ABSTRACT

Introduction Monitoring type 1 diabetes (T1D) trends across most European countries using objectively measured data and how this incidence has evolved over the past three decades should be considered a public health priority. This study protocol provides a standardised and transparent methodology to assess T1D trends among 0–14-year-old children and adolescents across Europe from 1994 to 2021.

Methods and analysis This protocol is guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols and the Cochrane Collaboration Handbook. The literature search will be conducted using MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL and Web of Science databases from 1994 to 2021. Observational cohort studies providing incidence rates for European children and adolescents diagnosed with T1D aged ≤14.9 years and studies written in English, Spanish or Portuguese will be included. The risk of bias of the included studies will be assessed using the Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Subgroup analyses will be performed based on gender, age, study year, country or European region. Meta-regression analysis will be conducted using economic and geographic variables, such as gross national income of the country or geographic latitude.

Ethics and dissemination The systematic review based on this protocol will provide a comprehensive description of T1D incidence trends in children and adolescents across Europe from 1994 to 2021. The results will be disseminated in a peer-reviewed journal and in mass media. This study will exclusively use data from published research, so institutional ethical approval is not required.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42021239480.

INTRODUCTION

The global incidence of newly diagnosed cases of type 1 diabetes (T1D) in children and adolescents increased annually by approximately 3% until 1999 despite observed geographical differences. In 2019, the International Diabetes Federation indicated that every year, 98,200 children and adolescents aged 0–14 years are diagnosed with T1D worldwide. Although important conclusions can be derived from these analyses, incidence rates are collected from population-based prospective registries, and these studies are commonly conducted in wealthy countries only.

In this regard, with the creation of the Europe and Diabetes Study (EURODIAB) in 1989, the incidence of T1D in Europe in children and adolescents aged 0–14.9 years has been updated every year with data from 26 European centres representing 22 countries. The 2019 report, which included data from 1989 to 2013, indicated an overall pooled rate of an annual increase of 3.4% (2.8%–3.9%).

However, specific data from different studies are not included in the EURODIAB studies, such as incidence studies conducted in other regions or other centres in countries that are included in the EURODIAB Family Study. Thus, monitoring T1D trends across most European countries using data objectively measured and obtained in
different regions can provide a more complete picture of the epidemiological situation in Europe.

To date, no study has examined data on the incidence of T1D in most European countries and regions in children and adolescents during the last three decades. This information would provide a more comprehensive picture of the epidemiological situation regarding T1D and also extend knowledge towards possible economic and geographical disparities across the continent.

Therefore, the present study protocol reports a standardised and transparent methodology for conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis aimed at assessing the incidence and trends in T1D among European children aged 0–14 years in Europe from 1994 to 2021 using systematic methodology.

OBJECTIVES
The purpose of this study protocol is to report a standardised and transparent methodology for conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis aimed at (1) assessing the trends of T1D among 0–14.9-year-old children and adolescents across Europe from 1994 to 2021 and (2) analysing whether T1D incidence trends have varied based on gender, age, country, European region, gross national income of the country or geographical latitude.

METHODS/DESIGN
This systematic review and meta-analysis protocol is based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols9 10 and the Cochrane Collaboration Handbook.11

Inclusion/exclusion criteria for study selection
We will include studies providing incidence rates of European children and adolescents diagnosed with T1D aged ≤14.9 years who meet the following inclusion criteria: (1) observational studies (cohort studies); (2) studies reporting data by year or periods of time; and (3) studies including incidence rates and/or mean annual incidence.

However, studies will be excluded from the analyses when (1) they do not provide details of the sampling method or the sample composition and (2) they refer to a particular population group, such as aboriginal groups, immigrant groups, economic status or concomitant diseases.

Search strategy
The literature search will be conducted using MEDLINE (via PubMed), Embase (via Scopus), CINAHL and Web of Science databases from 1994 to June 2021 with no language restrictions.

Study records will be managed using the Mendeley reference manager. The following search terms will be combined using Boolean operators from the search concepts, as described in table 1.
Selection and analysis of trials

To identify eligible studies, two of the reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts. Then, the full manuscripts of the identified studies will be examined. Finally, two reviewers will remove duplicate studies and will check the included and excluded studies and verify the reasons why they were included/excluded. In the case of discrepancies, a consensus will be reached after the consultation of a third independent investigator. The selection process of eligible articles is shown in figure 1.

In parallel and independently, two authors will extract the following data from the included studies: first author’s name, publication year, country, European region, level of representativeness (national/regional data), period of study, design, characteristics of the included population (sample size, age of participants and sex) and outcomes (mean annual incidence rates of type 1 diabetes by age group) (table 2).

Quality assessment: risk of bias

The included studies will be assessed for methodological quality based on the full-published paper independently by two researchers using the tool according to the study type. The following tools will be used:

- Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NIH). This tool includes 14 criteria that can be assessed as ‘yes’, ‘no’ or ‘other’ (cannot determine, not applicable or not reported).

- Quality Assessment of Case–Control Studies from the NIH. A total of 12 items were assessed as ‘yes’, ‘no’ or ‘other’ (cannot determine, not applicable or not reported).

Any disagreement in the assessment of the risk of bias will be discussed to reach a consensus. A third researcher will be consulted to resolve the final decision if a consensus is not reached.

Statistical analysis

The characteristics of the included studies will be summarised in an ad hoc table. Then, we will extract the total incidence and will categorise it based on age (0–4, 5–9 and 10–14.9 years) and sex alone and in combination. In addition, we will analyse the data in different age groups, time periods (1994–2003, 2004–2012, 2013–2021), countries and regions whenever available.

For the meta-analysis, STATA V.15 software will be used to combine the pooled mean differences with 95% CIs. The Mantel-Haenszel fixed-effects model will be used if there is no evidence of heterogeneity; otherwise, a random-effects model (Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman) will be used. Study heterogeneity will be assessed using the I² statistic. Here, I² values of <25%, 25%–50% and >50% represent small, medium and large heterogeneity.
respectively. For this study, the Mantel-Haenszel fixed-effects method will be used when I² is <50%, and Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman random-effects when I² is ≥50%. The corresponding p values will also be considered. In addition, we will calculate the τ² statistic to evaluate the size and clinical relevance of heterogeneity. Here, τ² estimates of 0.04 are interpreted as a low of clinical relevance of heterogeneity, 0.14 as moderate and 0.40 as substantial degree.

First, the incidence estimates by countries will be pooled as an aggregate mean, weighted by the incidence of subjects with T1D; for each country the combined and stratified results by age groups, sex and time periods will be presented. Subsequently, the general point estimate will be calculated, and also subgroup analyses by European region will be performed, also stratified by age groups, sex and time periods.

Subgroup and meta-regression analyses

Subgroup and meta-regression analyses will be performed on the main factors causing heterogeneity, such as gender, age of study participants, period of time, countries, European regions (Atlantic, Iberian, Central and Mediterranean) and other study outcomes (HbA1c, obesity parameter), T1D family history, presence of autoantibodies, economic development and other geographic indicators, if available. Additionally, the design of the study and Quality in Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) Score will be considered in additional subgroup analyses.

Publication bias

Finally, the publication bias for the main pooled data will be determined by visual inspection of the funnel plots, as well as using the method proposed by Egger.

DISCUSSION

Monitoring T1D trends in children and adolescents across most European countries using objective diagnosis data and obtained in different European regions over time is important from a population health surveillance perspective. The EURODIAB study analyses the trends of 22 European countries based on annual records. However, many European countries are not included in these reports, which prevents the formation of a complete picture of Europe. In this sense, this systematic review and meta-analysis protocol aims to provide a precise, transparent and generalisable methodology for estimating the overtime trends of T1D for three age groups (0–4, 5–9 and 10–14.9 years) across most European countries and regions from 1994 to June 2021.

A recent multicentre prospective study in several European countries showed a doubling in incidence rate within approximately 20 years in Europe. Despite a temporary slowing in the 2004–2008 period, an increased incidence rate in some high-risk areas, such as Finland, Norway or Sweden, has been confirmed. Thus, with the aim of identifying the evolution in the incidence of T1D, we propose to analyse three different subperiod groups (1994–2003, 2004–2012 and 2013–2021).
T1D incidence rates in several European countries have been positively associated not only with strong genetic susceptibility, but also with country-level income\textsuperscript{20} and lifestyle or environmental risk factors.\textsuperscript{21–24} Previously, lower incidence rates could be related to an under-reporting of T1D cases, and the increase in T1D incidence may be attributed to improvements in the diagnosis and notification of true T1D cases.\textsuperscript{25} Monitoring T1D incidence based on periodic registries is highly significant to determine epidemiologic trends.

Based on all of the above, different sources of heterogeneity will be considered in this study. To verify whether participant characteristics, period of time, countries, European regions, QUIPS Score or other economic and geographic study outcomes could affect heterogeneity, several subgroups and random effects meta-regression will be conducted.

It is important to recognise the potential limitations of this research, such as inadequate reporting of methods and findings of the primary studies, publication bias, information bias or poor statistical analyses. We will consider the notion that these sources of bias will be greater in some regions and countries (eg, wealthy countries vs low-income countries). Therefore, it is important to summarise the information available in the manuscripts included.

In brief, due to the lack of complete information about T1D trends in children and adolescents in most European countries, it is important to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis including children and adolescents over the last decades to provide high-quality evidence for monitoring and controlling this important public health problem. This protocol provides updated data for policy-makers and healthcare providers at national and continental levels to monitor this important public health concern that has shown an upward trend in recent years. Finally, the development of a new statistical model to assess studies addressing incidence trends of T1D is important because it could be useful to generate guidelines for future research on these types of issues.
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