


# BMJ Open Community knowledge, perceptions and practices around COVID-19 in Sierra Leone: a nationwide, cross-sectional survey

Paul Sengeh,<sup>1</sup> Mohammad B Jalloh,<sup>2</sup> Nance Webber,<sup>3</sup> Ibrahim Ngobeh,<sup>1</sup> Thomas Samba,<sup>4</sup> Harold Thomas,<sup>4</sup> Helena Nordenstedt,<sup>5</sup> Maike Winters <sup>5</sup>

**To cite:** Sengeh P, Jalloh MB, Webber N, *et al.* Community knowledge, perceptions and practices around COVID-19 in Sierra Leone: a nationwide, cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open* 2020;**10**:e040328. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-040328

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-040328>).

Received 11 May 2020  
Revised 29 August 2020  
Accepted 06 September 2020



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Research and Evaluation, FOCUS1000, Freetown, Sierra Leone

<sup>2</sup>Office of the Chief Executive Officer, FOCUS1000, Freetown, Sierra Leone

<sup>3</sup>Communications Programme, FOCUS1000, Freetown, Sierra Leone

<sup>4</sup>Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation, Freetown, Western Area, Sierra Leone

<sup>5</sup>Department of Global Public Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

## Correspondence to

Dr Maike Winters;  
[maike.winters@ki.se](mailto:maike.winters@ki.se)

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives** To assess the public's knowledge, attitudes and practices about the novel coronavirus in Sierra Leone to inform an evidence-based communication strategy around COVID-19.

**Design** Nationwide, cross-sectional Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices survey.

**Setting** 56 randomly selected communities in all 14 districts in Sierra Leone.

**Participants** 1253 adults aged 18 years and older of which 52% were men.

**Main outcome measures** We calculated proportions of core indicators (awareness, knowledge, risk perception, practices). A composite variable for knowledge (based on seven variables) was created, and categorised into low (0–2 correct), medium (3–4) and high (5–7). Predictors of knowledge were analysed with multilevel ordinal regression models. Associations between information sources, knowledge and two practices (washing hands with soap and avoiding crowds) were analysed using multilevel logistic regression models.

**Results** We found that 75% of the respondents felt at moderate or great risk of contracting coronavirus. A majority (70%) of women did not know you can survive COVID-19, compared with 61% of men. 60% of men and 54% of women had already taken action to avoid infection with the coronavirus, mostly washing hands with soap and water (87%). Radio (73%) was the most used source for COVID-19 information, followed by social media (39%). Having a medium or high level of knowledge was associated with higher odds of washing hands with soap (medium knowledge: adjusted OR (AOR) 2.1, 95% CI 1.0 to 4.4; high knowledge: AOR 4.6, 95% CI 2.1 to 10.2) and avoiding crowds (medium knowledge: AOR 2.0, 95% CI 1.1 to 3.6; high knowledge: AOR 2.3, 95% CI 1.2 to 4.3).

**Conclusions** This study shows that in the context of COVID-19 in Sierra Leone, there is a strong association between knowledge and practices. Because the knowledge gap differs between genders, regions, educational levels and age, it is important that messages are specifically targeted to these core audiences.

## INTRODUCTION

The novel coronavirus transformed from a local outbreak into a global pandemic,

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- We provide evidence and show the feasibility of a nationwide survey about COVID-19 in a low-income country, to inform risk communication strategies in Sierra Leone.
- The response rate of the survey was 99%.
- The study is based on cross-sectional data, so reverse causality cannot be ruled out.

resulting in millions of people around the world seeing their lives affected, with many suddenly living in quarantine. The virus knows no boundaries and quickly overwhelmed health systems in Italy and Spain in March 2020<sup>1</sup> and has health authorities in many countries scrambling for healthcare staff, intensive care beds and personal protective equipment.<sup>2 3</sup> The current number of confirmed COVID-19 cases is an underestimation, as it only reflects the testing capacity of the countries.<sup>4</sup>

While high-income countries are struggling to contain the virus, using unprecedented measures such as strict lockdowns of the whole society, the virus has also spread to low and middle-income countries.<sup>5</sup> With weaker health systems and overcrowded living conditions, measures such as physical distancing and lockdowns have a different meaning, whereby lost income, increased food prices and less access to non-COVID health services can have dire consequences both in the short and in the long term.<sup>6</sup> In Africa, a partnership between the African Union, Africa Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, WHO and African nations led to the formation of the Africa Taskforce for Coronavirus Preparedness and Response, to support diagnostics, surveillance, infection prevention and control and communication.<sup>7</sup> Border closures across the world and flight



restrictions form logistical problems in delivering essential goods to many African countries.<sup>8</sup>

In Sierra Leone, memories of the devastating West African Ebola epidemic that ravaged the country between 2014 and 2016 are still fresh in people's minds. Not only did almost 4000 people die from Ebola, it is likely that many more people died due to the collapsed health system over the course of the outbreak.<sup>9–10</sup> Many lessons were learnt from curbing the outbreak, such as the importance of community engagement, which can potentially help in mitigating the current pandemic.<sup>11–13</sup> During the Ebola outbreak, radio was the most important source of information in Sierra Leone.<sup>14</sup> Trusted community members such as traditional and religious leaders would use radio as a platform to reach their followers, and interactive programming allowed for a dialogue between listeners and radio makers.<sup>15–16</sup>

The current state of the pandemic is similar to the start of the Ebola outbreak in West Africa: with the lack of a vaccine or a cure, widespread behaviour change of the general public is needed to slow and stop the spread of the virus. Physical distancing and frequent hand washing are among the main actions an individual can take to prevent infection with the novel coronavirus.<sup>17–18</sup>

As part of the preparedness for COVID-19 cases in Sierra Leone, we measured the level of knowledge and uptake of preventive practices through a nationwide Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) survey. Results formed the basis for further development and production of an evidence-based communication strategy around COVID-19 in collaboration with the Ministry of Health and Sanitation (MoHS).

## METHODS

We administered a cross-sectional, nationwide survey in Sierra Leone between 16 and 25 March 2020. At the time of the survey, there were no confirmed COVID-19 cases in Sierra Leone. The first laboratory-confirmed case in the country was reported on 31 March. We used a multi-stage cluster sampling design with primary sampling units selected with probability relative to their size. The list of around 1200 peripheral health units (PHUs) formed the sampling frame for the selection of enumeration areas. Sierra Leone is divided into 14 districts: four PHUs were randomly selected from each district. In each of the selected PHUs, a random sample of 25 households from the PHU's catchment population was selected and a resident aged 18 years or older was randomly selected for an interview. The households were selected using a random walk method; in the approximate centre of the sampled community a pen would be thrown in the air. The tip of the pen indicated the sampling direction. A skip interval was determined in advance and was derived from dividing the number of estimated households in the community by the required sample size. Enumerators would walk in the direction of the tip of the pen, counting and selecting households according to the skip interval. Enumerators,

wearing identity badges from the Sierra Leonean non-governmental organisation FOCUS1000, explained the study to the targeted participant, after which informed consent was obtained. Enumerators were instructed to immediately stop an interview if a participant showed COVID-19 symptoms or indicated during the survey to have symptoms. The enumerator would encourage the participant to seek care immediately. Consent and the further data collection were captured on 4G-enabled tablets using Open Data Kit. The 14 experienced enumerators received a 2-day training before the start of the data collection, practising the translations of the questionnaire into local languages and getting familiarised with the tablet. The multilingual numerators were district-based staff from FOCUS1000 and dispatched to areas that corresponded to their language skills. The study and training were organised by FOCUS1000. The target sample size of 1400 individuals was set to produce national estimates at a 95% confidence level with a margin of error of  $\pm 3.5\%$ .

Whereas we did not directly include patient and public involvement in the design of the study, the tools used in this survey were similar to previously deployed KAP surveys in the Ebola outbreak in Sierra Leone,<sup>14</sup> results of which were widely disseminated during the course of the outbreak and updated based on public feedback. The survey was updated to reflect COVID-19. It contained a mix of closed-ended 'yes/no' questions and open-ended questions, after which the enumerator would tick the corresponding answer on a predefined list on the tablet. A composite variable was created for knowledge, based on seven variables (see online supplemental material for the full questionnaire). Three of those variables related to closed-ended questions about the modes of transmission of COVID-19 (eg, 'Can the coronavirus disease be transmitted through the air?'). A further three variables related to an open-ended question about the main symptoms ('What are some of the signs and symptoms of someone infected with the coronavirus disease?'), whereby the enumerator would not read the alternatives out loud, but tick the boxes that corresponded to the answers of the participants. Finally, a closed-ended question asked about the possibility of surviving COVID-19. Depending on the number of correct answers, respondents could score between 0 and 7. The knowledge score was categorised into 0–2 correct answers (low), 3–4 (medium) and 5–7 (high). The two preventive practice questions (washing your hand with soap and water more often and avoiding crowds) were answered only by respondents who indicated that they had taken action to avoid infection with COVID-19.

## Statistical analysis

Due to the sampling strategy, there was an over-representation of the Northern and Eastern Province, this was adjusted for by using sampling weights based on population sizes of the four regions of Sierra Leone. We summarised the demographic data and calculated proportions with their 95% CIs of the core indicators

(awareness, risk perception, knowledge, practices and information sources) for the overall sample as well as by gender. Predictors of the three-level knowledge variable were analysed using multilevel ordinal regression models, adjusted for the geographic clusters on the first level. We specified crude and models adjusted for region (North, West, South, East), gender (male/female), age (18–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60+), education (no formal education, primary, secondary and above) and religion (Islam/Christianity). Results were reported in ORs and their 95% CIs. Associations with preventive practices (hand washing with soap and water and avoiding crowds) were analysed using multilevel logistic regression models, adjusted for the above-mentioned covariates. Data were analysed using StataMP V.15.

### Patient and public involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

### RESULTS

The overall response rate of the KAP survey was 99%, yielding a total sample size of 1399. Due to missing variables, 146 participants (10%) were excluded, bringing the sample size for the analysis to 1253. The distribution across the four regions reflects the number of districts per region; the Northern Province contains five districts as opposed to two districts in the Western Area. More than half of the sample (58%) was between 18 and 39 years old and 52% had at least secondary education (see [table 1](#)).

The awareness of the novel coronavirus was high, with 91% (95% CI 88.2% to 93.2%) indicating that they had heard of COVID-19 ([table 2](#)). Seventy-five per cent (95% CI 64.7% to 82.5%) of the sample felt at moderate or great risk of contracting the virus in the next 6 months, but this varied greatly across regions; 96% in the Eastern Province felt at moderate or high risk compared with 58% in the Western Area (where capital Freetown is located). Knowledge about how the virus spreads was relatively high (61%–74%); however, knowledge about important symptoms of COVID-19 such as difficulty breathing was relatively low (33%, 95% CI 24.8% to 41.9%). Only 35% (95% CI 28.5% to 41.3%) knew that you can survive COVID-19. This differed significantly by gender, whereby more men (39%, 95% CI 31.7% to 46.9%) knew about COVID-19 survival than women (30%, 95% CI 23.8% to 36.5%) (see online supplemental material). A bit more than half of the respondents (57%, 95% CI 50.9% to 63.0%) said they have already taken action to avoid COVID-19 infection. More men than women reported doing so (men: 60%, 95% CI 52.9% to 66.4% vs women: 54%, 95% CI 47.4% to 60.6%). The most commonly mentioned action taken was washing hands with soap and water more often (87%, 95% CI 81.9% to 90.5%). Radio (73%, 95% CI 69.2% to 77.2%) was the most used source for COVID-19 information, followed by social media (39%, 95% CI 31.4% to 46.3%). Social media use was significantly more common by men (45%, 95% CI 37.9% to 52.9%) than by women (31%, 95% CI 23.6% to 39.9%). Print media (11%, 95% CI 5.9% to 18.3%) and traditional leaders (9%, 95% CI 4.4% to 17.2%) were the least commonly reported sources of COVID-19 information. Ninety-three per cent

**Table 1** Demographics

	Eastern Province	Northern Province	Southern Province	Western Area	Total
<b>Sex</b>					
Female	133 (47)	221 (47)	181 (52)	89 (47)	604 (48)
Male	127 (53)	254 (53)	165 (48)	102 (53)	648 (52)
<b>Age (years)</b>					
<20	27 (11)	43 (9)	41 (12)	13 (7)	124 (10)
20–29	55 (23)	138 (29)	58 (17)	66 (35)	317 (25)
30–39	57 (24)	117 (25)	69 (20)	50 (26)	293 (23)
40–49	46 (19)	83 (17)	57 (16)	36 (19)	222 (18)
50–59	26 (11)	62 (13)	48 (14)	16 (8)	152 (12)
≥60	28 (12)	32 (7)	73 (21)	10 (5)	143 (11)
<b>Education</b>					
No formal	79 (33)	154 (32)	131 (38)	40 (21)	404 (32)
Primary	39 (16)	58 (12)	73 (21)	19 (10)	189 (15)
Secondary	120 (50)	263 (55)	140 (41)	132 (69)	655 (52)
<b>Religion</b>					
Islam	145 (61)	378 (80)	229 (66)	91 (48)	843 (67)
Christianity	94 (39)	97 (20)	117 (34)	100 (52)	408 (33)



**Table 2** COVID-19 awareness, knowledge, practices and information sources

Indicator	%*	95% CI*
<b>Awareness and attitudes</b>		
Heard of COVID-19	91	88.2 to 93.2
Moderate–great risk perception	75	64.7 to 82.5
<b>Knowledge</b>		
Mode of transmission: air	61	54.6 to 66.1
Mode of transmission: body fluids	74	68.3 to 78.8
Mode of transmission: touch	66	59.4 to 71.2
Symptoms: fever	38	30.0 to 46.4
Symptoms: cough	54	47.5 to 61.3
Symptoms: difficulty breathing	33	24.8 to 41.9
Possible to survive COVID-19	35	28.5 to 41.3
<b>Practices</b>		
Taken any action	57	50.9 to 63.0
Wash hands with soap and water	87	81.9 to 90.5
Avoid crowded places	62	53.7 to 69.0
Drink traditional herbs	9	3.7 to 21.6
Medicines from pharmacy	10	4.0 to 22.1
Drink a lot of water/juice	22	14.0 to 31.8
<b>Information sources</b>		
Social media	39	31.4 to 46.3
Radio	73	69.2 to 77.2
Church/mosque	24	17.3 to 31.7
Community meetings	18	11.8 to 26.7
Print media	11	5.9 to 18.3
Traditional leaders	9	4.4 to 17.2
Ministry of Health and Sanitation	13	7.5 to 20.6

\*Adjusted for sampling weights.

of respondents indicated that they would like to have more information on COVID-19, mostly about signs and symptoms of the disease and ways to prevent it.

Respondents who felt at moderate or high risk of contracting COVID-19 were more likely to have a higher level of knowledge (adjusted OR (AOR) 2.82, 95% CI 1.84 to 4.32) (see [table 3](#)). Those living in the Northern and Southern Province were more likely to have more knowledge about the novel coronavirus. Men were 45% (95% CI 1.13% to 1.86%) more likely than women to demonstrate knowledge. Respondents who attained at least secondary education were three times more likely (95% CI 2.22 to 4.22) than respondents with no formal education to have more knowledge about COVID-19.

Respondents who had a medium level of knowledge about the novel coronavirus were two times more likely to say that they wash their hands with water and soap more often (AOR 2.10, 95% CI 1.00 to 4.39); those with a high level of knowledge were more than four times more likely to say so (AOR 4.60, 95% CI 2.08 to 10.18) (see

[table 4](#)). A similar pattern can be observed for the association between knowledge and the self-reported practice of avoiding crowds. Having a medium or high level of knowledge was associated with avoiding crowds (medium knowledge: AOR 1.95, 95% CI 1.07 to 3.57; high knowledge: AOR 2.30, 95% CI 1.23 to 4.30).

All information sources, apart from community meetings, were associated with increased knowledge about COVID-19 (see [table 5](#)). However, only radio was significantly associated with a higher likelihood of reporting more frequent hand washing with water and soap (AOR 2.64, 95% CI 1.40 to 4.95). Three information sources were associated with avoiding crowds: social media (AOR 1.90, 95% CI 1.20 to 3.01), print media (AOR 3.52, 95% CI 1.57 to 7.90) and the MoHS (AOR 2.88, 95% CI 1.28 to 6.47).

## DISCUSSION

This cross-sectional nationwide survey in Sierra Leone gives insights in the knowledge, attitudes and practices around COVID-19. Whereas at the time of the study there were no confirmed cases in Sierra Leone, awareness of COVID-19 was high—likely due to the ongoing worldwide health emergency. There was a strong demand for more information among the respondents. We found strong associations between increased knowledge and important preventive practices such as frequent hand washing with soap and water and avoiding crowds. Significant gender differences in knowledge and taking preventive actions indicate that outbreak communication should specifically target women, as well as those with lower educational levels. Furthermore, the Southern Province differed significantly from the other provinces in terms of their level of knowledge about COVID-19. It can be speculated that this difference might be due the relatively high exposure to media (such as radio and mobile phones) in the Southern Province and the presence of a university, which might have brought more awareness to the ongoing pandemic.<sup>19</sup>

The results of this study were used to upgrade the communication strategies of the MoHS and national organisations in Sierra Leone. Key messages targeting women, young people and across various platforms are currently being developed. Use of mass media is intensified and trusted leaders such as religious leaders and traditional healers are engaged to disseminate standardised messages. Measures are developed to track and debunk rumours, especially via social media.

The perception of risk of contracting COVID-19 was relatively high—higher than reported in a similar KAP survey during the first months of the Ebola outbreak, when 58% felt at risk of contracting Ebola.<sup>14</sup> Whereas Ebola's mortality rate is estimated to be around 50% among confirmed cases,<sup>20</sup> COVID-19 has a much lower case fatality rate of around 4.1%.<sup>21</sup> Given the substantial under-reporting of COVID-19 cases, the true infection fatality rate is likely much lower. The ongoing pandemic

**Table 3** Predictors of COVID-19 knowledge

	Crude OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted* OR (95% CI)	P value
<b>Risk perception</b>				
No and small	Reference	–	Reference	–
Moderate and great	3.56 (2.04 to 6.25)	0.000	2.82 (1.84 to 4.32)	0.000
<b>Region</b>				
East	Reference	–	Reference	–
North	3.54 (1.14 to 10.96)	0.029	3.05 (1.05 to 8.86)	0.040
South	7.78 (2.71 to 22.30)	0.000	10.84 (3.52 to 33.39)	0.000
West	4.14 (1.99 to 8.62)	0.000	3.65 (0.89 to 14.98)	0.072
<b>Sex</b>				
Female	Reference	–	Reference	–
Male	1.65 (1.27 to 2.14)	0.000	1.45 (1.13 to 1.86)	0.003
<b>Age</b>				
18–29	Reference	–	Reference	–
30–39	0.96 (0.66 to 1.39)	0.808	1.42 (1.02 to 1.99)	0.040
40–49	0.89 (0.58 to 1.38)	0.605	1.45 (1.01 to 2.09)	0.043
50–59	0.67 (0.40 to 1.11)	0.119	1.06 (0.70 to 1.62)	0.774
>60	0.57 (0.32 to 1.00)	0.051	0.80 (0.51 to 1.23)	0.298
<b>Education</b>				
No formal	Reference	–	Reference	–
Primary	1.78 (1.00 to 3.18)	0.050	1.39 (0.95 to 2.03)	0.090
Secondary	3.32 (2.11 to 5.21)	0.000	3.06 (2.22 to 4.22)	0.000
<b>Religion</b>				
Islam	Reference	–	Reference	–
Christianity	1.77 (1.24 to 2.52)	0.002	1.38 (1.02 to 1.85)	0.035

\*Adjusted for risk perception, region, sex, age, education and religion.

elsewhere in the world and the memories of the devastating Ebola outbreak have likely exacerbated the perception of risk.<sup>22</sup> The finding that only 35% of the respondents knew

that you can survive COVID-19, shows that more sensitisation needs to be done so that risk perceptions reflect the actual risk. For instance, messages could highlight

**Table 4** Association between knowledge and practices

	<b>Hand washing</b>			
	Crude OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted* OR (95% CI)	P value
<b>Knowledge</b>				
Low	Reference	–	Reference	–
Medium	1.84 (0.91 to 3.73)	0.089	2.10 (1.00 to 4.39)	0.049
High	4.63 (2.18 to 9.84)	0.000	4.60 (2.08 to 10.18)	0.000
<b>Avoiding crowds</b>				
<b>Knowledge</b>				
Low	Reference	–	Reference	–
Medium	1.86 (1.03 to 3.36)	0.039	1.95 (1.07 to 3.57)	0.030
High	2.21 (1.21 to 4.02)	0.010	2.30 (1.23 to 4.30)	0.009

\*Adjusted for region, sex, age, education and religion.

**Table 5** Association between information sources and knowledge and preventive practices

	Knowledge			
	Crude OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted* OR (95% CI)	P value
<b>Information sources</b>				
Social media	3.78 (2.66 to 5.38)	0.000	2.97 (2.12 to 4.16)	0.000
Radio	1.93 (1.40 to 2.66)	0.000	1.75 (1.26 to 2.43)	0.001
Church/mosque	1.72 (1.21 to 2.44)	0.003	1.87 (1.30 to 2.70)	0.001
Community meetings	1.41 (0.89 to 2.34)	0.144	1.53 (0.95 to 2.48)	0.080
Print media	2.79 (1.42 to 5.47)	0.004	2.63 (1.34 to 5.17)	0.006
Traditional leaders	1.98 (1.17 to 3.35)	0.012	2.04 (1.13 to 3.70)	0.019
MoHS	3.09 (1.84 to 5.19)	0.000	3.13 (1.85 to 5.30)	0.000
<b>Hand washing</b>				
Information sources				
Social media	1.03 (0.55 to 1.93)	0.935	0.79 (0.37 to 1.68)	0.537
Radio	2.72 (1.46 to 5.05)	0.002	2.64 (1.40 to 4.95)	0.003
Church/mosque	1.88 (0.87 to 4.07)	0.108	1.76 (0.83 to 3.71)	0.135
Community meetings	2.73 (1.23 to 6.05)	0.015	2.03 (0.85 to 4.90)	0.110
Print media	3.54 (0.80 to 15.68)	0.094	2.91 (0.62 to 13.60)	0.171
Traditional leaders	1.66 (0.49 to 5.68)	0.411	1.58 (0.52 to 4.85)	0.415
MoHS	2.26 (0.71 to 7.18)	0.164	1.96 (0.55 to 6.96)	0.289
<b>Avoiding crowds</b>				
Information sources				
Social media	1.65 (1.04 to 2.60)	0.032	1.90 (1.20 to 3.01)	0.007
Radio	1.64 (1.02 to 2.65)	0.042	1.59 (0.97 to 2.61)	0.064
Church/mosque	1.69 (0.93 to 3.04)	0.082	1.62 (0.88 to 2.98)	0.117
Community meetings	1.49 (0.82 to 2.70)	0.191	1.56 (0.84 to 2.91)	0.156
Print media	3.29 (1.55 to 6.99)	0.002	3.52 (1.57 to 7.90)	0.003
Traditional leaders	3.21 (0.91 to 11.30)	0.068	3.33 (0.87 to 12.71)	0.077
MoHS	2.70 (1.27 to 5.76)	0.011	2.88 (1.28 to 6.47)	0.011

\*Adjusted for risk perception, region, sex, age, education and religion.  
MoHS, Ministry of Health and Sanitation.

that older age groups (70 years and older) are most at risk of experiencing a severe form of COVID-19 and of dying from the disease.<sup>23</sup> While in many European countries the share of those aged 70 and older is between 15% and 20%,<sup>24</sup> in Sierra Leone only 2.4% of the population is older than 70.<sup>25</sup> Exact age-specific mortality rates of COVID-19 are to date not confirmed but are significantly lower than Ebola.<sup>26 27</sup>

Radio has throughout the Ebola outbreak been an important source of information.<sup>14 28</sup> In our study, radio is similarly the most cited source of information. Community sources such as religious and traditional leaders were mentioned by only 10% of the sample, which is low compared with the Ebola outbreak when 60% heard messages through community leaders.<sup>28</sup> This can be explained by the timing of our survey; sensitisation and community engagement efforts were just starting. Community leaders remain trusted sources of

information in Sierra Leone and should be mobilised for community engagement.<sup>29</sup>

Social media, on the other hand, was also a relatively frequently mentioned source in our study. WhatsApp is an especially widespread social media platform in many African countries.<sup>30</sup> In our study, social media was strongly associated with increased knowledge and with avoiding crowds. Whereas it can clearly be a source of relevant information, there is also reportedly widespread misinformation circulating quickly on WhatsApp.<sup>31</sup> We have not studied misconceptions and risk behaviour further, which are likely to be associated with social media. Monitoring and frequent updates on social media should be a priority in any communication strategy.<sup>32</sup> Radio and social media provide platforms that could be leveraged to disseminate important information.<sup>33</sup>

While a little more than half of the respondents indicated that they had already taken actions to avoid

COVID-19 infection, the feasibility of (long-term) preventive practices in low-income settings should be taken into account.<sup>34</sup> Physical distancing in overcrowded communities can be very challenging. Most deprived communities lack running water, toilet facilities, soap and basic food items. It is not uncommon to find a family of four to five people cramped in a single bedroom with poor lighting and ventilation.<sup>35</sup> Promoting physical distancing should be aligned with the on-the-ground reality. Increasing public education, especially on the use of face masks and the provision of water and soap, might be the most realistic measure to take.

### Strengths and limitations

Major strengths of this study are the nationwide sample and high response rate. This study shows that rapid data collection can be done in preparation for a health emergency and can form the basis of evidence-based decision-making. This is a cross-sectional survey, so associations can also be interpreted in the opposite direction. The sampling strategy (using PHUs as the sampling frame) caused oversampling of some regions compared with population size, which was adjusted for by applying sampling weights. Still, the data may not have been representative of the population. Social desirability might have influenced the answers of respondents. Respondents might have highlighted preventive practices that they were familiar with from the Ebola outbreak—which might make the implementation of public health measures quicker. Despite extensive practice of the translations of the English questionnaire to local languages, the translations in practice might not have been fully consistent. Lastly, self-reported practices might be different from actual practices.

### CONCLUSION

In Sierra Leone, where a devastating Ebola outbreak ended over 4 years ago, we found that while awareness and risk perception of COVID-19 was high, the majority does not know that one can survive COVID-19. While knowledge does not automatically translate into practices, this study shows that in the context of COVID-19 in Sierra Leone, there is a strong association between knowledge and practices. Although we cannot rule out reverse causality, this points to the importance of community engagement and risk communication. Because the knowledge gap differs between genders, regions, educational levels and age, it is important that messages are specifically targeted to these core audiences. Information platforms with a wide reach, such as radio and social media, should be leveraged to disseminate messages by trusted leaders.

**Contributors** PS, MBJ, NW, IN, TS and HT led the conception and design of the survey. PS, MBJ, NW and IN contributed to the training and supervision of the data collection teams. MW led the data analysis with support from PS and HN. All coauthors contributed to the interpretation of the results. PS, HN and MW contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All coauthors read and approved the manuscript.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Ethics approval** The Sierra Leone Research and Scientific Review Committee granted ethical permission for this KAP study.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available upon reasonable request. All requests to access the data must be processed through the multipartner data sharing mechanism. All data accessibility requests should be directed to the corresponding author: maike.winters@ki.se.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

### ORCID iD

Maïke Winters <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0915-6506>

### REFERENCES

- Cohen J, Kupferschmidt K. Countries test tactics in 'war' against COVID-19. *Science* 2020;367:1287–8.
- Lazzerini M, Putoto G. COVID-19 in Italy: momentous decisions and many uncertainties. *Lancet Glob Health* 2020;8:e641–2.
- Legido-Quigley H, Mateos-García JT, Campos VR, et al. The resilience of the Spanish health system against the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Public Health* 2020;5:e251–2.
- Our World In Data. Coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) - statistics and research, 2020. Available: <https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus> [Accessed 10 Apr 2020].
- Johns Hopkins University. Coronavirus COVID-19 global cases, 2020. Available: <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html> [Accessed 10 Apr 2020].
- Roberton T, Carter ED, Chou VB, et al. Early estimates of the indirect effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and child mortality in low-income and middle-income countries: a modelling study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2020;8:e901–8.
- Nkengasong JN, Mankoula W. Looming threat of COVID-19 infection in Africa: act collectively, and fast. *Lancet* 2020;395:841–2.
- World Health Organization regional office Africa. *COVID-19 situation update for the WHO African region*, 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Ebola outbreak in West-Africa, case counts 2014–2016, 2020. Available: <https://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/history/2014-2016-outbreak/case-counts.html> [Accessed 10 Apr 2020].
- Sochas L, Channon AA, Nam S. Counting indirect crisis-related deaths in the context of a low-resilience health system: the case of maternal and neonatal health during the Ebola epidemic in Sierra Leone. *Health Policy Plan* 2017;32:iii32–9.
- Marais F, Minkler M, Gibson N, et al. A community-engaged infection prevention and control approach to Ebola. *Health Promot Int* 2016;31:440–9.
- Laverack G, Manoncourt E. Key experiences of community engagement and social mobilization in the Ebola response. *Glob Health Promot* 2016;23:79–82.
- Gillespie AM, Obregon R, El Asawi R, et al. Social mobilization and community engagement central to the Ebola response in West Africa: lessons for future public health emergencies. *Glob Health Sci Pract* 2016;4:626–46.
- Jalloh MF, Sengeh P, Monasch R, et al. National survey of Ebola-related knowledge, attitudes and practices before the outbreak peak in Sierra Leone: August 2014. *BMJ Glob Health* 2017;2:e000285.
- Wilkinson S. Media Action BBC. *Using media and communication to respond to public health emergencies: lessons learned from Ebola*, 2016.
- Winters M, Nordenstedt H, Mölsted Alveusson H. Reporting in a health emergency: the roles of Sierra Leonean journalists during the 2014–2015 Ebola outbreak. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2020;14:e0008256–14.



- 17 Betsch C, Wieler LH, Habersaat K. Correspondence monitoring behavioural. *Lancet* 2020.
- 18 World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public, 2020. Available: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public> [Accessed 10 Apr 2020].
- 19 Statistics Sierra Leone, UNICEF, European Union, World Health Organization, World Food Programme, UNFPA. *Sierra Leone multiple indicator cluster survey, survey findings report*, 2017.
- 20 World Health Organization. Ebola virus disease, 2018. Available: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ebola-virus-disease> [Accessed 1 Apr 2019].
- 21 Our World In Data. Case fatality rate of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, 2020. Available: <https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/coronavirus-cfr> [Accessed 7 May 2020].
- 22 Slovic P. *Perception of risk*. *science* (80-), 1987: 280–5.
- 23 World Health Organization Regional Office For Europe. Statement - older people are at highest risk from COVID-19, but all must act to prevent community spread, 2020. Available: <http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/statements/statement-older-people-are-at-highest-risk-from-covid-19,-but-all-must-act-to-prevent-community-spread> [Accessed 10 Apr 2020].
- 24 The World Bank. Population ages 65 and above (% of total population) - European union, 2018. Available: <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.65UP.TO.ZS?locations=EU>
- 25 Leone S. *Sierra Leone 2015 Population and Housing Census: Thematic report on elderly population*, 2017.
- 26 World Health Organization. *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), situation report 2019*, 2020.
- 27 World Health Organization. Ebola virus disease. Available: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ebola-virus-disease> [Accessed 10 Apr 2020].
- 28 Winters M, Jalloh MF, Sengeh P, *et al*. Risk communication and ebola-specific knowledge and behavior during 2014-2015 outbreak, Sierra Leone. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2018;24:336–44.
- 29 Kinsman J, de Bruijne K, Jalloh AM, *et al*. Development of a set of community-informed Ebola messages for Sierra Leone. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2017;11:e0005742–20.
- 30 The Economist. *How whatsapp is used and misused in Africa*, 2019.
- 31 Del Vicario M, Bessi A, Zollo F, *et al*. The spreading of misinformation online. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016;113:554–9.
- 32 Hern A. WhatsApp to impose new limit on forwarding to fight fake news, 2020. Available: <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2020/apr/07/whatsapp-to-impose-new-limit-on-forwarding-to-fight-fake-news> [Accessed 10 Apr 2020].
- 33 Hopman J, Allegranzi B, Mehtar S, Prevention I, *et al*. Managing COVID-19 in low- and middle-income countries. *JAMA* 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.4169. [Epub ahead of print: 16 Mar 2020].
- 34 Kickbusch I, Leung GM, Bhutta ZA, *et al*. Covid-19 : how a virus is turning the world upside down We may emerge from this with a healthier respect for our common humanity. *BMJ* 2020.
- 35 Statistics Sierra Leone, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, United Nations Development Programme. *Sierra Leone multidimensional poverty index 2019*. Freetown, 2019.