

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

CHI study: protocol for an observational cohort study on ageing and mental health in community-dwelling older adults

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-035003
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Oct-2019
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Lee, Rachael; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Yu, Junhong; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Rawtaer, Iris; Sengkang General Hospital, Department of Psychiatry</p> <p>Allen, Patrick; National University of Singapore, Faculty of Dentistry; National University Health System, National University Centre for Oral Health</p> <p>Bao , Zhiming; National University of Singapore, Department of English Language and Literature, Faculty of Arts and Social Science</p> <p>Feng, Lei; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Feng, Qiushi; National University of Singapore, Department of Sociology ; National University of Singapore, Centre for Family and Population Research</p> <p>Lee, Jeong Kyu; National University of Singapore, Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health</p> <p>Lim, Chin Tat; National University of Singapore, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Ling, Lieng Hsi; National University of Singapore, Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine; National University Heart Centre, Department of Cardiology</p> <p>Thang, Leng Leng; National University of Singapore, Department of Japanese Studies ; National University of Singapore, Centre for Family and Population Research</p> <p>Naing, Thet; National University Health System, Department of Ophthalmology</p> <p>Wang, D. Y.; National University of Singapore, Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Yap, Kai Zhen; National University of Singapore, Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Science</p> <p>Kua, EH; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine; National University Hospital, Department of Psychological Medicine</p> <p>Mahendran, Rathi; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine; Duke-NUS, Medical School</p>
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, GERIATRIC MEDICINE, Old age psychiatry <

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	PSYCHIATRY, Dementia < NEUROLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 *Title*
4

5 CHI study: protocol for an observational cohort study on ageing and mental health in
6
7
8 community-dwelling older adults
9

10
11
12 *Author Names*
13

14 Rachael Zhi Yi Lee¹, Junhong Yu¹, Iris Rawtaer², Patrick Finbarr Allen^{3,14}, Zhiming Bao⁴,
15
16
17 Lei Feng¹, Qiushi Feng⁵, Jeong Kyu Lee⁶, Chin Tat Lim⁷, Lieng Hsi Ling^{8,9}, Leng Leng
18
19 Thang¹⁰, Thet Naing¹¹, De Yun Wang¹², Kai Zhen Yap¹³, Ee Heok Kua^{1,15} and Rathi
20
21 Mahendran^{1,15,16}
22
23
24
25

26 *Author Affiliations*
27

- 28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
1. Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 2. Department of Psychiatry, Sengkang General Hospital, Singapore
 3. Faculty of Dentistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 4. Department of English Language and Literature, Faculty of Arts and Social Science, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 5. Department of Sociology & Centre for Family and Population Research, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 6. Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 7. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 8. Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 9. Department of Cardiology, National University Heart Centre, Singapore
 10. Department of Japanese Studies & Centre for Family and Population Research, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 11. Department of Ophthalmology, National University Health System, Singapore
 12. Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 13. Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Science, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 14. National University Centre for Oral Health, National University Health System, Singapore
 15. Department of Psychological Medicine, National University Hospital, National University Health System, Singapore
 16. Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore

1
2
3 *Corresponding Author's details*
4

5 A/Prof Rathi Mahendran
6

7
8 Email: pcmrathi@nus.edu.sg
9

10 Institution Address: Department of Psychological Medicine,
11

12 National University Health System,
13

14 Tower Block Level 9,
15

16 1E Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119228
17

18 Tel: 67723489
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 *Word Count:* 4161 (including Table)
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Ageing is associated with a multitude of healthcare issues including dementia, depression, frailty, morbidity associated with chronic disease and, high healthcare high utilization. With Singapore's population projected to age significantly over the next two decade, it has become increasingly important to understand the disease burden and etiological process among older adults. The Community Health and Intergenerational (CHI) study aims to holistically examine aging in place by investigating the mental resilience and vulnerability factors of the aging process in the biological, psychological and social domains within the environment.

Methods and analysis: Using a cohort multiple randomized controlled trial (cmRCT) design, comprehensive health profiles of community-dwelling older adults will be collected. The objective is to recruit 1000 participants (aged 60 to 99 years old) living in the western region of Singapore within a period of three years (2018-2020). Assessments include basic sociodemographic, physical health and function (cardiac, oral, and blood profiles as well as visual function), cognitive functioning, daily functioning, physical fitness, emotional state, free-flowing speech, sleep quality, social connectedness, caregiver burden, intergenerational communication, quality of life, life satisfaction, attitudes to ageing, gratitude and compassion. Results from the cohort will enable future studies to identify at-risk groups and develop interventions to improve the physical and mental health, and quality of life of older adults.

Ethics and dissemination: Approval of the cohort study by the National University of Singapore Institutional Review Board (NUS-IRB Reference code: H-17-047) was obtained on 12 October 2017. Written consent will be obtained from all participants. Findings from the cohort study will be disseminated by publication of peer-reviewed manuscripts, presentations at scientific meetings and conferences with local stakeholders.

Keywords: Ageing, biopsychosocial risk factors, older adults, community cohort

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This ongoing study will be among the first few cohort studies that comprehensively investigates the health profiles of older adults in Singapore.
- Results of this study may contribute to better understanding of vulnerability and resiliency factors of aging and provide new health-related estimates.
- Use of the cmRCT design will enable future interventions to identify at-risk individuals and test the feasibility of clinical interventions and community programmes.
- Having data collected in only one region of Singapore may affect the generalisability of results; however, the in-depth findings from this study will provide further evidence and identify suitable interventions for older adults.

INTRODUCTION

Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that the global population of older adults aged 60 years and above will rise from 900 million in 2015 to 2 billion in 2050[1]. In Singapore, the proportion of residents aged above 65 years old nearly doubled from 8.8% in 2009 to 14.4% in 2019 and is projected to be 25% by 2030[2]. This poses as a challenge as ageing is associated with a plethora of healthcare issues and high healthcare utilization. Over the years, researchers have conducted nation-wide studies in Singapore to understand age-related diseases[3, 4] and modifiable factors to promote healthy ageing[5, 6]. Previous research has adopted a multidimensional framework (e.g., WHO's definition of health) to better understand the ageing process and healthcare related needs[7]. Using a similar framework, the Community Health and Intergenerational study adopts Engel's[8] Biopsychosocial Model of health and disease to holistically examine ageing in place by collecting comprehensive health profiles of older adults in Singapore.

To date, there are a few cohort studies that used a holistic framework to observe ageing and health in the community. The Healthy Older People Everyday (HOPE) study ($n = 1051$) is one such study that sought to assess physical and mental health amongst community-dwelling older adults (aged ≥ 65 years) through basic health screening as well as a health survey[9]. Although the study used objective screening tools (e.g., Mini-Mental State Exam and physical fitness tests), it comprised mostly of self-reported measurement. The authors also suggested the need for more robust and comprehensive tools to be considered such as the Geriatric Depression Scale. The Well-being of the Singapore Elderly (WiSE) study ($n = 2565$) was another comprehensive study that included face-to-face interviews and physical examination; however it lacked laboratory measurements and did not assess cardiovascular risk[10, 11]. Further research using objective measures to determine physical (e.g., blood

1
2
3 markers or echocardiography) and cognitive (e.g., neurocognitive assessments) health status
4
5 is needed to complement self-reported data. In terms of oral health, there appears to be an
6
7 association between dental disease, tooth loss and onset of frailty[12]. It is plausible that this
8
9 may be mediated through triggering of inflammatory processes by pathogens from
10
11 periodontal tissues, but there is a lack of longitudinal data to confirm this hypothesis.
12
13

14
15 There have been a few cross-sectional cohort studies carried out on older adults in the
16
17 local context, these include the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Study (SLAS) and the Diet
18
19 and Healthy Ageing (DaHA) cohort. Conceived in 2003, the SLAS ($n = 6183$) aimed to
20
21 provide a community-based cohort of older adults (aged 55 years and above) for subsequent
22
23 clinical-based interventions[7]. Results from the ongoing study found new prevalence
24
25 rates[13] and associations[6, 14]. Participants from SLAS were also identified to join
26
27 subsequent intervention studies such as a computer training RCT that was found to improve
28
29 cognitive functioning[15]. On the other hand, the DaHA (cohort) study placed emphasis on
30
31 dietary factors and its association with healthy ageing and reduced risk of age-related medical
32
33 conditions[5]. For instance, the bioactive compounds found in mushroom and long-term tea
34
35 consumption was associated with delay in cognitive impairment and reduced
36
37 depression/anxiety symptoms respectively[5, 16]. The cohort study later invited suitable
38
39 subjects to participate in subsequent non-pharmacological RCTs that aimed to improve
40
41 cognitive and psychological health— art therapy and music reminiscence activity[17],
42
43 mindfulness awareness program[18], and horticultural therapy[19].
44
45
46
47
48

49
50 Although the aforementioned community studies in Singapore documented valuable
51
52 findings, many of them did not incorporate other important measures of health the older adult
53
54 such as detailed oral health examination, cardiovascular assessments, olfactory measures or
55
56 speech analysis. More observational studies using in-depth and holistic assessments of older
57
58 adults are needed. This calls for greater integration of health, psychosocial and environmental
59
60

resources through close collaborations among clinicians, researchers and community partners.

Research aims

The primary goal is to examine the health profiles of older adults based on the following:

- 1a) Biological factors such as the physical health condition (e.g., cardiac, oral, blood profiles, vital signs and visual function), physical fitness/ function, medical history and medication use, and nutritional status;
- 1b) Psychological factors such as the cognition, emotional state (anxiety and depression), sleep quality, attitudes, values, satisfaction with life and quality of life;
- 1c) Social factors such as social support, intergenerational relationships and the impact on family members.

Once interventions are developed, each study (e.g., feasibility or full-scale trial) will use the cohort data to help identify at-risk groups or normal ageing participants eligible for pharmacological and psychosocial interventions.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design

The CHI study adopts a cohort multiple randomized controlled trial (cmRCT) design, whereby the cohort provides capacity for multiple randomised controlled trials over time[20]. Using a cmRCT design may increase efficiency in trial recruitment and potentially lower attrition rates[21]. Hence, the cmRCT design is adopted to determine biopsychosocial factors involved in the ageing process and subsequently introduce interventions that can mitigate ageing-related issues such as cognitive and psychological health, diet, medication adherence, speech impairments, oral hygiene. The ongoing CHI study has started data collection on 1st February 2018. It will be conducted in two phases. Phase I comprises of a cross-sectional

1
2
3 cohort study (baseline) detailed in this paper. Participants enrolling for the CHI cohort will be
4
5 given the option of allowing their data to be used for analyses and in identifying them for
6
7 future research interventions (i.e., Phase II), or for comparison purposes for intervention
8
9 trials. Details of the Phase II interventions will be published in full manuscripts separately.
10
11

12 **Study sample**

13
14 This 3-year cohort study targets to recruit 1000 community-dwelling older adults in
15
16 Singapore. Participants aged between 60 to 99 years of any gender and ethnic group will be
17
18 eligible for the study. Illiterate participants are also eligible for the study; however, they will
19
20 be excused from the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB).
21
22 Assessments will be conducted in languages such as English, Mandarin, Malay and Chinese
23
24 dialect (e.g., Hokkien), depending on the assessors' preference.
25
26
27

28
29 In collaboration with Presbyterian Community Services (PCS), the main study site
30
31 will be held at a local seniors activity centre, Hannah Seniors Activity Centre (HSAC),
32
33 located within the community in the central west district of Singapore. Confirmation of the
34
35 sociodemographic data of over 3000 older adults in the Anak Bukit area (i.e., a subzone of
36
37 the central west district) has been verified through Department of Statistics Singapore[22].
38
39 Data from PCS also indicated an estimate of 1000 older adults within a 20-block radius from
40
41 Toh Yi drive. Recruitment is restricted to the central west district of Singapore that may
42
43 affect generalisability of results. However, the in-depth findings from this study will provide
44
45 further evidence and identify suitable interventions for older adults.
46
47
48

49 **Patient and public involvement**

50
51 Participants in this study were not involved in the development of the study design or
52
53 objectives. The research design and objectives were developed by the investigators of this
54
55 study and underwent review by a board of academic advisors affiliated to National University
56
57 of Singapore Mind-Science Centre (NUS MSC). PCS, a community partner, provided the
58
59
60

1
2
3 study site (e.g., quiet rooms in HSAC). In addition, information about the study procedures
4
5 and recruitment process were shared with staff from PCS prior to data collection.
6
7

8 **Procedure**

9
10 Older adults will be recruited from residences via door-to-door visits by research
11
12 nurses and research assistants in the Toh Yi, Anak Bukit area and other areas within the
13
14 district encompassed by a 10km radius from the HSAC. Eligible individuals will also be
15
16 recruited onsite from HSAC, community centres, resident corners, senior activity centres and
17
18 residences within the recruitment area – advertisement flyers will be made available for
19
20 visitors to the respective centres and word of mouth. Interested individuals will be invited to
21
22 HSAC at their convenience. Non-ambulant individuals who are keen to participate in the
23
24 study will have their consent taken in their own homes. A member of the research team will
25
26 explain the study in detail and time will be given for individual to consider before written
27
28 consent is given.
29
30
31
32

33 Participants will be invited to complete up to six separate visits, estimating to a total
34
35 of 11 hours; they will be scheduled to complete five visits to HSAC and one to NUS
36
37 Cardiovascular Imaging Core Lab (CICL) at their earliest convenience. Data will be obtained
38
39 through semi-structured face-to-face interviews (visit 1 and 2), neuropsychological
40
41 assessments (visit 3 and 4), biological specimen collection (blood and dental samples), dental
42
43 examination (visit 5), and cardiovascular examination (visit 6), details of which are given in
44
45 Table 1. Non-ambulant participants will be assessed in their own homes and will only have to
46
47 complete visit 1 to 3. Trained research assistants and certified nurses will conduct visit 1 to 4
48
49 and blood venepuncture, while certified dentists and medical sonographers will conduct visits
50
51 5 and 6 respectively. Moreover, experts will provide referral letters to participants for further
52
53 follow-up should incidental findings arise from assessments such as neuropsychological tests,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

depression/anxiety screening, oral health examination, blood tests and/or cardiovascular examination.

Outcome measures

A wide range of data, spanning across several health domains, will be collected. Instruments and physical examinations were introduced by the investigators of this study that comprises of experts in psychiatry, cardiology, dentistry, otolaryngology, sociology, pharmacy, family and population research, linguistics, public health, orthopaedics, and ophthalmology. Table 1 provides an overview of the measures to be collected.

Table 1 Outcome measures

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
<i>Socio-demographic</i>		
Age	Age will be measured based on the date of birth stated on the National Registration Identification Card (NRIC) or Long-term visit pass.	1
Sex	Male or female stated on NRIC or visit pass.	1
Language Use	Measured by language of interview, languages participant is able to speak, and common language spoken at home.	1
Marital Status	Self-report of marital status; single, married, widowed or divorced/separated.	1
Ethnicity	Ethnicity as recorded in NRIC or self-report; categorised as Chinese, Malay, Indian or others.	1
Religion	Religion will be classified as Taoism/Buddhism, Christianity/Catholicism, Hinduism, Islam, or others. Participants will also be asked, "How important is your religion to you?" via a 4-point Likert scale response.	1
Citizenship	Based on citizenship recorded on NRIC or visit pass, which will be categorized into Singapore citizen, Permanent Resident (PR) or others. For PRs, previous citizenship and year of PR status will be collected.	1
Education	Measured by years of formal schooling and highest education level, which will be categorized as none, primary, secondary/technical education, pre-university/polytechnic, or university.	1
Employment status	Determined by self-reported employment status; categorised as retired, housewife, full-time, part-time, or self-employed. Participants will also be asked to state their previous and current occupation.	1
Living arrangement and family support	Questions from previous surveys centred on older adults and their children's living arrangement, and sources of support and care will be used[24].	1
Financial status	Financial status is determined by housing type, current gross personal monthly income, current gross household income, insurance coverage, and expenditure on medical expenses per month. Participants will also be asked if their income/allowances are adequate to cover their monthly expenses, reasons if it is	1

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
Spouse demographic	not adequate and if their financial resources are adequate to meet their future needs. Various sources of support will be recorded, such as private savings, borrowing money from relatives/friends etc. Spouse's age, ethnicity, education, citizenship, and employment will also be collected.	1
Medical history	The medical history of participants and their family will be collected using the self-reported questionnaire from the Diet and Health Aging study[5]. Medical conditions such as hypertension, stroke, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, cancer, cataracts, mental health illnesses and many more will be recorded.	1
<i>Biological factors</i>		
Body measures	Blood pressure, pulse rate, height, weight, neck circumference and abdominal girth will be measured. In addition, body mass index (BMI) will be calculated.	1
Visual function	Visual acuity will be measured using a standardized Tumbling E distance vision chart of 3-meters[25], while colour blindness is measured using the Ishihara 38-plate colour test[26]. To measure visual functioning, five items were selected from the original 25-item National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire[27]. One item was selected from five subscales on general vision, near vision, distance vision, social function and driving, and will be scored on a 3-point Likert scale (good, acceptable or poor).	1
Speech	Participants will be asked to speak freely about their life story and experiences for 15 to 20 minutes using a language of their choice. Their speech will be recorded using an audio recorder and they will be instructed to remain anonymous in the recording.	1
Functional status	Barthel's Index of Activities of Daily Living[28] and Lawton's[29] Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scale (iADL) are common scales used in Singapore to assess older adults' ability to perform basic and complex self-care tasks independently. This study adapts five items (bowels, bladder, grooming, toilet use and feeding) from the 10-item Barthel Index and the original 8-item iADL scale. Both scales are scored on a 3-point ordinal scale (independent, some help required or dependent).	1
Medication use/adherence	Participants will be asked to bring along any prescribed medication, supplements and/or over-the-counter medication. Name of medication, dosage form, dosing instructions, frequency of use, duration used, purpose and source of medication will be recorded.	1
Physical fitness	Physical fitness is determined by results from five tasks; hand grip test using a calibrated Jamar dynamometer[30], modified functional reach test[31], 30-seconds chair stand[32], timed up and go[33, 34], 6 meters fast gait speed test[35]. These tests will assess grip strength, balance, lower extremity strength, functional mobility and gait speed respectively. In addition, levels of physical activity will be measured by the 4-item international physical activity (short form) questionnaire[36].	2

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
Blood profile*	23.5ml of blood will be obtained through venepuncture performed by certified nurses. 13.5ml of blood will be tested for general health markers; alkaline phosphatase, alanine aminotransferase, phosphate, calcium, uric acid, full blood count panel without erythrocyte sedimentation rate, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting blood glucose, glycated haemoglobin A1c, free thyroxine (T4), thyroid-stimulating hormone, thyroid peroxidase antibody, intact parathyroid hormone, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, sodium, potassium, chloride, urea, creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate. The remaining 10ml of blood will be used for near-term assays of candidate cardiovascular biomarkers that includes (but not limited to) N-terminal-proB- type natriuretic peptide, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin, growth differentiation factor-15 and ST2 protein.	2/3/4/5
Olfactory status	Using a recently developed olfactory test kit, participants will be tasked to smell nine locally developed scents (almond, lemon, orange, pineapple, banana, coconut, rose, cinnamon and mushroom). They will then be asked to identify the scent and rate the intensity and pleasantness of the scent scored on a 5-point likert scale.	2/3/4/5
Oral health status	Participants will receive intra-oral and extra-oral clinical examinations by three calibrated dentists. Similar to previous studies, the oral health examination includes examining and recording of oral mucosa status, periodontal status, tooth (coronal and root) status and treatment needs, tooth wear, occlusal contacts, and prosthodontic status[37-39]. In addition, oral samples (dental plaque and saliva) will be collected for DNA extraction and microbiome analyses. Specifically, supragingival plaque will be removed with sterile cotton pellets and dried prior to sampling of subgingival plaque. Full mouth subgingival plaque will be removed using a sterile curette and resuspended in a microcentrifuge tube containing 500 µl of sterile saline. Participants will then be asked to chew a paraffin gum to transfer bacteria from teeth to saliva and subsequently drool into a receptacle.	5
Nutritional status	The widely used Mini Nutritional Assessment – Short Form (MNA-SF) will be used to assess nutritional status[13, 40]. It consists of six items; appetite, weight loss, mobility, psychological stress or current illness, BMI and neuropsychological problems. Total weighted screening scores ranges from 0 to 14 points.	5
Cardiovascular status	Six non-invasive cardiovascular procedures will be performed; (1) echocardiography (ultrasound scanning) will be conducted to assess the morphology and function of the heart using a scanning transducer lightly applied to the chest, (2) echocardiography of the carotid and femoral arteries, and modified applanation tonometry at the radial artery of the wrist will also be used to determine carotid intima-media thickness and arterial stiffness properties, (3) skin autofluorescence scanning to detect dermal deposition of Advanced Glycation End Products (AGEs) will be measured by an AGE Reader SU device, which requires participants to place their forearm on the reader, (4)	6

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
	<p>echocardiography of flow-mediated dilation (FMD) at the brachial artery will be conducted using a 10MHz linear array probe, steadied by a stereotactic clamp to image the brachial artery and position electronic tracking gates at the media-adventitia interface of opposing arterial walls as well as the use of the E20 rapid cuff inflator, to induce reactive hyperaemia by inflation of a pneumatic cuff placed around the participant's proximal forearm to a pressure of 50 mmHg above the systolic blood pressure for 5 minutes, (5) electrocardiogram (ECG) will also be performed so as to record the electrical activity of the participant's heart at resting state using electrodes with adhesive pads attached to the chest, arms and legs, and lastly (6) ambulatory ECG (Holter) monitoring will be conducted to detect arrhythmias, including atrial fibrillation, assess heart rate variability and heart rate complexity using a portable monitor attached by wires to electrode patches placed on the chest for 24 hours. During the 24-hour monitoring, participants will also be tasked to fill in a diary sheet (i.e., type of activities and heart-related symptoms experienced) as accurately as possible. These cardiovascular procedures will adhere to strict local standards and reports will be reviewed by cardiologists.</p>	
<i>Psychological factors</i>		
Psychiatric symptoms	<p>Depressive and anxiety symptoms are assessed using the 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale[41], and the 20-item Geriatric Anxiety Inventory[42] respectively. Both scales have been validated in the local context and shown good psychometric properties in older adult populations[43, 44].</p>	1
Lifestyle factors	<p>Lifestyle factors is assessed by a previously developed lifestyle questionnaire[45]. In addition, participants will be asked about the type of leisure activity that have participated before and number of hours spent per week participating in; mindfulness, art work, exercise, social activities, musical activities or others (same as previous work[46]).</p>	1
Perceived oral health and QoL	<p>A self-developed 15-item Oral Health Attitudes Questionnaire[47] assesses attitudes to oral health, while oral health related quality of life will be assessed using the short form Oral Health Impact Profile – 14 items[48].</p>	1
Subjective cognitive decline (SCD)	<p>The 20-item Perceived Deficits Questionnaire (PDQ) is part of the Multiple Sclerosis Quality of Life Inventory that assesses self-perceived cognitive decline[49]. PDQ has also been used to measure SCD in a Singapore cohort[50].</p>	1
Cognitive functioning	<p>Measures of cognitive functioning include: (1) A locally modified and validated 30-point Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) with stratified education and ethnic cut-offs to assess global cognitive function[51, 52]; (2) the 5-point Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR:[53]) to measure subjective and/or informant complaints as well as cognitive and functional performance; (3) a neurocognitive battery assessing verbal and learning memory (Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test) attention and working memory (Digit Span Forward and Backward Task), divided attention and sequencing (Colour Trails Test), visual-spatial abilities (Wechsler's Block Design) and verbal fluency (Semantic Verbal Fluency – Animals);</p>	3

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
	(4) Eight computerized language-independent cognitive tests of the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB, Cambridge, UK[54]) will be administered: Motor Screening Task (sensorimotor), Paired Associates Learning – 12 patterns (visual memory and learning), Verbal Recognition Memory – immediate and delayed recall (verbal memory), Stockings of Cambridge (spatial planning), Emotion Recognition Test – short (social cognition), Rapid Information Visual Processing – 3 targets (sustained attention), Spatial Span – forward and reverse (visuospatial working memory), and Multitasking Test (executive function). Participants will be diagnosed as either cognitively normal, having mild cognitive impairment or dementia by a 3-member expert panel of psychiatrists who will review scores from the MMSE, CDR and neurocognitive battery based on Petersen’s[55] mild cognitive impairment criteria and the DSM-V for dementia criteria[56].	4**
Caregiver burden	The widely used 22-item Zarit Burden Interview[57] will measure caregiver burden and will be conducted through a phone interview with participants’ caregiver/family member.	3
Quality of life	Quality of life (QoL) will be determined by the 13-item World Health Organization QoL assessment for older adults[58] scored on a 5-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicating higher QoL.	2
Sleep quality	Sleep quality will be assessed by locally validated 19-item Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index[59, 60]. Used in both clinical and general population, the STOP-Bang questionnaire[61] will also be used to screen for obstructive sleep apnea.	2
Life satisfaction	Life satisfaction will be measured by a 5-item Satisfaction With Life Scale[62] scored on a 7-point Likert response scale as well as extended questions on nine different areas of life such as health, financial situation, community elderly service, government elderly policy, friendships, spouse, children, leisure activities and current work status.	2
Perceived health state	Perceived health state will be assessed by EQ-5D-3L that was developed by EuroQol Group[63]; (1) the EQ-5D descriptive system that measures five dimensions of health (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression) and (2) the EQ visual analogue scale where participants indicate their health state ranging from 0 (worse imaginable health) to 100 (best imaginable health).	2
Attitudes to ageing	The 24-item Attitudes to Ageing Questionnaire[64] will be used to measure older adults’ attitudes to the process of ageing using a 5-point Likert scale.	2
Gratitude	Dispositional gratitude will be determined by the 6-item Gratitude Questionnaire[65] scored on a 7-point Likert scale (strongly disagree to strongly agree).	2
Compassion	The 10-item Compassion Scale[66] is used to measure five dimensions of compassion: generosity, hospitality, objectivity, sensitivity and tolerance across social networks and relationships using a 1 (none) to 7 (all) response scale.	2

Social factors

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
Parenting style	A self-developed 13-item Personal and Parents' Parenting Style Scale[67] is used to examine the relationship between participants' parenting strategies and how they were parented as children, scored on a 1 (Never) to 5 (Always) scale.	1
Social connectedness	Social connectedness/isolation will be measured by the 6-item Friendship Scale[68] scored on a 5-point Likert Scale, whereby higher scores indicate higher level of connectedness ($\alpha = 0.83$).	2
Perceived Social support	Perceived social support is determined by a self-developed scale that consists of an open-ended question ("How many close friends/relatives do you have?") and 7 items on perceived social support scored on a 5-point Likert scale.	2
Intergenerational communication	The Perceptions of Intergenerational Communication Scale[69] will be used to measure perceived communication between generations scored on a 7-point Likert-type scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree).	2

* Venipuncture procedure will be scheduled in conjunction with another visit

** Available to the first 300 literate participants only as the test was added in at a later stage of the study.

Data storage and analysis

Participants will be assigned a subject identification number (SID). Their corresponding data including questionnaire responses, audio recording, assessment records and biological samples will be kept anonymous and coded with the same assigned SID for consistency. All hardcopy data responses will be checked by two personnel to minimize missing data. Hardcopy coded data collected will be entered and stored on a standalone computer and softcopy data will be password protected. Furthermore, all hardcopy data will be stored in a designated secured and locked space, accessible only to selected personnel.

Demographic variables will be presented as descriptive summaries such as mean \pm SD, median, percentages for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables.

Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses will be conducted to determine associations between continuous outcomes; logistic regressions will be used for dichotomous outcomes. Cox regression models/survival analyses will be conducted for predicting time to events where applicable. Prediction modelling will be attempted utilizing the new covariates under study (e.g., attitudes to ageing and intergenerational influence). P values of <0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The CHI study will be conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Practice and adhere to the Human Biomedical Research Act which provides a legal framework for researchers in Singapore to conduct research and the use of human tissue[23].

Written informed consent will be obtained from all participants after objectives and procedures of the research are fully explained to them by a member of the research team. Participants will also be informed that they can withdraw from the research at any time without giving any reasons. In addition, participants will be given the option of (1) providing their coded human biological materials and data for use in future research, (2) being recorded for the free flow speech segment, (3) being contacted for future intervention studies, incidental findings, changes to the research and follow-up appointment for memory concerns. Participants with dementia will also be asked to invite their legally acceptable representatives to the consent-taking process and data collection. The research team will ascertain that any persons making a decision on behalf of the participant with dementia, acts in the best interest of the participant and takes into account of the participant's wishes and feelings.

Results from the cohort study will be disseminated by publication of peer-reviewed manuscripts, presentations at scientific meetings and/or conferences with local stakeholders. The researchers may also communicate aggregated results to members of the public and clinical professionals through ad hoc meetings/events or mass media releases.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Presbyterian Community Services for their valuable support. The authors would also like to thank the research team made up of research assistants (Mr Jonathan Wong, Ms Tan Xin Yi, Mr Jonathan Louis Chia, Ms Petrina Quek, Ms Madeline Han, Ms Khor Ting Fang, Ms Savannah Siew, Ms Amanda Phoa, Ms Lim Xin Ying and Ms Yap Ai Che), dental practitioners (A/Prof Wong Mun Loke, A/Prof Tan Kai Soo, Dr Lee Yun Hui, Dr Tan Mei Na, Dr Rakhi Mittal), nurses (Ms Ng Siew Yee and Ms Adeline Teo) and sonographers (Ms Gong Lingli, Ms Hazliza Hazli and Ms Josephine Berbos Lunaria) that contributed to the execution of the Community Health and Intergenerational Study. Special thanks to Prof A. Mark Richards (NUS Cardiovascular Research Institute) for the laboratory support provided as well as Dr Cao Luwen for her transcription work and inputs (audio recordings).

Contributors

RM, KEH and IR made significant contribution to the conception of the study and implementation of the protocol. FL, PFA, LLH, BZ, WDY, TLL, YKZ, FQ, LJK, LCT and TN participated in the design of the study. RM initiated and conducted the study with a team of researchers (including PFA, LLH & RZYL), and is the primary author of the manuscript. RM, RZYL and YJ prepared the first draft of the manuscript. RZYL, BZ and PFA made revisions to the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

The CHI Study is a research project under the National University of Singapore Mind-Science Centre (NUS MSC) and is funded by donation grants: (1) Hong Kong and Shanghai Bank Corporation grant for community projects, and (2) funding from Kwan Im Thong Hood Cho Temple for NUS MSC's Dementia Prevention Program.

1
2
3 **Competing interests**
4

5 None.
6

7
8 **Ethics approval**
9

10 This study is approved by National University of Singapore Institutional Review Board,
11
12 reference number H-17-047.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

References

- 1 World Health Organisation. Ageing and health. [Accessed on October 2015]
Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health>
- 2 Department of Statistics, Singapore. Population trends: Ageing population 2019
[Accessed on October 2019] Retrieved from <https://www.singstat.gov.sg/find-data/search-by-theme/population/population-and-population-structure/visualising-data/population-trends>
- 3 Heng DM, Lee J, Chew SK, et al. Incidence of ischaemic heart disease and stroke in Chinese, Malays and Indians in Singapore: Singapore Cardiovascular Cohort Study. *Ann Acad Med Singap*2000;29:231-36.
- 4 Subramaniam M, Chong AA, Vaingankar J, et al. Prevalence of dementia in people aged 60 years and above: results from the WiSE study. *J Alzheimers Dis*2015;45(4):1127-38 doi:10.3233/JAD-142769 [published Online First: 13 April 2015].
- 5 Chan SP, Yong PZ, Sun Y, et al. Associations of long-term tea consumption with depressive and anxiety symptoms in community-living elderly: findings from the diet and healthy aging study, *J Prev Alzheimers Dis*2018;5(1):21-25 doi:10.14283/jpad.2017.20
- 6 Ng TP, Feng L, Niti M, et al. Tea consumption and cognitive impairment and decline in older Chinese adults. *Am J Clin Nutr*2008;88(1):224-31 doi:10.1093/ajcn/88.1.224 [published Online First: 1 July 2008].
- 7 Ng TP, Broekman BFP, Niti M, et al. Determinants of successful aging using a multidimensional definition among Chinese elderly in Singapore. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*2009;17(5):407-16 doi:10.1097/JGP.0b013e31819a808e

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- 8 Engel GL. The clinical application of the biopsychosocial model. *Am J Psychiatry* 1980;137(5):535-44 doi:10.1176/ajp.137.5.535 [published Online First: 1 Apr 2006].
 - 9 Merchant RA, Chen MZ, Tan L, et al. Singapore Healthy Older People Everyday (HOPE) Study: prevalence of frailty and associated factors in older adults. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017;18(8):734.e9-734.e14 doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2017.04.020 [published Online First: 13 Jun 2017].
 - 10 Seow LSE, Subramaniam M, Abdin E, et al. Hypertension and its associated risks among Singapore elderly residential population. *Journal of Clinical Gerontology and Geriatrics* 2015;6(4):125-132 doi:10.1016/j.jcgg.2015.05.002 [published Online First: 15 Jun 2015].
 - 11 The WL, Abdin E, Vaingankar JA, et al. Prevalence of stroke, risk factors, disability and care needs in older adults in Singapore: results from the WiSE study. *BMJ Open* 2018;8(3):e020285 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020285
 - 12 Ramsay SE, Papachristou E, Watt RG, et al. Influence of poor oral health on physical frailty: a population-based cohort study of older British men. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2018;66:473-79 doi:10.1111/jgs.15175 [published Online First: 20 Dec 2017].
 - 13 Wei K, Nyunt MS, Gao Q, et al. Frailty and malnutrition: Related and distinct syndrome prevalence and association among community-dwelling older adults: Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Studies. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017;18(12):1019-1028. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2017.06.017. [published Online First: 10 Aug 2017].
 - 14 Ng TP, Feng L, Nyunt MS, et al. Metabolic syndrome and the risk of mild cognitive impairment and progression to Dementia: follow-up of the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Study Cohort. *JAMA Neurol* 2016;73(4):456-63 doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.4899.

- 1
2
3 15 Lee TS, Quek SY, Goh SJ, et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial using EEG-based
4 brain-computer interface training for a Chinese-speaking group of healthy elderly.
5
6 *Clin Interv Aging*2015;10:217-27 doi:10.2147/CIA.S73955.
7
8
9
10 16 Feng L, Cheah IK, Ng MM, et al. The association between mushroom consumption
11 and mild cognitive impairment: a community-based cross-sectional study in
12 Singapore. *J Alzheimers Dis*2019;68(1):197-203 doi:10.3233/JAD-180959.
13
14
15
16 17 Mahendran R, Gandhi M, Moorakonda RB, et al. Art therapy is associated with
18 sustained improvement in cognitive function in the elderly with mild neurocognitive
19 disorder: Findings from a pilot randomized controlled trial for art therapy and music
20 reminiscence activity versus usual care. *Trials*2018;19(1):615 doi:10.1186/s13063-
21 018-2988-6
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 18 Klainin-Yobas P, Kowitlawakul Y, Lopez V, et al. The effects of mindfulness and
29 health education programs on the emotional state and cognitive function of elderly
30 individuals with mild cognitive impairment: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin*
31 *Neurosci*2019;68:211-217 doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2019.05.031 [published Online First: 11
32 Jul 2019]
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 19 Chan HY, Ho RC, Mahendran R, et al. Effects of horticultural therapy on elderly'
40 health: protocol of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Geriatr*2017;17(1):192
41 doi:10.1186/s12877-017-0588-z
42
43
44
45
46
47 20 Relton C, Torgerson D, O'Cathain A, et al. Rethinking pragmatic RCTs: introducing
48 the 'cohort multiple RCT' design. *BMJ*2010;340:c1066 doi:10.1136/bmj.c1066
49
50
51 21 Viksveen P, Relton C, Nicholl J. Benefits and challenges of using the cohort multiple
52 randomised controlled trial design for testing an intervention for depression.
53
54 *Trials*2017;18(1):308 doi:10.1186/s13063-017-2059-4.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 22 Department of Statistics, Singapore. Population trends 2017 [PDF file accessed on
4 October 2019]. Retrieved from <https://www.singstat.gov.sg/->
5
6 [/media/files/publications/population/population2017.pdf](https://www.singstat.gov.sg/-/media/files/publications/population/population2017.pdf)
7
8
9
- 10 23 Singapore Statutes Online. Human Biomedical Research Act 2015. [Accessed on
11 October 2019] Retrieved from <https://sso.agc.gov.sg/Act/HBRA2015>
12
13
14
- 15 24 Thang LL, Lim, E. Seniors Living Alone in Singapore. A Report by Fei Yue
16 Community Services. 2012 [accessed on October 2019] Retrieved from
17 <https://www.fycc.org/our-work/research/Singapore>
18
19
20
- 21 25 Taylor HR. Applying new design principles to the construction of an illiterate E chart.
22 *Am J Optom Physiol Opt*1978;55(5):348-51 doi:10.1097/00006324-197805000-00008
23
24
25
- 26 26 Ishihara S. Tests for Color-blindness, Handaya Tokyo: Hongo Harukicho 1917.
27
28
- 29 27 Mangione CM, Lee PP, Gutierrez PR, et al. Development of the 25-item National Eye
30 Institute Visual Function Questionnaire. *Arch Ophthalmol*2001;119(7):1050-8
31 doi:10.1001/archophth.119.7.1050
32
33
34
- 35 28 Mahoney FI, Barthel DW. Functional evaluation: The Barthel Index. *Md State Med*
36 *J*1965;14:61-65.
37
38
39
- 40 29 Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and
41 instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist*1969;9(3):179-86.
42
43
44
- 45 30 Bechtol CO. Grip test: the use of a dynamometer with adjustable handle spacings. *J*
46 *Bone Joint Surg Am*1954;36-A(4):820-4.
47
48
- 49 31 Katz-Leurer M, Fisher I, Neeb M, et al. Reliability and validity of the modified
50 functional reach test at the sub-acute stage poststroke. *Disabil*
51 *Rehabil*2009;31(3):243-8 doi:10.1080/09638280801927830.
52
53
54
- 55 32 Rikli RE, Jones CJ. Development and validation of a functional fitness test for
56 community-residing older adults. *Journal of Aging & Physical Activity*1999;7(2):129.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 33 Mathias S, Nayak US, Isaacs B. Balance in the elderly patient: the ‘get-up and go’
4
5 test. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*1986;67(6):387-9.
6
7
8 34 Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed “Up and Go”: a test of basic functional
9
10 mobility for frail elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc*1991;39(2):142-8
11
12 doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1991.tb01616.x
13
14
15 35 Middleton A, Fritz SL, Lusardi M. Walking speed: the functional vital sign. *J Aging*
16
17 *Phys Act*2015;23(2):314-22 doi:10.1123/japa.2013-0236 [published Online First: 2
18
19 May 2014].
20
21
22 36 Booth M. Assessment of physical activity: an international perspective. *Res Q Exerc*
23
24 *Sport*2000;71(2 Suppl):S114-20 doi:10.1080/02701367.2000.11082794.
25
26
27 37 Steele JG, Treasure ET, O'Sullivan I, et al. Adult Dental Health Survey 2009:
28
29 transformations in British oral health 1968–2009. *Br Dent J*2012;213(10):523-7
30
31 doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2012.1067.
32
33
34 38 Tan MN, Nair R, Ngo DY, et al. Oral health status and complete denture status of
35
36 independent-living Singaporean elderly residing in a community home. *Singapore*
37
38 *Dent J*2014;35:9-15 doi:10.1016/j.sdj.2014.07.002.
39
40
41 39 Thean HP, Wong ML, Koh GC, et al. Oral health status and treatment needs of
42
43 elderly residents in a Singapore nursing home. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*2009;38(3),
44
45 282-283.
46
47
48 40 Rubenstein LZ, Harker JO, Salvà A, et al. Screening for undernutrition in geriatric
49
50 practice: developing the short-form Mini-Nutritional Assessment (MNA-SF). *J*
51
52 *Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*2001;56(6):M366-72 doi:10.1093/gerona/56.6.m366
53
54
55 41 Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric
56
57 depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res*1982;17(1):37–49
58
59 doi:10.1016/0022-3956(82)90033-4
60

- 1
2
3 42 Pachana NA, Byrne GJ, Siddle H, et al. Development and validation of the Geriatric
4
5 Anxiety Inventory. *Int Psychogeriatr*2007 ;19(1):103-14
6
7 doi:10.1017/S1041610206003504
8
9
- 10 43 Nyunt MS, Fones C, Niti M, et al. Criterion-based validity and reliability of the
11
12 Geriatric Depression Screening Scale (GDS-15) in a large validation sample of
13
14 community-living Asian older adults. *Aging Ment Health*2009;13(3):376-82
15
16 doi:10.1080/13607860902861027.
17
18
- 19 44 Yan Y, Xin T, Wang D, et al. Application of the Geriatric Anxiety Inventory-Chinese
20
21 Version (GAI-CV) to older people in Beijing communities. *Int*
22
23 *Psychogeriatr*2014;26(3):517-23 doi:10.1017/S1041610213002007 [published Online
24
25 First: 20 Nov 2013].
26
27
- 28 45 Yu J, Collinson SL, Liew TM, et al. Super-cognition in aging: cognitive profiles and
29
30 associated lifestyle factors. *Appl Neuropsychol Adult*2019;22:1-7.
31
32 doi:10.1080/23279095.2019.1570928 [published Online First: 22 Feb 2019].
33
34
- 35 46 Lee R, Wong J, Wong LS, et al. Art therapy for the prevention of cognitive decline.
36
37 *The Arts in Psychotherapy*2019;64:20-2 doi:10.1016/j.aip.2018.12.003 [published
38
39 Online First: 10 Dec 2018].
40
41
- 42 47 Balan P, He HG, Cao F, et al. Oral health in pregnant Chinese women in Singapore: a
43
44 call to go beyond the traditional clinical care. *Healthcare*2018;6(3):77
45
46 doi:10.3390/healthcare6030077
47
48
- 49 48 Slade GD. Derivation and validation of a short-form oral health impact profile.
50
51 *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*1997;25(4):284-90 doi:10.1111/j.1600-
52
53 0528.1997.tb00941.x [published Online First: 29 May 2006].
54
55
- 56 49 Sullivan M, Edgley K, DeHousx E. A survey of multiple sclerosis, part 1: perceived
57
58 cognitive problems and compensatory strategy use. *Can J Rehabil*1990;4:99–105.
59
60

- 1
2
3 50 Liew TM, Yap P, Ng TP, et al. Symptom clusters of subjective cognitive decline
4 amongst cognitively normal older persons and their utilities in predicting objective
5 cognitive performance: structural equation modelling. *Eur J Neuro* 2019;26(9):1153-
6 1160 doi:10.1111/ene.13958. Epub 2019 Apr 30.
7
8
9
10
11
12 51 Feng L, Chong MS, Lim WS, et al. The Modified Mini-Mental State Examination
13 test: normative data for Singapore Chinese older adults and its performance in
14 detecting early cognitive impairment. *Singapore Med J*2012;53(7):458–62.
15
16
17
18
19 52 Ng TP, Niti M, Chiam PC, et al. Ethnic and educational differences in cognitive test
20 performance on Mini-Mental State Examination in Asians. *Am J Geriatr*
21 *Psychiatry*2007;15(2):130–139 doi:10.1097/01.JGP.0000235710.17450.9a
22
23
24
25
26 53 Morris JC. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): Current version and scoring rules.
27 *Neurology*1993;43(11):2412–14 doi:10.1212/wnl.43.11.2412-a
28
29
30
31 54 Luciana M, Nelson CA. Assessment of neuropsychological function through use of
32 the Cambridge Neuropsychological Testing Automated Battery: performance in 4- to
33 12-year-old children. *Dev Neuropsychol*2002;22(3):595–624
34
35
36
37
38
39
40 55 Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern*
41 *Med*2004;256(3):183–194 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01388.x
42
43
44
45 56 American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic And Statistical Manual Of Mental
46 Disorders. 5th ed. Arlington: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
47
48
49 57 Zarit SH, Zarit JM. Instructions For The Burden Interview. University Park:
50 Pennsylvania State University: 1987.
51
52
53
54 58 Caballero FF, Miret M, Power M, et al. Validation of an instrument to evaluate
55 quality of life in the aging population: WHOQOL-AGE. *Health and Quality of Life*
56 *Outcomes*2013;11:177 doi:10.1186/1477-7525-11-177.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 59 Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, et al. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A
4 new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res* 1989;28(2):193–
5 213 doi:10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4
6
7
8
9
10 60 Tsai PS, Wang SY, Wang MY, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the Chinese version
11 of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (CPSQI) in primary insomnia and control
12 subjects. *Qual Life Res* 2005;14(8):1943–52 doi:10.1007/s11136-005-4346-x
13
14
15
16
17 61 Chung F, Yegneswaran B, Liao P, et al. STOP questionnaire: a tool to screen patients
18 for obstructive sleep apnea. *Anesthesiology* 2008;108(5):812–21.
19 doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e31816d83e4
20
21
22
23
24 62 Diener E, Emmons RA, Larsen RJ, et al. The satisfaction with life scale. *Journal of*
25 *Personality Assessment* 1985;49(1):71-75 doi:10.1207/s15327752jpa4901_13
26 [published Online First: 10 Jun 2010].
27
28
29
30 63 EuroQol Group. EuroQol—a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality
31 of life. *Health Policy* 1990;16(3):199–208.
32
33
34
35 64 Laidlaw K, Power MJ, Schmidt S. The attitudes to ageing questionnaire (AAQ):
36 development and psychometric properties. *International Journal of Geriatric*
37 *Psychiatry* 2007;22:367-379 doi:10.1002/gps.1683 [published Online First: 18
38 October 2006].
39
40
41
42
43 65 McCullough ME, Emmons RA, Tsang JA. The grateful disposition: a conceptual and
44 empirical topography. *J Per Soc Psychol* 2002;82:112-127 doi:10.1037//0022-
45 3514.82.1.112
46
47
48
49
50 66 Martins D, Nicholas NA, Shaheen M, et al. The development and evaluation of a
51 compassion scale. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2013;24(3):1235-46
52 doi:10.1353/hpu.2013.0148
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 67 Lim HA, Mahendran R, Feng L, et al. Intergenerational transmission of parenting
4 styles of the Chinese living in Singapore. *Psychreg Journal of Psychology*2016;1(1):
5 20-39.
6
7
8
9
10 68 Hawthorne G. Measuring social isolation in older adults: development and initial
11 validation of the friendship scale. *Soc Indic Res*2006;77:521–48 doi:10.1007/s11205-
12 005-7746-7
13
14
15
16
17 69 Williams A, Ota H, Giles H, et al. Young people's beliefs about intergenerational
18 communication: an initial cross-cultural analysis. *Communication*
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

STROBE 2007 (v4) checklist of items to be included in reports of observational studies in epidemiology*
Checklist for cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies (combined)

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1 & 3
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	1-2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses	3
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	7
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	7-9
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	8
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	N.A.
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	10-15 (Table 1)
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	10-15 (Table 1)
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	N.A.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	8
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	N.A.
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	15
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	15
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	15
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	15

		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Nil
Results			N.A. (Protocol paper)
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	-
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	-
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	-
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	-
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	-
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	-
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	-
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	-
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	-
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	-
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	-
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	-
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	-
Discussion			N.A. (Protocol paper)
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

CHI study: protocol for an observational cohort study on ageing and mental health in community-dwelling older adults

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-035003.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Feb-2020
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Lee, Rachael; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Yu, Junhong; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Rawtaer, Iris; Sengkang General Hospital, Department of Psychiatry</p> <p>Allen, Patrick; National University of Singapore, Faculty of Dentistry; National University Health System, National University Centre for Oral Health</p> <p>Bao , Zhiming; National University of Singapore, Department of English Language and Literature, Faculty of Arts and Social Science</p> <p>Feng, Lei; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Feng, Qiushi; National University of Singapore, Department of Sociology ; National University of Singapore, Centre for Family and Population Research</p> <p>Lee, Jeong Kyu; National University of Singapore, Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health</p> <p>Lim, Chin Tat; National University of Singapore, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Ling, Lieng Hsi; National University of Singapore, Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine; National University Heart Centre, Department of Cardiology</p> <p>Thang, Leng Leng; National University of Singapore, Department of Japanese Studies ; National University of Singapore, Centre for Family and Population Research</p> <p>Naing, Thet; National University Health System, Department of Ophthalmology</p> <p>Wang, D. Y.; National University of Singapore, Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine</p> <p>Yap, Kai Zhen; National University of Singapore, Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Science</p> <p>Kua, EH; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine; National University Hospital, Department of Psychological Medicine</p> <p>Mahendran, Rathi; National University of Singapore, Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine; Duke-NUS, Medical School</p>
Primary Subject	Epidemiology

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Heading:	
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health, Cardiovascular medicine, Dentistry and oral medicine, Geriatric medicine, Mental health
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, GERIATRIC MEDICINE, Old age psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY, PUBLIC HEALTH, Cardiac Epidemiology < CARDIOLOGY, MENTAL HEALTH



BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035003 on 4 May 2020. Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Title

CHI study: protocol for an observational cohort study on ageing and mental health in community-dwelling older adults

Author Names

Rachael Zhi Yi Lee¹, Junhong Yu¹, Iris Rawtaer², Patrick Finbarr Allen^{3,14}, Zhiming Bao⁴,
Lei Feng¹, Qiushi Feng⁵, Jeong Kyu Lee⁶, Chin Tat Lim⁷, Lieng Hsi Ling^{8,9}, Leng Leng
Thang¹⁰, Thet Naing¹¹, De Yun Wang¹², Kai Zhen Yap¹³, Ee Heok Kua^{1,15} and Rathi
Mahendran^{1,15,16}

Author Affiliations

1. Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
2. Department of Psychiatry, Sengkang General Hospital, Singapore
3. Faculty of Dentistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore
4. Department of English Language and Literature, Faculty of Arts and Social Science, National University of Singapore, Singapore
5. Department of Sociology & Centre for Family and Population Research, National University of Singapore, Singapore
6. Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore, Singapore
7. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
8. Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
9. Department of Cardiology, National University Heart Centre, Singapore
10. Department of Japanese Studies & Centre for Family and Population Research, National University of Singapore, Singapore
11. Department of Ophthalmology, National University Health System, Singapore
12. Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
13. Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Science, National University of Singapore, Singapore
14. National University Centre for Oral Health, National University Health System, Singapore
15. Department of Psychological Medicine, National University Hospital, National University Health System, Singapore
16. Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore

Corresponding Author's details

1
2
3 A/Prof Rathi Mahendran
4

5 Email: pcmrathi@nus.edu.sg
6

7 Institution Address: Department of Psychological Medicine,
8

9
10 National University Health System,
11

12 Tower Block Level 9,
13

14 1E Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119228
15

16
17 Tel: 67723489
18
19
20
21
22

23 *Word Count: 4694 (including Table)*
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Ageing is associated with a multitude of healthcare issues including dementia, depression, frailty, morbidity associated with chronic disease and, high healthcare utilization. With Singapore's population projected to age significantly over the next two decades, it has become increasingly important to understand the disease burden and etiological process among older adults. The Community Health and Intergenerational (CHI) study aims to holistically examine ageing in place by investigating the resilience and vulnerability factors of the ageing process in the biological, psychological and social domains within the environment.

Methods and analysis: Using a cohort multiple randomized controlled trial (cmRCT) design, comprehensive health profiles of community-dwelling older adults will be collected. The objective is to recruit 1000 participants (aged 60 to 99 years old) living in the western region of Singapore within a period of three years (2018-2020). Assessments include basic sociodemographic, physical health and function (cardiac, oral, and blood profiles, and visual function), cognitive functioning, daily functioning, physical fitness, emotional state, free-flowing speech, sleep quality, social connectedness, caregiver burden, intergenerational communication, quality of life, life satisfaction, attitudes to ageing, and gratitude and compassion. Results from the cohort will enable future studies to identify at-risk groups and develop interventions to improve the physical and mental health, and quality of life of older adults.

Ethics and dissemination: Approval of the cohort study by the National University of Singapore Institutional Review Board (NUS-IRB Reference code: H-17-047) was obtained on 12 October 2017. Written consent will be obtained from all participants. Findings from the cohort study will be disseminated by publication of peer-reviewed manuscripts, presentations at scientific meetings and conferences with local stakeholders.

Keywords: Ageing, biopsychosocial risk factors, older adults, community cohort

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This ongoing study will be among the first few cohort studies that comprehensively investigates the health profiles of older adults in Singapore.
- Results of this study may contribute to better understanding of vulnerability and resiliency factors of ageing.
- Using a cmRCT design will enable subsequent interventional studies to identify at-risk groups and test the feasibility of clinical interventions and community programmes that aim to improve health outcomes in older adults.
- Due to sample size and cost considerations, the study lacks other in-depth measures; while restricted recruitment limits generalisability of results.
- The extensive range of findings from this study will provide useful health information about older adults that is relevant to clinicians, researchers and policy makers in Singapore.

INTRODUCTION

Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that the global population of older adults aged 60 years and above will rise from 900 million in 2015 to 2 billion in 2050[1]. In Singapore, the proportion of residents aged above 65 years old nearly doubled from 8.8% in 2009 to 14.4% in 2019 and is projected to be 25% by 2030[2]. This poses a challenge as ageing is associated with a plethora of healthcare issues and high healthcare utilization. Over the years, researchers have conducted nation-wide studies in Singapore to understand age-related diseases[3, 4] and modifiable factors to promote healthy ageing[5, 6]. Previous research has adopted a multidimensional framework (e.g., WHO's definition of health) to better understand the ageing process and healthcare related needs[7]. Using a similar framework, the Community Health and Intergenerational (CHI) study adopts Engel's[8] Biopsychosocial Model of health and disease to holistically examine ageing in place by collecting comprehensive health profiles of older adults in Singapore.

To date, cohort studies are shifting towards using holistic frameworks to observe ageing and health in the community. The Healthy Older People Everyday (HOPE) study ($n = 1051$) is one such study that sought to assess physical and mental health amongst community-dwelling older adults (aged ≥ 65 years) through basic health screening as well as a health survey[9]. Although the study used objective screening tools (e.g., Mini-Mental State Exam and physical fitness tests), it comprised mostly of self-reported measurement. The authors also suggested the need for more robust and comprehensive tools to be considered such as the Geriatric Depression Scale. Although other larger age-related cohort studies such as the Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle study[10] and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative[11] are notable studies that have collected a wide range of measures (e.g., clinical, cognitive, neuroimaging, lifestyle and genetic data), nonetheless the focus was

1
2
3 largely on the treatment and progression of Alzheimer's Disease (AD). Further research is
4
5 needed to assess other health-related determinants of older adults in the healthy ageing
6
7 spectrum such as oral health assessments, cardiovascular investigations, speech analysis and
8
9 olfactory measures. The Well-being of the Singapore Elderly (WiSE) study ($n = 2565$) was
10
11 another comprehensive study that included face-to-face interviews and physical examination;
12
13 however it lacked laboratory measurements and did not assess cardiovascular and other
14
15 physical health risks[12, 13]. Research using objective measures to determine physical (e.g.,
16
17 blood markers or echocardiography) and cognitive (e.g., neurocognitive assessments) health
18
19 status is needed to complement self-reported data. In terms of oral health, there appears to be
20
21 an association between dental disease, tooth loss and onset of frailty[14]. It is plausible that
22
23 this may be mediated through triggering of inflammatory processes by pathogens from
24
25 periodontal tissues, but there is a lack of longitudinal data to confirm this hypothesis.
26
27
28
29

30
31 There have been a few cross-sectional cohort studies carried out on older adults in the
32
33 local context; these include the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Study (SLAS) and the Diet
34
35 and Healthy Ageing (DaHA) cohort. Conceived in 2003, the SLAS ($n = 6183$) aimed to
36
37 provide a community-based cohort of older adults (aged 55 years and above) for subsequent
38
39 clinical-based interventions[7]. Results from the ongoing study found new prevalence
40
41 rates[15] and associations[6, 16]. Participants from SLAS were also identified to join
42
43 subsequent intervention studies such as a computer training RCT that was found to improve
44
45 cognitive functioning[17]. On the other hand, the DaHA (cohort) study placed emphasis on
46
47 dietary factors and its association with healthy ageing and reduced risk of age-related medical
48
49 conditions[5]. For instance, the bioactive compounds found in mushroom and long-term tea
50
51 consumption was associated with delay in cognitive impairment and reduced
52
53 depression/anxiety symptoms respectively[5, 18]. The cohort study later invited suitable
54
55 subjects to participate in subsequent non-pharmacological RCTs that aimed to improve
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 cognitive and psychological health— art therapy and music reminiscence activity[19],
4
5 mindfulness awareness program[20], and horticultural therapy[21].
6
7

8 Although the aforementioned studies on older adults documented valuable findings,
9
10 some of them mainly focused on the treatment and progression of AD, while most studies did
11
12 not incorporate other important measures of health such as detailed oral health examination,
13
14 cardiovascular assessments and biomarkers, olfactory measures or speech analysis. More
15
16 observational studies using in-depth and culturally relevant assessments of older adults in the
17
18 healthy ageing spectrum are needed. This calls for greater integration of health, psychosocial
19
20 and environmental resources through close collaborations among clinicians, researchers and
21
22 community partners. Thus, the CHI study aims to holistically investigate factors associated to
23
24 healthy ageing in a community setting using a broad range of health-related measures.
25
26
27

28 **Research aims**

29
30 The primary goal is to examine the health profiles of older adults and form meaningful
31
32 associations based on the following:
33
34

35 1a) Biological factors such as the physical health condition (e.g., cardiac, oral, blood
36
37 profiles, vital signs and visual function), physical fitness/ function, medical history and
38
39 medication use, and nutritional status;
40
41

42 1b) Psychological factors such as the cognition, emotional state (anxiety and depression
43
44 symptoms), sleep quality, attitudes, values, satisfaction with life and quality of life;
45
46

47 1c) Social factors such as social support, intergenerational relationships and the impact
48
49 on family members.
50
51

52 Secondly, this study also acts as a recruitment platform for future interventional studies (e.g.,
53
54 feasibility or full-scale trial) to identify at-risk groups or normal ageing participants. The
55
56 cohort data will enable the development and evaluation of pharmacological and psychosocial
57
58 interventions targeted at improving health outcomes for older adults. Specifically, data will
59
60

1
2
3 be used to identify at-risk groups such as (but not limited to) older adults with subsyndromal
4 depression or anxiety, mild cognitive impairment, medical conditions (e.g., Hyperlipidemia,
5 Diabetes, Hypertension), at-risk of cardiovascular diseases, oral diseases, speech impairment,
6 or sleep apnea. Other future sub-studies will also explore culturally relevant psychosocial
7 factors related to healthy ageing such as intergenerational communication, attitudes to ageing,
8 social networks, satisfaction with life and many more.
9

17 **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

19 **Study design**

21 The CHI study adopts a cohort multiple randomized controlled trial (cmRCT) design,
22 whereby the cohort provides capacity for multiple randomised controlled trials over time[22].
23 Using a cmRCT design may increase efficiency in trial recruitment and potentially lower
24 attrition rates[23]. Hence, the cmRCT design is adopted to determine biopsychosocial factors
25 involved in the ageing process and subsequently introduce interventions that can mitigate
26 ageing-related issues such as cognitive and psychological health, diet, medication adherence,
27 speech impairments, oral hygiene. The ongoing CHI study has started data collection on 1st
28 February 2018. It will be conducted in two phases. Phase I comprises of a cross-sectional
29 cohort study (baseline) detailed in this paper. Participants enrolling for the CHI cohort will be
30 given the option of allowing their data to be used for analyses and in identifying them for
31 future research interventions (i.e., Phase II), or for comparison purposes for intervention
32 trials. Details of the Phase II interventions will be published in full manuscripts separately.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Study sample**

51 This 3-year cohort study targets to recruit 1000 community-dwelling older adults in
52 Singapore. Participants aged between 60 to 99 years of any gender and ethnic group will be
53 eligible for the study. Illiterate participants are also eligible for the study; however, they will
54 be excused from the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB).
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Assessments will be conducted in languages such as English, Mandarin, Malay and Chinese
4
5 dialect (e.g., Hokkien), depending on the subject's preference.
6

7
8 In collaboration with Presbyterian Community Services (PCS), the main study site
9
10 will be held at a local seniors activity centre, Hannah Seniors Activity Centre (HSAC),
11
12 located within the community in the central west district of Singapore. Confirmation of the
13
14 sociodemographic data of over 3000 older adults in the Anak Bukit area (i.e., a subzone of
15
16 the central west district) has been verified through Department of Statistics Singapore[24].
17
18 Data from PCS also indicated an estimate of 1000 older adults within a 20-block radius from
19
20 the activity centre at Toh Yi. Recruitment is restricted to the central west district of
21
22 Singapore.
23
24

25 26 **Patient and public involvement**

27
28 Participants in this study were not involved in the development of the study design or
29
30 objectives. The research design and objectives were developed by the investigators of this
31
32 study and underwent review by a board of academic advisors affiliated to National University
33
34 of Singapore Mind-Science Centre (NUS MSC). PCS, a community partner, provided the
35
36 study site (e.g., quiet rooms in HSAC). In addition, information about the study procedures
37
38 and recruitment process were shared with staff from PCS prior to data collection.
39
40

41 42 **Procedure**

43
44 Older adults will be recruited from residences via door-to-door visits by research
45
46 nurses and research assistants in the Toh Yi, Anak Bukit area and other areas within the
47
48 district encompassed by a 10km radius from the HSAC. Eligible individuals will also be
49
50 recruited onsite from HSAC, community centres, resident corners, senior activity centres and
51
52 residences within the recruitment area – advertisement flyers will be made available for
53
54 visitors to the respective centres and word of mouth. Interested individuals will be invited to
55
56 HSAC at their convenience. Non-ambulant individuals who are keen to participate in the
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 study will have their consent taken in their own homes. A member of the research team will
4
5 explain the study in detail and time will be given for individual to consider before written
6
7 consent is given.
8
9

10 Participants will be invited to complete up to six separate visits, estimating to a total
11
12 of 11 hours; they will be scheduled to complete five visits to HSAC and one to NUS
13
14 Cardiovascular Imaging Core Lab (CICL) at their earliest convenience. Data will be obtained
15
16 through semi-structured face-to-face interviews (visit 1 and 2), neuropsychological
17
18 assessments (visit 3 and 4), biological specimen collection (blood and dental samples), and
19
20 dental examination (visit 5), and cardiovascular examination (visit 6), details of which are
21
22 given in Table 1. Non-ambulant participants will be assessed in their own homes and will
23
24 only have to complete visit 1 to 3. Trained research assistants and certified nurses will
25
26 conduct visit 1 to 4 and blood venepuncture, while certified dentists and medical
27
28 sonographers will conduct visits 5 and 6 respectively. Moreover, experts will provide referral
29
30 letters to participants for further follow-up should incidental findings arise from assessments
31
32 such as neuropsychological tests, depression/anxiety screening, oral health examination,
33
34 blood tests and/or cardiovascular examination.
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Outcome measures**

41
42 A wide range of data, spanning across several health domains, will be collected.
43
44 Instruments and physical examinations were introduced by the investigators of this study that
45
46 comprises of experts in psychiatry, cardiology, dentistry, otolaryngology, sociology,
47
48 pharmacy, family and population research, linguistics, public health, orthopaedics, and
49
50 ophthalmology. These measures have been validated in the local context[15, 25-42]. It also
51
52 comprises novel scales that will be used to test for validity in this sample. Table 1 provides an
53
54 overview of the measures to be collected.
55
56
57

58 **Table 1** Outcome measures

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
-----------	------------------	-------

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
<i>Socio-demographic</i>		
Age	Age will be measured based on the date of birth stated on the National Registration Identification Card (NRIC) or Long-term visit pass.	1
Sex	Male or female stated on NRIC or visit pass.	1
Language Use	Measured by language of interview, languages participant is able to speak, and common language spoken at home.	1
Marital Status	Self-report of marital status; single, married, widowed or divorced/separated.	1
Ethnicity	Ethnicity as recorded in NRIC or self-report; categorised as Chinese, Malay, Indian or others.	1
Religion	Religion will be classified as Taoism/Buddhism, Christianity/Catholicism, Hinduism, Islam, or others. Participants will also be asked, "How important is your religion to you?" via a 4-point Likert scale response.	1
Citizenship	Based on citizenship recorded on NRIC or visit pass, which will be categorized into Singapore citizen, Permanent Resident (PR) or others. For PRs, previous citizenship and year of PR status will be collected.	1
Education	Measured by years of formal schooling and highest education level, which will be categorized as none, primary, secondary/technical education, pre-university/polytechnic, or university.	1
Employment status	Determined by self-reported employment status; categorised as retired, housewife, full-time, part-time, or self-employed. Participants will also be asked to state their previous and current occupation.	1
Living arrangement and family support	Questions from previous surveys centred on older adults and their children's living arrangement, and sources of support and care will be used[43].	1
Financial status	Financial status is determined by housing type, current gross personal monthly income, current gross household income, insurance coverage, and expenditure on medical expenses per month. Participants will also be asked if their income/allowances are adequate to cover their monthly expenses, reasons if it is not adequate and if their financial resources are adequate to meet their future needs. Various sources of support will be recorded, such as private savings, borrowing money from relatives/friends etc.	1
Spouse demographic	Spouse's age, ethnicity, education, citizenship, and employment will also be collected.	1
Medical history	The medical history of participants and their family will be collected using the self-reported questionnaire from the Diet and Health Aging study[5]. Medical conditions such as hypertension, stroke, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, cancer, cataracts, mental health illnesses and many more will be recorded.	1
<i>Biological factors</i>		
Body measures	Blood pressure, pulse rate, height, weight, neck circumference and abdominal girth will be measured. In addition, body mass index (BMI) will be calculated.	1
Visual function	Visual acuity will be measured using a standardized Tumbling E distance vision chart of 3-meters[44], while colour blindness is measured using the Ishihara 38-	1

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
Speech	<p>plate colour test[45]. To measure visual functioning, five items were selected from the original 25-item National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire[46]. One item was selected from five subscales on general vision, near vision, distance vision, social function and driving, and will be scored on a 3-point Likert scale (good, acceptable or poor).</p> <p>Participants will be asked to speak freely about their life story and experiences for 15 to 20 minutes using a language of their choice. Their speech will be recorded using an audio recorder and they will be instructed to remain anonymous in the recording.</p>	1
Functional status	<p>Barthel's Index of Activities of Daily Living[47] and Lawton's[48] Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scale (iADL) are common scales used in Singapore to assess older adults' ability to perform basic and complex self-care tasks independently[25]. This study adapts five items (bowels, bladder, grooming, toilet use and feeding) from the 10-item Barthel Index and the original 8-item iADL scale. Both scales are scored on a 3-point ordinal scale (independent, some help required or dependent).</p>	1
Medication use/adherence	<p>Participants will be asked to bring along any prescribed medication, supplements and/or over-the-counter medication. Name of medication, dosage form, dosing instructions, frequency of use, duration used, purpose and source of medication will be recorded.</p>	1
Physical fitness	<p>Physical fitness is determined by results from five tasks; hand grip test using a calibrated Jamar dynamometer[49], modified functional reach test[50], 30-seconds chair stand[51], timed up and go[52, 53], 6 meters fast gait speed test[54]. These tests will assess grip strength, balance, lower extremity strength, functional mobility and gait speed respectively. In addition, levels of physical activity will be measured by the 4-item international physical activity (short form) questionnaire[26, 55].</p>	2
Blood profile*	<p>23.5ml of blood will be obtained through venepuncture performed by certified nurses. 13.5ml of blood will be tested for general health markers; alkaline phosphatase, alanine aminotransferase, phosphate, calcium, uric acid, full blood count panel without erythrocyte sedimentation rate, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting blood glucose, glycated haemoglobin A1c, free thyroxine (T4), thyroid-stimulating hormone, thyroid peroxidase antibody, intact parathyroid hormone, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, sodium, potassium, chloride, urea, creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate. The remaining 10ml of blood will be used for near-term assays of candidate cardiovascular biomarkers that includes (but not limited to) N-terminal-proB- type natriuretic peptide, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin, growth differentiation factor-15 and ST2 protein.</p>	2/3/4/5
Olfactory status	<p>Using a recently developed olfactory test kit, participants will be tasked to smell nine locally developed scents (almond, lemon, orange, pineapple, banana, coconut, rose, cinnamon and mushroom). They will then be asked to identify the</p>	2/3/4/5

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
Oral health status	<p>scent and rate the intensity and pleasantness of the scent scored on a 5-point likert scale.</p> <p>Participants will receive intra-oral and extra-oral clinical examinations by three calibrated dentists. Similar to previous studies, the oral health examination includes examining and recording of oral mucosa status, periodontal status, tooth (coronal and root) status and treatment needs, tooth wear, occlusal contacts, and prosthodontic status[27, 28, 56]. In addition, oral samples (dental plaque and saliva) will be collected for DNA extraction and microbiome analyses. Specifically, supragingival plaque will be removed with sterile cotton pellets and dried prior to sampling of subgingival plaque. Full mouth subgingival plaque will be removed using a sterile curette and resuspended in a microcentrifuge tube containing 500 µl of sterile saline. Participants will then be asked to chew a paraffin gum to transfer bacteria from teeth to saliva and subsequently drool into a receptacle.</p>	5
Nutritional status	<p>The widely used Mini Nutritional Assessment – Short Form (MNA-SF) will be used to assess nutritional status[15, 57]. It consists of six items; appetite, weight loss, mobility, psychological stress or current illness, BMI and neuropsychological problems. Total weighted screening scores ranges from 0 to 14 points.</p>	5
Cardiovascular status	<p>Six non-invasive cardiovascular procedures will be performed; (1) echocardiography (ultrasound scanning) will be conducted to assess the morphology and function of the heart using a scanning transducer lightly applied to the chest, (2) echocardiography of the carotid and femoral arteries, and modified applanation tonometry at the radial artery of the wrist will also be used to determine carotid intima-media thickness and arterial stiffness properties, (3) skin autofluorescence scanning to detect dermal deposition of Advanced Glycation End Products (AGEs) will be measured by an AGE Reader SU device, which requires participants to place their forearm on the reader, (4) echocardiography of flow-mediated dilation (FMD) at the brachial artery will be conducted using a 10MHz linear array probe, steadied by a stereotactic clamp to image the brachial artery and position electronic tracking gates at the media-adventitia interface of opposing arterial walls as well as the use of the E20 rapid cuff inflator, to induce reactive hyperaemia by inflation of a pneumatic cuff placed around the participant's proximal forearm to a pressure of 50 mmHg above the systolic blood pressure for 5 minutes, (5) electrocardiogram (ECG) will also be performed so as to record the electrical activity of the participant's heart at resting state using electrodes with adhesive pads attached to the chest, arms and legs, and lastly (6) ambulatory ECG (Holter) monitoring will be conducted to detect arrhythmias, including atrial fibrillation, assess heart rate variability and heart rate complexity using a portable monitor attached by wires to electrode patches placed on the chest for 24 hours. During the 24-hour monitoring, participants will also be tasked to fill in a diary sheet (i.e., type of activities and</p>	6

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
	heart-related symptoms experienced) as accurately as possible. These cardiovascular procedures will adhere to strict local standards and reports will be reviewed by cardiologists.	
<i>Psychological factors</i>		
Psychiatric symptoms	Depressive and anxiety symptoms are assessed using the 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale[58], and the 20-item Geriatric Anxiety Inventory[59] respectively. Both scales have been validated in the local context and shown good psychometric properties in older adult populations[29, 30]. Participants with scores above the local cut-off point that signifies risk of depression and anxiety will undergo an assessment by a psychiatrist and referred for follow-up.	1
Lifestyle factors	Lifestyle factors is assessed by a previously developed lifestyle questionnaire[31]. In addition, participants will be asked about the type of leisure activity that have participated before and number of hours spent per week participating in; mindfulness, art work, exercise, social activities, musical activities or others (same as previous work[60]).	1
Perceived oral health and QoL	The 15-item Oral Health Attitudes Questionnaire[32] will assess attitudes to oral health, while oral health related quality of life will be assessed using the short form Oral Health Impact Profile – 14 items[61].	1
Subjective cognitive decline (SCD)	The 20-item Perceived Deficits Questionnaire (PDQ) is part of the Multiple Sclerosis Quality of Life Inventory that assesses self-perceived cognitive decline[62]. PDQ has also been used to measure SCD in a Singapore cohort[33].	1
Cognitive functioning	Measures of cognitive functioning include: (1) A locally modified and validated 30-point Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) with stratified education and ethnic cut-offs to assess global cognitive function[34, 35]; (2) the 5-point Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR;[63]) to measure subjective and/or informant complaints as well as cognitive and functional performance; (3) a previously validated neurocognitive battery[36, 60] assessing verbal and learning memory (Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test) attention and working memory (Digit Span Forward and Backward Task), divided attention and sequencing (Colour Trails Test), visual-spatial abilities (Wechsler's Block Design) and verbal fluency (Semantic Verbal Fluency – Animals); (4) Eight computerized language-independent cognitive tests of the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB, Cambridge, UK[64])	3
	will be administered: Motor Screening Task (sensorimotor), Paired Associates Learning – 12 patterns (visual memory and learning), Verbal Recognition Memory – immediate and delayed recall (verbal memory), Stockings of Cambridge (spatial planning), Emotion Recognition Test – short (social cognition), Rapid Information Visual Processing – 3 targets (sustained attention), Spatial Span – forward and reverse (visuospatial working memory), and Multitasking Test (executive function). Participants will be diagnosed as either cognitively normal, having mild cognitive impairment or dementia by a 3-member expert panel of psychiatrists who will review scores from the MMSE,	4**

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
Caregiver burden	CDR and neurocognitive battery based on Petersen's[65] mild cognitive impairment criteria and the DSM-V for dementia criteria[66]. The widely used 22-item Zarit Burden Interview[37, 67] will measure caregiver burden and will be conducted through a phone interview with participants' caregiver/family member.	3
Quality of life	Quality of life (QoL) will be determined by the 13-item World Health Organization QoL assessment for older adults (WHOQOL-AGE[68]) scored on a 5-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicating higher QoL.	2
Sleep quality	Sleep quality will be assessed by locally validated 19-item Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index[38, 69]. Used in both clinical and general population, the STOP-Bang questionnaire[39, 70] will also be used to screen for obstructive sleep apnea.	2
Life satisfaction	Life satisfaction will be measured by a 5-item Satisfaction With Life Scale[40, 71] scored on a 7-point Likert response scale as well as extended questions on nine different areas of life such as health, financial situation, community elderly service, government elderly policy, friendships, spouse, children, leisure activities and current work status.	2
Perceived health state	Perceived health state will be assessed by EQ-5D-3L that was developed by EuroQol Group[41, 72]; (1) the EQ-5D descriptive system that measures five dimensions of health (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression) and (2) the EQ visual analogue scale where participants indicate their health state ranging from 0 (worse imaginable health) to 100 (best imaginable health).	2
Attitudes to ageing	The 24-item Attitudes to Ageing Questionnaire[73] will be used to measure older adults' attitudes to the ageing process using a 5-point Likert scale.	2
Gratitude	Dispositional gratitude will be determined by the 6-item Gratitude Questionnaire[74] scored on a 7-point Likert scale (strongly disagree to strongly agree).	2
Compassion	The 10-item Compassion Scale[75] is used to measure five dimensions of compassion: generosity, hospitality, objectivity, sensitivity and tolerance across social networks and relationships using a 1 (none) to 7 (all) response scale.	2
<i>Social factors</i>		
Parenting style	A self-developed 13-item Personal and Parents' Parenting Style Scale[42] is used to examine the relationship between participants' parenting strategies and how they were parented as children, scored on a 1 (Never) to 5 (Always) scale.	1
Social connectedness	Social connectedness/isolation will be measured by the 6-item Friendship Scale[21, 76] scored on a 5-point Likert Scale, whereby higher scores indicate higher level of connectedness ($\alpha = 0.83$).	2
Perceived Social support	Perceived social support is determined by a self-developed scale that consists of an open-ended question ("How many close friends/relatives do you have?") and 7 items on perceived social support scored on a 5-point Likert scale.	2
Intergenerational communication	The Perceptions of Intergenerational Communication Scale[77] will be used to measure perceived communication between generations scored on a 7-point	2

Variables	Instrument/scale	Visit
Likert-type scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree).		

* Venipuncture procedure will be scheduled in conjunction with another visit

** Available to the first 300 literate participants only as the test was added in at a later stage of the study.

Data storage and analysis

Participants will be assigned a subject identification number (SID). Their corresponding data including questionnaire responses, audio recording, assessment records and biological samples will be kept anonymous and coded with the same assigned SID for consistency. All hardcopy data responses will be checked by two personnel to minimize missing data. Hardcopy coded data collected will be entered and stored on a standalone computer and softcopy data will be password protected. Furthermore, all hardcopy data will be stored in a designated secured and locked space, accessible only to selected personnel.

In general, demographic variables will be presented as descriptive summaries such as mean±SD, median, percentages for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables. Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses will be conducted to determine associations between continuous outcomes; logistic regressions will be used for dichotomous outcomes. Specifically, group differences (between cognitive diagnoses or self-reported medical conditions) will be analysed using independent-samples t-tests and analysis of variance (ANOVAs). Relationships between physical and mental health, psychosocial and demographic variables will be analysed using multiple regressions and structural equation models. In addition, mixture models will be used to identify subgroups of participants based on their psychosocial, physical and mental health characteristics. Prediction modelling will also be attempted utilizing the new covariates under study (e.g., attitudes to ageing and intergenerational influence). P values of <0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

1
2
3 Ethics approval from the National University of Singapore Institutional Review Board
4 (NUS-IRB Reference code: H-17-047) was obtained on 12 October 2017. The CHI study will
5
6 be conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Practice and adhere to the
7
8 Human Biomedical Research Act which provides a legal framework for researchers in
9
10 Singapore to conduct research and the use of human tissue[78].
11
12
13

14
15 Written informed consent will be obtained from all participants after objectives and
16
17 procedures of the research are fully explained to them by a member of the research team.
18
19 Participants will also be informed that they can withdraw from the research at any time
20
21 without giving any reasons. In addition, participants will be given the option of (1) providing
22
23 their coded human biological materials and data for use in future research, (2) being recorded
24
25 for the free flow speech segment, (3) being contacted for future intervention studies,
26
27 incidental findings, changes to the research and follow-up appointment for memory concerns.
28
29 Participants with dementia will also be asked to invite their legally acceptable representatives
30
31 to the consent-taking process and data collection. The research team will ascertain that any
32
33 persons making a decision on behalf of the participant with dementia, acts in the best interest
34
35 of the participant and takes into account of the participant's wishes and feelings.
36
37
38
39

40 Results from the cohort study will be disseminated by publication of peer-reviewed
41
42 manuscripts, presentations at scientific meetings and/or conferences with local stakeholders.
43
44 The researchers may also communicate aggregated results to members of the public and
45
46 clinical professionals through ad hoc meetings/events or mass media releases.
47
48

49 **DISCUSSION**

50
51 Using a cmRCT design, the CHI study seeks to explore vulnerability and resiliency
52
53 factors associated with ageing with subsequent clinical trials of interventions and community
54
55 programs that could potentially hold translational significance. The study intends to recruit a
56
57 thousand older adults and collect a comprehensive set of biological, psychological and social
58
59
60

1
2
3 data. Meaningful associations between outcomes measures found will provide significant
4
5 information on the physical and mental health of older adults in Singapore. Results will also
6
7 help identify at-risk groups of older adults and test out subsequent interventions targeted at
8
9 improving health outcomes. In addition, having an interdisciplinary team of investigators
10
11 enables the introduction of in-depth and novel health assessments such as oral examination,
12
13 cardiovascular investigations, olfactory test and speech analysis. Given the limited sample
14
15 size and cost considerations, this study excluded genetic and other in-depth measures (e.g.,
16
17 neuroimaging and Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5) which could have added value to
18
19 findings. Moreover, several ageing cohort studies in Singapore[79-81] have previously
20
21 collected the above-mentioned data; hence due to limited resources, these measures were
22
23 excluded in favour of other novel measures. Recruitment of participants in a confined area
24
25 may also affect generalisability of results. Nevertheless, the CHI cohort is culturally relevant
26
27 and will provide clinicians, researchers, and policy makers with information on improving the
28
29 physical and mental health of older adults in Singapore.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Presbyterian Community Services for their valuable support. The authors would also like to thank the research team made up of research assistants (Mr Jonathan Wong, Ms Tan Xin Yi, Mr Jonathan Louis Chia, Ms Petrina Quek, Ms Madeline Han, Ms Khor Ting Fang, Ms Savannah Siew, Ms Amanda Phoa, Ms Lim Xin Ying and Ms Yap Ai Che), dental practitioners (A/Prof Wong Mun Loke, A/Prof Tan Kai Soo, Dr Lee Yun Hui, Dr Tan Mei Na, Dr Rakhi Mittal), nurses (Ms Ng Siew Yee and Ms Adeline Teo) and sonographers (Ms Gong Lingli, Ms Hazliza Hazli and Ms Josephine Berbos Lunaria) that contributed to the execution of the Community Health and Intergenerational Study. Special thanks to Prof A. Mark Richards (NUS Cardiovascular Research Institute) for the laboratory support provided as well as Dr Cao Luwen for her transcription work and inputs (audio recordings).

Contributors

RM, KEH and IR made significant contribution to the conception of the study and implementation of the protocol. FL, PFA, LLH, BZ, WDY, TLL, YKZ, FQ, LJK, LCT and TN participated in the design of the study. RM initiated and conducted the study with a team of researchers (including PFA, LLH & RZYL), and is the primary author of the manuscript. RM, RZYL and YJ prepared the first draft of the manuscript. RM, YJ, RZYL, BZ and PFA made revisions to the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

The CHI Study is a research project under the National University of Singapore Mind-Science Centre (NUS MSC) and is funded by donation grants: (1) Hong Kong and Shanghai Bank Corporation grant for community projects, and (2) funding from Kwan Im Thong Hood Cho Temple for NUS MSC's Dementia Prevention Program.

1
2
3 **Competing interests**
4

5 None.
6

7
8 **Ethics approval**
9

10 This study is approved by National University of Singapore Institutional Review Board,
11
12 reference number H-17-047.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

References

- 1 World Health Organisation. Ageing and health. [Accessed on October 2015]
Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health>
- 2 Department of Statistics, Singapore. Population trends: Ageing population 2019
[Accessed on October 2019] Retrieved from <https://www.singstat.gov.sg/find-data/search-by-theme/population/population-and-population-structure/visualising-data/population-trends>
- 3 Heng DM, Lee J, Chew SK, et al. Incidence of ischaemic heart disease and stroke in Chinese, Malays and Indians in Singapore: Singapore Cardiovascular Cohort Study. *Ann Acad Med Singap*2000;29:231-36.
- 4 Subramaniam M, Chong AA, Vaingankar J, et al. Prevalence of dementia in people aged 60 years and above: results from the WiSE study. *J Alzheimers Dis*2015;45(4):1127-38 doi:10.3233/JAD-142769 [published Online First: 13 April 2015].
- 5 Chan SP, Yong PZ, Sun Y, et al. Associations of long-term tea consumption with depressive and anxiety symptoms in community-living elderly: findings from the diet and healthy aging study, *J Prev Alzheimers Dis*2018;5(1):21-25
doi:10.14283/jpad.2017.20
- 6 Ng TP, Feng L, Niti M, et al. Tea consumption and cognitive impairment and decline in older Chinese adults. *Am J Clin Nutr*2008;88(1):224-31 doi:10.1093/ajcn/88.1.224 [published Online First: 1 July 2008].
- 7 Ng TP, Broekman BFP, Niti M, et al. Determinants of successful aging using a multidimensional definition among Chinese elderly in Singapore. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*2009;17(5):407-16 doi:10.1097/JGP.0b013e31819a808e

- 1
2
3 8 Engel GL. The clinical application of the biopsychosocial model. *Am J*
4 *Psychiatry*1980;137(5):535-44 doi:10.1176/ajp.137.5.535 [published Online First: 1
5
6 Apr 2006].
7
8
9
- 10 9 Merchant RA, Chen MZ, Tan L, et al. Singapore Healthy Older People Everyday
11 (HOPE) Study: prevalence of frailty and associated factors in older adults. *J Am Med*
12 *Dir Assoc*2017;18(8):734.e9-734.e14 doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2017.04.020 [published
13
14 Online First: 13 Jun 2017].
15
16
17
18
- 19 10 Ellis KA, Bush AI, Darby D, et al.; AIBL Research Group. The Australian Imaging,
20 Biomarkers and Lifestyle (AIBL) study of aging: methodology and baseline
21 characteristics of 1112 individuals recruited for a longitudinal study of Alzheimer's
22 disease. *Int Psychogeriatr*2009;21(4):672-687 doi: 10.1017/S1041610209009405
23
24 [published Online First: 01 Aug 2009].
25
26
27
28
29
- 30 11 Petersen RC, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, et al. Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging
31 Initiative (ADNI): clinical characterization. *Neurology*2010;74(3):201-209 doi:
32
33 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181cb3e25 [published Online First: 30 Dec 2009].
34
35
36
37
38
- 39 12 Seow LSE, Subramaniam M, Abdin E, et al. Hypertension and its associated risks
40 among Singapore elderly residential population. *Journal of Clinical Gerontology and*
41 *Geriatrics*2015;6(4):125-132 doi:10.1016/j.jcgg.2015.05.002 [published Online First:
42
43 15 Jun 2015].
44
45
46
47
- 48 13 Teh WL, Abdin E, Vaingankar JA, et al. Prevalence of stroke, risk factors, disability
49 and care needs in older adults in Singapore: results from the WiSE study. *BMJ*
50 *Open*2018;8(3):e020285 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020285
51
52
53
54
- 55 14 Ramsay SE, Papachristou E, Watt RG, et al. Influence of poor oral health on physical
56 frailty: a population-based cohort study of older British men. *J Am Geriatr*
57 *Soc*2018;66:473-79 doi:10.1111/jgs.15175 [published Online First: 20 Dec 2017].
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 15 Wei K, Nyunt MS, Gao Q, et al. Frailty and malnutrition: Related and distinct
4
5 syndrome prevalence and association among community-dwelling older adults:
6
7 Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Studies. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*2017;18(12):1019-1028.
8
9 doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2017.06.017. [published Online First: 10 Aug 2017].
10
11
12 16 Ng TP, Feng L, Nyunt MS, et al. Metabolic syndrome and the risk of mild cognitive
13
14 impairment and progression to Dementia: follow-up of the Singapore Longitudinal
15
16 Ageing Study Cohort. *JAMA Neurol*2016;73(4):456-63 doi:
17
18 10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.4899.
19
20
21 17 Lee TS, Quek SY, Goh SJ, et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial using EEG-based
22
23 brain-computer interface training for a Chinese-speaking group of healthy elderly.
24
25 *Clin Interv Aging*2015;10:217-27 doi:10.2147/CIA.S73955.
26
27
28 18 Feng L, Cheah IK, Ng MM, et al. The association between mushroom consumption
29
30 and mild cognitive impairment: a community-based cross-sectional study in
31
32 Singapore. *J Alzheimers Dis*2019;68(1):197-203 doi:10.3233/JAD-180959.
33
34
35 19 Mahendran R, Gandhi M, Moorakonda RB, et al. Art therapy is associated with
36
37 sustained improvement in cognitive function in the elderly with mild neurocognitive
38
39 disorder: Findings from a pilot randomized controlled trial for art therapy and music
40
41 reminiscence activity versus usual care. *Trials*2018;19(1):615 doi:10.1186/s13063-
42
43 018-2988-6
44
45
46 20 Klainin-Yobas P, Kowitlawakul Y, Lopez V, et al. The effects of mindfulness and
47
48 health education programs on the emotional state and cognitive function of elderly
49
50 individuals with mild cognitive impairment: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin*
51
52 *Neurosci*2019;68:211-217 doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2019.05.031 [published Online First: 11
53
54 Jul 2019]
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 21 Chan HY, Ho RC, Mahendran R, et al. Effects of horticultural therapy on elderly'
4 health: protocol of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Geriatr*2017;17(1):192
5
6 doi:10.1186/s12877-017-0588-z
7
8
9
10 22 Relton C, Torgerson D, O’Cathain A, et al. Rethinking pragmatic RCTs: introducing
11 the ‘cohort multiple RCT’ design. *BMJ*2010;340:c1066 doi:10.1136/bmj.c1066
12
13
14 23 Viksveen P, Relton C, Nicholl J. Benefits and challenges of using the cohort multiple
15 randomised controlled trial design for testing an intervention for depression.
16
17 *Trials*2017;18(1):308 doi:10.1186/s13063-017-2059-4.
18
19
20
21 24 Department of Statistics, Singapore. Population trends 2017 [PDF file accessed on
22 October 2019]. Retrieved from [https://www.singstat.gov.sg/-](https://www.singstat.gov.sg/-/media/files/publications/population/population2017.pdf)
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 25 Feng L, Nyunt MSZ, Gao Q, et al. Cognitive Frailty and Adverse Health Outcomes:
30 Findings From the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Studies (SLAS). *Journal of the*
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38 26 Nang EEK, Gitau Ngunjiri SA, Wu Y, et al. Validity of the international physical
39 activity questionnaire and the Singapore prospective study program physical activity
40 questionnaire in a multiethnic urban Asian population. *BMC Med Res*
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48 27 Tan MN, Nair R, Ngo DY, et al. Oral health status and complete denture status of
49 independent-living Singaporean elderly residing in a community home. *Singapore*
50
51
52
53
54 28 Thean HP, Wong ML, Koh GC, et al. Oral health status and treatment needs of
55 elderly residents in a Singapore nursing home. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*2009;38(3),
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 29 Nyunt MS, Fones C, Niti M, et al. Criterion-based validity and reliability of the
4
5 Geriatric Depression Screening Scale (GDS-15) in a large validation sample of
6
7 community-living Asian older adults. *Aging Ment Health*2009;13(3):376-82
8
9 doi:10.1080/13607860902861027.
10
11
12 30 Yan Y, Xin T, Wang D, et al. Application of the Geriatric Anxiety Inventory-Chinese
13
14 Version (GAI-CV) to older people in Beijing communities. *Int*
15
16 *Psychogeriatr*2014;26(3):517-23 doi:10.1017/S1041610213002007 [published Online
17
18 First: 20 Nov 2013].
19
20
21 31 Yu J, Collinson SL, Liew TM, et al. Super-cognition in aging: cognitive profiles and
22
23 associated lifestyle factors. *Appl Neuropsychol Adult*2019;22:1-7.
24
25 doi:10.1080/23279095.2019.1570928 [published Online First: 22 Feb 2019].
26
27
28 32 Balan P, He HG, Cao F, et al. Oral health in pregnant Chinese women in Singapore: a
29
30 call to go beyond the traditional clinical care. *Healthcare*2018;6(3):77
31
32 doi:10.3390/healthcare6030077
33
34
35 33 Liew TM, Yap P, Ng TP, et al. Symptom clusters of subjective cognitive decline
36
37 amongst cognitively normal older persons and their utilities in predicting objective
38
39 cognitive performance: structural equation modelling. *Eur J Neuro* 2019;26(9):1153-
40
41 1160 doi:10.1111/ene.13958. Epub 2019 Apr 30.
42
43
44 34 Feng L, Chong MS, Lim WS, et al. The Modified Mini-Mental State Examination
45
46 test: normative data for Singapore Chinese older adults and its performance in
47
48 detecting early cognitive impairment. *Singapore Med J*2012;53(7):458–62.
49
50
51 35 Ng TP, Niti M, Chiam PC, et al. Ethnic and educational differences in cognitive test
52
53 performance on Mini-Mental State Examination in Asians. *Am J Geriatr*
54
55 *Psychiatry*2007;15(2):130–139 doi:10.1097/01.JGP.0000235710.17450.9a
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 36 Lee CKY, Collinson SL, Feng L, et al. Preliminary normative neuropsychological
4 data for an elderly Chinese population. *The Clinical*
5
6 *Neuropsychologist*2012;26(2):321-334 doi:10.1080/13854046.2011.652180
7
8
9
10 37 Seng BK, Luo N, Ng WY, et al. Validity and reliability of the Zarit Burden Interview
11 in assessing caregiving burden. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*2010;39(10), 758–763.
12
13
14 38 Tsai PS, Wang SY, Wang MY, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the Chinese version
15 of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (CPSQI) in primary insomnia and control
16 subjects. *Qual Life Res*2005;14(8):1943–52 doi:10.1007/s11136-005-4346-x
17
18
19 39 Tan A, Yin JDC, Tan LWL, et al. Predicting obstructive sleep apnea using the STOP-
20 Bang questionnaire in the general population. *Sleep Medicine*2016;27-28:66-61
21 doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2016.06.034 [published Online First: 27 Oct 2016].
22
23
24 40 Park N, Peterson C, Ruch W. Orientations to happiness and life satisfaction in twenty-
25 seven nations. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*2009;4(4):273-
26 279 doi: 10.1080/17439760902933690
27
28
29 41 Gao F, Ng GY, Cheung YB, et al. The Singapore English and Chinese version of the
30 EQ-5D achieved measurement equivalence in cancer patients. *J Clin*
31 *Epidemiol*2009;62:206–13 doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2008.03.007 [published Online First:
32 10 July 2008].
33
34
35 42 Lim HA, Mahendran R, Feng L, et al. Intergenerational transmission of parenting
36 styles of the Chinese living in Singapore. *Psychreg Journal of Psychology*2016;1(1):
37 20-39.
38
39
40 43 Thang LL, Lim, E. Seniors Living Alone in Singapore. A Report by Fei Yue
41 Community Services. 2012 [accessed on October 2019] Retrieved from
42 <https://www.fyccs.org/our-work/research/Singapore>
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 44 Taylor HR. Applying new design principles to the construction of an illiterate E chart.
4
5 *Am J Optom Physiol Opt*1978;55(5):348-51 doi:10.1097/00006324-197805000-00008
6
7
8 45 Ishihara S. Tests for Color-blindness, Handaya Tokyo: Hongo Harukicho 1917.
9
10 46 Mangione CM, Lee PP, Gutierrez PR, et al. Development of the 25-item National Eye
11
12 Institute Visual Function Questionnaire. *Arch Ophthalmol*2001;119(7):1050-8
13
14 doi:10.1001/archopht.119.7.1050
15
16
17 47 Mahoney FI, Barthel DW. Functional evaluation: The Barthel Index. *Md State Med*
18
19 *J*1965;14:61-65.
20
21
22 48 Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and
23
24 instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist*1969;9(3):179-86.
25
26
27 49 Bechtol CO. Grip test: the use of a dynamometer with adjustable handle spacings. *J*
28
29 *Bone Joint Surg Am*1954;36-A(4):820-4.
30
31
32 50 Katz-Leurer M, Fisher I, Neeb M, et al. Reliability and validity of the modified
33
34 functional reach test at the sub-acute stage poststroke. *Disabil*
35
36 *Rehabil*2009;31(3):243-8 doi:10.1080/09638280801927830.
37
38
39 51 Rikli RE, Jones CJ. Development and validation of a functional fitness test for
40
41 community-residing older adults. *Journal of Aging & Physical Activity*1999;7(2):129.
42
43
44 52 Mathias S, Nayak US, Isaacs B. Balance in the elderly patient: the 'get-up and go'
45
46 test. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*1986;67(6):387-9.
47
48
49 53 Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "Up and Go": a test of basic functional
50
51 mobility for frail elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc*1991;39(2):142-8
52
53 doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1991.tb01616.x
54
55
56 54 Middleton A, Fritz SL, Lusardi M. Walking speed: the functional vital sign. *J Aging*
57
58 *Phys Act*2015;23(2):314-22 doi:10.1123/japa.2013-0236 [published Online First: 2
59
60 May 2014].

- 1
2
3 55 Booth M. Assessment of physical activity: an international perspective. *Res Q Exerc*
4 *Sport*2000;71(2 Suppl):S114-20 doi:10.1080/02701367.2000.11082794.
5
6
7
8 56 Steele JG, Treasure ET, O'Sullivan I, et al. Adult Dental Health Survey 2009:
9 transformations in British oral health 1968–2009. *Br Dent J*2012;213(10):523-7
10 doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2012.1067.
11
12
13
14 57 Rubenstein LZ, Harker JO, Salvà A, et al. Screening for undernutrition in geriatric
15 practice: developing the short-form Mini-Nutritional Assessment (MNA-SF). *J*
16 *Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*2001;56(6):M366-72 doi:10.1093/gerona/56.6.m366
17
18
19
20 58 Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric
21 depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res*1982;17(1):37–49
22 doi:10.1016/0022-3956(82)90033-4
23
24
25
26
27 59 Pachana NA, Byrne GJ, Siddle H, et al. Development and validation of the Geriatric
28 Anxiety Inventory. *Int Psychogeriatr*2007 ;19(1):103-14
29 doi:10.1017/S1041610206003504
30
31
32
33
34 60 Lee R, Wong J, Wong LS, et al. Art therapy for the prevention of cognitive decline.
35 *The Arts in Psychotherapy*2019;64:20-2 doi:10.1016/j.aip.2018.12.003 [published
36 Online First: 10 Dec 2018].
37
38
39
40
41 61 Slade GD. Derivation and validation of a short-form oral health impact profile.
42 *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*1997;25(4):284-90 doi:10.1111/j.1600-
43 0528.1997.tb00941.x [published Online First: 29 May 2006].
44
45
46
47 62 Sullivan M, Edgley K, DeHousx E. A survey of multiple sclerosis, part 1: perceived
48 cognitive problems and compensatory strategy use. *Can J Rehabil*1990;4:99–105.
49
50
51
52 63 Morris JC. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): Current version and scoring rules.
53 *Neurology*1993;43(11):2412–14 doi:10.1212/wnl.43.11.2412-a
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- 64 Luciana M, Nelson CA. Assessment of neuropsychological function through use of the Cambridge Neuropsychological Testing Automated Battery: performance in 4- to 12-year-old children. *Dev Neuropsychol*2002;22(3):595–624
doi:10.1207/S15326942DN2203_3
- 65 Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med*2004;256(3):183–194 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01388.x
- 66 American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic And Statistical Manual Of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Arlington: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- 67 Zarit SH, Zarit JM. Instructions For The Burden Interview. University Park: Pennsylvania State University: 1987.
- 68 Caballero FF, Miret M, Power M, et al. Validation of an instrument to evaluate quality of life in the aging population: WHOQOL-AGE. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*2013;11:177 doi:10.1186/1477-7525-11-177.
- 69 Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, et al. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res*1989;28(2):193–213 doi:10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4
- 70 Chung F, Yegneswaran B, Liao P, et al. STOP questionnaire: a tool to screen patients for obstructive sleep apnea. *Anesthesiology*2008;108(5):812–21.
doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e31816d83e4
- 71 Diener E, Emmons RA, Larsen RJ, et al. The satisfaction with life scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment*1985;49(1):71-75 doi:10.1207/s15327752jpa4901_13
[published Online First: 10 Jun 2010].
- 72 EuroQol Group. EuroQol—a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. *Health Policy*1990;16(3):199–208.

- 1
2
3 73 Laidlaw K, Power MJ, Schmidt S. The attitudes to ageing questionnaire (AAQ):
4
5 development and psychometric properties. *International Journal of Geriatric*
6
7 *Psychiatry*2007;22:367-379 doi:10.1002/gps.1683 [published Online First: 18
8
9 October 2006].
10
11
12 74 McCullough ME, Emmons RA, Tsang JA. The grateful disposition: a conceptual and
13
14 empirical topography. *J Per Soc Psychol*2002;82:112-127 doi:10.1037//0022-
15
16 3514.82.1.112
17
18 75 Martins D, Nicholas NA, Shaheen M, et al. The development and evaluation of a
19
20 compassion scale. *J Health Care Poor Undeserved*2013;24(3):1235-46
21
22 doi:10.1353/hpu.2013.0148
23
24 76 Hawthorne G. Measuring social isolation in older adults: development and initial
25
26 validation of the friendship scale. *Soc Indic Res*2006;77:521-48 doi:10.1007/s11205-
27
28 005-7746-7
29
30 77 Williams A, Ota H, Giles H, et al. Young people's beliefs about intergenerational
31
32 communication: an initial cross-cultural analysis. *Communication*
33
34 *Research*1997;24(4):370-393 doi:10.1177/009365097024004003
35
36
37 78 Singapore Statutes Online. Human Biomedical Research Act 2015. [Accessed on
38
39 October 2019] Retrieved from <https://sso.agc.gov.sg/Act/HBRA2015>
40
41
42 79 Niti M, Yap, KB, Kua EH, et al. Physical, social and productive leisure activities,
43
44 cognitive decline and interaction with APOE-ε4 genotype in Chinese older adults.
45
46 *International Psychogeriatrics*2008;20(2):237-251 doi:10.1017/S1041610207006655
47
48
49 80 Chai YL, Yeo HK, Wang J, et al. Apolipoprotein ε4 is associated with dementia and
50
51 cognitive impairment predominantly due to Alzheimer's disease and not with vascular
52
53 cognitive impairment: a singapore-based cohort. *Journal of Alzheimer's*
54
55 *Disease*2016;51(4):1111-18 doi:10.3233/JAD-150902
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 81 Feng L. Ageing in a Community Environment Study (ACES) Cohort. In: Pachana
4
5 N, eds. Encyclopedia of Geropsychology. Singapore: Springer 2017:233-238
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

STROBE 2007 (v4) checklist of items to be included in reports of observational studies in epidemiology*
Checklist for cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies (combined)

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1 & 3
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	1-2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses	3
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	7
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	7-9
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	8
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	N.A.
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	10-15 (Table 1)
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	10-15 (Table 1)
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	N.A.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	8
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	N.A.
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	15
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	15
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	15
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	15

		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Nil
Results			N.A. (Protocol paper)
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	-
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	-
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	-
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	-
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	-
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	-
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	-
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	-
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	-
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	-
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	-
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	-
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	-
Discussion			N.A. (Protocol paper)
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.