Supplementary Materials

S1 Interventions

**Intervention A**

**Immunity Passport**

Scientists are developing tests to see who has already had coronavirus.

No test is 100% effective.

This means that those who test ‘positive’ would have:

- Lower risk of catching coronavirus in the future - and therefore also
- Lower risk of passing it on to others

Those who test ‘positive’ would get an immunity passport.

They could return to work early.

**Intervention B**

**Immunity Certificate**

Scientists are developing tests to see who has already had coronavirus.

No test is 100% effective.

This means that those who test ‘positive’ would have:

- Lower risk of catching coronavirus in the future - and therefore also
- Lower risk of passing it on to others

Those who test ‘positive’ would get an immunity certificate.

They could return to work early.
**Intervention C**

**Immunity Test**

Scientists are developing tests to see who has already had coronavirus.

No test is 100% effective.

This means that those who test ‘positive’ would have:
- Lower risk of catching coronavirus in the future - and therefore also
- Lower risk of passing it on to others

Those who test ‘positive’ would get a result showing immunity.

They could return to work early.

---

**Intervention D**

**Antibody Passport**

Scientists are developing tests to see who has already had coronavirus.

No test is 100% effective.

This means that those who test ‘positive’ would have:
- Lower risk of catching coronavirus in the future - and therefore also
- Lower risk of passing it on to others

Those who test ‘positive’ would get an antibody passport.

They could return to work early.

---

**Intervention E**

**Antibody Certificate**

Scientists are developing tests to see who has already had coronavirus.

No test is 100% effective.

This means that those who test ‘positive’ would have:
- Lower risk of catching coronavirus in the future - and therefore also
- Lower risk of passing it on to others

Those who test ‘positive’ would get an antibody certificate.

They could return to work early.
**Intervention F**

**Antibody Test**

Scientists are developing tests to see who has already had coronavirus.

No test is 100% effective.

This means that those who test ‘positive’ would have:

- Lower risk of catching coronavirus in the future - and therefore also
- Lower risk of passing it on to others

Those who test ‘positive’ would get a result showing antibodies.

They could return to work early.
S2. Measures

Primary outcome

I Imagine you were given [an immunity passport/an immunity certificate/a result showing immunity/an antibody passport/an antibody certificate/a result showing antibodies]
which one of the following statements is true: [order of response options to be randomised]

1. I have no risk of catching coronavirus in the future
2. I have a lower risk of catching coronavirus in the future
3. I have an average risk of catching coronavirus in the future
4. I have a higher risk of catching coronavirus in the future

Secondary outcomes

II Imagine you were given [an immunity passport/an immunity certificate/a result showing immunity/an antibody passport/an antibody certificate/a result showing antibodies]
how likely is it that you will get coronavirus at some point in the future?

Please answer on a scale from 0 % to 100% where 0% means no chance and 100% means certain.

0% ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------- 100%

III Imagine that you were given [an immunity passport/an immunity certificate/a result showing immunity/an antibody passport/an antibody certificate/a result showing antibodies]
would you wash your hands with soap and water or sanitiser:

1. Much more than now
2. More than now
3. Same as now
4. Less than now
5. Much less than now

IV Imagine you were given [an immunity passport/an immunity certificate/a result showing immunity/an antibody passport/an antibody certificate/a result showing antibodies]
would you avoid physical contact with others outside of your home:

1. Much more than now
2. More than now
3. Same as now
4. Less than now
5. Much less than now

V If you were offered a test today by the NHS to check whether you have ever had coronavirus, would you have it?

1. Yes, definitely
2. Yes, probably
3. No, probably not
4. No, definitely not
## Supplementary Table 1 – Logistic regression analysis examining impact of Test type and Result type on protective behaviours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intend to wash hands less</th>
<th></th>
<th>Intend to avoid contact less</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% responding</td>
<td>Mutually</td>
<td>% responding</td>
<td>Mutually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>less/much less in each</td>
<td>adjusted</td>
<td>less/much less in each</td>
<td>adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>subgroup</td>
<td>(n=1204)</td>
<td>subgroup</td>
<td>(n=1204)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody (n=603)</td>
<td>4.1 (2.7-6.1)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>17.2 (104)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunity (n=603)</td>
<td>5.7 (4.0-7.8)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.43-4.45)</td>
<td>22.1 (133)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.82-2.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test (n=354)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.8-5.9)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>19.2 (68)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate (n=444)</td>
<td>6.8 (4.6-9.5)</td>
<td>2.07 (0.72-6.00)</td>
<td>21.6 (96)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.68-1.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passport (n=406)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.5-6.6)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.41-4.01)</td>
<td>18.0 (73)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.58-1.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test by Result interaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate by Immunity result</td>
<td>0.98 (0.25-3.95)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.18-3.56)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.49-2.01)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.46-1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passport by Immunity result</td>
<td>0.96 (0.21-4.40)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.11-2.98)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.43-1.87)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.40-1.78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Fully adjusted model includes age (with quadratic term), gender, education level and region (coded as per Table 1)
### Supplementary Table 2 – Logistic regression examining impact of Test and Result type on intention to have the test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Proportion who would not have test in each sub-group % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Mutually adjusted (n=1204)</th>
<th>Adjusted for demographics(^1) (n=1179)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibody (n=603)</td>
<td>13.1 (10.5-16.1)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.02 (0.57-1.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunity (n=601)</td>
<td>16.5 (13.6-19.7)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.57-1.81)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.54-1.74)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result Type</th>
<th>Proportion who would not have test in each sub-group % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Mutually adjusted (n=1204)</th>
<th>Adjusted for demographics(^1) (n=1179)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test (n=354)</td>
<td>15.5 (11.9-19.7)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.02 (0.57-1.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate (n=444)</td>
<td>14.9 (11.7-18.5)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.39-1.28)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.34-1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passport (n=406)</td>
<td>14.0 (10.8-17.8)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.54-1.42)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.46-1.47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test by Result interaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certificate by Immunity result</th>
<th>Proportion who would not have test in each sub-group % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Mutually adjusted (n=1204)</th>
<th>Adjusted for demographics(^1) (n=1179)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Certificate by Immunity result</td>
<td>1.65 (0.75-3.63)</td>
<td>1.91 (0.84-4.31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passport by Immunity result</td>
<td>1.23 (0.55-2.75)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.54-2.78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Fully adjusted model includes age (with quadratic term), gender, education level and region (coded as per Table 1)
### Supplementary Table 3 – Logistic regression analysis examining impact of perceived risk on anticipated behaviour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perceived meaning of result</th>
<th>Intend to wash hands less</th>
<th>Intend to avoid contact less</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% responding less/much less in each sub-group % (95% CI)</td>
<td>Unadjusted (n=1204)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted (n=1204)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some residual risk (n=1030)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.8-5.3)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No residual risk of future infection (n=175)</td>
<td>10.3 (6.3-15.9)</td>
<td>2.78 (1.56-4.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.8 (16.5-21.4)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.7 (18.5-31.8)</td>
<td>1.41 (0.97-2.06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Fully adjusted model includes age (with quadratic term), gender, education level and region (coded as per Table 1)
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