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Instantaneous wave-free ratio guided multivessel revascularisation during percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction: study protocol of the randomised controlled iMODERN trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Recent randomised clinical trials showed benefit of non-culprit lesion revascularisation in ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients. However, it remains unclear whether revascularisation should be performed at the index procedure or at a later stage.

Methods and analysis The instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) Guided Multivessel Revascularisation During Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Acute Myocardial Infarction trial is a multicentre, randomised controlled prospective open-label trial with blinded evaluation of endpoints. After successful primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), eligible STEMI patients with residual non-culprit lesions are randomised, to instantaneous wave-free ratio guided treatment of non-culprit lesions during the index procedure versus deferred cardiac MR-guided management within 4 days to 6 weeks. The primary endpoint of the study is the combined occurrence of all-cause death, recurrent myocardial infarction and hospitalisation for heart failure at 12 months follow-up. Clinical follow-up includes questionnaires at 3 months and outpatient visits at 6 months and 12 months after primary PCI. Furthermore, a cost-effectiveness analysis will be performed.

Ethics and dissemination Permission to conduct this trial has been granted by the Medical Ethical Committee of the Amsterdam University Medical Centres (loc. VUmc, ID NL60107.029.16). The primary results of this trial will be shared in a main article and subgroup analyses or spin-off studies will be shared in secondary papers.

Trial registration number NCT03298659.

BACKGROUND

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (pPCI) is the cornerstone of STEMI treatment.1 However, approximately 50% of STEMI patients have multivessel coronary artery disease (MVD/CAD), defined as non-culprit lesions with a stenosis severity >50%.2 Patients with MVD have an increased cardiovascular morbidity, with a 2.5-fold increase in mortality after 1 year.3 In stable CAD, it was already known that fractional flow reserve (FFR)-guided revascularisation reduces the need for repeat revascularisation (HR 0.13, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.30, p<0.001) without added procedural risk.4 However, a routine invasive strategy does not affect the hard endpoints of death, recurrent infarction or hospital admission for heart failure or cardiac arrest in stable CAD.5

Strengths and limitations of this study

► This is the first randomised controlled trial that specifically addresses non-culprit lesion treatment timing.
► Ischemia-proven non-culprit lesions receive treatment in both trial arms, potentially reducing non-necessary treatment.
► The open-label nature of the instantaneous wave-free ratio Guided Multivessel Revascularisation During Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Acute Myocardial Infarction facilitates treatment bias as patients in the deferred arm knowingly have non-culprit lesions which may require treatment in the future.
► The trial does not have an angiography-guided control arm, consisting of complete revascularisation without physiological guidance, although this strategy has been proven to reduce cardiovascular mortality and reinfarction.

To cite: Beijnink CWH, Thim T, van der Heijden DJ, et al. Instantaneous wave-free ratio guided multivessel revascularisation during percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction: study protocol of the randomised controlled iMODERN trial. BMJ Open 2021;11:e044035. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044035

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044035).

Revised 05 December 2020
Accepted 18 December 2020

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Professor Robin Nijveldt; robin@nijveldt.net

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2021

BMJ Open first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044035 on 15 January 2021. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on September 17, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.
Contrary to stable CAD, the benefit of non-culprit lesion revascularisation differs in the setting of STEMI, as the Preventive Angioplasty in Acute Myocardial Infarction (PRAMI) trial found that angiography-guided PCI of non-culprit lesions reduced the incidence of the combined endpoint of cardiac death, non-fatal MI, and refractory angina (n=465, HR 0.35, 95% CI 0.21 to 0.58). The CvLPRIT trial, similar in design but with a smaller sample size (n=296), showed a significant reduction of urgent revascularisation in the complete PCI group. The addition of FFR-measurements to the study protocol yielded similar results in the more recent DANAMI-3-PRIMULTI and COMPARE ACUTE trials, reducing repeat revascularisation in the complete PCI group. The results of these randomised controlled trials (RCT’s) are summarised in table 1 and demonstrate that non-culprit PCI, either during pPCI or later during index hospital admission, is superior to a conservative approach.

As a result, the 2017 ESC guidelines were updated to recommend that PCI of a non-culprit lesion should be considered in STEMI patients prior to hospital discharge. However, the most appropriate timing of non-culprit PCI remains unknown. Whether complete revascularisation should be achieved during the index procedure or as part of an outpatient approach is unclear and has not yet been evaluated in an RCT. This leads to divergent clinical practices with complete revascularisation either as index—or a staged procedure. The primary aim of the ongoing instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) Guided Multi-vessel Revascularisation During Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Acute Myocardial Infarction (iMODERN) trial is to determine the optimal timing for non-culprit lesion treatment after STEMI, by comparing non-culprit PCI during the index procedure to protocolised staged PCI, guided by cardiac MR (CMR), within 4 days to 6 weeks after the index procedure.

### Table 1: Studies of non-culprit lesion revascularisation versus conservative management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Primary outcome and follow-up</th>
<th>Main study findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wald et al, PRAMI, NEJM 2013&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>Angiographic non-culprit lesion PCI during pPCI versus conservative treatment.</td>
<td>Cardiovascular death, non-fatal MI or refractory angina at 23 months.</td>
<td>Non-culprit PCI reduces incidence of non-fatal MI (HR 0.32, 95% CI 0.13 to 0.75) and refractory angina (HR 0.35, 95% CI 0.18 to 0.69) but not mortality (HR 0.34, 95% CI 0.11 to 1.08).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gershlick et al, CVLPRIT, JACC 2015&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>Immediate angiographic non-culprit PCI or in-hospital non-culprit PCI vs conservative treatment.</td>
<td>Combined outcome of all-cause death, non-fatal MI, ischaemia-driven revascularisation or heart failure within 12 months.</td>
<td>Non-culprit treatment reduces MACE (n=15 vs n=31, p=0.009) but none of the individual MACE components are significantly lower.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engstrom et al, DANAMI-3-PRIMULTI, Lancet 2015&lt;sup&gt;8,9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>FFR-guided in-hospital PCI of non-culprit lesions versus conservative treatment.</td>
<td>All-cause death, non-fatal MI or repeated revascularisation at 12 months.</td>
<td>FFR-guided non-culprit PCI significantly reduces MACE (13% vs 22%, p=0.004), caused exclusively by ischemia-driven revascularisation on an individual level (5% vs 17%, p&lt;0.0001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smits et al, COMPARE ACUTE, NEJM 2017&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>1:2 randomisation to direct FFR-guided PCI (n=295) or FFR measurement without PCI (n=590).</td>
<td>All-cause death non-fatal MI, repeated revascularisation or cerebrovascular events at 12 months.</td>
<td>FFR-guided PCI reduces the combined endpoint, mainly driven by less revascularisation, both urgent and elective (6.1% vs 17.5%, p&lt;0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta et al, COMPLETE, NEJM 2019&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4,041</td>
<td>Angiography or physiology-guided PCI, either in-hospital or &lt;45 days at the discretion of the operator, versus conservative treatment.</td>
<td>Combined outcome of cardiovascular death or MI, coprimary endpoint included ischemia-driven revascularisation.</td>
<td>Complete PCI strategy resulted in reduction of first coprimary endpoint: 7.8% vs 10.5%, p=0.004). When ischemia-driven revasc was included, benefits became more apparent (8.9% vs 16.7%, p&lt;0.001). No difference was found between complete treatment during index admission versus a deferred strategy (p=0.62).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

eGFR, estimated G1omerular Filtration Rate; FFR, fractional flow reserve; MACE, major adverse cardiac events; MI, myocardial infarction; pPCI, primary percutaneous coronary intervention; PRAMI, Preventive Angioplasty in Acute Myocardial Infarction.
Methods iMODERN trial design and population

The trial will be described in this paper according to the 2013 Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials statement. Participating centres are situated throughout Europe. A total of 1146 STEMI patients will be randomised in a 1:1 ratio to iFR-guided non-culprit lesion PCI during the index procedure or to staged stress perfusion CMR-guided non-culprit lesion PCI, performed within 4 days to 6 weeks. The hypothesis of the study is that the abolishment of myocardial ischaemia by also directly treating physiologically significant non-culprit coronary stenoses will lead to a reduction in the composite primary end point of all-cause death, recurrent MI, or hospitalisation for heart failure at 12 months follow-up, with a resultant reduction of healthcare costs, as compared with a delayed complete revascularisation strategy.

Trial eligibility criteria

STEMI patients with one or more non-culprit lesions amenable for PCI are included immediately following successful treatment of the culprit lesion. All eligible patients will be treated in accordance with the 2017 ESC guidelines. Patients with at least one 50%–90% (by visual estimation) non-culprit coronary artery lesion that is suitable for revascularisation with PCI, who have no exclusion criteria, will be asked for verbal consent immediately after the pPCI by the treating physician (please refer to boxes 1 and 2 for an overview of all inclusion and exclusion criteria).

Box 1 Inclusion criteria

- Age 18 years and older.
- Clinical presentation of ST-elevation myocardial infarction and successful primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) within 12 hours from onset of symptoms.
- One or more other, non-culprit coronary artery lesions of >50% stenosis and feasible to be revascularised with PCI (ie, minimal diameter 2 mm).

Box 2 Exclusion criteria

- History of ST-elevation myocardial infarction or coronary artery bypass graft.
- Haemodynamic instability, respiratory failure, Killip class ≥III.
- Known estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) <30 mL/min.
- Known contraindications for stress cardiac MR (eg, severe claustrophobia, metal implants, severe renal failure, severe asthma).
- Refusal or inability to provide informed consent.
- Life expectancy due to non-cardiovascular co-morbidity of less than 12 months.
- Chronic total occlusion.
- Left main stem stenosis (>50%).
- Residual non-culprit lesion in infarct coronary artery.
- Complex non-culprit target lesions.
- Pregnancy.

Randomisation and treatment

Patients will be randomised using the central Syncrony EDC online random number generator, using a permuted block design per centre. Randomisation will be stratified for non-culprit lesions in the proximal or mid left anterior descending artery (LAD). A flow chart of the study treatments is provided in figure 1. If stress perfusion CMR is unsuitable for clinical or practical reasons, the physician may decide for staged iFR guided revascularisation of the non-culprit lesions.

Index procedure non-culprit lesion assessment

In patients randomised to undergo iFR-guided non-culprit lesion treatment during the index procedure, a pressure wire (Philips Volcano, Rancho Cordova, USA) assessment will be performed. Briefly, the pressure will be normalised to the aortic pressure and then the wire will be advanced past the non-culprit lesion as distal as practically possible. Then, a pressure tracing will be obtained during at least 10 cardiac cycles, after which the iFR can be calculated as the ratio between the distal pressure and the proximal pressure in the wave-free period. After the measurement, a drift check will be performed. If the drift value exceeds ±0.02, both normalisation and iFR measurement will be repeated. By protocol, non-culprit lesions with an iFR value ≤0.89 will be revascularised with PCI during the index procedure or within a bailout window of 24 hours. Figure 2 represents a case example of a patient with iFR-positive lesions, who underwent successful PCI.

Staged CMR-guided non-culprit lesion assessment

CMR will be performed between 4 days and 6 weeks after the index procedure. Clinical 1.5 or 3T scanners with a phased array cardiac receiver coil will be used. All images will be ECG-gated and acquired during mild end-expiration breath holding, using a dedicated CMR protocol. First, the long axis cine images will be acquired with a standard balanced steady-state free precession sequence. This will be followed by a test run at the basal, mid and apical short axis of the heart for the stress perfusion protocol. On correct axis planning, adenosine will be administered at 140 μg/kg/min intravenously for 4 min, or 210 μg/kg/min if no haemodynamic response is observed. After 4 min, a gadolinium-based contrast agent will be injected at 4.5 mL/s. After arrival of the contrast agent in the right ventricle, breath-hold will be performed, to acquire the saturation-recovery single-shot gradient-echo sequence images. Normally, the contrast agent washes into the myocardium at a similar rate throughout the entire myocardium. However, contrast wash-in to the myocardium will be impaire in the presence of one or more coronary stenoses, observed as a hypoenhanced region amid regions that display clear contrast wash-in on a stress sequence and visually reported as a perfusion defect. Subsequently, myocardial function will be assessed completely through continuous short axis slides, covering the entire left ventricle. This will be followed by late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) imaging of all long axis and...
short axis slices at 10 min postcontrast administration with a 2 dimensional inversion recovery, spoiled gradient echo sequence and custom optimal inversion time to correctly null normal myocardium. Finally, rest perfusion images will be acquired.

Central image collection
All angiography and CMR images will be collected centrally on a web-based platform (Webpax, Heart Imaging Technologies, Durham, USA). The iFR assessments will be made locally. A second read for quality assessment will be done by Cerebria (Cerebria, St. Albans, UK). A CMR form, containing the location of culprit and non-culprit lesions, scan details and contrast dosage, will be sent to the core lab. The core lab physician will use information from the CMR form and evaluate the coronary angiogram to assign the non-culprit lesion to a myocardial territory subtended by the poststenotic coronary artery. Then, visual interpretation of stress and rest perfusion CMR images will be performed to determine if there is ischaemia in the non-culprit lesion. Non-culprit lesions will be deemed positive if there is a perfusion defect in at least one myocardial segment, or more than 6% of the myocardium, in the territory of the designated non-culprit lesion. CMR core lab reports are used to guide revascularisation decisions and will be returned to the investigational site within 24 hours. If positive for ischaemia, patients will undergo subsequent PCI of non-culprit lesions.

Follow-up
Patients will visit the outpatient clinic at 6 months and 12 months follow-up for a clinical evaluation. This evaluation will include a brief medical history, physical examination and an ECG. Cost-effectiveness and treatment effect will be assessed through the Medical Consumption Questionnaire (iMCQ), the Productivity Cost Questionnaire (iPCQ), the Seattle Angina Pectoris Questionnaire (SAQ), EuroQoL 5-Dimension 5-Level questionnaire (EQ-5D-5L), and the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire.
non-culprit lesions, including cardiac death, MI or clinically driven target lesion revascularisation by percutaneous or coronary artery bypass surgery. No additional blood sampling or imaging will be performed as part of the study follow-up.

**Patient involvement**

All enrolled patients will receive the main outcomes of this trial by mail in their native language. The results will also be shared on the trial website. Furthermore, the Dutch Heart Council will aid in the dissemination of trial results towards Dutch patient support groups.

**Endpoints and statistical analysis**

The combined primary endpoint is a composite of all-cause death, recurrent MI, and hospitalisation for heart failure at 12 months follow-up.

Secondary endpoints include the primary end point at 6 months, cardiac death, ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke, transient ischaemic attack, major bleeding, unstable angina, revascularisation, coronary angiography, stent thrombosis and costs related to complete, iFR-guided revascularisation versus CMR-guided treatment (cost effectiveness analysis). Target lesion failure will be documented, including cardiac death, MI or clinically driven target lesion revascularisation by percutaneous or bypass surgery at 12 months, 3 years and 5 years follow-up. All outcomes will be analysed using an intention-to-treat analysis, meaning that each patient will be analysed according to the initial randomisation group, regardless of the administered treatment. Finally, a cost-effectiveness and cost–utility analysis end point will be evaluated from a societal perspective with the costs per prevented cardiac events (all-cause mortality, recurrent MI and hospitalisation for heart failure) and the costs per quality-adjusted life year as the respective primary health economic outcomes. The prespecified subgroup analyses include diabetes mellitus vs no diabetes mellitus, female versus male gender, infarct lesion length terciles, infarct vessel terciles, time between onset of STEMI and PPCI, non-culprit lesion location LAD segment 6 or 7, anterior STEMI and troponin level above median versus below median.

**Sample size calculation**

The study is powered to assess and compare the difference in the primary end point between the iFR-guided revascularisation during index procedure group vs the CMR-guided approach after 12 months. Assuming a 16% incidence of the primary end point in the CMR-guided group based on previous literature,6 153 primary endpoint events are needed and should be provided by 1146 patients in order to provide the study with 80% power to detect a relative risk reduction of 35%, implying an HR of 0.63, at a two-sided alpha level of 5%.

**Ethics and dissemination**

Permission to conduct this trial was obtained from the Medical Ethical Committee of the Amsterdam University
Medical Centres (loc. VUmc, ID NL60107.029.16). To solve the difficulty of acquiring informed consent during an emergency procedure, the research team will provide additional information after the index procedure and during initial hospital admission. Then, written consent will be obtained in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Interim source data verification and monitoring will be performed by ongoing central monitoring of the data, remote monitoring visits and onsite monitoring visits. A medical monitor will review all adverse events to assess safety trends and risks. A clinical events committee (CEC) will be consulted in the adjudication of adverse events of interest. The CEC will assess the primary and secondary endpoints of the trial and determines whether adverse events meet protocol-specific criteria of these endpoints. A data and safety monitoring board (DSMB) will act in an advisory capacity to monitor patient safety and evaluate the progress of the trial. The DSMB will convene three times during the trial, that is, when 25%, 50% and 75% of patients reach the 12-month follow-up milestone. To conclude, primary results of this trial will be published in a main article. Spin-off studies and subgroup analyses will be shared in secondary papers.

Current status
Currently, there are 33 participating centres that have included 524 patients (visible in online supplemental appendix A). The names of the participating sites and their PI’s are provided in online supplemental appendix A. Recruitment started in December 2017 and was expected to continue until 2021. Due to the currently ongoing COVID-19 outbreak, inclusion has been halted and follow-up visits are being done by telephone. Endpoint data will be collected as planned. Inclusion will be continued as soon as the local national public health institutes allow it and after the local medical ethics committee has been notified. As this is an international study, it is likely that inclusion will be reinitiated at different timepoints at all sites based on different local policies.

DISCUSSION
The current trial is designed to determine, with adequate statistical power to detect hard clinical endpoints, the optimal timing for non-culprit lesion PCI in patients presenting with STEMI.

The results of the recently published COMPLETE trial support that complete revascularisation in patients with STEMI and multivessel disease reduces cardiovascular death and MI. STEMI patients who are treated with complete revascularisation live longer and have a lower risk of a new MI. In its treatment arm, there was no difference between outcome among patients with in-hospital (n=1553) or later stage PCI of non-culprit lesions (n=663). However, the COMPLETE trial does not answer the question whether an immediate or a delayed strategy should be chosen for non-culprit PCI, as complete revascularisation was not performed during the index procedure, a major difference with the current trial in which we speculate that immediate abolition of non-culprit lesions improves hard outcomes. Therefore, in the aftermath of the COMPLETE trial, further specification of the right timing for non-culprit PCI in patients with STEMI constitutes an urgent research objective.

Direct non-culprit lesion treatment
There are several potential mechanisms by which the presence of stenoses in non-culprit coronary arteries may be associated with poorer outcomes in STEMI patients. At a difference with most stable patients with multivessel disease, complete revascularisation in acute STEMI with multivessel disease may have an immediate impact on cardiac function. This is because in STEMI patients there is a higher demand for flow adjacent to the infarcted myocardium to compensate for suddenly dysfunctional myocardium. Blunting of this important compensatory mechanism by physiologically significant stenoses in non-culprit vessels may lead to catastrophic consequences like pump failure, or to a more marked expression of the flow-limiting effect of the pre-existing stenoses in non-culprit vessels (ie, become ischaemia-generating under higher myocardial oxygen demand circumstances). A second mechanism leading to cardiovascular events in non-culprit territories is related to the fact that the pathobiological processes leading to plaque ulceration and thrombosis in the culprit vessel, which may be related to systemic inflammation, may be ongoing in non-culprit coronary vessels. Using optical coherence tomography, Kubo et al documented the presence of a pan-arteritis process of vulnerable coronary artery lesions after an MI. Thin fibrous caps, which are prone to plaque rupture, were present more frequently in the non-culprit lesions of acute MI patients as compared with patients with chronic CAD. Finally, non-culprit lesions may become particularly susceptible to plaque rupture by the prothrombotic milieu that occurs during an MI.

The existence of the mechanisms described above, by which stenoses in non-culprit vessels may amplify the consequences of MI in the culprit-vessel territory, provide a rationale for immediate treatment of ischaemic lesions in STEMI patients, that is, during the index procedure. Indeed, a recent retrospective study suggests that immediate complete reperfusion reduces major adverse cardiac events (MACE) compared with staged reperfusion. Furthermore, in light of the FAME I trial, it is conceivable that revascularisation based on physiologic indices, that is, invasive pressure measurements or non-invasive ischemia detection, can improve outcomes as compared with revascularisation based solely on angiographic stenosis severity by reducing the need for non-necessary PCI, improving patient safety. In the current trial, iFR was chosen because it is a simpler and more cost-effective alternative to FFR with similar MACE in large prospective RCTs. Moreover, the iFR is relatively stable in the
when compared with the iFR at a median follow-up of 7–18 days (IQR 5–32 days). The iFR rises significantly during this period, suggesting that it is a suitable measurement for ruling out the presence of significant non-culprit CAD. Finally, the use of iFR, as compared with FFR, reduces procedure times and improves experienced patient comfort, as the iFR does not require adenosine infusion.

Cardiac CMR-guided non-culprit lesion assessment

CMR is becoming a widely available tool that is frequently used for the assessment of patients with suspected CAD after acute MI. It is advised to perform non-invasive ischemia detection as a gatekeeper for coronary angiography, as a stepwise protocol reduces healthcare costs per quality-associated lifeyear. CMR is a radiation-free non-invasive imaging method, allowing to combine the assessment of myocardial function, perfusion, and infarct size. In order to differentiate true ischemia from infarction, CMR offers the unique capability of setting apart a perfusion defect from irreversibly damaged myocardium by means of LGE with a high spatial resolution in one exam, as shown in figure 3. A complete CMR protocol provides this information within 30 min. Regarding safety, a stress perfusion CMR-guided approach is non-inferior for the prevention of MACE as compared with an FFR-guided approach in patients with chest pain and cardiovascular risk factors or a positive treadmill test, all without objectified CAD. This is partly because CMR-guided revascularisation significantly reduces the amount of PCI performed.

Technical advantages and drawbacks aside, it is known that STEMI patients with one or more perfusion defects (on SPECT) show strongly improved MACE outcomes, as well as significantly improved survival rates, when additional PCI is performed compared with optimal medical therapy alone. This finding is in contrast with the results of the recent ISCHAEMIA trial in which revascularisation of ischaemic lesions did not improve hard endpoints in patients with chronic CAD, a disease that is more stable by nature. There is currently no randomised trial that has assessed the use of stress perfusion CMR specifically in the follow-up of STEMI. However, in the detection of ischemia patients with suspected CAD, stress perfusion CMR outperforms SPECT in terms of sensitivity and specificity, especially in the setting of multivessel disease. Furthermore, CMR is superior to SPECT for infarct delineation, a finding that holds true especially for subendocardial MI, which SPECT detects in 28% of cases, whereas CMR does so in 92%. Finally in comparison to PET, CMR is a more widely available and less costly imaging modality with superior spatial resolution that does not subject patients to potentially harmful radiation. Given the numerous advantages of CMR over SPECT and PET, CMR is the method of choice in this trial for deferred non-culprit ischaemia detection.

Trial limitations

The iMODERN will not involve an angiography-guided treatment arm, which has been shown to be effective in reducing cardiovascular death and myocardial infarction. Second, the preconceived endpoint incidence as based on the results of the PRAMI trial seems too high when compared with newer, larger trials, reducing the trial’s ability to detect hard outcomes. To ensure adequate power, secondary endpoint collection will also be performed at 3 and 5 years. Third, the open-label nature of the trial will mean that patients knowingly have residual CAD until the deferred revascularisation procedure. Any complaints of chest pain may then be linked to the residual disease, possibly resulting in earlier revascularisation than planned per study protocol.

Implications

If direct iFR-guided complete revascularisation leads to improved patient outcomes and more cost-effectiveness as compared with CMR-guided complete revascularisation, complete treatment of all obstructive coronary stenoses during the index procedure will be recommended in STEMI patients, reducing the need for additional procedures with concomitant reduction in healthcare costs.

