BMJ Open Synergistic effect of hypoalbuminaemia and hypotension in predicting in-hospital mortality and intensive care admission: a retrospective cohort study

Eyal Klang,^{1,2} Shelly Soffer ,^{3,4} Eyal Zimlichman,^{2,5} Alexis Zebrowski,^{6,7} Benjamin S Glicksberg,^{8,8} E Grossman,^{2,9} David L Reich,¹⁰ Robert Freeman,¹¹ Matthew A Levin^{11,11}

To cite: Klang E, Soffer S, Zimlichman E, *et al.* Synergistic effect of hypoalbuminaemia and hypotension in predicting in-hospital mortality and intensive care admission: a retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2021;**11**:e050216. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050216

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050216>).

Received 15 February 2021
Accepted 24 September 2021

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Shelly Soffer;
soffer.shelly@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objective Hypoalbuminaemia is an important prognostic factor. It may be associated with poor nutritional states, chronic heart and kidney disease, long-standing infection and cancer. Hypotension is a hallmark of circulatory failure. We evaluated hypoalbuminaemia and hypotension synergism as predictor of in-hospital mortality and intensive care unit (ICU) admission.

Design We retrospectively analysed emergency department (ED) visits from January 2011 to December 2019.

Setting Data were retrieved from five Mount Sinai health system hospitals, New York.

Participants We included consecutive ED patients ≥ 18 years with albumin measurements.

Primary and secondary outcome measures Clinical outcomes were in-hospital mortality and ICU admission. The rates of these outcomes were stratified by systolic blood pressure (SBP) (<90 vs ≥ 90 mm Hg) and albumin levels. Variables included demographics, presenting vital signs, comorbidities (measured as ICD codes) and other common blood tests. Multivariable logistic regression models analysed the adjusted OR of different levels of albumin and SBP for predicting ICU admission and in-hospital mortality. The models were adjusted for demographics, vital signs, comorbidities and common laboratory results. Patients with albumin 3.5–4.5 g/dL and SBP ≥ 90 mm Hg were used as reference.

Results The cohort included 402 123 ED arrivals (27.9% of total adult ED visits). The rates of in-hospital mortality, ICU admission and overall admission were 1.7%, 8.4% and 47.1%, respectively. For SBP <90 mm Hg and albumin <2.5 g/dL, mortality and ICU admission rates were 34.0% and 40.6%, respectively; for SBP <90 mm Hg and albumin ≥ 2.5 g/dL 8.2% and 24.1%, respectively; for SBP ≥ 90 mm Hg and albumin <2.5 g/dL 11.4% and 18.6%, respectively; for SBP ≥ 90 mm Hg and albumin 3.5–4.5 g/dL 0.5% and 6.4%, respectively. Multivariable analysis showed that in patients with hypotension and albumin <2.5 g/dL the adjusted OR for in-hospital mortality was 37.1 (95% CI 32.3 to 42.6), and for ICU admission was 5.4 (95% CI 4.8 to 6.1).

Conclusion Co-occurrence of hypotension and hypoalbuminaemia is associated with poor hospital outcomes.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This was a large multicentre study. The albumin-blood pressure synergism was evaluated for all emergency department (ED) patients with measurements.
- We evaluated the predictive value of albumin across different blood pressure levels, with emphasis on hypotension.
- We compared albumin to other common and important clinical markers in the ED.
- The study's limitations include its retrospective nature and its focus solely on in-hospital outcomes (in-hospital mortality and intensive care unit admission).

INTRODUCTION

Clinicians rely on clinical and laboratory biomarkers for decision making. Hypoalbuminaemia has been widely studied. It has been associated with increased morbidity and mortality in many clinical conditions. A recent study showed that low albumin on admission is linked with increased in-hospital mortality.¹ Other studies investigated hypoalbuminaemia in acute myocardial infarction,^{2 3} heart failure,⁴ sepsis,⁵ stroke,⁶ burns⁷ and malignancies.⁸ It was demonstrated that marked hypoalbuminaemia was associated with 34%–80% mortality.^{1 7}

Albumin has important physiological functions such as maintenance of normal osmotic pressure, microvascular permeability and regulation of platelet aggregation.⁹ The link between hypoalbuminaemia and increased mortality could be attributed to the fact that hypoalbuminaemia reflects the severity of the systemic state. Hypoalbuminaemia is associated with poor nutritional status, frailty, severe hepatic or renal dysfunction, inflammation and late cancer states.^{9–12}

Shock is defined as a circulatory failure with the hypoperfusion of tissues. Hypotension is a hallmark of circulatory failure. When it persists, it leads to shock.^{13–15}

Serum albumin and systolic blood pressure (SBP) are widely used clinical measurements. Therefore, it is important to explore the interaction between these two parameters in a large-scale study. We evaluated hypoalbuminaemia and hypotension synergism as a predictor of in-hospital mortality and the need for intensive care unit (ICU) admission.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study setting and data source

We identified all consecutive admissions to five emergency departments (EDs) in the Mount Sinai health system (MSHS), New York, USA (Mount Sinai Hospital, Mount Sinai Brooklyn, Mount Sinai Queens, Mount Sinai Morningside and Mount Sinai West). Electronic health record (EHR) data were extracted from EPIC (Epic Systems, Verona, Wisconsin, USA). The study time frame was from 1 January 2011 to 31 December 2019.

The MSHS is a large, diverse health system. All included EDs in the study were co-located with a hospital. The approximate total numbers of yearly ED visits are 50 000–1 00 000 per hospital. The approximate admission rate in the MSHS is 17%.

Study design

Population

Patients were included in the analyses if they had an ED visit for any reason during the study period. We excluded patients younger than 18, patients with records that were erroneously created, and visits without albumin measurements at admission. We also excluded patients with missing data regarding laboratory and vital signs.

Variables

The primary outcomes were all-cause in-hospital mortality and ICU admission. Covariates of interest included: age, sex, comorbidities (coded using International Classification of Diseases-10 Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) and grouped using the diagnostic clinical classification software: congestive heart failure (CHF), coronary artery disease (CAD), diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension (HTN), chronic kidney disease (CKD), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and known cancer),

first vital signs (SBP, diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, temperature, pulse oximetry, respiratory rate), and first commonly used laboratory test results in the ED (albumin, haemoglobin (HB), white blood cells (WBC), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine (CR), sodium, chloride, potassium).

ICD-10-CM codes were retrieved for each patient from the MSHS Epic EHR. All codes were limited to those registered prior to the index ED visit.

Albumin and blood pressure groups selection

Similar to previous publication,¹ patients were clustered into five groups based on albumin levels at admission: albumin < 2.5 g/dL, albumin ≥ 2.5 g/dL and < 3.5 g/dL, albumin ≥ 3.5 g/dL and < 4.5 g/dL and albumin ≥ 4.5 g/dL. Patients were also defined as hypotensive (SBP < 90 mm Hg) based on their SBP at admission.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were reported for all patient characteristics using means and SD or medians with IQR for continuous variables and counts with percentages for categorical variables. Continuous variables were compared using either the unpaired t-test for two variables or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for more than two variables. Categorical variables were compared using the χ^2 test.

Patients were stratified according to the admission SBP (low < 90 mm Hg and ≥ 90 mm Hg) and the admission serum albumin (low < 2.5 g/dL, 2.5–3.0 g/dL, 3.0–3.5 g/dL, 3.5–4.5 g/dL, and > 4.5 g/dL).

We estimated the predictive value of albumin for in-hospital mortality and ICU admission in hypotensive patients. For this, receiver operator curves (ROC) were plotted, and the area under the ROC (AUC) were computed. For informational basis, albumin AUCs were compared with the AUCs of other common clinical variables in the ED (age, HR, WBC, HGB, BUN, CR).

Two multivariable logistic regression models compared rates of outcomes between subgroups of patients stratified by albumin and SBP at admission levels: one model for in-hospital mortality prediction and one model for ICU admission. In each model there were ten combined albumin and SBP groups. Each albumin/SBP group represented a combination of one albumin level (< 2.5, 2.5–3.5, 3.5–4.5, ≥ 4.5 g/dL) and one SBP at admission level (< 90 or ≥ 90 mm Hg). In each model, patients that had both albumin 3.5–4.5 g/dL and SBP at admission ≥ 90 mm Hg constituted the reference group. The models were adjusted for important clinical variables in the ED. These included demographics (age, sex), vital signs (TEMP, HR, RR), comorbidities (CHF, CAD, DM, HTN, CKD, COPD, cancer) and laboratory results (WBC, HB, BUN, CR).

We have also analysed albumin and SBP at admission as continuous variables. We have thus built multivariable logistic regression models using the same outcomes and covariates, with albumin and SBP at admission as continuous variables.

Figure 1 Study flow chart. ED, emergency department.

Table 1 Table shows the demographic, clinical and biochemical characteristics of adult patients presenting in the ED, stratified by admission albumin level

	Albumin <2.5 g/dL (n=17 153, 4.3%)	Albumin ≥2.5 g/dL and <3.0 g/dL (n=27 867, 6.9%)	Albumin ≥3.0 g/dL and <3.5 g/dL (n=63 683, 15.8%)	Albumin ≥3.5 g/dL and <4.5 g/dL (n=247 432, 61.5%)	Albumin ≥4.5 g/dL (n=45 988, 11.4%)	P value†
Demographics						
Age, median (IQR), year	64 (54–76)	66 (54–79)	65 (51–78)	53 (37–68)	36 (27–53)	<0.001
Female, N (%)	8126 (47.4)	14 242 (51.1)	36 164 (56.8)	143 746 (58.1)	20 490 (44.6)	<0.001
SBP, median (IQR), mm Hg	120 (104–138)	126 (110–146)	132 (116–151)	134 (119–152)	134 (121–150)	<0.001
SBP <90 mm Hg, N (%)	1548 (9.0)	1270 (4.6)	1595 (2.5)	2204 (0.9)	194 (0.4)	<0.001
DBP, median (IQR), mm Hg	66 (57–77)	69 (60–79)	72 (64–82)	77 (68–86)	79 (70–88)	<0.001
Heart rate, median (IQR), b/min	92 (79–107)	89 (76–104)	87 (75–100)	84 (73–97)	86 (74–99)	<0.001
Temperature, median (IQR), Celsius	36.7 (36.2–37.1)	36.7 (36.3–37.1)	36.7 (36.3–37.0)	36.7 (36.3–36.9)	36.6 (36.2–36.9)	<0.001
Respiratory rate, median (IQR), breath/min	18 (18–20)	18 (18–20)	18 (18–20)	18 (18–20)	18 (18–20)	<0.001
O2 saturation, median (IQR)%	98 (96–99)	98 (96–99)	98 (96–99)	98 (97–99)	98 (97–100)	<0.001
Pain scale, median (IQR), (0–10)	0 (0–7)	0 (0–7)	3 (0–7)	5 (0–8)	6 (0–8)	<0.001
Comorbidities						
CAD, N (%)	3167 (18.5)	6362 (22.8)	14 428 (22.7)	32 079 (13.0)	2240 (4.9)	<0.001
CHF, N (%)	4032 (23.5)	7429 (26.7)	14 722 (23.1)	23 756 (9.6)	1446 (3.1)	<0.001
DM, N (%)	6877 (40.1)	11 123 (39.9)	23 563 (37.0)	58 244 (23.5)	5849 (12.7)	<0.001
HTN, N (%)	8251 (48.1)	14 535 (52.2)	31 679 (49.7)	78 625 (31.8)	7223 (15.7)	<0.001
CKD, N (%)	4011 (23.4)	6673 (23.9)	12 528 (19.7)	19 962 (8.1)	1464 (3.2)	<0.001
COPD, N (%)	1944 (11.3)	3765 (13.5)	8804 (13.8)	18 092 (7.3)	1264 (2.7)	<0.001
Cancer, N (%)	6821 (39.8)	9849 (35.3)	17 788 (27.9)	41 605 (16.8)	4355 (9.5)	<0.001
Laboratory results						
WBC, median (IQR), $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$	9.4 (6.2–14.2)	8.8 (6.2–12.6)	8.4 (6.2–11.3)	8.1 (6.3–10.5)	8.8 (6.9–11.5)	<0.001
NEUT, median (IQR), $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$	7.2 (4.3–11.8)	6.5 (4.1–10.0)	5.9 (4.0–8.6)	5.4 (3.8–7.7)	6.1 (4.3–8.9)	<0.001
HGB, median (IQR), g/dL	9.6 (8.2–11.1)	10.5 (9.0–12.0)	11.6 (10.2–12.9)	13.1 (11.9–14.2)	14.4 (13.3–15.4)	<0.001
BUN, median (IQR), mg/dL	22.0 (13.0–39.0)	20.0 (13.0–35.0)	17.0 (12.0–28.0)	14.0 (11.0–19.0)	13.0 (10.0–17.0)	<0.001
Cr, median (IQR), mg/dL	1.1 (0.8–2.1)	1.0 (0.7–1.8)	0.9 (0.7–1.4)	0.8 (0.7–1.0)	0.8 (0.7–1.0)	<0.001
Na, median (IQR), mEq/L	135 (132–139)	136 (133–139)	137 (135–140)	139 (136–140)	139 (137–141)	<0.001
K, median (IQR), mEq/L	4.1 (3.6–4.8)	4.2 (3.7–4.7)	4.1 (3.7–4.6)	4.0 (3.7–4.4)	4.0 (3.7–4.3)	<0.001
Admission						
Hospital admission	14 633 (85.3)	21 764 (78.1)	40 953 (64.3)	96 682 (39.1)	15 176 (33.0)	

*Total number included in the cohort 407 492.

†Wald analysis of variance estimated statistical difference between the different albumin groups.

BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CAD, coronary artery disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CR, creatinine; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DM, diabetes mellitus; ED, emergency department; HGB, haemoglobin; HTN, hypertension; K, potassium; Na, sodium; NEUT, absolute neutrophil count; SBP, systolic blood pressure; WBC, white blood cells.

A correlation matrix was constructed to assess the possible collinearity between covariates. All covariate correlations were below 0.7. Adjusted OR with 95% CIs were reported.

AUCs, also known as C-statistics, were calculated for each multivariable model. Bootstrapping validations (1000 bootstrap resamples) were used to calculate 95% CIs for all metrics.

All analyses were conducted with Python (Python software foundation, V.3.6.5). Statistical significance was established at a two-sided $p < 0.05$.

Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved in the formulation of the study.

RESULTS

Our final cohort comprised 407 492 patients ≥ 18 years old who had albumin measured in the ED and had complete vital signs (27.9% of the adult ED population). Figure 1 presents the study inclusion flow chart. The median age in the cohort was 55 (IQR 37–71) years, and 222 768 (55.4%) patients were females (table 1). Of the

Figure 2 Distribution plot of albumin levels in the study cohort.

ED visits, 189 208 (47.1%) were admitted to the hospital. The overall in-hospital mortality rate was 1.7% (n=6729). The ICU admission rate was 8.4% (n=33 780).

Albumin and SBP

The albumin distribution was left-skewed (figure 2), with a median of 3.8 g/dL (IQR 3.4–4.2). Hypoalbuminaemia (<3.5 g/dL) was found in 27.0% of the cohort; 11.2% had albumin <3.0 g/dL and 4.3% had albumin <2.5 g/dL. SBP at admission showed a median of 132 (IQR 118–151) (figure 3). 1.7% of the cohort had SBP <90 mm Hg at admission.

Patients with hypoalbuminaemia had significantly more comorbidities (cancer 31.7% in patients with hypoalbuminaemia vs 15.7% without hypoalbuminaemia; cardiovascular disease 33.9% in patients with hypoalbuminaemia vs 15.9% without hypoalbuminaemia). These patients also had lower HGB, with a median HGB of 9.6 in the <2.5 g/dL albumin group (table 1).

Compared with common clinical and laboratory markers measured on ED admission, albumin was the best predictor of both in-hospital mortality and ICU admission

Figure 3 Distribution plot of systolic blood pressure (SBP) in the study cohort.

(figure 4A,B). In the hypotensive group, albumin showed an AUC of 0.77 (95% CI 0.75 to 0.78) for predicting in-hospital mortality. It also established an AUC of 0.64 (95% CI 0.63 to 0.65) for predicting ICU admission.

Multivariable models

The rates of outcomes were significantly associated with SBP at admission and albumin synergism (figure 5A,B). The co-occurrence of SBP at admission <90 mm Hg and albumin <2.5 g/dL was associated with 34.0% in-hospital mortality and 40.6% ICU admission rates. In comparison, in patients with SBP at admission <90 mm Hg but albumin ≥2.5 g/dL, an in-hospital mortality rate of 8.2%, and ICU admission rates of 24.1% were observed. In patients with albumin <2.5 g/dL but SBP at admission ≥90 mm Hg, an in-hospital mortality rate of 11.4% and ICU admission rates of 18.6% were observed.

The multivariable logistic regression models adjusting for demographics, vital signs, comorbidities and blood test results had C-statistics of 0.89 (95% CI 0.89 to 0.90) for in-hospital mortality and 0.75 (95% CI 0.74 to 0.75) for ICU admittance. The adjusted ORs of all the features

Figure 4 Receiver operator curves (ROC) and areas under the ROC curves (AUC) for the hypotensive cohort comparing the predictive ability of albumin for (A) in-hospital mortality and (B) ICU admission, with comparison to other clinical variables. BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CR, creatinine; HGB, haemoglobin; ICU, intensive care unit; WBC, white blood cells.

Figure 5 (A) All cause in-hospital mortality rate and (B) ICU admission rate stratified by albumin and SBP groups. ICU, intensive care unit; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

in the models are presented in online supplemental tables 1,2.

Adjusted aORs were similar to the crude rates (tables 2 and 3). The aORs for in-hospital mortality in patients with albumin <2.5 g/dL and hypotension was 37.1 (95% CI 32.3 to 42.6). The aOR for ICU admission in this group was 5.4 (95% CI 4.8 to 6.1).

In the multivariable logistic regression models, using albumin and SBP at admission as continuous variables, the adjusted OR of albumin for in-hospital mortality was 0.3 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.3) and the adjusted OR of SBP at admission was 0.9 (95% CI 0.9 to 0.9). For ICU admission, the adjusted OR of albumin was 0.6 (95% CI 0.6 to 0.6) and the adjusted OR of SBP at admission was 1.0 (95% CI 1.0 to 1.0). Online supplemental tables 3 and 4 present the adjusted ORs of all covariates in these models.

DISCUSSION

Both albumin and SBP are important clinical markers. Abnormalities in these indices may signify severe

outcomes. Thus, it is important to elucidate the interaction between these two parameters. We found that in ED patients, the combination of hypoalbuminaemia and hypotension showed a strong synergistic effect for in-hospital mortality and ICU admission.

Several previous studies evaluated the significance of albumin levels in shock and preshock states. Holder *et al* analysed 582 ED patients with sepsis without organ failure or shock. They found that albumin below 3.5 g/dL was an indicator for progression to severe sepsis or shock.¹⁶ This study excluded patients with SBP <90 mm Hg at admission. Another study analysed 561 patients admitted to the ED with sepsis. In this study, admission albumin levels were associated with 28-day mortality.¹⁷ Artero *et al* analysed 112 patients with septic shock or severe sepsis. They showed that hypoalbuminaemia was the most important prognostic factor in community-acquired bloodstream infection with severe sepsis.¹⁸

It was recently demonstrated that hypoalbuminaemia is an important prognostic marker in cardiogenic shock.¹⁹

Table 2 All-cause in-hospital mortality in adult patients presenting in the ED, stratified by admission albumin level and blood pressure

		Albumin <2.5 g/dL	Albumin ≥2.5 g/dL and <3.0 g/dL	Albumin ≥3.0 g/dL and <3.5 g/dL	Albumin ≥3.5 g/dL and <4.5 g/dL	Albumin ≥4.5 g/dL
Crude in-hospital mortality rates	SBP <90 mm Hg	527/1548 (34.0%)	212/1270 (16.7%)	132/1595 (8.3%)	85/2204 (3.9%)	4/194 (2.1%)
	SBP ≥90 mm Hg	1787/15 605 (11.5%)	1431/26 597 (5.4%)	1294/62 088 (2.1%)	1194/2 45 228 (0.5%)	63/45 794 (0.1%)
Adjusted OR for in-hospital mortality	SBP <90 mm Hg	37.1 (95% CI 32.3 to 42.6) p<0.001	14.2 (95% CI 11.9 to 16.9) p<0.001	7.2 (95% CI 5.9 to 8.8) p<0.001	4.6 (95% CI 3.7 to 5.8) p<0.001	3.1 (95% CI 1.1 to 8.5) p=0.029
	SBP ≥90 mm Hg	12.9 (95% CI 11.8 to 14.1) p<0.001	5.9 (95% CI 5.4 to 6.5) p<0.001	2.7 (95% CI 2.5 to 2.9) p<0.001	1 (Reference)	0.4 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.5) p<0.001

Adjusted ORs from multivariable logistic regression analyses adjusted for demographics, vital signs, comorbidities and blood test results. The table presents the crude rates and the multivariable-adjusted ORs. ED, emergency department; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Table 3 ICU admission in adult patients presenting in the ED, stratified by admission albumin level and blood pressure

		Albumin <2.5 g/dL	Albumin ≥2.5 g/dL and <3.0 g/dL	Albumin ≥3.0 g/dL and <3.5 g/dL	Albumin ≥3.5 g/dL and <4.5 g/dL	Albumin ≥4.5 g/dL
Crude ICU admission rates	SBP <90 mm Hg	629/1548 (40.6%)	435/1270 (34.3%)	434/1595 (27.2%)	382/2204 (17.3%)	19/194 (9.8%)
	SBP ≥90 mm Hg	2915/15 605 (18.7%)	4131/26 597 (15.5%)	7464/62 088 (12.0%)	15 763/245 228 (6.4%)	1608/45 794 (3.5%)
Adjusted OR for ICU admission	SBP <90 mm Hg	5.4 (95% CI 4.8 to 6.1) p<0.001	4.0 (95% CI 3.5 to 4.5) p<0.001	3.0 (95% CI 2.7 to 3.4) p<0.001	2.1 (95% CI 1.9 to 2.4) p<0.001	1.3 (95% CI 0.8 to 2.1) p=0.319
	SBP ≥90 mm Hg	2.2 (95% CI 2.1 to 2.3) p<0.001	1.7 (95% CI 1.6 to 1.8) p<0.001	1.4 (95% CI 1.4 to 1.4) p<0.001	1 (Reference)	0.7 (95% CI 0.7 to 0.7) p<0.001

Adjusted ORs from multivariable logistic regression analyses adjusted for demographics, vital signs, comorbidities and blood test results. The table presents the crude rates and the multivariable-adjusted ORs. ED, emergency department; ICU, intensive care unit; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

In this study, hypoalbuminaemia patients had a higher in-hospital mortality rate (48% vs 23%).

It has been suggested that hypoalbuminaemia may play a direct role in poor reperfusion.²⁰ The co-occurrence of hypoalbuminaemia and hypotension may reflect a decrease in blood flow to vital organs. Additionally, low albumin leads to colloid oncotic pressure which in turn leads to hypovolaemia and hypotension.²¹ Previous studies have suggested that hypoalbuminaemia is associated with pre-existing disease severity.²² It was also shown that a low albumin level is linked to frailty and impaired nutritional status.^{12 23} Thus, albumin expresses a poor performance status. Indeed, patients with hypoalbuminaemia had more comorbidities and lower HB levels. However, low albumin remained a significant prognostic predictor after adjustment for comorbidities.

Our research was a large multisite study. As expected in such a large cohort, all ANOVA p values were statistically significant (table 1).

It should be noted that the in-hospital mortality adjusted rates were pretty similar to the crude rates, highlighting fragility of the results. In other words, sicker patients have lower albumin levels and are hypotensive and are more likely to suffer in-hospital mortality.

Our study on a large cohort augments the results of previous studies. We showed the importance of albumin to stratify ED hypotensive patients. Hypotensive patients that also present with hypoalbuminaemia are particularly at risk and should receive maximal attention. Information regarding low albumin in hypotensive patients should lead to a higher level of monitoring than indicated by vital signs and clinical impression alone. In addition, the presence of hypoalbuminaemia in hypotensive patients should result in a more accurate placement (ie, the need for ICU admission) and optimal hospital care.

Moreover, in-hospital mortality and ICU admission rates were stratified by albumin levels across all SBP at admission levels. After adjustment, the results hold with a C-statistic of 0.89 for in-hospital mortality and 0.75

for ICU admission. The models have higher AUC than albumin alone since they use data from albumin, SBP at admission as well as all the other covariates.

This study has several limitations. It is an observational study limited to the urban NYC area. Thus, it is unclear whether the results could be generalised to other settings. Second, we do not have information regarding post-discharge outcomes. Nonetheless, all-cause in-hospital mortality and ICU admission are important clinical outcomes. Third, we evaluated SBP at admission as an isolated marker. We did not stratify SBP at admission into septic or cardiogenic shock. Fourth, ED lactate measurements were missing for most of the cohort (53.0%). Thus, we did not include them in the multivariate analysis. Fifth, comorbidities were determined based on ICD-10-CM records, which is prone to bias. Lastly, the study is biased by including only patients who underwent albumin measurement in the ED. Future research should evaluate the clinical applicability of the results including the clinical value of albumin assessment in the ED and potential intervention.

In conclusion, the co-occurrence of hypotension and hypoalbuminaemia is an important ominous sign in the ED.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel

²Sackler Medical School, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

³Internal Medicine B, Assuta Medical Center, Ashdod, Israel

⁴Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er Sheva, Israel

⁵Hospital management, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel

⁶Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

⁷Institute for Translational Epidemiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

⁸Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

⁹Internal medicine Wing, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel

¹⁰Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

¹¹Department of Population Health Science and Policy, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

Twitter Benjamin S Glicksberg @BenGlicksberg

Contributors EK, SS, EZ, AZ, BSG, EG, DLR, RF and MAL developed the study concept and design. EK carried out the data analysis. EK and SS drafted the manuscript. EZ, AZ, BSG, EG, DLR, RF and MAL contributed to the interpretation of the results and critical review of the manuscript. EK is the guarantors of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval An institutional review board (IRB) of the Mount Sinai health system approved this retrospective cohort study (STUDY-18-00573). The IRB committee waived informed consent.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request. Anonymized participant data are held in a secure research server and will be handled in accordance with the ethical approval for this project.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Shelly Soffer <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7853-2029>

REFERENCES

- 1 Akirov A, Masri-Iraqi H, Atamna A, *et al*. Low albumin levels are associated with mortality risk in hospitalized patients. *Am J Med* 2017;130:1465.e11–e19.
- 2 Plakht Y, Gilutz H, Shiyovich A. Decreased admission serum albumin level is an independent predictor of long-term mortality in hospital survivors of acute myocardial infarction. Soroka acute myocardial infarction II (SAMI-II) project. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;219:20–4.
- 3 Djoussé L, Rothman KJ, Cupples LA, *et al*. Serum albumin and risk of myocardial infarction and all-cause mortality in the Framingham offspring study. *Circulation* 2002;106:2919–24.
- 4 Arques S, Roux E, Sbragia P, *et al*. Usefulness of serum albumin concentration for in-hospital risk stratification in frail, elderly patients with acute heart failure. insights from a prospective, monocenter study. *Int J Cardiol* 2008;125:265–7.
- 5 Takegawa R, Kabata D, Shimizu K, *et al*. Serum albumin as a risk factor for death in patients with prolonged sepsis: an observational study. *J Crit Care* 2019;51:139–44.
- 6 Cho Y-M, Choi I-S, Bian R-X, *et al*. Serum albumin at admission for prediction of functional outcome in ischaemic stroke patients. *Neurol Sci* 2008;29:445–9.
- 7 Aguayo-Becerra OA, Torres-Garibay C, Macías-Amezcu MD, *et al*. Serum albumin level as a risk factor for mortality in burn patients. *Clinics* 2013;68:940–5.
- 8 Boonpattananpong T, Chewatanakornkul S. Preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen and albumin in predicting survival in patients with colon and rectal carcinomas. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006;40:592–5.
- 9 Soeters PB, Wolfe RR, Shenkin A. Hypoalbuminemia: pathogenesis and clinical significance. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2019;43:181–93.
- 10 Marcason W. Should albumin and prealbumin be used as indicators for malnutrition? *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2017;117:1144.
- 11 Almasaudi AS, Dolan RD, Edwards CA, *et al*. Hypoalbuminemia reflects nutritional risk, body composition and systemic inflammation and is independently associated with survival in patients with colorectal cancer. *Cancers* 2020;12:1986.
- 12 Wiley Online Library. Poor nutritional status and inflammation: serum albumin: relationship to inflammation and nutrition. *Seminars in Dialysis* 2004.
- 13 Holler JG, Bech CN, Henriksen DP, *et al*. Nontraumatic hypotension and shock in the emergency department and the prehospital setting, prevalence, etiology, and mortality: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0119331.
- 14 Klang E, Soffer S, Shimon Shahar M. Association of normal systolic blood pressure in the emergency department with higher in-hospital mortality among hypertensive patients. 2019;21:1841–8.
- 15 Meltzer SJ. The nature of shock. *Arch Intern Med* 1908;1:571–88.
- 16 Holder AL, Gupta N, Lulaj E, *et al*. Predictors of early progression to severe sepsis or shock among emergency department patients with nonsevere sepsis. *Int J Emerg Med* 2016;9:10.
- 17 Seo MH, Choa M, You JS, *et al*. Hypoalbuminemia, low base excess values, and tachypnea predict 28-day mortality in severe sepsis and septic shock patients in the emergency department. *Yonsei Med J* 2016;57:1361–9.
- 18 Artero A, Zaragoza R, Camarena JJ, *et al*. Prognostic factors of mortality in patients with community-acquired bloodstream infection with severe sepsis and septic shock. *J Crit Care* 2010;25:276–81.
- 19 Jantti T, Tarvasmaki T. Hypoalbuminemia is a frequent marker of increased mortality in cardiogenic shock. 2019;14:e0217006.
- 20 Oduncu V, Erkol A, Karabay CY, *et al*. The prognostic value of serum albumin levels on admission in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing a primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Coron Artery Dis* 2013;24:88–94.
- 21 Darwish A, Lui F. Physiology, colloid osmotic pressure. *Stat Pearls* 2020.
- 22 Goldwasser P, Feldman J. Association of serum albumin and mortality risk. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1997;50:693–703.
- 23 Forse RA, Shizgal HM. Serum albumin and nutritional status. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1980;4:450–4.