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ABSTRACT

Introduction Intimate partners of patients with cancer often experience significant distress, but there is a lack of psychological interventions that specifically target this population. ‘Resilient Caregivers’ is a novel resilience-based intervention for distressed partner cancer caregivers. The intervention was developed according to a resilience framework focusing on meta-reflective skills, coping strategies and value clarification. The aim of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of this intervention in a randomised trial.

Methods and analysis Eighty participants will be invited through the Oncology Department at Herlev Hospital, Denmark and randomised to either the intervention or usual care. Participants are eligible if they are partners (married or unmarried) of patients diagnosed with cancer and experience distress (>4 on the distress thermometer). ‘Resilient Caregivers’ consists of seven manualised group sessions (2.5 hours each), focusing on resilience in relation to being a partner caregiver of a patient with cancer. The primary outcome is symptoms of anxiety, while secondary outcomes include distress, depression, quality of life, sleep quality and resilience. Data will be collected at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months follow-up using validated scales, and analysed using mixed models for repeated measures.

Ethics and dissemination This study will follow the ethical principles in the Declaration of Helsinki and has been reviewed by the Ethics Committee of the Capital Region of Denmark (Journal no. 18055373). Written informed consent will be obtained from all participants. Results will be reported through scientific peer-reviewed journals and relevant conferences.

Trial registration number NCT04610034.

BACKGROUND

A cancer diagnosis affects not just the patient, but also the lives of close family and friends, who often serve as informal caregivers providing unpaid care. In many cases, the primary informal caregiver will be the spouse or intimate partner of the patient with cancer and increasing research indicates that the health and well-being of partner caregivers of patients with cancer are adversely affected by this role. Furthermore, caregivers often suppress their own needs in order to focus on the needs of their ill partner. As a result, partners of patients with cancer have been shown to experience physical and psychological impairment due to high levels of distress, and have an increased risk of depression and anxiety, insomnia and sleep problems, alcohol misuse and even early death. This indicates a pressing need for interventions targeting distressed caregivers and for improving their ability to cope with the stresses of caring for a partner with cancer.

Previous interventions for partner caregivers of patients with cancer

Previous interventions have largely targeted informal caregivers in general, which include family members and friends. We found three systematic reviews and two meta-analyses that summarised the literature and increased the evidence for the effectiveness of psychological interventions in general. However, these interventions have not specifically targeted vulnerable partner caregivers of patients with cancer.
on interventions for informal caregivers of patients with cancer. The largest review included 50 trials from 2010 to 2016\(^1\)\(^2\) and showed that the majority of interventions focused on information and skills related to the physical care of the patient, with less focus on caregiver self-care. Furthermore, out of the 50 studies, only 54% (n=39) of the studies referred to a theoretical framework and only 34% (n=24) addressed intervention fidelity.\(^1\)\(^2\) The two meta-analyses showed inconsistent results, probably due to methodological differences. The first meta-analysis included 29 randomised trials and showed small to medium treatment effects of the interventions (psychoeducational, skills training and therapeutic counselling) on improving caregiver burden, distress and anxiety, self-efficacy and ability to cope.\(^1\)\(^4\) The second meta-analysis specifically assessed the effectiveness of cognitive–behavioural therapies (CBT) in 36 trials and showed a small, statistically significant effect of CBT interventions when evaluating randomised and non-randomised trials together.\(^1\)\(^5\) However, this effect became non-significant when only randomised trials were evaluated, and the authors concluded that future interventions should move beyond traditional CBT methods. This may be because traditional CBT methods focusing on changing maladaptive beliefs may not be as relevant in a caregiver setting, where distressing thoughts are often not rooted in cognitive distortions but rather real threats from a chronic or fatal illness. The same author group subsequently published a randomised trial of emotion regulation therapy (ERT) for cancer caregivers that showed medium to large reductions in psychological distress, worry and caregiver burden.\(^1\)\(^6\) ERT developed from CBT but focuses on managing perseverative negative thinking (worry and rumination) using emotion regulation skills supported by mindfulness practices.

We found only one systematic review of psychological interventions specifically targeting the well-being of partners of patients with cancer, which identified six randomised trials and three pre–post intervention studies.\(^1\)\(^7\) Only three randomised trials reported positive intervention effects on the outcomes of emotional distress, social support, post-traumatic growth and coping. However, most of the studies were limited by low participation rates, small sample sizes (average sample size was 43) and a lack of long-term follow-up, with only two studies having follow-up measurements at 6 months. Many studies also did not screen for distressed participants making it difficult to detect any significant improvement in study outcomes, which may explain the small or non-existent effect sizes found in the meta-analyses cited. Thus, work is still needed to develop more effective interventions for distressed partner caregivers of patients with cancer that are based on newer therapeutic approaches and tested in designs with sufficient power and follow-up. An approach based on a framework that goes beyond traditional CBT methods, with an added focus on caregiver needs and self-care, may improve on some of the limitations of existing interventions and lead to improved efficacy.

### Resilience as a therapeutic approach

Resilience is commonly referred to as the ability to recover or sustain well-being after an adverse life event, and represents a paradigm shift in psychology where there is increased focus on well-being and protective factors instead of solely on mental illness and risk factors.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^9\) A cancer diagnosis in a partner may be considered an adverse life event, as one is faced not only with the potential death of a loved-one, but also with the responsibility of caregiving and assuming the daily tasks and roles of the ill partner.\(^1\)\(^\)\(^1\)\(^1\) A resilience-based approach, which focuses on regaining balance and building psychological capacity, may thus be highly relevant in developing an intervention to support distressed partner caregivers. One systematic review of 25 randomised trials of resilience interventions found favourable effects for enhancing resilience, improving stress and reducing depressive symptoms among a wide range of populations including soldiers, employees, students and physicians.\(^2\)\(^0\)

Among cancer survivors, a handful of resilience-based interventions have shown promising results for outcomes such as resilience, stress and anxiety\(^2\)\(^1\)\(^–\)\(^2\)\(^4\) and a few observational studies have shown an association between resilience among caregivers of adult patients with cancer and caregiver outcomes such as self-reported health status, anxiety and depression.\(^3\)\(^2\)\(^5\)\(^2\)\(^6\) However, no study has yet been carried out for a resilience-based intervention for cancer caregivers.

### Rationale for an integrative approach for targeting resilience in partner caregivers of patients with cancer

Personal capacities that have been shown to be correlated to resilience include meaning and purpose in life, active coping, optimism and cognitive flexibility.\(^2\)\(^7\)\(^2\)\(^8\) Many of these factors have been shown to be modifiable through newer psychological approaches, often categorised as the ‘third wave’ of CBT.\(^2\)\(^9\) While traditional CBT examines the content of thoughts or feelings and its rationality, third wave approaches focus more on a person’s relationship to thoughts or emotions, and whether these ways of thinking and feeling are helpful in the context of the person’s life. Examples of third-wave approaches include metacognitive therapy (MCT), acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) and dialectical behaviour therapy (DBT).

MCT targets metacognitive beliefs, for example, the belief that worrying will help to solve a problem, through techniques such as attention training that helps patients reflect on, and relate differently to, these beliefs.\(^3\)\(^0\) In ACT, the goal is to help the individual clarify their personal values and act based on them, while learning to be present with what life brings, including difficult feelings.\(^3\)\(^1\) Similarly, DBT also teaches ways to cope with distress and regulate difficult emotions.\(^3\)\(^2\) However, in DBT, there is a dialectical focus, for example, not just on acceptance but also on change, and on balancing the often dual and opposing nature of thoughts, feelings and coping strategies.\(^3\)\(^2\)\(^3\) All three therapeutic approaches outlined above...
build on elements of mindfulness or non-judgemental awareness, which may be especially helpful in the cancer setting, where uncertainty and distressful thoughts and feelings are normal responses that need to be acknowledged. We propose that an integrative approach based on meta-reflection, valued living and personalised coping strategies are fundamental to promoting resilience in the partner caregiver of patients with cancer.

Proposed theoretical framework
Few resilience interventions have based their work on a defined model of resilience.28 In this study, we define resilience as the dynamic process of recovering or maintaining well-being after a cancer diagnosis in a partner. This process is based on the meta-reflective skill of stepping back, evaluating and choosing from different coping strategies in order to achieve a values-based outcome for the individual (figure 1). Below, we briefly outline our approach with regards to each proposed component central to our definition of resilience.

Coping strategies
We categorise coping strategies as belonging to the following polarities: acceptance strategies versus change strategies, strategies to manage perceived negative emotions versus strategies to manage perceived positive emotions, and strategies based on social connections vs strategies strengthening individuality/independence. Within our framework, resilience is enhanced by being able to navigate and choose from different coping strategies best suited to a particular context.

Meta-reflection
In order to navigate and choose from dialectical coping strategies, one must first be able to step back and be able to see one’s behaviour and situation from a larger perspective. We define ‘meta-reflection’ as this ability to reflect on one’s thoughts and actions, in order to evaluate whether a given coping strategy is helpful for one’s situation, as well as whether it contributes to living a life based on what is important to the individual.

Valued living
The final component in the proposed model is the clarification of personal values and the ability to navigate and act based on what is important in one’s life. Within our framework, resilience is enhanced when a person is able to think and cope in ways that he or she finds meaningful and are aligned with what he or she finds valuable and important in life. Thus, within our model, resilience is not based on the ability to use ‘good’ or ‘bad’ strategies, but rather on being able to flexibly choose strategies that can bring an outcome that is of value to the individual. Based on the theoretical framework outlined above, we developed a resilience-based intervention for partner caregivers of patients with cancer.

Pilot study
A pilot study was carried out from November 2018 to January 2019. We recruited six cancer caregivers through the Danish Cancer Society’s counselling centre and website, who received the entire programme led by PG and completed questionnaires before and after the intervention. Participants signed informed consent forms regarding their participation in the study and as user contributors to help evaluate the programme. Their contributions are provided in more detail in the Patient and public involvement section below. Focus group interviews showed high acceptability.

Descriptive analyses based on five participants who completed all questionnaire showed pre–post intervention reductions in symptoms of depression and anxiety, an increase in resilience and self-efficacy, but no change in caregiver burden.

Aims and hypotheses
In this current study, we aim to evaluate the short-term and long-term effectiveness of the ‘Resilient Caregivers’ intervention for improving outcomes among distressed partner caregivers of patients with cancer. We hypothesise that compared with participants in a control group, participants in the intervention group will show reduced symptoms of anxiety, depression and distress, and improved quality of life, sleep quality and resilience on completion of the intervention, and that improvements in outcomes will remain in follow-up of up to 12 months. We further aim to examine potential mechanisms of change underpinning any effect of the intervention, thereby providing initial validation of the proposed theoretical framework for this resilience-based approach. We hypothesise that the effect of the intervention in reducing symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress will be mediated by improvements in resilience, meta-reflective skills and valued living.

METHODS
Design
This randomised trial was planned according to guidelines for conducting resilience intervention studies as

Figure 1 Proposed central components of the resilience process: the meta-reflective skill of evaluating and choosing values-based coping strategies.
proposed by Chmitorz et al. and according to the Standard Protocol Items Recommendations for Intervention Trials guidelines for clinical trial protocols. Enrolment started in April 2021, with an estimated study completion date of March 2023.

Setting and participants
The intervention will take place at the Danish Cancer Society’s counselling centre in Herlev, Denmark and participants will be invited through the Department of Oncology at Herlev Hospital. At the first treatment appointment (which takes place within 2 weeks of diagnosis), patients are often accompanied by a partner and a nurse will hand out an invitation package containing a brochure about the study, an informed consent form and a brief screening questionnaire with oral information about the study. Unaccompanied patients who have partners will be asked to give the invitation package to their partner. An English language example of the consent form is included in online supplemental materials. The form also included a data privacy policy section (not shown in the example) informing participants of the legal details regarding collection of their data, their rights to access this data and who to call if they wish to report a complaint.

Partners interested in participating in the trial are invited to return the consent form and screening questionnaire using the enclosed stamped envelope, after which the project coordinator (BLH) will contact them by phone. Participants who fulfill the following criteria (based on self-reported answers on the screening questionnaire) are eligible and will receive the baseline questionnaire:
1. Are a partner (including same-sex partners, married and unmarried) to an adult patient with cancer who has non-terminal cancer (eg, has been diagnosed with stage I–III/non-metastatic cancer or has an expected survival of >6 months).
2. Experience distress (>4 on the distress thermometer).
3. Can read and write Danish and are willing to participate in all aspects of this study.
4. Are not patients with cancer themselves.
5. Have no untreated psychiatric ailments, active substance abuse or other conditions that can affect participation in a weekly group intervention.

Randomisation and allocation sequence generation
On completion of the baseline questionnaire, participants will be randomised (1:1) to either the intervention arm or control arm according to a computer-generated list in blocks of 2 or 4, stratified by age (<50/≥50) and sex (male/female), to ensure a balanced number of participants in both arms, and avoid unequal distribution of participants by age or sex. In this study, automatic stratification by sex is based on the last digit of the participant’s Danish social security number (males are given an odd number and females an even number) which all Danish citizens are automatically assigned at birth. In Denmark, a person can legally change gender and receive a new number reflecting this change, but the current system only allow categories as either male or female. To take into account other gender identities, we have added a self-reported gender variable to the baseline questionnaire that allows participants to self-report their gender identity. We will not exclude any participant based on gender.

Randomisation will be carried out using REDCap, a secure web-based application for managing research data and allocation is concealed from the project coordinator until a participant is assigned. Participants will be informed of the group allocation by telephone. Due to the nature of the intervention, blinding is only possible for data analysts but not for participants and intervention providers.

Intervention and control groups
Participants assigned to the intervention group will be invited to attend the ‘Resilient Caregivers’ programme. The programme is a manualised seven-session programme in closed groups aimed specifically at improving the caregiver’s ability to cope with the stresses of being a partner to a patient with cancer. Each weekly session lasts for approximately two-and-a-half hours and consists of psychoeducation, the sharing of experiences, group exercises and individual homework. Sessions 1–3 focus on the caregiver, sessions 4 and 5 focus on the relationships between the caregiver and the patient with cancer and social support networks, respectively, while session six focuses on resilience in relation to self-care and care for the ill partner (table 1). Session 7 is a booster session 1 month after the end of session 6 in order to follow up on the intervention and allow participants to reflect on the benefits and challenges of the programme. The intervention will be carried out by trained psychologists/therapists.

Participants assigned to the control group will receive care as usual, which in this case implies no systematic psychological support. We chose usual care as the comparator in order to maintain the ecological validity of this study, as this is the current ‘real-world’ context for cancer caregivers in Denmark. For ethical reasons and to encourage participation and decrease attrition, participants in the control group will be sent a personalised package by post containing a thank you letter explaining the importance of their role in the trial and brochures regarding existing support available at the Danish Cancer Society counselling centres. Regardless of group allocation, participants are free to seek out other sources of support, such as through their general practitioner or a private therapist.

Patient and public involvement
Partner caregivers were involved in the development of trial components in conjunction with the pilot study mentioned above. After the intervention, a focus group interview was carried out by BLH and CJH to obtain participant perspectives on the format of the programme,
the content of each session, the facilitated exercises and homework, and the questionnaire. The interview lasted 2 hours and was audiotaped. The feedback received was used to revise programme slides and materials to its current form and content. Based on feedback that the questionnaire was repetitive and lengthy, we substituted measures with validated short-form versions where possible. Three caregivers were later involved in developing the invitation brochure to ensure clarity and comprehension. Caregivers will also be involved in disseminating study results to the general public.

### Data collection and management

Data will be collected through electronic questionnaires, thus reducing missing data (with the possibility for a paper version) at baseline, after completion of the programme (approximately 3 months from randomisation) and again at 6-month and 12-month follow-up. The measures used

---

**Table 1** Overview of the resilient caregivers programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Resilience areas</th>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Main therapeutic techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Session 1**
Cancer and the great balancing act | Coping strategies | 1. Awareness of dilemmas related to cancer and increased clarity regarding the balance between stresses and resources, and coping strategies with adverse effects
2. Clarification of what the caregiver wishes to achieve from this course | Goal clarification
Psychoeducation about resilience
Identification of current coping strategies
Reflections on the self and life with cancer using pictures etc
Home: ‘Taking one’s temperature’. Daily recording of mood and reactions to specific situations |
| **Session 2**
Evaluating thought and behavioural patterns | Meta-reflection | 1. Awareness of one’s automatic reactions and learned judgements and behaviours by looking at the consequences of current coping strategies
2. Increased awareness of automatic reactions vs attention on alternative strategies | Psychoeducation on investigating one’s thoughts: being on autopilot vs conscious reflection
Exercises on identifying circles of control, refocusing attention, and changing coping strategies using videos, etc
Home: Daily journal reflection over difficulties, as well as things that one is grateful for |
| **Session 3**
Values in a changed life | Personal values | 1. Awareness of—and identification of—personal values
2. Set personal goals for living a more values-based life | Continued psychoeducation: being on autopilot vs making conscious, values-based decisions
Exercises on identifying a difficult situation, clarifying values and aligning behaviour with personal values
Home: ‘Let your values be your guide’ |
| **Session 4**
Life with a partner with cancer | Coping strategies, meta-reflection and values in the couple’s relationship | 1. Awareness of how helpful and unhelpful patterns in the relationship
2. Strengthened ability to shift strategies when a pattern is unhelpful
3. Awareness of—and identification of—important values in the relationship | Psychoeducation: relationship and communication during illness
Reflection exercises on cancer and personal values in the relationship, and changing coping strategies in relation to the partner
Home: ‘What values do you want to focus on in your relationship?’ |
| **Session 5**
Social support networks | Coping strategies, meta-reflection and values related to social networks | 1. Awareness of social network and the possibility for support from others
2. Awareness of behavioural patterns related to social support networks
3. Ability to shift strategies to draw on support and resources from the network when needed | Psychoeducation: the importance of social support networks
Exercises to enhance relationship skills, map out current social network and identify current strategies in relation to this network
Tool: The app ‘Sammenhold’ from the Danish Cancer Society (a tool to coordinate help among family/friends of the patient with cancer)
Home: ‘Changing strategy in one’s close relationship in order to receive more support’ |
| **Session 6**
Resilience through self-care as a caregiver | Coping strategies, meta-reflection and values in self-care and caring for an ill partner | 1. Increased compassion towards oneself and one’s partner
2. Awareness of coping patterns and alternative ways of coping
3. Setting values-based goals as a cancer caregiver | Psychoeducation: Self-care when life is difficult and resilience and the importance of balance in life
Guided reflection exercises: how can you best support yourself and your partner?
Rounding up: Achievements from this programme? |
| **Session 7**
(Booster session) | All areas | 1. Recap all the areas covered in the programme
2. Allow group members to reconnect with each other | Facilitated structured discussions based on the techniques in the programme |
to assess trial outcomes are listed in table 2. The time schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments34 are presented in table 3. Participants will receive person-
alised e-mails with a secure link to the relevant question-
naires and will receive an email reminder after 1
week, and a telephone reminder after 2
weeks, if they do not
respond. Data will be collected and managed using the
electronic platform REDCap36 hosted on secure servers at
the Danish Cancer Society. Access to data will be restricted
to authorised investigators.

Fidelity and adherence
Fidelity to the treatment protocol will be assessed using a
checklist listing the components of each session as laid
out in the intervention manual. The therapist will be
asked to complete the checklist following each session.
All sessions will be audiotaped and a random selection
of 50% of recordings will be assessed by the research
team using the checklist. Adherence will be assessed via
attendance and completion of review forms at the start of
each session concerning the application of the homework
exercises.

Sample size and power calculations
We calculated power simulated from a linear mixed
model with a random subject effect, in a set up with four
measurements (baseline and three follow-up measure-
ments), and two groups (intervention, control) with no
difference at baseline on the primary outcome of anxiety
(online supplemental material 1). We plan to include 80
participants to obtain at least 80% power (95% certainty
between 75% and 85%) for detecting a minimum differ-
ence of −3 points between intervention and control
groups at 6-
month and 12-
month follow-
up as measured
by the Generalised Anxiety Disorder scale (online supple-
mental material 1).37 The calculations were carried out
using R package simr with an assumed significance level
of 0.05.38

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics will be used to present the charac-
teristics of the study participants and assess whether the
randomisation succeeded in controlling for baseline
imbalances. If needed, we will control for any baseline
imbalances that may influence our outcomes, such as age,
gender and education. We will report on the gender iden-
tity of the final sample, including any gender minority
groups. Our primary outcome is changes in symptoms
of anxiety, while secondary outcomes include changes
in symptoms of depression, distress, stress, quality of life
and sleep quality. Based on our theoretical model, we

Table 2 Outcomes and measures used in the questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic information</td>
<td>Developed for the study</td>
<td>Age, gender, education, job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Generalised Anxiety Disorder-737</td>
<td>Range 0–21; higher scores=more symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological distress</td>
<td>Distress Thermometer42 (without problem list)</td>
<td>Range 0–10; higher scores=higher distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Patient Health Questionnaire-943</td>
<td>Range 0–27; higher scores=more symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>WHO-5 Well-being Index44</td>
<td>Range 0–25; higher scores=better quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale-1018 45</td>
<td>Range 0–40; higher scores=greater resilience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Perceived Stress Scale-1046</td>
<td>Range 0–40; higher scores=higher perceived stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-reflection (rumination/ worry and coping)</td>
<td>Cognitive Attentional Syndrome Scale-1 30 47</td>
<td>Range 0–100; higher scores=worse rumination/ worry and coping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valued living</td>
<td>Valuing Questionnaire-items from the ‘Obstruction’ subscale48</td>
<td>Range 0–30; higher scores=more interference with living consistently with one’s values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index49</td>
<td>Range 0–21; higher scores=worse sleep quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>Adapted from the modified Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey-three items from the ‘Emotional support’ subscale50</td>
<td>Range 0–12; higher scores=more social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of professional support</td>
<td>Developed for the study</td>
<td>Participants will be asked if they had received any professional support in relation to their partner’s cancer diagnosis, for example, from a psychologist, support group, telephone chatline, doctor, nurse, other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with programme (intervention group only)</td>
<td>Developed for the study</td>
<td>Participants will be asked to evaluate the content of each session, the delivery and the programme as a whole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
also identified resilience, meta-reflective skill and valued living as potential mechanisms that may be investigated as mediators of an intervention effect on the outcomes described above.

Random effects mixed models accounting for the correlations between repeated measurements for each participant will be used to assess the effects of the intervention on completion, and at 6 and 12 months follow-up. Supplementary analyses adjusted for patient cancer type, caregiver social support and use of professional support as potential confounders will also be carried out. We also plan to assess intervention effects for subgroups of participants by carrying out interaction analyses with variables that may moderate treatment effects, such as sociodemographic and psychological characteristics of the partners or clinical characteristics of the patients. We will carry out intention-to-treat analysis and multiple imputation will be used to handle missing data.

To investigate the potential mediating role of resilience, meta-reflective skill and valued living, we will carry out exploratory analysis using structural equation models (SEM) to estimate direct and indirect associations between the intervention, outcomes and mediating variables. Estimates from SEM give an indication of the extent to which a variable mediates an intervention effect, thus providing evidence for its mechanistic role. Fidelity and adherence assessments will be summarised descriptively.

**Ethical considerations**

This study will follow the ethical principles in the Declaration of Helsinki and has been reviewed by the Ethics Committee of the Capital Region of Denmark (Journal no. 18055373). The need for ethical approval was waived, as it was not considered a medical science study with a clinical experimental setting. Written informed consent will be obtained from all participants prior to the study. Confidentiality will be upheld under the code of conduct for psychologists. At each group session, a check-in with participants will be carried out and any issues raised will be documented.

---

**Table 3** Schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Enrolment</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Follow-up (FU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time point</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆</td>
<td>FU₁, FU₂, FU₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility screen</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distress screen</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Resilient Caregivers’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociodemographic information</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-RISC-10</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS-10</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQ</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of professional support</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with programme</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T₁ – T₆, sessions 1–6; T₇, Booster session; FU₁, FU₂, FU₃, 6 and 12 months follow-up, respectively; General Self-Efficacy Scale, CAS-1, Cognitive Attentional Syndrome Scale; CD-RISC-10, Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; DT, Distress Thermometer; GAD-7, Generalised Anxiety Disorder; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire; PSQI, The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; VQ, Valuing Questionnaire; WHO-5, WHO 5-item Well-being Index.
In the event of a participant developing symptoms of severe psychological distress indicative of psychiatric treatment, we will advise the participant to make an appointment with their general practitioner for a referral.

Participants have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without reason and are able to contact the personnel at the counselling centre at any time, during and after the trial, regardless of whether they are in the intervention group or control group. There will be no data monitoring committee as the intervention is a psychological supportive care intervention and no medical adverse events are expected. There are no known conditions that may be expected to lead to the trial’s termination. Items from the WHO Trial Registration Data Set are provided in online supplemental table 1. This trial is also registered at the Danish Cancer Society Research Center’s internal research projects register (No. 2020-DCRC-0031) under the European Union’s General Data Protection Regulation.

**Dissemination plan**

We will report and present the scientific results of this study in accordance to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials statement in relevant peer-reviewed journals and at scientific conferences. Authorship will follow the criteria recommended by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) in the Vancouver Protocol. We will also communicate trial results to participants and the general public through relevant platforms. The protocol of this study is fully available to the public through registration in ClinicalTrials.gov and this publication. Statistical codes will be available on request.

**Protocol amendments**

The protocol of this study may not be modified without approval from the primary investigator. Any important modifications will be communicated to all relevant parties (ie, coinvestigators, ethical committee, etc) and updated in the trial registry by the project coordinator.

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, the ‘Resilient Caregivers’ randomised trial is the first resilient-based intervention targeting caregivers of patients with cancer. By targeting the enhancement of resilience, this programme aims to improve the physical and psychological well-being of this important population, through the treatment and prevention of debilitating conditions such as anxiety and depression. As partner caregivers provide important support to patients with cancer, enhancing caregiver outcomes may also be expected to improve patient outcomes.

The strengths of this trial include the randomised design, the systematic invitation of participants through a major hospital and the use of an electronic data capture system to reduce missing data and collect/manage data securely. Another strength was the involvement of partner caregivers in testing the intervention and providing feedback on the feasibility and acceptability of the programme, materials and questionnaires. This trial is also adequately powered and includes long-term follow-up of up to 12 months, which is important in psychological trials in order to capture the potential effects from cognitive and behavioural adjustments, which take time to shift.

Limitations include the lack of blinding among participants and providers, which is not possible due to the nature of this trial. Another potential limitation may be attrition in questionnaire responses, especially in the control group. We will use personalised email contact with all participants to promote retention and encourage them to complete as many follow-up assessments as possible, while participants in the control group will receive a thank you letter explaining the important role of the control group in the research design. As the participants in this study are self-referred, the lack of generalisability of this study’s results to the cancer caregiver population in general, and minority populations in particular, cannot be ruled out. However, we know that caregivers who seek psychological help are often those who experience a high level of distress. By screening for and including distressed participants, we expect our results to be valid for the population of cancer caregivers who seek psychological support. Finally, this study is only powered to detect changes in the primary outcome. Additional analyses, such as the use of SEM to investigate potential mechanisms of change, are therefore explorative and can only provide preliminary results. Future studies with adequate power will be needed to extend the evidence base.

We are the first to describe a theoretical formulation of resilience as applied to the large population of cancer caregivers. Findings from this trial are expected to contribute new knowledge to the understanding of the mechanisms underlying resilience processes, including the cognitions and behaviours that promote resilience in the face of stressful life events. The development of the ‘Resilient Caregivers’ manual based on a theoretical framework also means that mental health professionals may be easily trained to deliver this programme in an efficient manner, as it comprehensively covers important components in a relatively short period of time. The manualised group format supports cost-effective implementation in a range of healthcare settings. If shown to be efficacious, the novel ‘Resilient Caregivers’ programme has the potential to improve the lives of both partners and patients with cancer.
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SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

1. Informed Consent Form – English example

Please note that this is a non-official English translation. The original informed consent form is in Danish.

Informed consent form for participation in the “Resilient Caregivers” research project

Purpose of the research

In the Resilient Caregivers project, we wish to test a new supportive group program for partners of cancer patients, with a focus on increasing their resilience (mental robustness). In this randomized trial, we wish to find out if the program can strengthen the participants' ability to deal with both the positive and negative aspects of living with a partner who has cancer.

All participants will be asked to complete questionnaires four times in total. One at the start of the study and after 3, 6 and 12 months, respectively. Based on the information we obtain in the questionnaires, we will use statistical analysis to examine whether the support program makes a difference. Full information about the program is provided in the invitation brochure you received with this form and if you have any questions, you may contact project coordinator, Beverley Lim Høeg, by sending an email to XX or calling this number: XX.

Data controller

The Danish Cancer Society (CVR: 55 62 90 13) is responsible for the data collected in this research project (see contact information at the bottom of the next page). Herlev Hospital is responsible for giving the initial information about the project to potential participants, but will not be collecting, storing or processing any personal data.

If you choose to participate in the project, we will collect, store and process the following personal information about you:

- Information from the questionnaires about your name, contact information, age, education, employment and how you feel both physically and mentally.
- The results from this project are expected to be published in scientific journals as statistical statements, where it is not possible to identify individuals.

Voluntary participation

Participation in the project is voluntary and your choice will have no bearing on your or your partner’s possible treatment in the health care system or participation in other research projects. You can withdraw your consent at any time by sending an email to bevlim@cancer.dk or calling this number: 35 25 72 99. If you withdraw your consent, we will stop processing your personal data and it will not affect the lawfulness of the data processing carried out prior to the withdrawal of the consent.
Consent with signature

I hereby give my consent to participate in the “Resilient Caregivers” research project, and that the Danish Cancer Society may collect and process my personal information, as described above. I have received written and oral information and I know enough about the purpose, method, advantages and disadvantages of saying yes to participating.

PLEASE COMPLETE USING CAPITAL LETTERS

☐ Yes, I would like to participate in the “Resilient Caregivers” research project

NAME:____________________________________________________________________

CPR no.: □□□□□□□□□□

E-MAIL: _________________________________________________________

TELEPHONE: _______________________

Would you like to receive a short description of the results from this project?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Signature: ________________________________

Date: ________________________________

Contact information

The Danish Cancer Society, Strandboulevarden 49, 2100 København Ø, CVR. 55 62 90 13 is responsible for the collection, storage and processing of personal data in connection with this project and can be contacted by e-mail: persondata@cancer.dk or by tel.: 35257500 or contact the data protection adviser by e-mail: dpo@cancer.dk, tel. direct: 35257677.
2. Sample size and power calculations

The primary outcome is this trial is symptoms of anxiety as measured by the GAD-7.¹ We calculated power simulated from a linear mixed model with a random subject effect, in a set up with four measurements (baseline and three follow-up measurements), and two groups (intervention, control) with no difference at baseline. As no randomized trial was found using GAD-7 to assess anxiety in distressed cancer caregivers over time, we base our estimates on general studies using GAD-7 in caregiver populations.² As we are screening for distressed caregivers, we assumed a mean score of 13 (SD = 5) at baseline and an intra class coefficient of 0.2 between the measures from the same person. The control group is assumed to decrease with -1 and -2 at post-intervention and 6-month follow-up respectively, while the intervention group is assumed to decrease with -4 post-intervention and either -5, -6 or -7 at 6 and 12-month follow-up. This means that we are assuming no further reduction in symptoms of anxiety after 6 months. The calculations were carried out using R package simr with an assumed significance level of 0.05.³

The resulting power for testing the null hypothesis of no intervention effect in a range of 40 to 90 participants in total is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Selecting the most conservative intervention effect (the red line), we see that we need 68 participants to provide 80% power (95% certainty between 75% to 85%) for detecting a difference of -3 points between intervention and control groups at 6-month follow-up. We plan to recruit 80 participants (40 in each group) to allow for an attrition rate of up to 15% without loss of power.
**Supplementary Figure 1.** Estimated power (with 95% CI) for testing different intervention effects\(^a\) on the primary outcome of anxiety with a sample size range of 40 to 90 participants in total

\(^a\)The red line assumes a difference of -3 (with 95% CI) between intervention and control arms at 6 and 12-month follow-up, the blue line assumes a difference of -4 and the green line -5.
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**Supplementary Table 1**

World Health Organization trial registration data set for “Resilient Caregivers” – a randomized controlled trial of a resilience-based intervention for psychologically distressed partner caregivers of cancer patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA CATEGORY</th>
<th>INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIMARY REGISTRY AND TRIAL IDENTIFYING NUMBER</td>
<td>ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04610034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE OF REGISTRATION IN PRIMARY REGISTRY</td>
<td>30 October, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECONDARY IDENTIFYING NUMBERS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOURCE(S) OF MONETARY OR MATERIAL SUPPORT</td>
<td>Danish Cancer Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIMARY SPONSOR</td>
<td>Danish Cancer Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECONDARY SPONSOR(S)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTACT FOR PUBLIC QUERIES</td>
<td>Beverley Lim Høeg, project coordinator + 45-35257299 <a href="mailto:bevlim@cancer.dk">bevlim@cancer.dk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTACT FOR SCIENTIFIC QUERIES</td>
<td>Beverley Lim Høeg, project coordinator + 45-35257299 <a href="mailto:bevlim@cancer.dk">bevlim@cancer.dk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC TITLE</td>
<td>&quot;Resilient Caregivers&quot; - A Resilience-based Intervention for Distressed Partner Cancer Caregivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIENTIFIC TITLE</td>
<td>&quot;Resilient Caregivers&quot; - A Randomized Controlled Trial of a Resilience-based Intervention for Distressed Partner Caregivers of Cancer Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTRIES OF RECRUITMENT</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEALTH CONDITION(S) OR PROBLEM(S) STUDIED</td>
<td>Psychological distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERVENTION(S)</td>
<td>Intervention: “Resilient Caregivers” psychological program Comparator: Usual care consisting of no systematic intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| KEY INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA | Inclusion Criteria:
- Partner/Spouse to a patient diagnosed with Stage I-III/non-metastatic cancer with an expected survival > 6 months
- Distress Thermometer score > 4
- Able to speak and understand Danish
- Has given written informed consent to participate in the study

Exclusion Criteria:
- Being a cancer patient in active treatment
- Has untreated psychopathology or physical impairment that may prevent attendance and participation in the study
- Active substance abuse

**STUDY TYPE**
Interventional
Allocation: randomized
Intervention model: parallel assignment
Masking: none
Primary purpose: treatment

**DATE OF FIRST ENROLMENT**
January 2021 (estimated)

**TARGET SAMPLE SIZE**
80

**RECRUITMENT STATUS**
Recruitment started April 2021

**PRIMARY OUTCOME(S)**
Changes in symptoms of anxiety (time frame: baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months)

**KEY SECONDARY OUTCOMES**
Changes in psychological distress, symptoms of depression, resilience, perceived stress, rumination/worry and coping, quality of life, valued living and sleep quality (time frame: baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months)

**ETHICS REVIEW**
Reviewed by the Ethics Committee of the Capital Region of Danmark (journal no. 18055373) and exempted from ethical approval, as it was not considered a medical science study with a clinical experimental setting

**COMPLETION DATE**
March 2023 (Estimated)

**SUMMARY RESULTS**
None

**IPD SHARING STATEMENT**
There is no plan to share individual participant data