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ABSTRACT

Introduction Nutrition transition has emerged as an important concept in health research used to describe shifts in dietary consumption and energy expenditure that coincide with economic, demographic and epidemiological changes at a population level. Better understanding of the shifts in dietary patterns across populations and their drivers could possibly hold the key to prevention of diet-related disease risk. An increasing number of studies have reported on nutrition transition in populations around the world, however, global evidence has not been summarised.

Objective This scoping review is aimed to identify, explore and map the literature on nutrition transition with a specific focus on dietary changes in populations across the world. The review would allow better clarification around the concept of nutrition transition, classification of published studies and provide a framework for further research.

Methods and analysis The scoping review will be designed based on the methodology by Arksey and O’Malley, refined by Levac et al. and developed in conjunction with guidance on conducting systematic scoping reviews by Peters et al. The main research question addressed by the scoping review will be: ‘What is the evidence on nutrition transition defined based on dietary changes reported in general adult population across the world?’ Electronic databases (PubMed, ScienceDirect and Web of Science), grey literature sources and the reference lists of key studies will be searched to identify studies appropriate for inclusion in the review. Two reviewers will independently screen all abstracts and full-text studies for inclusion. Data will be abstracted into tables and logically organised according to items addressed in the specific research questions.

Ethics and dissemination Dissemination of results will be sought through a peer-reviewed publication, conference presentations and stakeholder meetings. The data used are from publicly available secondary sources, so no ethical review would be required for this study.

BACKGROUND

Suboptimal diet has long been established as one of the leading contributors to the global burden of disease (GBD). It has been estimated that one in five deaths globally, equivalent to 11 million deaths, are associated with suboptimal diet.1 In 2017, more deaths were caused by diets characterised by low intakes of foods such as whole grains, fruit, nuts and seeds than by diets high in trans-fats, sugary drinks and red and processed meat intakes.2,3

Dietary patterns have never been constant and have been prone to changes due to a variety of factors including climate, geographical location, politics, cultural influences and religious practices.4,5 As changes occur at differing rates across the world, adaptation of the diet over time has been also heterogeneous. In this context, the term ‘nutrition transition’ was coined by Popkin in the 1990s to describe the shifts in dietary consumption and energy expenditure that coincide with economic, demographic and epidemiological changes.5 According to Popkin, human nutrition has undergone several transition patterns since the dawn of mankind, summarised as follows: (1) a hunter-gatherer, labour-intensive lifestyle, with diets high in carbohydrates and low in saturated fat; (2) initial settlement lifestyle, with diets dominated by cereals and emergence of nutritional deficiencies; (3) a low-fat, high-fibre diet with an...
increase in the consumption of fruits, vegetables, animal protein and a decrease in starchy staples; (4) diets high in fat, sugar, refined carbohydrates and processed foods, and reduced physical activity and (5) intentional reduction in calorie and fat intake and increased consumption of fruits, vegetables, unrefined carbohydrates accompanied with a higher level of physical activity.7

Overall, the theory of nutrition transition proposes that with urbanisation, economic development and technological advancement, traditional diets have been substituted by diets high in vegetable oils, sugar, refined carbohydrates and animal-source food.8,9 For example, increased processed and ultra-processed food consumption and reduced food biodiversity have emerged as key dietary changes that describe the nutrition transition phenomenon.9 Recently, the use of the term ‘nutrition transition’ in the literature has shown an immense increase.5 This term has been predominantly used to denote the transitions observed in developing countries from traditional diets high in cereal and fibre to more Western pattern diets high in sugars, fat and animal-source food.8,10 Numerous studies on nutrition transition have been published reporting on dietary changes in populations both from low-income and middle-income countries and high-income countries.11–16 Shifting dietary patterns have been found to largely coincide with rising rates of obesity,17 diabetes18 and overall chronic diseases.19 Better understanding of the shifts in dietary patterns across populations and their drivers could possibly hold the key to prevention of diet-related disease risk.19,20

While several reviews on nutrition transition have been previously published, these have either focused on evidence from specific countries20 or continental regions.21 The global evidence on nutrition transition has not been summarised so far. Moreover, nutrition transition is a term used to identify a complex phenomenon and the key concepts and definitions used in the literature require better clarification. Especially, it remains important to evaluate how research on nutrition transition has been conducted, that is, which methods have been used to measure dietary changes over time and to classify individual-based versus population-based approaches for data collection.22 In this context, we propose conducting a scoping review that would allow better clarification around the concept of nutrition transition, classification of published studies and provide a framework for further research.23

**STUDY RATIONALE**

This scoping review will be the first, to our knowledge, to assess the literature published on the topic of nutrition transition worldwide. An overview of the research on a global scale would provide an important basis for understanding how nutrition transition was studied, to classify published studies and to identify existing gaps in research. The results of this scoping review will essentially provide a framework for conducting research on nutrition transition. Ultimately, such research will bring important insights for guiding strategies aimed at reducing diet-related chronic disease burden.

**STUDY OBJECTIVES**

The main objective for the proposed scoping review is to identify, explore and map the literature on nutrition transition defined based on dietary changes reported in general adult populations across the world.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

Prior to designing the protocol for this scoping review, literature on the nutrition transition was first searched through PubMed for any prior scoping reviews published in the field. No previously published scoping reviews on this topic were found. PROSPERO was also checked for any registered scoping reviews yet to be conducted, with no scoping reviews on nutrition transition registered. The literature search started on 1 August 2019 and ended on 15 December 2019.

**Protocol design**

The methods for this scoping review are based on Arksey and O’Malley’s scoping review methodology,24 further refined by Levac et al.25 and also considering a guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews by Peters et al.26 The protocol is based around six fundamental stages: (1) identifying research questions; (2) identifying relevant studies; (3) selection of eligible studies; (4) data abstraction and charting of results; (5) data summary and synthesis of results and (6) consultation with relevant stakeholders. These six stages are further detailed below.

**Box 1 Review research questions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research question (considering population, concept, context)</th>
<th>What is the evidence on nutrition transition defined based on dietary changes reported in general adult populations across the world?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other research questions</td>
<td>What are the different nutrition transition patterns reported on in adult population?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there countries/continental region-specific dietary patterns consistently reported?</td>
<td>What are the main sources for data generated?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the most frequently used methods for dietary data collection (population-based vs individual-based)?</td>
<td>Are there underrepresented countries/continental regions in published research on the nutrition transition?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the potential factors/drivers of dietary change associated with nutrition transition previously identified?</td>
<td>What are coinciding health and disease patterns associated with these dietary changes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the main gaps in the literature and directions for future research?</td>
<td>What are the main gaps in the literature and directions for future research?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stage 1: identifying the research questions
The review questions given in box 1 were defined as a result of a brief search on the scope of published evidence on the nutrition transition and based on discussions with the research team. The main research question takes into account ‘population’, ‘concept’ and ‘context’ as outlined below.

Population
The selected populations will be adults, 18 years and older (not pregnant, not breastfeeding women), assessed when this information is stated.

Concept
This scoping review will focus on dietary changes as a core aspect of nutrition transition. Studies reporting on other behaviours, such as physical activity or health outcomes, that is, body mass index, will not be included in the review.

Context
The context will be review of data collected on dietary changes over time reported as part of the nutrition transition.

Stage 2: identifying relevant studies
Discussions between authors led to agreement on the eligibility criteria, online databases to be searched, determination of key terms and the search strategy. No timeframe restrictions for studies were agreed.

Eligibility criteria
In order to determine the eligibility criteria, the ‘population’, ‘concept’ and ‘context’ as outlined in Stage 1 were considered.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria and rationale
The inclusion and exclusion criteria are given in table 1 in conjunction with the rationale behind each criterion.

Search strategy
A three-step method will be followed, as recommended by Peters et al.26 Published and unpublished (grey literature) will be searched using several online databases, and identified literature will be screened based on title, abstracts and key terms. The search terms included ‘nutrition transition’ or ‘nutrition transitions’ or ‘nutritional transition’ or ‘nutritional transitions’. After that, a full assessment of papers which meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria will be conducted. Reference lists of all included literature and excluded reviews will be additionally searched for any further relevant literature. Only articles in English will be included in the scoping review. However, to allow appraisal of possible publication bias, identified articles that have been published in languages other than English will be counted. No limitations will be set for the publication period.

Databases
The search will be conducted within three large online databases (PubMed, ScienceDirect and Web of Science). Endnote V. X7 will be used as the reference management software to assist in the organisation and abstraction of data.

Stage 3: selection of eligible studies
The study selection method will be as above to identify potential publications, an elimination process will be conducted (based on title, abstract, key terms, full articles in ascending order) by two independent researchers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Inclusion and exclusion criteria for eligible studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study inclusion criteria</td>
<td>Rationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written in English</td>
<td>To include studies targeted at an international audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes data on dietary intake or dietary behaviours</td>
<td>The term nutrition transition encompasses a number of factors; however, dietary change is the primary factor. Behaviours, such as food purchasing trends, will also be captured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports on more than one time-point</td>
<td>In order for studies to assess change in diet, it was decided that only studies reporting evidence for more than one time-point be included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports on adult population (18 years and older)</td>
<td>To allow better understanding of the shifts in dietary patterns across populations at higher chronic disease risk only adult and older age groups will be included in the review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports on a general population</td>
<td>In order to allow generalisibility of results, we will include studies based on representative samples of the population of interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviews analysing and reporting on secondary data</td>
<td>Reviews that incorporate secondary data on changes of diet over time taken from public databases (eg, FAOSTAT) will be included in the review.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study exclusion criteria
Reviews with no secondary quantitative data
Studies of a narrative style review with no secondary quantitative data will be excluded, as a quantitative comparison will be conducted. Reference lists from these reviews will be examined.

Systematic reviews, editorials, commentaries
To avoid duplication of data, papers with no original quantitative diet data will be excluded.
Study selection will be completed using a web-based software (Covidence), which facilitates screening, study selection and data extraction for literature and systematic reviews and is recommended by Cochrane. The results were compared and consolidated through consensus between the two researchers in November 2019. The final list of included articles was further reviewed by the senior author in December 2019. Any disagreement on the final papers to be included will be discussed until an agreement is reached by all authors. The number of included and excluded articles will be presented in a search decision flowchart as outlined in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines and the search decision process reported in a narrative form also.

Stage 4: data abstraction and charting of results
Two researchers will independently conduct the data abstraction once studies for inclusion have been agreed on, in January 2020. In the instance of any discrepancies in data abstracted, these will be further assessed by the senior author to ensure reaching a consensus on study inclusion. This data will be charted in a table including, but not limited to, the following: region, study design, country, authors, year published, number of participants, duration of study period, methodology for diet data collection, main findings and limitations. The data will be categorised based on the suggestion by the WHO GBD regional classification system: African Region, Eastern Mediterranean Region, European Region, Region of the Americas, South-East Asian Region and Western Pacific Region. This data will then be used for the synthesis of findings in a narrative form.

Stage 5: data summary and synthesis of results
The aggregated findings will provide an overview of the research conducted on nutrition transition worldwide, rather than an assessment of the quality of all individual studies. Data will be summarised both quantitatively (eg, proportion of region covered based on population, total years covered within countries/regions studied) and qualitatively (eg, overall summary of evidence, findings, methodological approaches and limitations). The key characteristics and concepts of the articles collected in the data extraction table will be identified and summarised. The synthesis of results may be further developed based on the findings of the scoping review, in order to best capture the key characteristics and concepts of the published literature.

Stage 6: consultation with relevant stakeholders
Relevant stakeholders will be consulted during the conducting of the scoping review. Specifically, they will provide input regarding the search strategy to ensure data collected is representative of the true nature of the field. Stakeholders will include experts on the subject of nutrition transition. These stakeholders will be identified through their relevant publications across the regions.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
Results from this scoping review will be published in a relevant peer-reviewed journal and presented at conferences. Ethics are not required due to the nature of the study, based on collection of publicly available data.

PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT
No participants (patients or public) will be involved.

CONCLUSIONS
Since the definition of the term nutrition transition, many papers have been published worldwide trying to capture the progression of dietary changes in response to drivers such as globalisation, modernisation and affluence. Despite the large number of publications on the topic, a scoping review has not yet been published on the nutrition transition and hence, here we propose a protocol for conducting a scoping review aimed to summarise overall findings on the global nutrition transition. Ultimately, we hope that our work will lead the way for valuable future contributions to the field of nutrition transition.
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