementation of the study product was started
26	Number of days the infant has not received the study product
27	Intravenous lines, number of days
28	Insulin treatment, number of days
29	Postnatal corticosteroids, number of days
30	Inotropic drugs, number of days
31	Feeding regime, continuous or bolus
32	Amount of nutrient protein fortifier per day
33	Amount of fat supplement per day

Continued

**Table 2** Continued

34	Total amount of protein, fat, carbohydrate, energy and micronutrient per day
35	The relative amount of donor breast milk per day
36	Amount of extra enteral lipid
37	Breastfeeding, exclusive or partial

NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

of the composite outcome from 47% in the control to 31% in the active group would be detected at a 5% level of significance and 80% power with 145 infants in each group. Based on this estimation, the upper limit of included infants in this study is 322 allowing for an approximate 10% dropout rate.

The secondary outcome composite measure of culture-proven sepsis and NEC could be of special interest, as it is not affected by mortality rates, which legitimated a specific estimation of the number to include. The incidence of this outcome was 42% (www.snq.se) and could be estimated to be reduced to 26% based on the background data described above. With at least 142 infants in each group, a reduction from 42% to 26% in the active group would be detected at a 5% level of significance, 80% power and a dropout rate of 10%. A total of 312 infants would be needed for this outcome. A similar evaluation will also be made for this outcome after 150 infants have completed the study in order to determine whether the trial sample size should be re-evaluated and increased (to a maximum of 322 infants).

The power of the lab analyses will not be estimated in advance, but with at least 30 cases of the clinical outcome (eg, NEC) we expect to have enough power to show relevant difference between groups.

The primary basis for all analyses of the clinical outcome will be the intention-to-treat paradigm. Only outcomes with an onset after the inclusion will be included in these analyses. Systematic bias due to drop-outs will be controlled with missing data analyses. Multiple imputation analyses will be performed if there will be a drop-out of >10%. Secondary per protocol analyses will also be made, including only infants receiving fortification and completing the intervention.

In case of a very strong effect of the active treatment, the study can be prematurely terminated based on decision by the sponsor and the DSMB, if the primary outcome is significantly lower (with a significance level <0.001) in the human milk-based group than in the standard fortification group in the interim analysis made after 150 infants have been included.<sup>37 38</sup> If the significance level is  $\geq 0.001$  the study enrolment will continue.

### Statistical methods

The primary outcome in the clinical trial and other categorical variables will be analysed with  $\chi^2$  test and adjustments for potential confounders will be made with



**Figure 1** Summary of the N-Forte study actions

Time point	Neonatal period									Follow-up	
	Birth	<100 mL/kg/d	7±2 d	14±2 d	21±2 d	28±2 d	w34+0	w36+0	Discharge*	2 years	5.5 years
Enrolment											
Information given		X									
Eligibility screen		X									
Informed consent		X									
Allocation		X									
Perinatal data	X										
Intervention		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		
Feeding intolerance		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		
NEC, sepsis mortality		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		
Clinical data noted in the CRF on a daily basis	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		
Neurodevelopmental impairment										X	X
Antibiotics and concomitant medication		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Weight, length and head circumference**	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Blood sample			X	X		X	w33+0-6				
Urine sample			X	X	X	X	w33+0-6				
Stool sample			X	X	X	X	w33+0-6				
Breast milk sample			X	X	X	X	w33+0-6				
Adverse events		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		

Week (w) refers to postmenstrual week. \*Discharge or at latest postmenstrual week 44+0. \*\*Only indicated when measurements must be made in the trial (weight is often measured every day).

**Figure 1** Summary of the N-Forte study actions. CRF, case report form; NEC, necrotising enterocolitis.

logistic regression. Continuous variables will be analysed with Student's t-test if the distribution is normal and Mann-Whitney U test if not. Repeated measures-analysis of variance will be used for longitudinal data. Baseline characteristics will be summarised by means and SD for continuous data and counts and percentages for categorical data. The statistical discrimination will be at significance level of 0.05. Bioinformatic tools will be applied for high-throughput data. Distributions between groups will be statistically tested using the analysis of similarities. Principal component analyses will be performed to display beta-diversity, and UniFrac to analyse differences in beta-diversity between the groups. Alpha diversity

will be calculated using Shannon's diversity index. False discovery rate correction will be made due to multiple comparisons ( $q < 0.05$ ).

### Patient and public involvement

The Swedish patient organisation Svenska Prematurförbundet was involved in the planning of the study design.

### Time frame for the study actions

Recruitment to the N-forte study began in 2019 and is planned to be completed during 2022. The study time points are presented in figure 1.

## DISCUSSION

There is still an important gap of evidence in this field, since there is no well-powered trial in extremely preterm infants comparing a human milk-based fortifier with bovine milk-based fortifier in a setting where both study groups are fed exclusively with breast milk. Such a trial is needed to provide evidence if a human milk-based fortifier is superior to a bovine-based one. Moreover, due to the introduction of active interventions for infants also born before gestational week 25 during the last decades,<sup>1</sup> a trial in Nordic centres will include a substantial number of infants born in gestational week 22–25, a patient population that could be expected to gain the most of a diet free from bovine protein.

This is a prospective randomised controlled trial to achieve the highest level of evidence. However, it is not blinded as it would not be possible to prescribe

### Box 2 Expected severe adverse events (SAE)

- ▶ Severe infection such as pneumonia, sepsis or meningitis
- ▶ CMV infection, upper respiratory viral infection
- ▶ NEC, SIP and/or need of abdominal surgery
- ▶ Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) and BPD
- ▶ Intracranial bleeding, PVL or hydrocephalus
- ▶ Lung bleeding
- ▶ Pneumothorax, pleural effusion
- ▶ Pulmonary hypertension
- ▶ Persistent ductus arteriosus (PDA)
- ▶ Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP)
- ▶ Death

BPD, bronchopulmonary dysplasia; CMV, cytomegalovirus; NEC, necrotising enterocolitis; PVL, periventricular leukomalacia; SIP, spontaneous intestinal perforation.



the fortifier and prepare the breast milk in a blinded fashion. First, the prescriptions are based on individually targeted fortifications on a daily basis by different physicians. It is essential that the clinician or the dietician knows which study group the individual patient belongs to, since the fortifiers are not exactly equal in nutrient content. Conventional fortifiers are powders mixed into the breast milk whereas human milk-based fortifiers are in liquid form and thereby substituting a part of the breastmilk given. Second, conducting centralised analyses and distribution of fortified breast milk<sup>31</sup> are not an option for logistical reasons as this is a multicentre study with more than 20 participating hospitals all over Sweden.

The criteria for the primary outcomes of culture-proven sepsis and NEC are therefore objective. The radiological assessment of NEC will be made blinded by an independent radiologist. The secondary outcome ROP will be diagnosed by an independent ophthalmologist. There are, however, outcomes, that potentially could be affected by the unblinded design of the trial, such as feeding intolerance. The results for these outcomes will therefore be interpreted with great caution.

Primary endpoint will be a composite of NEC, culture-proven sepsis and death. The rationale for the composite variable is that NEC and sepsis share many pathogenic mechanisms and that the diagnosis of NEC and sepsis often is a continuum. Furthermore, mortality constitutes an intrinsic censoring effect in infants at high risk of developing severe sepsis or NEC. In parallel, it is also logical to introduce a mortality and morbidity index (composite measure requiring any of the following: death, NEC stage II–III, culture-proven sepsis, moderate to severe BPD or ROP stage III–V) as a secondary outcome as shown in [table 1](#). Only conditions occurring after the inclusion will be included for this outcome; hence, early debuting conditions like for example, intraventricular haemorrhage and early-onset sepsis (<72 hours) are not included.

Macronutrient analyses of MOM are performed weekly for the targeted fortification. It has been argued that breast milk analyses should be done on a daily basis because of the day-to-day variations.<sup>39</sup> Such approach is not clinically feasible as it will consume far too much of MOM milk to be ethical justified. Consequently, the protein and energy intake by the neonates fed exclusively or mainly own mother's milk may be out of the target levels, while this will not apply for infants mainly fed by donor milk, since all donor milk will be analysed. These differences are not expected to have a significant impact on the primary outcome measures, especially not when the study groups are randomised, but they may affect some of the secondary outcomes, such as growth and metabolomics. However, we will have thorough data on the amount of donor milk the infant has received each day, which then will be taken in account in subsequent analyses.

## ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Research addressing ELGA infants is crucial in order to achieve knowledge of possible causes underlying the development of severe complications and finding preventive strategies. Intervention studies are needed to refute or confirm the suggested effect of human milk-based nutrient fortification. We do not perceive any major health hazard with the study design. Human milk-based nutrient fortifiers are considered to be safe in ELGA infants and safety will be closely monitored.

A written informed consent will be obtained from legal guardians before inclusion. Infants or their families have no specific benefit of study participation besides the possible effects of the human milk-based nutrient fortifier in the active group. Besides the supplementation of the breast milk fortifier and the samples collected in the trial, the participating infants will not be treated differently compared with routine care. The potential benefit for future ELGA infants, however, could be substantial. Finding an intervention that reduces NEC, sepsis and mortality would have a major impact of the well-being in this patient population. It may also elicit beneficial effects on health economics. Only randomised clinical trials will give sufficient evidence for a general recommendation of a new treatment.

Personal information about enrolled participants will be collected, shared and maintained in accordance with the EU General Data Protection Regulation.

Crown Princess Victoria Children's Hospital, County of Östergötland, Linköping, Sweden, is the sponsor and owns all the information obtained in the trial together with the co-ordinating principal investigator. The study is made in collaboration with the company Prolacta Bioscience producing the human milk-based fortifier, which is, for this study, contributed by Prolacta. The study, however, is investigator-initiated. There are no publication restrictions. Study results will be presented at relevant conferences and submitted to peer-reviewed journals. None of the investigators have a financial interest in Prolacta Bioscience. The donating mother signs an informed consent form stating the rights and responsibilities of the donating mother as well as the payment for the provided breast milk. Only excess breast milk, produced by the donating mother, that is beyond the consumptive needs of her nursing child, is accepted.

The potential benefits are considered to outweigh the possible discomfort to the infants and their families. The study is conducted according to ICH/GCP guidelines and was approved by the regional ethical review board at Linköping University (Dnr 2018/193-31, Dnr 2018/384-32).

### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

<sup>2</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Linköping University, Linköping, Östergötland, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Department of Women's and Children's Health, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Sciences, Pediatrics, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Clinical Sciences, Department of Paediatrics, Sahlgrenska Academy, Göteborg, Sweden

<sup>6</sup>Queen Silvia Children's Hospital, Department of Paediatrics, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Göteborg, Sweden

<sup>7</sup>Department of Clinical Science, Intervention, and Technology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>8</sup>Department of Neonatology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

**Acknowledgements** The authors wish to thank all participating hospitals and staff members and the Swedish patient organisation Svenska Prematurförbundet for their invaluable contributions in the planning and realisation of the study.

**Contributors** Coordinating principal investigator: TA. Conceptualisation: TA, FA, MD, AE. Formal analysis: TA, MD. Funding acquisition: TA. Methodology: TA, FA, MD, AE. Project administration (steering committee): TA, FA, GBJ, MD, AE, LN. Resources: TA, FA, MD, AE, LN. Supervision: TA. Validation: TA, FA, MD, AE, LN. Writing – original draft: GBJ. Writing – review and editing: TA, FA, GBJ, MD, AE, LN.

**Funding** This work is funded by grants from the Swedish Research Council (2020-01111 and 2019-01005), the Research Council for Southeast Sweden, ALF Grants, ProLacta Bioscience, CA, USA.

**Competing interests** TA has got a grant for the present study by ProLacta Bioscience, CA, USA, but none of the investigators have any financial interest in ProLacta Bioscience.

**Patient consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

#### ORCID iDs

Georg Bach Jensen <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2905-7698>

Fredrik Ahlsson <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8413-9274>

Magnus Domellöf <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0726-7029>

Anders Elfvin <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1912-9563>

Lars Naver <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6027-0211>

Thomas Abrahamsson <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0190-8294>

#### REFERENCES

- Norman M, Hallberg B, Abrahamsson T, *et al*. Association between year of birth and 1-year survival among extremely preterm infants in Sweden during 2004-2007 and 2014-2016. *JAMA* 2019;321:1188-99.
- Section on Breastfeeding. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. *Pediatrics* 2012;129:e827-41.
- Underwood MA. Human milk for the premature infant. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2013;60:189-207.
- Arslanoglu S, Corpeleijn W, *et al*, ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. Donor human milk for preterm infants: current evidence and research directions. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2013;57:535-42.
- EXPRESS Group. Incidence of and risk factors for neonatal morbidity after active perinatal care: extremely preterm infants study in Sweden (express). *Acta Paediatr* 2010;99:978-92.
- Lin PW, Stoll BJ. Necrotizing enterocolitis. *The Lancet* 2006;368:1271-83.
- Niño DF, Sodhi CP, Hackam DJ. Necrotizing enterocolitis: new insights into pathogenesis and mechanisms. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;13:590-600.
- Eaton S, Rees CM, Hall NJ. Current research on the epidemiology, pathogenesis, and management of necrotizing enterocolitis. *Neonatology* 2017;111:423-30.
- Roze E, Ta BDP, van der Ree MH, *et al*. Functional impairments at school age of children with necrotizing enterocolitis or spontaneous intestinal perforation. *Pediatr Res* 2011;70:619-25.
- Humberg A, Spiegler J, Fortmann MI, *et al*. Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis but not spontaneous intestinal perforation is associated with adverse neurological outcome at school age. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:2373.
- Ganapathy V, Hay JW, Kim JH. Costs of necrotizing enterocolitis and cost-effectiveness of exclusively human milk-based products in feeding extremely premature infants. *Breastfeed Med* 2012;7:29-37.
- Ganapathy V, Hay JW, Kim JH, *et al*. Long term healthcare costs of infants who survived neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis: a retrospective longitudinal study among infants enrolled in Texas Medicaid. *BMC Pediatr* 2013;13:127.
- Quigley M, Embleton ND, McGuire W. Formula versus donor breast milk for feeding preterm or low birth weight infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2019;7:CD002971.
- Narayanan I, Prakash K, Bala S, *et al*. Partial supplementation with expressed breast-milk for prevention of infection in low-birth-weight infants. *Lancet* 1980;2:561-3.
- Narayanan I, Prakash K, Gujral VV. The value of human milk in the prevention of infection in the high-risk low-birth-weight infant. *J Pediatr* 1981;99:496-8.
- Narayanan I, Prakash K, Murthy NS, *et al*. Randomised controlled trial of effect of raw and holder pasteurised human milk and of formula supplements on incidence of neonatal infection. *Lancet* 1984;2:1111-3.
- Corpeleijn WE, Kouwenhoven SMP, Paap MC, *et al*. Intake of own mother's milk during the first days of life is associated with decreased morbidity and mortality in very low birth weight infants during the first 60 days of life. *Neonatology* 2012;102:276-81.
- Horta BL, Loret de Mola C, Victora CG. Breastfeeding and intelligence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Paediatr* 2015;104:14-19.
- Horta BL, Loret de Mola C, Victora CG. Long-Term consequences of breastfeeding on cholesterol, obesity, systolic blood pressure and type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Paediatr* 2015;104:30-7.
- Singhal A, Cole TJ, Fewtrell M, *et al*. Breastmilk feeding and lipoprotein profile in adolescents born preterm: follow-up of a prospective randomised study. *Lancet* 2004;363:1571-8.
- Singhal A, Cole TJ, Lucas A. Early nutrition in preterm infants and later blood pressure: two cohorts after randomised trials. *Lancet* 2001;357:413-9.
- Singhal A, Fewtrell M, Cole TJ, *et al*. Low nutrient intake and early growth for later insulin resistance in adolescents born preterm. *Lancet* 2003;361:1089-97.
- Fewtrell MS, Williams JE, Singhal A, *et al*. Early diet and peak bone mass: 20 year follow-up of a randomized trial of early diet in infants born preterm. *Bone* 2009;45:142-9.
- Lucas A, Morley R, Cole TJ, *et al*. Breast milk and subsequent intelligence quotient in children born preterm. *Lancet* 1992;339:261-4.
- Anderson JW, Johnstone BM, Remley DT. Breast-Feeding and cognitive development: a meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;70:525-35.
- Isaacs EB, Fischl BR, Quinn BT, *et al*. Impact of breast milk on intelligence quotient, brain size, and white matter development. *Pediatr Res* 2010;67:357-62.
- Ehrenkranz RA, Dusick AM, Vohr BR, *et al*. Growth in the neonatal intensive care unit influences neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes of extremely low birth weight infants. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:1253-61.
- Lucas A, Boscardin J, Abrams SA. Preterm infants fed cow's milk-derived Fortifier had adverse outcomes despite a base diet of only mother's own milk. *Breastfeed Med* 2020;15:297-303.
- Sullivan S, Schanler RJ, Kim JH, *et al*. An exclusively human milk-based diet is associated with a lower rate of necrotizing enterocolitis than a diet of human milk and bovine milk-based products. *J Pediatr* 2010;156:562-7.
- Cristofalo EA, Schanler RJ, Blanco CL, *et al*. Randomized trial of exclusive human milk versus preterm formula diets in extremely premature infants. *J Pediatr* 2013;163:1592-5.
- O'Connor DL, Kiss A, Tomlinson C, *et al*. Nutrient enrichment of human milk with human and bovine milk-based fortifiers for infants born weighing <1250 g: a randomized clinical trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2018;108:108-16.

- 32 Pocock SJ, Simon R. Sequential treatment assignment with balancing for prognostic factors in the controlled clinical trial. *Biometrics* 1975;31:103–15.
- 33 Bell MJ, Ternberg JL, Feigin RD, *et al.* Neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis. therapeutic decisions based upon clinical staging. *Ann Surg* 1978;187:1–7.
- 34 Gastmeier P, Geffers C, Schwab F, *et al.* Development of a surveillance system for nosocomial infections: the component for neonatal intensive care units in Germany. *J Hosp Infect* 2004;57:126–31.
- 35 Hair AB, Peluso AM, Hawthorne KM, *et al.* Beyond necrotizing enterocolitis prevention: improving outcomes with an exclusive human milk-based diet. *Breastfeed Med* 2016;11:70–4.
- 36 Gould AL. Interim analyses for monitoring clinical trials that do not materially affect the type I error rate. *Stat Med* 1992;11:55–66.
- 37 Piantadosi S. *Clinical trials: a methodological perspective*. 2nd ed. New Jersey: John Wiley & sons, 2005: 384–6.
- 38 Haybittle JL. Repeated assessment of results in clinical trials of cancer treatment. *Br J Radiol* 1971;44:793–7.
- 39 Rochow N, Fusch G, Zapanta B, *et al.* Target fortification of breast milk: how often should milk analysis be done? *Nutrients* 2015;7:2297–310.
- 40 Jobe AH, Bancalari E. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;163:1723–9.
- 41 International Committee for the Classification of Retinopathy of Prematurity. The International classification of retinopathy of prematurity revisited. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2005;123:991–9.
- 42 Papile LA, Burstein J, Burstein R, *et al.* Incidence and evolution of subependymal and intraventricular hemorrhage: a study of infants with birth weights less than 1,500 GM. *J Pediatr* 1978;92:529–34.
- 43 de Vries LS, Eken P, Dubowitz LM. The spectrum of leukomalacia using cranial ultrasound. *Behav Brain Res* 1992;49:1–6.
- 44 Bayley N. *Bayley scales of infant and toddler development*. 3rd edn. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment Inc, 2006.

<b>Supplementary table 1</b> Nutritional content of the study product			
<b>Nutrient</b>	<b>Humavant+6®</b>	<b>Humavant CR®</b>	<b>Unit</b>
Calories	143.82	262.22	kcal/100 mL
Fat	9.38	25.59	g/100 mL
Protein	5.92	0.82	g/100 mL
Carbohydrates	8.91	6.92	g/100 mL
Saturated fat	3.93	10.15	g/100 mL
Sugar	6.12	4.53	g/100 mL
<i>Vitamins</i>			
Vit A	46.21	114.65	µg/100 mL
Vit D	0.21	0.09	µg/100 mL
Vit K	<5.10	<4.85	µg/100 mL
Vit C	<1.02	<0.97	mg/100 mL
Vit B1, thiamine	5.92	<4.85	µg/100 mL
Vit B2, riboflavin	19.18	11.14	µg/100 mL
Vit B6, pyridoxine	<5.10	<4.85	µg/100 mL
Niacin	0.08	0.06	mg/100 mL
Folic acid	13.06	<2.43	µg/100 mL
Vit B12, cobalamin	<0.14	<0.14	µg/100 mL
Pantothenic acid	0.17	0.15	mg/100 mL
Biotin	<2.55	<3.17	µg/100 mL
Vit E, α-tocopherol	0.37	1.54	mg/100 mL
<i>Minerals</i>			
Salts	326.10	17.27	mg/100 mL
Sodium	130.69	6.77	mg/100 mL
Chloride	101.79	N/A	mg/100 mL
Potassium	187.17	64.83	mg/100 mL
Calcium	339.86	19.82	mg/100 mL
Phosphorus	189.20	2.83	mg/100 mL
Magnesium	21.48	0.02	mg/100 mL
Iron	0.13	0.33	mg/100 mL
Zinc	2.28	0.03	mg/100 mL
Copper	237.50	<0.03	µg/100 mL
Iodine	23.36	19.89	µg/100 mL
Selenium	9.65	2.07	µg/100 mL
Manganese	5.11	<4.03	µg/100 mL
Chromium	1.57	<0.41	µg/100 mL
Molybdenum	2.55	<1.94	µg/100 mL
Fluoride	<0.02	<0.02	mg/100 mL