

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Awareness and Preferences for End-of-Life Care: A Study Protocol for a Survey of Chinese Frail Elderly Home Residents in Hong Kong

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-033862
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Aug-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Yan, Bo; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Chau, Patsy PH; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Xu, Xinyi; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Takemura, Naomi; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Cheung, Yee Tak Derek; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Chan, Felix HW; University of Hong Kong, Department of Medicine Lin, Chia-Chin; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing
Keywords:	End-of-Life Care, Frail, Advance Directive, Survey, Chinese, Advance Care Planning

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 Awareness and Preferences for End-of-Life Care: A Study Protocol for a Survey of Chinese Frail
4
5 Elderly Home Residents in Hong Kong
6
7
8
9
10

11 Yan, Bo¹,
12
13 Chau, Patsy PH¹,
14
15 Xu, Xinyi¹,
16
17 Takemura, Naomi¹,
18
19 Cheung, Derek YT¹,
20
21 Chan, Felix HW²,
22
23 Lin, Chia-Chin¹ *
24
25

26
27 ¹ School of Nursing, The University of Hong Kong, HKSAR

28
29 ² Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, HKSAR
30
31

32
33 * Corresponding email: cclin@hku.hk
34
35

36
37 Mail: 4/F William Mong Bldg, School of Nursing, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

38
39 Office phone: +852-3917-6600; Fax: +852-2872-6079
40
41

42
43
44
45 Word count: 3,585
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Existing literature on attitudes toward End-of-Life Care (EoLC) covers the general public but has little information on the frail elderly population. The aim of the current study is to investigate awareness and preferences with respect to EoLC among Chinese frail elderly home residents by conducting cross-sectional surveys via face-to-face interviews.

Methods and analysis: Surveys including a resident version and a family version were developed based on the existing literature and our pilot interviews. The targeted participants are 400 frail elderly home residents (aged ≥ 65 years old) and 200 family caregivers as matched with elderly respondents. Purposive sampling will be used as each elderly home will help to recruit five to fifteen elderly participants for the study. Descriptive analysis and modeling will be used to examine awareness, preferences, and related factors, as well as to compare resident responses with those of their family caregivers.

Ethics and dissemination: The cross-sectional survey has obtained IRB approval. Confidentiality and safety issues will be carefully observed. The results of the study will be disseminated through international conferences, peer-reviewed academic journal publications, and a report in plain language to be shared with partner elderly homes.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Survey versions were developed to inquire into the attitudes of both the frail elderly and family caregivers.
- For the first time, elderly attitudes on EoLC surveys cover all main areas of Hong Kong.
- The cross-sectional design of this study cannot conclude causality.

INTRODUCTION

The population of Hong Kong (HK) ranks top in life expectancy around the globe, and the percentage of its ageing population continues to rise.[1] The government officially projects that the percentage of elderly residents aged 65 and above will keep growing from over one million to 2.61 million in 2058 (36% of the HK population).[1, 2] This is much higher than the global estimate of the elderly population (21.1% percent in 2050).[3] At present, the demands for residential elderly care services in HK remain overwhelming. Though a total of 32,418 places were offered in Residential Care Homes for Elderly (RCHE), as reported by the Social Welfare Department of HK in March 2018, the June 2018 update of the central waiting list contains 39,209 applicants for subsidized residential care facilities.[4, 5] The average waiting time to enter a residential care setting is 24 months.[6]

Due to priority consideration for waitlisted applicants, frailty is one of the primary characteristics taken into account for elderly being admitted to RCHE settings. By definition, frailty refers to the vulnerable state in which there is an increased risk of hospitalization, deterioration in functioning, and mortality.[7] Additionally, there is a significant interaction effect reported between frailty and depression among the HK elderly in terms of their subjective health.[8] Prevalence of depression among the elderly Chinese of HK is reported to be the highest (12%) in long-term care institutions as compared to other residential settings, as reported in a study with a total sample of 56,000.[9] According to their cohort study findings, depressive symptoms independently predicted the risk of suicide. Therefore, it is critically important to study the attitudes of institutionalized elderly people with high frailty status toward their end-of-life care (EoLC).

1
2
3
4
5
6 EoLC attitudes have attracted increasing attention in peer-reviewed journals.[1, 10, 11]
7
8 Studies demonstrated that the general public awareness of Advance Directives (AD) was low.[1,
9
10 10, 11] Moreover, a recent systematic review[12] that summarized EoLC studies in HK in the
11
12 past decade reported low awareness of Advanced Care Planning (ACP) among frail elderly
13
14 people. Potential barriers to research include the challenges of engaging elderly homes given that
15
16 service providers are motivated to protect their residents and may hesitate before to aid
17
18 researchers. The frail elderly are particularly under studied and thus their voices have not been
19
20 sufficiently heard among academics, politicians, and a wider public audience. Our study will
21
22 help to bridge this knowledge gap. Even though we found the most recent report on prevalence
23
24 of general public preferences regarding EoLC and place of death[1, 13] these results were
25
26 generated from telephone landline surveys, which did not reach the frail elderly population living
27
28 in RCHE. The percentage of their studied participants who answered the brief home-phone
29
30 interview calls may not represent the views of elderly people on these sensitive topics. Face-to-
31
32 face interviews with the frail elderly will help to gain a better understanding of their attitudes.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 Meanwhile, it is urgent to update our knowledge about the region as the only studies on
43
44 EoLC among elderly home residents in HK were conducted roughly 10 years ago.[6, 12, 14] The
45
46 influential factors for their preferences hypothesized from existing literature enables us to
47
48 explore additional possible predictors. The socio-economic predictors of higher awareness of
49
50 EoLC and preference to die outside of hospitals were clearly lacking in previous studies.[14] The
51
52 present study will use a comprehensive design to explore the under-researched topic of EoLC
53
54 preferences of a neglected population: the frail elderly.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Understanding this population is necessary in order to provide better quality of elderly care
7 and to develop new services for unmet needs based on existing system strengths, particularly in
8 facing the rapid ageing trend. This is consistent with the World Health Organization's (WHO)
9 initiative to build an age-friendly environment.[3] The need to enhance quality of dying is
10 recognized in Hong Kong. Before developing new services, the first step is to understand the
11 preferences of the public, especially elderly people. The current study could lend support for
12 feasible possibilities of future intervention research. In addition, our comprehensive survey
13 includes important variables such as depression, pain, Activities of Daily Living (ADL), suicidal
14 ideation, and frailty, which will definitely enrich the research area.[2, 6-15] The implications
15 from these findings will help the government to improve the allocation of resources in
16 developing EoLC services. Researchers will be able to further identify future directions for
17 public education and other types of intervention to meet the EoLC needs of HK's frail elderly
18 citizens.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Within the family context, caregivers' attitudes are also crucial, as they play a significant role
41 in future decision making in the case that an elderly family member loses the capacity to make
42 decisions on their own and does not have an AD. A recent systematic review by Wang and Chan
43 shows[12] that family caregivers in HK have been an insufficiently studied population in
44 research. The dyad questionnaire in the current study is designed to match the caregiver's
45 understanding/expectation of the elderly family member's preference and the elderly's own wish
46 as expressed to research staff directly. It aims to be elderly-centered. Referring to a recent dyad
47 study,[16] agreement between caregiver's expectation and the elderly's own wish is low or at
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 best moderate. Caregiver rating on uncertainty is high. Families often find making EoLC
4 decisions very stressful. Those with problematic family dynamics tend to opt for aggressive
5 treatment.[17, 18] Their findings highlight the importance of communication rather than
6 assuming the families know what the patients want regarding their EoLC. It is unknown whether
7 the family situation of HK's frail elderly is similar to previous research elsewhere or not. Thus,
8 further investigation of this in our analysis to compare the perspectives is worthwhile.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Research questions**

- 22
23
24 i) What is the level of awareness of terms such as living will, EoLC, and life-sustaining treatment
25 in Hong Kong among the frail elderly and their family caregivers?
26
27
28 ii) What is the elderly's personal exposure to such terms?
29
30
31 iii) What are their preferences with regard to AD, ACP, EoLC, and place of death?
32
33
34 iv) Are there any demographic and personal features related to preference and level of
35 awareness?
36
37
38 v) Is there any relationship between the elderly's expression of preferences and their caregiver's
39 expectation?
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Outcomes**

- 49
50
51 i) Primary outcome: The frail elderly preferred place of death.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 ii) Secondary outcomes: The frail elderly's level of awareness and preferences with regard to
4 AD, ACP, and EoLC; the elderly and their family caregivers' dyad agreement on elderly's
5 preferences, and family caregivers' awareness of these terms.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

13 **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

14
15 We did not directly include Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) in this study; however, the
16 survey was developed after consultation with public representatives such as experienced staff
17 members who work with the target population. The revision of the survey content based on pilot
18 responses took their comments into account.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 The inclusion criteria for the frail elderly participants are as follows:

- 31
32 i) At least 65 years of age;
33
34 ii) Chinese in HK homes who can speak and understand either Cantonese or Mandarin;
35
36 iii) Pre-frail or frail (rating 1-5 on FRAIL)
37
38
39
40

41 The exclusion criteria for frail elderly participants are:

- 42 i) Cognitive impairment (scoring 5 or below on the Abbreviated Mental Test [AMT]).[25]
43
44

45 To screen for ineligibility due to cognitive impairment, the Chinese AMT will be used.
46
47
48

- 49 ii) Communication problems due to functional impairment (for example, deafness, aphasia)
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 The inclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are as follows
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 i) HK Chinese adults (18 years of age or above) who can speak and understand Cantonese or
4
5 Mandarin.
6
7

8 The exclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are:
9

10
11 (i) Communication problems due to functional (deafness, aphasia) or intellectual impairment.
12
13

14
15
16
17 A structured questionnaire (one version for the frail elderly and the other version their family
18 caregivers) has been developed based on the objectives of the current study and with reference to
19 recent publication on similar topics.[1, 10, 11] Additional scales were integrated to design this
20 comprehensive survey study. The PI and the Co-Is ascertained face validity based on literature
21 and research experience in the area. The Chinese questionnaires have been viewed by a panel of
22 six Hong Kong local experts, including one geriatric doctor, one clinical psychologist, two
23 nurses, and two social workers. The first elderly questionnaire has been pilot tested on one site
24 with a sample of 12 elderly Chinese to test for feasibility. We then revised the survey in
25 preparation for the main study. After that the pilot test, the experts were invited to judge the
26 content validity of the questions for the second time as the questionnaires were developed. The
27 content validity index was calculated as excellent (CVI/AVR = 0.87 for elderly and 0.98 for
28 caregiver survey).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The Abbreviated Mental Test (AMT) and FRAIL screening tools will be applied to select our
50 participants. The interview for the frail elderly will be conducted if they are deemed eligible. The
51 questionnaire contains seven parts: (A) Demographics and functioning (personal profile); (B)
52 Healthcare experiences and attitude; (C) Expectations of EoLC, (D) Advanced Care Planning
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 experience and attitude; (E) Advance Directives awareness, experience, and attitude (F) Ideal
4 terminal/death environment (preferences on places of care and eventual death); and (G) Quality
5 of Life.
6
7
8
9

10
11 (A) Demographic information including age, sex, educational level, marital status, religion,
12 financial source, type of nursing home, family members, previous living arrangement, self-
13 reported health and pain severity, and bereavement experience, etc., will be collected. To assess
14 daily functioning, frailty, depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation, we will use the following
15 respective scales, 10-item Barthel Index on ADL,[8] 5-item FRAIL,[19-21] Geriatric Depression
16 Scale GDS-4, and a single-item suicidal ideation assessment.[22] If the family and the staff in
17 residential care settings give permission for such information to be released, we would obtain the
18 most recent records from their files. The records must be up-to-date within the past 6 months. If
19 not, we will conduct our own collection.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 (B) The participants will be asked to share their experience of and attitude toward life
33 sustaining treatment and previous hospitalization according to questions we have devised.
34
35
36

37 (C) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on decision making with regard to
38 life-sustaining treatment in a hypothetical dying scenario, and their attitudes on quality of life at
39 the end of life. The hypothetical dying scenario will be described in one phrase as “becoming
40 seriously ill but with no cure available” for themselves.
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 (D) The participants will be asked about their awareness and experience or participation in
48 ACP, their attitudes and preferred communication with others, as well as their reasons for ACP.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (E) Participants will be asked about their awareness of a living will and AD, and about their
4 process in formulating their written preferences on medical decisions and AD. The reasons
5 behind their choices and the persons who introduced these topics to them will also be explored.
6
7

8
9
10 (F) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on their hypothetical dying situation,
11 i.e., the ideal places of their terminal care and death. They will be asked about their preferences
12 regarding dying alone or having certain persons around them during their own eventual death.
13
14

15
16 (G) The McGill Quality of Life (M-QoL-HK) questionnaire will be used to measure Quality
17 of Life among HK adults. Through a ten-point Likert Scale of 17 items, M-QoL measures four
18 dimensions, including physical health, psychological/mental health, spiritual wellbeing, and
19 overall quality of life. The items in M-QoL are considered applicable to both elderly, palliative
20 care patients, and caregivers by authors in the validated context of Hong Kong.[23]
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 The questionnaire for family caregivers contains eight parts: (A) Their relationship with the
34 elderly; (B) Healthcare experiences and attitudes, and preferences for EoLC; (C) Expectations of
35 EoLC, (D) Advanced Care Planning experiences and attitudes; (E) awareness, experience, and
36 attitudes toward Advance Directives (F) Ideal terminal/dying situations (preferences with respect
37 to places of care and eventual death); (G) Quality of Life; and (H) Supplementary information on
38 demographics, financial support for caregiving, bereavement experience, and self-rated health
39 (personal profile). The contents are similar to the elderly survey version with slight changes in
40 wording to include an item that measures the caregivers' understanding of the elderly's own
41 perspective. Most of the questions are close-ended, while there are open-ended options for the
42 caregivers to express their own opinions.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 For both the elderly and family surveys, there is a small ending section where interview staff
7
8 can write their observation notes on respondents' emotions. Interviewers may also mark any
9
10 words such as 'euthanasia' or 'hastened death' if these are mentioned during the
11
12 conversations.[24]
13
14
15

16
17
18
19 Completion time for the interview is estimated to be 30-45 minutes. The trained research
20
21 assistants will read out and explain all survey items one by one to the respondents to ensure the
22
23 quality of the data collection. Furthermore, the respondents are not allowed to change their
24
25 answer once the questions have been answered.
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 A pilot questionnaire has been completed on one site of a sample of 12 in an elderly home
33
34 prior to the main study. Feasibility of the survey content was assessed. During our pilot survey
35
36 interviews, we found the majority (10 out of 12 participants) who agreed to join the study were
37
38 happy or felt it was acceptable to discuss EoLC issues with us, while the remaining 2 expressed
39
40 discomfort or refused to continue in the middle of the conversation. Similarly, existing literature
41
42 shows that elderly people in Hong Kong facilities are willing to talk about issues related to death
43
44 and dying.[6] Most of the participants (11 out of 12) in our pilot were considered as belonging to
45
46 either the category of Pre-frail or Frail. This offers our survey the advantage of gaining first-hand
47
48 knowledge about the elderly's preferences and experiences. Furthermore, we plan to share our
49
50 findings in the form of an explanation after the interview so that participants know where to
51
52 obtain further details as needed. This survey study itself can increase public awareness of EoLC
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 among the elderly. In the pilot studies, only one participant out of the 12 elderly had heard of AD
4 and ACP before our interviews. This low level of awareness is consistent with the report of
5
6 earlier surveys, where 96% never heard of AD in Chu's study and 85.7% in Chung's study. [1,
7
8
9
10 13]

11
12
13
14
15
16 We plan to partner with 32 elderly homes with an average of 12 residents from each home.
17
18 Under the most conservative scenario (assuming the true proportion is 50%), a sample of 384
19
20 elderly residents will be required to give a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of people
21
22 who would prefer dying in elderly homes, with a margin of error of 5%. To cater for inter-
23
24 correlation within residents in the same home, we assume ICC to be 0.05. We will expect to
25
26 interview 400 elderly in order to collect their responses to the survey. Assuming that around half
27
28 of these elderly people will have family caregivers to join the study, 200 family caregivers will
29
30 be interviewed.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Using a purposive sampling method, we plan to select elderly homes in all main regions of
39
40 HK (Hong Kong Island, Kowloon, and the New Territories) by sending out invitations via mail
41
42 and by convenient professional networks. The managers of the elderly care homes will be
43
44 approached via phone calls and asked permission to let us interview their elderly residents.
45
46
47 Written invitations will be sent to family caregivers with requests for information release of their
48
49 elderly relatives. The elderly residents in the homes will be invited in person to participate in
50
51 face-to-face survey interviews. The family caregivers can call to schedule caregiver interviews
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(also optional) with our research staff at a time and place convenient to both as mutually agreed.
Each matching pair will be included in our pool of studied dyads.

The facility staff will introduce us to the elderly candidates. There will be no monetary incentives offered to either the participants or the agencies involved. During the recruitment process, the research assistant (RA) will explain the study to the potential participants either in a private room (bedside/chairside) or in a corner of a common room to protect privacy. After seeking oral or implicit consent from residents to participate, the RA will administer the AMT and the five-item Frailty scale to screen out ineligible subjects based on our exclusion criteria. The Co-I or the RA will then conduct a face-to-face individual interview with the participant using our designed questionnaire. Trained RAs will collect data during the interviews on either paper or tablet form, depending on the interviewer and Internet availability. Participants therefore have the convenient option to fill out the surveys on their mobile smartphones or tablets.[26] Paper-based surveys will serve as a backup option. Missing items will be noted as 999 automatically in the database. IBM SPSS version 25 and Mplus will be used for the statistical analyses.

Descriptive analysis will first be conducted on demographics. Response frequencies for each measure will be tabulated and the corresponding proportions will be calculated by dividing the frequency by the number of respondents. We plan to apply Logistic Regression Analysis to investigate independent predictors of our outcomes of interests, for example, the participants'

1
2
3 preference to die in elderly residential homes. The associations of sociodemographic
4 characteristics, frailty, depression, and functioning status with the outcomes will be analyzed.
5
6
7
8
9

10
11 We will apply Kappa Statistics to examine paired results between the frail elderly and their
12 family caregivers. Preferences for life sustaining treatment will be expressed in 3x2 tables and
13 described in terms of percentage agreement and the kappa coefficient. The level of agreement
14 will be described using indices such as ‘agreement index’ developed in literature on treatment
15 preferences. Where a caregiver could accurately interpret an elderly person’s preference in LST,
16 whether that was for active treatment, against LST, or uncertain, they will gain a score of one.
17 Thus, we will use a total score of 0 (no ability) through 8 (full ability) to know or estimate the
18 family members’ preferences in treatment for elderly residents who were at terminal stages from
19 survey hypothetical scenarios. Combined agreement scores from all matched pairs of family
20 caregivers and elderly respondents will represent overall agreement in the survey sample.
21 Finally, we plan to calculate the association between elderly participants and family caregivers’
22 characteristics and agreement scores through multiple linear regression.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

44
45 The cross-sectional survey has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the
46 University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (IRB Reference number:
47 UW 18-443). To respect the principles of human dignity and voluntary participation in the study,
48 a comprehensive verbal explanation and a comprehensive information sheet will be provided to
49 the participants (family caregivers and the frail elderly) during the recruitment period, prior to
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the commencement of data collection. The purpose and procedure of the study as well as the
4 risks and benefits of participation will be explained. Respondents will be encouraged to clarify
5 any queries related to the study with the research staff. Oral consent will be obtained from
6 elderly participants before commencing the individual interviews. For their family members,
7 respondents can opt for either oral or written consent. Participation will be entirely voluntary,
8 and respondents will be informed of their rights to withdraw or refuse to give information at any
9 time without incurring penalties or deprivation of services.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 There is a chance that these interviews may cause some stress for elderly participants beyond
24 what is typical in their casual daily conversations given that as the survey covers death-related
25 content. In the pilot interviews, signs of stress appeared occasionally as expected. Participants
26 were asked whether they wanted to continue or not, and then their wishes were respected.
27 Similarly, in the future interviews during the proposed study, the survey process will be paused
28 in cases when participants are willing to continue despite stress to reduce potential harm to
29 participants. The RA and the Co-I will closely observe the reactions of the elderly participants.
30 Additionally, relaxation techniques will be taught to the elderly participants on site as needed.
31 This will be documented in our survey and referred to staff for appropriate follow-up. Moreover,
32 positive answers to the item on suicidal ideation item and those with depression symptoms at the
33 cutoff score of 2 or above will be brought to attention of the elderly home staff.[23]
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The results of the proposed cross-sectional study will be reported with reference to the
50 international statement in the STrengthening the Reporting of OBServational studies in
51 Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist for cross-sectional studies.[27] The findings will be
52 disseminated through international conference presentations and peer-reviewed academic journal
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 publications. Meanwhile, a report in plain language will be shared with our partner elderly
4 homes. The evidence gathered from this study has the potential to benefit research on EoLC both
5 locally and internationally, and to further inform practitioners on the desires and the EoLC needs
6 of the elderly and their caregivers. It is very important to share the knowledge that we gain on
7 the preferences of frail elderly with professionals in healthcare sectors, such as physicians, direct
8 service staff, and the larger community. Understanding their wishes can be a great step towards
9 developing better quality EoLC.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

23
24
25 CL, PC, and BY conceptualized the survey design. BY and PC drafted the manuscript protocol.
26
27 BY prepared the IRB application and the amendment with collaborative input. BY and XX
28 conducted pilot survey interviews. All the authors contributed to the development of the survey
29 with revisions and approved the final version of the manuscript.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **FUNDING STATEMENT**

39
40
41 The current research is supported by the Enhanced New Staff Start-up Research Grant by the
42 University of Hong Kong (HKD 380,000 for three years commencing November 01, 2018).
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT**

50
51
52 The authors have no competing interests to declare.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

REFERENCES

1. Chung RY, Wong EL, Kiang N, *et al.* Knowledge, Attitudes, and Preferences of Advance Decisions, End-of-Life Care, and Place of Care and Death in Hong Kong. A Population-Based Telephone Survey of 1067 Adults. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017;18: 367.e19-367.e27.
2. Policies and measures on elderly care services. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Council Business Division 2; 2016 Jan. 14p. Paper No.: CB(2)631/15-16(01).
3. Measuring the Age-friendliness of Cities: A Guide to Use Core Indicators. World Health Organization (Japan): WHO Kobe Centre; 2015. 128p. ISBN: 97892415.
4. Overview of Residential Care Services for the Elderly. Social Welfare Department (Hong Kong): Social Welfare Department; 2018.
5. Statistics on waiting list for subsidised residential care services for the elderly. Social Welfare Department (Hong Kong): Social Welfare Department; 2018. 2p.
6. Chan HY, Pang SM. Quality of life concerns and end-of-life care preferences of aged persons in long-term care facilities. *J Clin Nurs* 2007;16: 2158-66.
7. Morley JE, Haren MT, Rolland Y, *et al.* Frailty. *Med Clin North Am* 2006;90: 837-47.
8. Lau BH, Kwan JS, Cheung KS, *et al.* Depression Moderates the Frailty-Subjective Health Link among Chinese Near Centenarians and Centenarians. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2016;24: 753-61.
9. Sun WJ, Xu L, Chan WM, *et al.* Depressive symptoms and suicide in 56,000 older Chinese: a Hong Kong cohort study. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2012;47: 505-14.
10. Tu SW. Advance Care Planning in Elderly Residents of Long-term Care Facilities and Its Related Factors [master's thesis]. Taipei Medical University, Taiwan; 2016.
11. Ni P, Zhou J, Wang ZX, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among nursing home residents in Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15: 751-6.
12. Wang CW, Chan CL. End-of-life care research in Hong Kong: A systematic review of peer-reviewed publications. *Palliat Support Care* 2015;13: 1711-20.
13. Chu LW, Luk JL, Hui E, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among Chinese nursing home residents in Hong Kong. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2011;12:143-52.
14. Ting FH, Mok E. Advance directives and life-sustaining treatment: attitudes of Hong Kong Chinese elders with chronic disease. *Hong Kong Med J* 2011;17: 105-11.

15. Yu R, Wong M, Chang B, *et al.* Trends in activities of daily living disability in a large sample of community-dwelling Chinese older adults in Hong Kong: an age-period-cohort analysis. *BMJ Open* 2016;6: e013259.
16. Harrison DK, King M, Jones L, *et al.* Advance Care Planning in dementia: do family carers know the treatment preferences of people with early dementia? *PLoS ONE* 2016;11: e0159056.
17. Russ AJ, Kaufman SR. Family Perceptions of Prognosis, Silence, and the “Suddenness” of Death. *Cult Med Psychiatry* 2005;29: 103-23.
18. Winter L, Parks SM. Family discord and proxy decision makers' end-of-life treatment decisions. *J Palliat Med* 2008;11: 1109-14.
19. Woo J, Yu R, Wong M, *et al.* Frailty Screening in the Community Using the FRAIL Scale. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16: 412-9.
20. Tseng MY, Tu SY, Lin CC, *et al.* A study on the frailty status among elderly in a long-term care facility. *J Mei Ho University* 2015;31:1.
21. Collin C, Wade DT, Davies S, *et al.* The Barthel ADL Index: a reliability study. *Int Disabil Stud* 1988;10:61-3.
22. Cheng ST, Yu EC, Lee SY, *et al.* The geriatric depression scale as a screening tool for depression and suicide ideation: A replication and extension. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010; 18: 256-65.
23. Lo RS, Woo J, Zhoc KC, *et al.* Cross-cultural validation of the McGill Quality of Life questionnaire in Hong Kong Chinese. *Palliat Med* 2001;15: 387-97.
24. Wang YC, Lin CC. Spiritual well-being may reduce the negative impacts of cancer symptoms on the Quality of Life and the desire for hastened death in terminally ill cancer patients. *Cancer Nurs* 2016; 39:43-50.
25. Chu LW, Pei KW, Ho MH, *et al.* Validation of the Abbreviated Mental Test (Hong Kong version) in the elderly medical patient. *Hong Kong Med J* 1995;1:207-11.
26. Couper MP. Is the sky falling? New technology, changing media, and the future of surveys. *Surv Res Methods* 2013;7: 145-56.
27. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, *et al.* The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;370(9596):1453-7.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Preferences for End-of-Life Care: A Study Protocol for a Cross-sectional Survey of Chinese Frail Elderly Home Residents in Hong Kong

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-033862.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Dec-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Yan, Bo; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Xu, Xinyi; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Chau, Patsy PH; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Takemura, Naomi; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Cheung, Yee Tak Derek; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Chan, Felix HW; University of Hong Kong, Department of Medicine Lin, Chia-Chin; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing
Primary Subject Heading:	Nursing
Secondary Subject Heading:	Geriatric medicine
Keywords:	End-of-Life Care, Frail, Advance Directive, Survey, Chinese, Advance Care Planning

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 Preferences for End-of-Life Care: A Study Protocol for a Cross-sectional Survey of Chinese
4
5 Frail Elderly Home Residents in Hong Kong
6
7
8
9
10

11 Yan, Bo¹,
12 Xu, Xinyi¹,
13 Chau, Patsy PH¹,
14 Takemura, Naomi¹,
15 Cheung, Derek YT¹,
16 Chan, Felix HW²,
17 Lin, Chia-Chin¹ *
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 ¹ School of Nursing, The University of Hong Kong, HKSAR

27 ² Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, HKSAR
28
29
30
31
32

33 * Corresponding email: cclin@hku.hk
34
35

36 Mail: 4/F William Mong Bldg, School of Nursing, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong
37
38

39 Office phone: +852-3917-6600; Fax: +852-2872-6079
40
41
42
43
44

45 Word count: 3,597
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Existing literature on attitudes toward end-of-life care (EoLC) covers the general public but has little information on the frail elderly population. The aim of the current study is to investigate the preferences of Chinese frail elderly home residents with respect to EoLC by conducting cross-sectional surveys.

Methods and analysis: Surveys, including resident and family versions, were developed based on the existing literature and our pilot interviews. The targeted participants were 400 frail elderly home residents (aged ≥ 65 years old) and 200 family caregivers. Purposive sampling will be used as each elderly home will help to recruit 5 to 15 elderly participants for the study. Descriptive analysis and modelling will be used to examine preferences on EoLC and related factors, as well as to compare the responses of elderly home residents with those of their family caregivers.

Ethics and dissemination: The cross-sectional survey has obtained IRB approval. Confidentiality and safety issues will be carefully observed. The results of the study will be disseminated through international conferences, peer-reviewed academic journal publications, and a report in plain language to be shared with partner elderly homes.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Survey versions were developed to inquire into the preference of both the frail elderly home residents and family caregivers.
- For the first time, surveys on elderly attitudes towards EoLC cover all main areas of Hong Kong.
- The cross-sectional design of this study cannot conclude causality.

INTRODUCTION

End-of-life care (EoLC) attitudes have increasingly attracted attention in peer-reviewed journals.[1-4] The literature indicates that EoLC for terminally ill patients can include many challenges, for example, access to services, quality of care, awareness, attitudes, communication, and decision making.[4] Advance care planning (ACP) and advance directives (ADs) have been promoted in order to discover patient attitudes towards their EoLC,[5] with the benevolent intention that these processes help to honour their wishes or preferences for a good death. An AD is one of the potential outcomes of participation in ACP. Both ADs and ACP involve the patients' understanding of life-sustaining treatment (LST).[6] Several studies have demonstrated that the awareness of AD is low in the Chinese populations.[1-3, 5] Moreover, a recent systematic review summarised 107 EoLC studies in Hong Kong in the past decade reported a primary focus on AD rather than ACP.[4] Studies of Chinese family attitudes towards ACP present mixed findings according to Lee's review in 2014.[5] Therefore, our study aims to investigate EoLC preferences and relevant factors, such as ACP and AD awareness, preferences concerning LST, decision-making, and preferred place of death, by conducting original surveys with frail elderly home residents and their family caregivers.

By definition, frailty refers to a vulnerable state in which there is an increased risk of hospitalisation, deterioration in functioning, and mortality.[7] Frail elderly people are particularly understudied, and thus their voices have rarely been heard by academics, politicians, or the wider public. Though we found the most recent report on the prevalence of Hong Kong general public preferences regarding EoLC and preferred place of death,[1, 8] these results were generated from telephone landline surveys. The public participants who joined the brief phone interview may not represent the views of frail elderly home residents on these sensitive topics.

1
2
3 The landline phone calls could not reach the frail elderly population living in residential care
4 homes for the elderly (RCHEs), including nursing homes, care and attention homes, and other
5 types of homes that provide comprehensive care for dependent people.[9] Our study will help to
6
7
8 bridge this knowledge gap. Face-to-face interviews with frail elderly home residents will help to
9
10
11
12 gain a better understanding of their attitudes.
13
14

15 The present study is significant as the population of Hong Kong ranks top in life
16
17 expectancy around the globe, and the percentage of its ageing population is rising.[1, 9] The
18
19 government officially projects that the percentage of elderly residents aged 65 and above will
20
21 continue to grow from over one million to 2.61 million in 2058 (36% of the Hong Kong
22
23 population).[1, 10] This is much higher than estimates of the global elderly population (21.1%
24
25 percent in 2050).[11] At present, the demands for residential elderly care services in Hong Kong
26
27 remain overwhelming, with average waiting time as long as 24 months for admission.[12] Due to
28
29 priority considerations, frailty is one of the primary characteristics taken into account for elderly
30
31 being admitted to Hong Kong RCHEs.[9] Therefore, it is critically important to study the elderly
32
33 home residents with pre-frail or frail statuses as they are most likely to receive EoLC in
34
35 residential homes.[9]
36
37
38
39
40

41 Meanwhile, knowledge about the region must be urgently updated as the only studies on
42
43 EoLC among elderly home residents in Hong Kong were conducted roughly ten years ago.[4, 6,
44
45 12] Hypothesising those factors that influence their preferences from the existing literature
46
47 enables us to explore additional possible predictors. The present study will use a comprehensive
48
49 design to explore the under-researched topic of EoLC preferences of an understudied population:
50
51 frail elderly home residents. Within families, caregivers' attitudes are also crucial, as they play a
52
53 significant role as surrogate decision-makers in the future circumstance of an elderly family
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 member, who does not have an AD or ACP, losing the capacity to make decisions on their own.
4
5 Families often find making EoLC decisions very stressful.[13, 14] The systematic review by
6
7 Wang and Chan shows that family caregivers in Hong Kong have also been an insufficiently
8
9 studied population.[4] Thus, it is worth further investigation of caregiver's attitudes, and so we
10
11 include this comparative perspective in our analysis. This is consistent with the World Health
12
13 Organization's initiative to build an age-friendly environment.[11] To enhance quality of EoLC
14
15 in RCHEs, our survey findings will be a helpful reference to further identifying some directions
16
17 for public education and other interventions in order to meet the needs of Hong Kong's frail
18
19 elderly home residents.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Research questions**

29 30 Phase 1: Survey of elderly home residents

31
32
33 What is the level of awareness of such terms as living will, AD, or ACP in Hong Kong among
34
35 the frail elderly, and what are their preferences with regard to ACP, decision-making, LST, AD,
36
37 EoLC, and preferred place of death?
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Phase 2: Family caregiver survey

45
46
47 What is the level of awareness of such terms such as living will, AD, or ACP in Hong Kong
48
49 among family caregivers, and what are their attitudes of elderly family members' preferences?
50
51
52
53
54

55 **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

Design

Prior to the main cross-sectional study, a pilot questionnaire has been completed on one site with a sample of 12 participants in an RCHE. The feasibility of the survey content was assessed. During our pilot survey interviews, we found the majority (10 out of 12) of participants who agreed to join the study were happy or felt it was acceptable to discuss EoLC issues with us, while the remaining two elderly lost interest or refused to continue in the middle of the interviews. Withdrawal was respected. Afterwards, no severe distress was reported or observed. Similarly, the existing literature shows that elderly people in Hong Kong facilities are willing to talk about issues related to death and dying.[12] This offers our survey the advantage of gaining first-hand knowledge about the elderly's preferences and experiences. In the pilot, only one participant out of the 12 elderly had heard of AD or ACP before our interviews. This low level of awareness is consistent with earlier surveys that reported that 96% and 85.7% of people surveyed had never heard of AD.[1,8]

We conducted four phone interviews with family caregivers as a pilot for the family version of the survey. Three of the four interviews were completed, but the only dropout occurred in the middle when she suspected that our interview was actually an attempt to sell something. Feasibility and acceptance of our survey appears high among frail elderly home residents and family caregivers in Hong Kong. We have made modifications to the version for the elderly to shorten the questionnaire to reduce burden of participation. We have added explanations of ACP and AD in plain language, so that it is easier for lay participants to understand. We have provided more choices on why participants do or do not want to consider initiating ACP or an AD based on pilot findings.

Outcomes

- i) Phase 1 Primary outcome: Preferences of the frail elderly on ACP.
- ii) Phase 1 Secondary outcomes: The frail elderly's level of awareness and preferences with regard to LST, decision-making, AD, preferred place of death, and quality of life.
- iii) Phase 2 Primary outcome: Level of agreement between Family caregivers' preferences and those of elderly's on ACP for elderly home residents.
- iv) Phase 2 Secondary outcomes: Family caregivers' awareness of these terms and preferences with regard to LST, decision-making, ACP, AD, and preferred place of death.

Participants

Phase 1 Frail elderly home residents

The inclusion criteria for the frail elderly participants are as follows:

- i) At least 65 years of age;
- ii) Chinese in elderly homes who can speak and understand either Cantonese or Mandarin;
- iii) Either pre-frail or frail status (rating 1-5 on FRAIL).[15]

The exclusion criteria for frail elderly participants are:

- i) Cognitive impairment (scoring five or below on the abbreviated mental test [AMT]).[16]

To screen for ineligibility due to cognitive impairment, the Chinese AMT will be used.

- 1
2
3 ii) Communication problems due to functional impairment (for example, deafness or
4 aphasia).
5
6

7
8
9 Phase 2 Family caregivers

10
11 The inclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are as follows

- 12
13
14 i) Hong Kong Chinese adults (18 years of age or above) who can speak and understand
15 Cantonese or Mandarin.
16
17

18
19 The exclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are:

- 20
21 (i) Communication problems due to functional (deafness or aphasia) or intellectual impairment.
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 **Recruitment**

30
31 We plan to partner with 32 local elderly homes with an average of 12 residents from each home.
32
33 Under the most conservative scenario (assuming the true proportion is 50%), a sample of 384
34 elderly residents will be required to give a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of people
35 who would prefer to have communication on ACP with a margin of error of 5%. To cater for
36 inter-correlation within residents in the same home, we assume ICC to be 0.05. We will expect to
37 interview 400 elderly in order to collect their responses to the survey in the first phase. Assuming
38 that around half of these elderly people will have family caregivers willing to join the study, 200
39 family caregivers will be interviewed in the second phase.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 Using a purposive sampling method, we plan to select elderly homes in all main regions
52 of Hong Kong (Hong Kong Island, Kowloon, and the New Territories) by sending out invitations
53 via mail and by convenient professional networks. The managers of the elderly care homes will
54
55
56
57
58
59

1
2
3 be approached via phone calls and asked permission to let us interview their elderly residents.

4
5 The elderly residents in the homes will be invited in person to participate in face-to-face survey
6
7 interviews. The family caregivers can choose to join caregiver interviews via either face-to-face
8
9 or via phone survey with our research staff at a time convenient as mutually agreed.
10
11
12

13 The facility staff will introduce us to the elderly candidates. There will be no monetary
14 incentives offered to either the participants or the agencies involved. During the recruitment
15 process, the research assistant (RA) will explain the study to the potential participants either in a
16 private room (bedside/chairside) or in a corner of a common room to protect privacy. After
17 seeking oral consent from residents to participate, the RA will administer the AMT and the five-
18 item Frailty scale to screen out ineligible ones based on our exclusion criteria. The RA will then
19 conduct a face-to-face individual interview with the participant using our designed questionnaire.
20 Trained RAs will collect data during the interviews on either paper or tablet form, depending on
21 the Internet availability. Participants therefore have the convenient option to fill out the surveys
22 on their mobile smartphones or tablets. Paper-based surveys will serve as a backup option.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Survey interviews**

41
42 A structured questionnaire (one version for the frail elderly and the other version for their family
43 caregivers) has been developed based on the objectives of the current study and with reference to
44 recent publication on similar topics.[1-3] Additional scales were integrated to design this
45 comprehensive survey study. The authors ascertained face validity based on literature and
46 research experience in the area. The Chinese questionnaires have been viewed by a panel of six
47
48 Hong Kong local experts, including one geriatric doctor, one clinical psychologist, two nurses,
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and two social workers. The first elderly questionnaire has been pilot tested on one site with a
4
5 sample of 12 elderly Chinese to test for feasibility. We then revised the survey in preparation for
6
7 the main study. After that the pilot test, the experts were invited to judge the content validity of
8
9 the questions for the second time as the questionnaires were developed. The content validity
10
11 index was calculated as excellent (CVI/AVR = 0.87 for elderly and 0.98 for caregiver survey).
12
13
14

15 Phase 1 Measurement

16
17
18 The AMT and FRAIL screening tools will be applied to select our participants. The
19
20 interview for the frail elderly will be conducted if they are deemed eligible. The questionnaire
21
22 contains seven parts: (A) Demographics and functioning (personal profile); (B) Healthcare
23
24 experiences and attitude; (C) Expectations of EoLC, (D) Advanced Care Planning experience
25
26 and attitude; (E) Advance Directives awareness, experience, and attitude (F) Ideal terminal/death
27
28 environment (preferences on places of care and eventual death); and (G) Quality of Life.
29
30
31

32
33 (A) Demographic information including age, sex, educational level, marital status, religion,
34
35 financial source, type of nursing home, family members, self-reported health and pain severity,
36
37 and bereavement experience, etc., will be collected. To assess daily functioning, frailty,
38
39 depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation, we will use the following respective scales, 10-item
40
41 Barthel Index on ADL,[17] 5-item FRAIL,[15] Geriatric Depression Scale GDS-4, and a single-
42
43 item suicidal ideation assessment.[18]
44
45
46

47 (B) The participants will be asked to share their experience of and attitude toward life
48
49 sustaining treatment and previous hospitalisation according to questions we have devised.
50
51

52 (C) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on decision making with regard to
53
54 LST in a hypothetical dying scenario, and their attitudes on quality of life at the end of life. The
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 hypothetical dying scenario will be described in one phrase as “becoming seriously ill but with
4 no cure available” for themselves.
5
6
7

8 (D) The participants will be asked about their awareness and experience or participation in
9 ACP, their attitudes and preferred communication with others, as well as their reasons for
10 rejecting the idea of ACP.
11
12
13

14 (E) Participants will be asked about their awareness of a living will and AD, and about their
15 current consideration in formulating their written preferences on medical decisions and AD. The
16 reasons behind their choices and the persons who introduced these topics to them will also be
17 explored.
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 (F) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on their hypothetical dying situation,
25 i.e., the ideal places of their terminal care and death. They will be asked about their preferences
26 regarding dying alone or having certain persons around them during their own eventual death.
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 (G) The McGill Quality of Life (M-QoL-HK) questionnaire will be used to measure Quality
34 of Life among Hong Kong adults. Through a ten-point Likert Scale of 17 items, M-QoL
35 measures four dimensions, including physical health, psychological/mental health, spiritual
36 wellbeing, and overall quality of life. The items in M-QoL are considered applicable to both
37 elderly, palliative care patients, and caregivers by authors in the validated context of Hong
38 Kong.[19]
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Phase 2 Measurement 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

1
2
3 The questionnaire for family caregivers contains eight parts: (A) Their relationship with the
4 elderly; (B) Healthcare experiences and attitudes, and preferences for EoLC; (C) Expectations of
5 EoLC, (D) Advanced Care Planning experiences and attitudes; (E) awareness, experience, and
6 attitudes toward Advance Directives (F) Ideal terminal/dying situations (preferences with respect
7 to places of care and eventual death); (G) Quality of Life; and (H) Supplementary information on
8 demographics, financial support for caregiving, bereavement experience, and self-rated health
9 (personal profile). The contents are similar to the elderly survey version with slight changes in
10 wording to include an item that measures the caregivers' understanding of the elderly's own
11 perspective. Most of the questions are close-ended, while there are open-ended questions for the
12 caregivers to express their own opinions.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 For both the elderly and family surveys, there is a small ending section where interview
28 staff can write their observation notes on respondents' emotions. Interviewers may also mark any
29 words such as 'euthanasia' or 'hastened death' if these are mentioned during the
30 conversations.[20]
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 Completion time for the interview is estimated to be 30-45 minutes. The RA will read out
38 and explain all survey items one by one to the respondents to ensure the quality of the data
39 collection. Furthermore, the respondents are not allowed to change their answer once the
40 questions have been answered.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) statement**

51
52 Potential barriers to study this population with PPI include the challenges of engaging the local
53 elderly home management given that service providers are motivated to protect their residents
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and may hesitate before to aid research, especially without formal ethical approval. The survey
4 was developed after consultation with public representatives such as experienced staff members
5 who work with the target population. We did not directly involve elderly at the initial stage of
6 this study as we did not have any pre-established partnership with local RCHEs. The revision of
7 the survey content based on pilot responses took the elderly participants' feedback into account.
8 We radically revised the survey content by deleting some awareness items, changing the wording
9 to make the current survey easier to use in formal study. More importantly, our plan to PPI
10 develops as sharing findings in plain language with elderly homes and the general public in
11 future. Their responses for our study findings will be invited, too.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Data Analysis**

29
30 IBM SPSS and Mplus will be used for the statistical analyses. Descriptive analysis will first be
31 conducted on demographics. Response frequencies for each measure will be tabulated and the
32 corresponding proportions will be calculated by dividing the frequency by the number of
33 respondents. We plan to apply Logistic Regression Analysis to investigate independent
34 predictors of our outcomes of interests, for example, the participants' preference to have
35 communication on ACP for their own terminal care. The associations of sociodemographic
36 characteristics, frailty, depression, and functioning status with the outcomes will be analysed.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 We will apply Kappa Statistics to examine paired results between the frail elderly and
48 their family caregivers. Preferences for life sustaining treatment will be expressed in 3x2 tables
49 and described in terms of percentage agreement and the kappa coefficient. The level of
50 agreement will be described using indices such as 'agreement index' developed in literature on
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 treatment preferences. Where a caregiver could accurately interpret an elderly participant's
4 preference in LST, whether that was for active treatment, against LST, or uncertain, they will
5 gain a score of one. Thus, we will use a total score of 0 (no ability) through 8 (full ability) to
6 know or estimate the family members' preferences in treatment for elderly residents who were at
7 terminal stages from survey hypothetical scenarios. Combined agreement scores from all
8 matched pairs of family caregivers and elderly respondents will represent overall agreement in
9 the survey sample. Finally, we plan to calculate the association between elderly participants and
10 family caregivers' characteristics and agreement scores through multiple linear regression.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

26
27
28 The cross-sectional survey has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the
29 University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (IRB Reference number:
30 UW 18-443). To respect the principles of human dignity and voluntary participation in the study,
31 a comprehensive verbal explanation and a comprehensive information sheet will be provided to
32 the participants (family caregivers and the frail elderly home residents) during the recruitment
33 period, prior to the commencement of data collection. The purpose and procedure of the study as
34 well as the risks and benefits of participation will be explained. Respondents will be encouraged
35 to clarify any queries related to the study with the research staff. Oral consent will be obtained
36 from elderly participants before commencing the individual interviews. For their family
37 members, respondents can opt for either oral or written consent. Participation will be entirely
38 voluntary, and respondents will be informed of their rights to withdraw or refuse to give
39 information at any time without incurring penalties or deprivation of services.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

There is a chance that these interviews may cause some stress for elderly participants beyond what is typical in their casual daily conversations given that as the survey covers death-related content. In the pilot interviews, signs of stress appeared occasionally as expected. Participants were asked whether they wanted to continue or not, and then their wishes were respected. Similarly, in the future interviews during the proposed study, the survey process will be paused in cases when participants are willing to continue despite stress to reduce potential harm to participants. The researchers will closely observe the reactions of the elderly participants. Additionally, relaxation techniques will be taught to the elderly participants on site as needed. This will be documented in our survey and referred to staff for appropriate follow-up. Moreover, positive answers to the item on suicidal ideation item and those with depression symptoms at the cut-off score of 2 or above will be brought to attention of the elderly home manager.[18]

31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The survey project has started in Nov. 1st, 2018 officially and aimed to complete by Oct. 30th, 2021. Our results of the proposed cross-sectional study will be reported with reference to the international statement in the STrengthening the Reporting of OBServational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist for cross-sectional studies.[21] The findings will be disseminated through international conference presentations and peer-reviewed academic journal publications. Meanwhile, a report in plain language will be shared with our partner elderly homes. The evidence gathered from this study has the potential to benefit research on EoLC both locally and internationally, and to further inform practitioners on the desires and the EoLC needs of the frail elderly and their caregivers. It is very important to share the knowledge that we gain on the preferences of frail elderly home residents with professionals in healthcare sectors, such as physicians, direct service staff, and the larger community. Understanding their wishes can be a

1
2
3 great step towards developing better quality EoLC for the 8% of Hong Kong elderly population
4 who reside in RCHEs.[22]
5
6
7
8
9

10 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

11
12
13 CL, PC, and BY conceptualized the survey design. BY and PC drafted the manuscript protocol.
14
15 BY prepared the IRB application and the amendment from collaborative input. BY and XX
16
17 conducted pilot survey interviews. BY and XX made the revision with CL. All the authors,
18
19 including BY, XX, PC, NT, DC, FC, and CL, contributed to the development of the survey
20
21 protocol and approved the final version of the manuscript.
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **FUNDING STATEMENT**

29
30
31 The current research is supported by the Enhanced New Staff Start-up Research Grant by the
32
33 University of Hong Kong (HKD 380,000 for three years commencing November 01, 2018).
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT**

41
42
43 The authors have no competing interests to declare.
44
45
46
47
48

49 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

50
51
52 We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

1. Chung RY, Wong EL, Kiang N, *et al.* Knowledge, Attitudes, and Preferences of Advance Decisions, End-of-Life Care, and Place of Care and Death in Hong Kong. A Population-Based Telephone Survey of 1067 Adults. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017;18:367.e19-27.
2. Tu SW. Advance Care Planning in Elderly Residents of Long-term Care Facilities and Its Related Factors [master's thesis]. Taipei Medical University, Taiwan; 2016.
3. Ni P, Zhou J, Wang ZX, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among nursing home residents in Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15:751-6.
4. Wang CW, Chan CL. End-of-life care research in Hong Kong: A systematic review of peer-reviewed publications. *Palliat Support Care* 2015;13:1711-20.
5. Lee MC, Hubderer KA, Kehl KA. A systematic review of advance directives and advance care planning in Chinese people from Eastern and Western cultures. *J Hosp Palliat Nurs* 2014;16(2):75-85.
6. Mok SB, Ting H, Lau KP. Advance directives and life-sustaining treatment: informed attitudes of Hong Kong Chinese elders with chronic disease. *J Nurs and Healthcare of Chronic Illness* 2010;2:313-9.
7. Morley JE, Haren MT, Rolland Y, *et al.* Frailty. *Med Clin North Am* 2006;90:837-47.
8. Chu LW, Luk JL, Hui E, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among Chinese nursing home residents in Hong Kong. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2011;12:143-52.
9. Luk KH, Chan HW. End-of-life care for advanced dementia patients in residential care home-a hong kong perspective. *Ann Palliat Med* 2018; 7(3):359-64.
10. Policies and measures on elderly care services. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Council Business Division 2; 2016 Jan 14. Paper No.:CB(2)631/15-16(01).
11. Measuring the Age-friendliness of Cities: A Guide to Use Core Indicators. World Health Organization (Japan): WHO Kobe Centre; 2015.128p. ISBN:97892415.
12. Chan HY, Pang SM. Quality of life concerns and end-of-life care preferences of aged persons in long-term care facilities. *J Clin Nurs* 2007;16:2158-66.
13. Russ AJ, Kaufman SR. Family Perceptions of Prognosis, Silence, and the "Suddenness" of Death. *Cult Med Psychiatry* 2005;29:103-23.
14. Winter L, Parks SM. Family discord and proxy decision makers' end-of-life treatment decisions. *J Palliat Med* 2008;11:1109-14.
15. Woo J, Yu R, Wong M, *et al.* Frailty Screening in the Community Using the FRAIL Scale. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16:412-9.
16. Chu LW, Pei KW, Ho MH, *et al.* Validation of the Abbreviated Mental Test (Hong Kong version) in the elderly medical patient. *Hong Kong Med J* 1995;1:207-11.

17. Collin C, Wade DT, Davies S, *et al.* The Barthel ADL Index: a reliability study. *Int Disabil Stud* 1988;10:61-3.
18. Cheng ST, Yu EC, Lee SY, *et al.* The geriatric depression scale as a screening tool for depression and suicide ideation: A replication and extension. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010;18:256-65.
19. Lo RS, Woo J, Zhoc KC, *et al.* Cross-cultural validation of the McGill Quality of Life questionnaire in Hong Kong Chinese. *Palliat Med* 2001;15:387-97.
20. Wang YC, Lin CC. Spiritual well-being may reduce the negative impacts of cancer symptoms on the Quality of Life and the desire for hastened death in terminally ill cancer patients. *Cancer Nurs* 2016;39:43-50.
21. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, *et al.* The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;370(9596):1453-7.
22. Statistical Highlights: Residential Care for the Elderly. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Information Services Division; 2017 Mar 14. ISSH22/16-17.

~~Awareness and~~ Preferences for End-of-Life Care: A Study Protocol for a [Cross-sectional](#) Survey
of Chinese Frail Elderly Home Residents in Hong Kong

Yan, Bo¹,

[Xu, Xinyi¹](#),

Chau, Patsy PH¹,

~~[Xu, Xinyi¹](#)~~,

Takemura, Naomi¹,

Cheung, Derek YT¹,

Chan, Felix HW²,

Lin, Chia-Chin¹ *

¹ School of Nursing, The University of Hong Kong, HKSAR

² Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, HKSAR

* Corresponding email: cclin@hku.hk

Mail: 4/F William Mong Bldg, School of Nursing, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

Office phone: +852-3917-6600; Fax: +852-2872-6079

Word count: [3,59873,585](#)

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Existing literature on attitudes toward End-of-Life Care (EoLC) covers the general public but has little information on the frail elderly population. The aim of the current study is to investigate ~~the awareness and~~ preferences of Chinese frail elderly home residents with respect to EoLC among ~~Chinese frail elderly home residents~~ by conducting cross-sectional surveys ~~via face-to-face interviews~~.

Methods and analysis: Surveys including ~~a resident version and a family versions~~ were developed based on the existing literature and our pilot interviews. The targeted participants are 400 frail elderly home residents (aged ≥ 65 years old) and 200 family caregivers ~~as matched with elderly respondents~~. Purposive sampling will be used as each elderly home will help to recruit five to fifteen elderly participants for the study. Descriptive analysis and modeling will be used to examine awareness, preferences on EoLC, and related factors, as well as to compare resident responses with those of their family caregivers.

Ethics and dissemination: The cross-sectional survey has obtained IRB approval. Confidentiality and safety issues will be carefully observed. The results of the study will be disseminated through international conferences, peer-reviewed academic journal publications, and a report in plain language to be shared with partner elderly homes.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Survey versions were developed to inquire into the attitudes of both the frail elderly and family caregivers.
- For the first time, surveys on elderly attitudes on EoLC ~~surveys~~ cover all main areas of Hong Kong.

- The cross-sectional design of this study cannot conclude causality.

INTRODUCTION

~~End-of-life-care (EoLC) attitudes have attracted~~ increasingly attracted attention in peer-reviewed journals.[1-4, 10, 11, 12] The literature indicates that EoLC for terminally ill patients can include many challenges, for example, access to services, quality of care, awareness, attitudes, communication, and decision making.[4] Advance care planning (ACP) and advance directives (ADs) have been promoted in order to discover patient attitudes towards their EoLC,[5] with the benevolent intention that these processes help to honour their wishes or preferences for a good death. An AD is one of the potential outcomes of participation in ACP. Both ADs and ACP involve the patients' understanding of life-sustaining treatment (LST).[6] Several studies have demonstrated that the ~~general public~~ awareness of ~~Advance Directives (AD)~~ AD ~~was~~ is low among Chinese population. [1-3, 5][1, 10, 11, Lee, 2014] Moreover, a recent systematic review[12] that summarized 107 EoLC studies in Hong Kong in the past decade reported ~~low~~ awareness of a primary focus on ~~Advanced Care Planning (AD)~~ rather than ACP.[4] Studies of ~~fr~~ Chinese family attitudes towards ACP ~~present~~ have mixed findings according to Lee's review in 2014.[5] ~~Therefore, our study aims to study~~ investigate EoLC preferences and relevant factors, such as ACP and AD awareness, preferences ~~concerning~~ for LST, decision-making, and preferred place of death, by conducting original surveys with ~~CP~~ among frail elderly people and their family caregivers. ~~Potential barriers to research include the challenges of engaging elderly homes given that service providers are motivated to protect their residents and may hesitate before to aid researchers.~~

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

By definition, frailty refers to ~~the~~ vulnerable state in which there is an increased risk of ~~hospitalization, deterioration in functioning, and mortality.~~^[7] The frail elderly ~~are~~ people are particularly under-studied, and thus their voices have ~~rarely~~ not been ~~sufficiently~~ heard ~~among~~ by academics, politicians, ~~or~~ ~~and~~ ~~the~~ wider public ~~audience~~. ~~Our study will help to bridge this knowledge gap.~~ Even ~~t~~hough we found the most recent report on prevalence of ~~Hong Kong~~ general public preferences regarding EoLC and ~~preferred~~ place of death ~~[1, 8-13]~~ these results were generated from telephone landline surveys, ~~which did not reach the frail elderly population living in RCHE~~. The ~~public percentage of their studied~~ participants who ~~join~~ answered the brief ~~home~~-phone interview ~~calls~~ may not represent the views of ~~frail~~ elderly ~~people~~ ~~home residents~~ on these sensitive topics. ~~The landline phone calls could not reach the frail elderly population living in residential care homes for the elderly (RCHEs), including nursing homes, care and attention homes, and other types of homes that provide comprehensive care for dependent people.~~^[9] Our study will help to bridge this knowledge gap. Face-to-face interviews with the frail elderly will help to gain a better understanding of their attitudes.

The ~~present study is significant as the~~ population of Hong Kong (~~HK~~) ranks top in life expectancy around the globe, ~~and with~~ the percentage of its ageing population ~~continues to~~ ~~ris~~ing~~e~~.^[1, 9] The government officially projects that the percentage of elderly residents aged 65 and above will keep growing from over one million to 2.61 million in 2058 (36% of the ~~Hong Kong~~ population).^[1, 10-2] This is much higher than the global estimate of the elderly population (21.1% percent in 2050).^[11-3] At present, the demands for residential elderly care services in ~~Hong Kong~~ remain overwhelming. ~~Though a total of 32,418 places were offered in Residential Care Homes for Elderly (RCHE), as reported by the Social Welfare Department of HK in March~~

2018, the June 2018 update of the central waiting list contains 39,209 applicants for subsidized residential care facilities.[4, 5] The with average waiting time to enter a residential care setting is as long as 24 months for admission. [126] — Due to priority considerations for waitlisted applicants, frailty is one of the primary characteristics taken into account for elderly being admitted to Residential Care Homes for the elderly (Hong Kong RCHEs) settings. By definition, frailty refers to the vulnerable state in which there is an increased risk of hospitalization, deterioration in functioning, and mortality. [7] Additionally, there is a significant interaction effect reported between frailty and depression among the HK elderly in terms of their subjective health. [8] Prevalence of depression among the elderly Chinese of HK is reported to be the highest (12%) in long-term care institutions as compared to other residential settings, as reported in a study with a total sample of 56,000. [9] According to their cohort study findings, depressive symptoms independently predicted the risk of suicide. Therefore, it is critically important to study the elderly peoplehome residents with relatively high pre-frail or frailty statuses as they are more likely to receive toward their end-of-life care (EoLC) in residential homes. [9] Potential barriers to study this population include the challenges of engaging the local elderly homes given that service providers are motivated to protect their residents and may hesitate before to aid researchers.

Meanwhile, it is urgent to update our knowledge about the region must be urgently updated as the only studies on EoLC among elderly home residents in Hong Kong were conducted roughly ten10 years ago. [4, 6, 12, 14] The influential factors for their preferences hypothesising those factors that influencezed their preferences from the existing literature enables us to explore additional possible predictors. The socio-economic predictors of higher

1
2
3 awareness of EoLC and preference to die outside of hospitals were clearly lacking in previous
4 studies.[14] The present study will use a comprehensive design to explore the under-researched
5 topic of EoLC preferences of an under-studied neglected population: the frail elderly home
6 residents. Within the families context, caregivers' attitudes are also crucial, as they play a
7 significant role as surrogate decision-makers in the future circumstance decision-making in the
8 case that of an elderly family member, who does not have an AD or ACP, losing the capacity
9 to make decisions on their own and does not have an AD. A recent systematic review by Wang
10 and Chan shows[12] that family caregivers in HK have been an insufficiently studied population
11 in research. Families often find making EoLC decisions very stressful. Those with problematic
12 family dynamics tend to opt for aggressive treatment.[137, 148] Their findings highlight the
13 importance of communication rather than assuming the families know what the patients want
14 regarding their EoLC. It is unknown whether the family situation of HK's frail elderly is similar
15 to previous research elsewhere or not. The systematic review by Wang and Chan shows that
16 family caregivers in Hong Kong have also been an insufficiently studied population in research.
17 [412] Thus, it is worth further investigation of caregiver's attitudes, and so we include this
18 comparative perspective this in our analysis to compare the perspectives is worthwhile.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44 —Understanding this population is necessary in order to provide better quality of
45 elderly care and to develop new services for unmet needs based on existing system strengths,
46 particularly in facing the rapid ageing trend. This is consistent with the World Health
47 Organization's (WHO) initiative to build an age-friendly environment.[113] The need to enhance
48 the quality of EoLC in RCHes, dying is recognized our survey findings will be a helpful
49 reference to further identifying some directions for public education and other interventions in
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

order to meet the needs of in Hong Kong's frail elderly home residents. ~~Before developing new services, the first step is to understand the preferences of the public, especially elderly people. The current study could lend support for feasible possibilities of future intervention research. In addition, our comprehensive survey includes important variables such as depression, pain, Activities of Daily Living (ADL), suicidal ideation, and frailty, which will definitely enrich the research area.[2, 6-15] The implications from these findings will help the government to improve the allocation of resources in developing EoLC services. Researchers will be able~~ Our survey findings will be helpful reference to further identify future directions for public education and other types of intervention to meet the EoLC needs of HK's frail elderly citizens.

~~Within the family context, caregivers' attitudes are also crucial, as they play a significant role in future decision making in the case that an elderly family member loses the capacity to make decisions on their own and does not have an AD. A recent systematic review by Wang and Chan shows[12] that family caregivers in HK have been an insufficiently studied population in research. The dyad questionnaire in the current study is designed to match the caregiver's understanding/expectation of the elderly family member's preference and the elderly's own wish as expressed to research staff directly. It aims to be elderly-centered. Referring to a recent dyad study,[16] agreement between caregiver's expectation and the elderly's own wish is low or at best moderate. Caregiver rating on uncertainty is high. Families often find making EoLC decisions very stressful. Those with problematic family dynamics tend to opt for aggressive treatment.[17, 18] Their findings highlight the importance of communication rather than assuming the families know what the patients want regarding their EoLC. It is unknown whether~~

~~the family situation of HK's frail elderly is similar to previous research elsewhere or not. Thus, further investigation of this in our analysis to compare the perspectives is worthwhile.~~

Research questions

Phase 1: Survey of Elderly home residents survey

i) What is the level of awareness of such terms ~~such~~ as living will, EoLCAD, or ACP, and life-sustaining treatment in Hong Kong among the frail elderly and their family caregivers?

ii) What is the elderly's personal exposure to such terms?

iii) ~~What are~~ and what are their preferences with regard to decision-making, LST life-sustaining treatment, AD, ACP, EoLC, and preferred place of death?

iv) Are there any demographic and personal features related to preference and level of awareness? Phase 2: Family caregiver survey

What is the level of awareness of terms such as living will, AD, ACP in Hong Kong among their family caregivers

v) Is there any relationship between the elderly's expression of preferences and what are their caregiver's expectation attitudes of an elderly family member's preferences?

Outcomes

i) ~~Primary outcome: The frail elderly preferred place of death.~~

ii) ~~Secondary outcomes: The frail elderly's level of awareness and preferences with regard to AD, ACP, and EoLC; the elderly and their family caregivers' dyad awareness of these terms and preferences, as well as the agreement betweenon-elderly's preferences, and family caregivers' awareness of these terms.~~

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Design

~~A Prior to the main cross-sectional study, a pilot questionnaire has been completed on one site withef a sample of 12 participants in an elderly homeRCHE prior to the main study. FThe feasibility of the survey content was assessed. During our pilot survey interviews, we found the majority (10 out of 12-participants) of participants who agreed to join the study were happy or felt it was acceptable to discuss EoLC issues with us, while the remaining two elderly lost interests or refused to continue in the middle of the interviews. Withdrawal was respected. Afterwards, no severe distress was reported or observed. Similarly, the existing literature shows that elderly people in Hong Kong facilities are willing to talk about issues related to death and dying.[126] Most of the participants (11 out of 12) in our pilot were considered as belonging to either the category of Pre-frail or Frail. This offers our survey the advantage of gaining first-hand knowledge about the elderly's preferences and experiences. In the pilot studies, only one participant out of the 12 elderly had heard of AD orand ACP before our interviews. This low level of awareness is consistent with-the report of earlier surveys, where that reported that 96% and 85.7% of people surveyed had never heard of AD in Chu's study and 85.7% in Chung's study.-[1, 813]~~

We conducted four phone interviews with family caregivers as a pilot survey for the family version of the survey. Three out of the four interviews were completed, but the only dropout occurred in the middle when she suspected that our interview was ~~trying~~ actually an attempt to sell her something ~~dying plan~~. Feasibility and acceptance of our survey appears high for Chinese frail elderly home residents and family caregivers in Hong Kong.

—We have made modifications to the version for the ~~en~~ elderly ~~version~~ to shorten the questionnaire to reduce burden of participation. We have added explanations of ~~fn~~ ACP and AD in plain language, so that it is easier for lay participants to understand. We have ~~provided~~ given more choices on why participants want to or not want to consider initiating ACP ~~and or an AD~~ based on pilot findings.

Outcomes

i) Phase 1 Primary outcome: ~~The frail elderly p~~ Preferences of the frail elderly on ACP.

ii) Phase 1 Secondary outcomes: The frail elderly's level of awareness and preferences with regard to ~~life sustaining treatment~~ LST, decision-making, AD, preferred place of death, and quality of life.;

iii) Phase 2 Primary outcome: Level of agreement between ~~their~~ family caregivers' preferences and those of elderly's ~~preferences~~ on ACP for elderly home residents.

iv) Phase 2 Secondary outcomes: Family caregivers' awareness of these terms and preferences with regard to LST, decision-making, ACP, AD, and preferred place of death, as well as the agreement between elderly's preferences, and family caregivers'.

Participants

Phase 1 Frail elderly home residents

The inclusion criteria for the frail elderly participants are as follows:

- i) At least 65 years of age;
- ii) Chinese in elderly-HK homes who can speak and understand either Cantonese or Mandarin;
- iii) Either Ppre-frail or Ffrail (rating 1-5 on FRAIL).^[15]

The exclusion criteria for frail elderly participants are:

- i) Cognitive impairment (scoring 5 or below on the aAbbreviated mMental tTest [AMT]).^[1625] To screen for ineligibility due to cognitive impairment, the Chinese AMT will be used.
- ii) Communication problems due to functional impairment (for example, deafness or, aphasia).

Phase 2 Family caregivers

The inclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are as follows

1
2
3 i) Hong Kong Chinese adults (18 years of age or above) who can speak and understand
4
5 Cantonese or Mandarin.
6
7

8 The exclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are:
9

10
11 (i) Communication problems due to functional (deafness, aphasia) or intellectual impairment.
12
13

14 Recruitment

15 We plan to partner with 32 elderly homes with an average of 12 residents from each home.

16 Under the most conservative scenario (assuming the true proportion is 50%), a sample of 384
17 elderly residents will be required to give a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of people
18 who would prefer to have communication on ACP ~~dying in elderly homes~~, with a margin of
19 error of 5%. To cater for inter-correlation within residents in the same home, we assume ICC to
20 be 0.05. We will expect to interview 400 elderly in order to collect their responses to the survey
21 in the first phase. Assuming that around half of these elderly people will have family caregivers
22 willing to join the study, 200 family caregivers will be interviewed in the second phase.

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42 —Using a purposive sampling method, we plan to select elderly homes in all main
43 regions of Hong Kong (Hong Kong Island, Kowloon, and the New Territories) by sending out
44 invitations via mail and by convenient professional networks. The managers of the elderly care
45 homes will be approached via phone calls and asked permission to let us interview their elderly
46 residents. Written invitations will be sent to family caregivers with requests for information
47 release of their elderly relatives. The elderly residents in the homes will be invited in person to
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 participate in face-to-face survey interviews. The family caregivers can ~~call to schedule~~ choose
4 to join caregiver interviews (also optional) via either face-to-face or via phone survey with our
5 research staff at a time and place convenient to both as mutually agreed. ~~Each matching pair will~~
6 ~~be included in our pool of studied dyads.~~
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 —The facility staff will introduce us to the elderly candidates. There will be no
17 monetary incentives offered to either the participants or the agencies involved. During the
18 recruitment process, the research assistant (RA) will explain the study to the potential
19 participants either in a private room (bedside/chairside) or in a corner of a common room to
20 protect privacy. After seeking oral or implicit consent from residents to participate, the RA will
21 administer the AMT and the five-item Frailty scale to screen out ineligible subjectones based on
22 our exclusion criteria. The Co-I or the RARA will then conduct a face-to-face individual
23 interview with the participant using our designed questionnaire. Trained RAs will collect data
24 during the interviews on either paper or tablet form, depending on the interviewer and Internet
25 availability. Participants therefore have the convenient option to fill out the surveys on their
26 mobile smartphones or tablets.[26] Paper-based surveys will serve as a backup option.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Survey interviews

46
47
48 —A structured questionnaire (one version for the frail elderly and the other version their family
49 caregivers) has been developed based on the objectives of the current study and with reference to
50 recent publication on similar topics.[1-3,10,11] Additional scales were integrated to design this
51 comprehensive survey study. The ~~authors PI and the Co-Is~~ ascertained face validity based on
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 literature and research experience in the area. The Chinese questionnaires have been viewed by a
4 panel of six Hong Kong local experts, including one geriatric doctor, one clinical psychologist,
5 two nurses, and two social workers. The first elderly questionnaire has been pilot tested on one
6 site with a sample of 12 elderly Chinese to test for feasibility. We then revised the survey in
7 preparation for the main study. After that the pilot test, the experts were invited to judge the
8 content validity of the questions for the second time as the questionnaires were developed. The
9 content validity index was calculated as excellent (CVI/AVR = 0.87 for elderly and 0.98 for
10 caregiver survey).

21 22 Phase 1 Measurement

23
24
25 —The ~~Abbreviated Mental Test~~ (AMT) and FRAIL screening tools will be applied to
26 select our participants. The interview for the frail elderly will be conducted if they are deemed
27 eligible. The questionnaire contains seven parts: (A) Demographics and functioning (personal
28 profile); (B) Healthcare experiences and attitude; (C) Expectations of EoLC, (D) Advanced Care
29 Planning experience and attitude; (E) Advance Directives awareness, experience, and attitude (F)
30 Ideal terminal/death environment (preferences on places of care and eventual death); and (G)
31 Quality of Life.

32
33
34 (A) Demographic information including age, sex, educational level, marital status, religion,
35 financial source, type of nursing home, family members, ~~previous living arrangement~~, self-
36 reported health and pain severity, and bereavement experience, etc., will be collected. To assess
37 daily functioning, frailty, depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation, we will use the following
38 respective scales, 10-item Barthel Index on ADL,^[178] 5-item FRAIL,^[159-21] Geriatric
39 Depression Scale GDS-4, and a single-item suicidal ideation assessment.^[1822] ~~If the family and
40 the staff in residential care settings give permission for such information to be released, we~~

~~would obtain the most recent records from their files. The records must be up-to-date within the past 6 months. If not, we will conduct our own collection.~~

(B) The participants will be asked to share their experience of and attitude toward life sustaining treatment and previous hospitalization according to questions we have devised.

(C) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on decision making with regard to LST life-sustaining treatment in a hypothetical dying scenario, and their attitudes on quality of life at the end of life. The hypothetical dying scenario will be described in one phrase as “becoming seriously ill but with no cure available” for themselves.

(D) The participants will be asked about their awareness and experience or participation in ACP, their attitudes and preferred communication with others, as well as their reasons for rejecting the idea of ACP.

(E) Participants will be asked about their awareness of a living will and AD, and about their current process consideration in formulating their written preferences on medical decisions and AD. The reasons behind their choices and the persons who introduced these topics to them will also be explored.

(F) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on their hypothetical dying situation, i.e., the ideal places of their terminal care and death. They will be asked about their preferences regarding dying alone or having certain persons around them during their own eventual death.

(G) The McGill Quality of Life (M-QoL-HK) questionnaire will be used to measure Quality of Life among Hong Kong adults. Through a ten-point Likert Scale of 17 items, M-QoL measures four dimensions, including physical health, psychological/mental health, spiritual wellbeing, and overall quality of life. The items in M-QoL are considered applicable to both

1
2
3 elderly, palliative care patients, and caregivers by authors in the validated context of Hong
4
5 Kong.[1923]
6
7
8
9

10 11 [Phase 2 Measurement](#) 12

13
14 —The questionnaire for family caregivers contains eight parts: (A) Their relationship with the
15 elderly; (B) Healthcare experiences and attitudes, and preferences for EoLC; (C) Expectations of
16 EoLC, (D) Advanced Care Planning experiences and attitudes; (E) awareness, experience, and
17 attitudes toward Advance Directives (F) Ideal terminal/dying situations (preferences with respect
18 to places of care and eventual death); (G) Quality of Life; and (H) Supplementary information on
19 demographics, financial support for caregiving, bereavement experience, and self-rated health
20 (personal profile). The contents are similar to the elderly survey version with slight changes in
21 wording to include an item that measures the caregivers' understanding of the elderly's own
22 perspective. Most of the questions are close-ended, while there are open-ended [questions options](#)
23 for the caregivers to express their own opinions.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 —For both the elderly and family surveys, there is a small ending section where
42 interview staff can write their observation notes on respondents' emotions. Interviewers may also
43 mark any words such as 'euthanasia' or 'hastened death' if these are mentioned during the
44 conversations.[204]
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

—Completion time for the interview is estimated to be 30-45 minutes. The [RA trained research assistants](#) will read out and explain all survey items one by one to the respondents to ensure the quality of the data collection. Furthermore, the respondents are not allowed to change their answer once the questions have been answered.

Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) statement

[Potential barriers to study this population with PPI include the challenges of engaging the local elderly home management given that service providers are motivated to protect their residents and may hesitate before to aid research, especially without formal ethical approval. —The survey was developed after consultation with public representatives such as experienced staff members who work with the target population. We did not directly include Patient and Public Involvement \(PPI\) elderly at the initial stage of this study as we did not have any pre-established partnership with local RCHEs. However, the survey was developed after consultation with public representatives such as experienced staff members who work with the target population.](#) The revision of the survey content based on pilot responses took the [elderly participants' feedback comments](#) into account. [We radically revised the survey content by deleting some awareness items, changing the wording to make the current survey easier to use in formal study. More importantly, our plan to PPI develops as sharing findings in plain language with elderly homes and the general public in future. Their responses for our study findings will be invited, too.](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

—A pilot questionnaire has been completed on one site of a sample of 12 in an elderly home prior to the main study. Feasibility of the survey content was assessed. During our pilot survey interviews, we found the majority (10 out of 12 participants) who agreed to join the study were happy or felt it was acceptable to discuss EoLC issues with us, while the remaining 2 expressed discomfort or refused to continue in the middle of the conversation. Similarly, existing literature shows that elderly people in Hong Kong facilities are willing to talk about issues related to death and dying.[6] Most of the participants (11 out of 12) in our pilot were considered as belonging to either the category of Pre-frail or Frail. This offers our survey the advantage of gaining first-hand knowledge about the elderly's preferences and experiences.

—Furthermore, we plan to share our findings in the form of an explanation after the interview so that participants know where to obtain further details as needed. This survey study itself can increase public awareness of EoLC among the elderly. ~~In the pilot studies, only one participant out of the 12 elderly had heard of AD and ACP before our interviews. This low level of awareness is consistent with the report of earlier surveys, where 96% never heard of AD in Chu's study and 85.7% in Chung's study. [1, 13]~~

—We plan to partner with 32 elderly homes with an average of 12 residents from each home. Under the most conservative scenario (assuming the true proportion is 50%), a sample of 384 elderly residents will be required to give a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of people who would prefer dying in elderly homes, with a margin of error of 5%. To cater for inter-correlation within residents in the same home, we assume ICC to be 0.05. We will expect to interview 400 elderly in order to collect their responses to the survey. Assuming that around half

~~of these elderly people will have family caregivers to join the study, 200 family caregivers will be interviewed.~~

~~—Using a purposive sampling method, we plan to select elderly homes in all main regions of HK (Hong Kong Island, Kowloon, and the New Territories) by sending out invitations via mail and by convenient professional networks. The managers of the elderly care homes will be approached via phone calls and asked permission to let us interview their elderly residents. Written invitations will be sent to family caregivers with requests for information release of their elderly relatives. The elderly residents in the homes will be invited in person to participate in face-to-face survey interviews. The family caregivers can call to schedule caregiver interviews (also optional) with our research staff at a time and place convenient to both as mutually agreed. Each matching pair will be included in our pool of studied dyads.~~

~~—The facility staff will introduce us to the elderly candidates. There will be no monetary incentives offered to either the participants or the agencies involved. During the recruitment process, the research assistant (RA) will explain the study to the potential participants either in a private room (bedside/chairside) or in a corner of a common room to protect privacy. After seeking oral or implicit consent from residents to participate, the RA will administer the AMT and the five-item Frailty scale to screen out ineligible subjects based on our exclusion criteria. The Co-I or the RA will then conduct a face-to-face individual interview with the participant using our designed questionnaire. Trained RAs will collect data during the interviews on either paper or tablet form, depending on the interviewer and Internet availability. Participants~~

~~therefore have the convenient option to fill out the surveys on their mobile smartphones or tablets. [26] Paper-based surveys will serve as a backup option. Missing items will be noted as 999 automatically in the database.~~

Data Analysis

IBM SPSS ~~version 25~~ and Mplus will be used for the statistical analyses. Descriptive analysis will first be conducted on demographics. Response frequencies for each measure will be tabulated and the corresponding proportions will be calculated by dividing the frequency by the number of respondents. We plan to apply Logistic Regression Analysis to investigate independent predictors of our outcomes of interests, for example, the participants' preference to ~~die in elderly residential homes~~ have communication on ACP for their own terminal care. The associations of sociodemographic characteristics, frailty, depression, and functioning status with the outcomes will be analyzed.

— We will apply Kappa Statistics to examine paired results between the frail elderly and their family caregivers. Preferences for life sustaining treatment will be expressed in 3x2 tables and described in terms of percentage agreement and the kappa coefficient. The level of agreement will be described using indices such as 'agreement index' developed in literature on treatment preferences. Where a caregiver could accurately interpret an elderly person's preference in LST, whether that was for active treatment, against LST, or uncertain, they will gain a score of one. Thus, we will use a total score of 0 (no ability) through 8 (full ability) to know or estimate the

1
2
3 family members' preferences in treatment for elderly residents who were at terminal stages from
4 survey hypothetical scenarios. Combined agreement scores from all matched pairs of family
5 caregivers and elderly respondents will represent overall agreement in the survey sample.
6
7

8
9
10 Finally, we plan to calculate the association between elderly participants and family caregivers'
11 characteristics and agreement scores through multiple linear regression.
12
13
14

15 16 17 18 **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION** 19

20
21 The cross-sectional survey has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the
22 University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (IRB Reference number:
23 UW 18-443). To respect the principles of human dignity and voluntary participation in the study,
24 a comprehensive verbal explanation and a comprehensive information sheet will be provided to
25 the participants (family caregivers and the frail elderly [home residents](#)) during the recruitment
26 period, prior to the commencement of data collection. The purpose and procedure of the study as
27 well as the risks and benefits of participation will be explained. Respondents will be encouraged
28 to clarify any queries related to the study with the research staff. Oral consent will be obtained
29 from elderly participants before commencing the individual interviews. For their family
30 members, respondents can opt for either oral or written consent. Participation will be entirely
31 voluntary, and respondents will be informed of their rights to withdraw or refuse to give
32 information at any time without incurring penalties or deprivation of services.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51
52 — There is a chance that these interviews may cause some stress for elderly participants beyond
53 what is typical in their casual daily conversations given that as the survey covers death-related
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 content. In the pilot interviews, signs of stress appeared occasionally as expected. Participants
4 were asked whether they wanted to continue or not, and then their wishes were respected.
5
6 Similarly, in the future interviews during the proposed study, the survey process will be paused
7
8 in cases when participants are willing to continue despite stress to reduce potential harm to
9
10 participants. The ~~RA and the Co-I researchers~~ will closely observe the reactions of the elderly
11
12 participants. Additionally, relaxation techniques will be taught to the elderly participants on site
13
14 as needed. This will be documented in our survey and referred to staff for appropriate follow-up.
15
16 Moreover, positive answers to the item on suicidal ideation item and those with depression
17
18 symptoms at the cutoff score of 2 or above will be brought to attention of the elderly home
19
20 [managerstaff](#).^[1823]
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 —The ~~survey project has~~study started in Nov. 1st, 2018 ~~officially and aimed to complete~~
31 ~~by Oct. 30th, 2021. Our~~ results of the proposed cross-sectional study will be reported with
32
33 reference to the international statement in the STrengthening the Reporting of OBServational
34
35 studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist for cross-sectional studies.^[271] The findings will
36
37 be disseminated through international conference presentations and peer-reviewed academic
38
39 journal publications. Meanwhile, a report in plain language will be shared with our partner
40
41 elderly homes. The evidence gathered from this study has the potential to benefit research on
42
43 EoLC both locally and internationally, and to further inform practitioners on the desires and the
44
45 EoLC needs of the [frail](#) elderly and their caregivers. It is very important to share the knowledge
46
47 that we gain on the preferences of frail elderly [home residents](#) with professionals in healthcare
48
49 sectors, such as physicians, direct service staff, and the larger community. Understanding their
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

wishes can be a great step towards developing better quality EoLC [for the 8% of Hong Kong elderly population who reside in RCHEs.](#) [22]

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CL, PC, and BY conceptualized the survey design. BY and PC drafted the manuscript protocol. BY prepared the IRB application and the amendment with collaborative input. BY and XX conducted pilot survey interviews. [BY and XX made the revision with CL.](#) All the authors, [including BY, XX, PC, NT, DC, FC, and CL,](#) contributed to the development of the survey [protocol with revisions](#) and approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING STATEMENT

The current research is supported by the Enhanced New Staff Start-up Research Grant by the University of Hong Kong (HKD 380,000 for three years commencing November 01, 2018).

COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

REFERENCES

1. Chung RY, Wong EL, Kiang N, *et al.* Knowledge, Attitudes, and Preferences of Advance Decisions, End-of-Life Care, and Place of Care and Death in Hong Kong. A Population-Based Telephone Survey of 1067 Adults. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017;18:367.e19-27.
2. Tu SW. Advance Care Planning in Elderly Residents of Long-term Care Facilities and Its Related Factors [master's thesis]. Taipei Medical University, Taiwan; 2016.
3. Ni P, Zhou J, Wang ZX, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among nursing home residents in Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15:751-6.
4. Wang CW, Chan CL. End-of-life care research in Hong Kong: A systematic review of peer-reviewed publications. *Palliat Support Care* 2015;13:1711-20.
5. Lee MC, Hubderer KA, Kehl KA. A systematic review of advance directives and advance care planning in Chinese people from Eastern and Western cultures. *J Hosp Palliat Nurs* 2014;16(2):75-85.
6. Mok SB, Ting H, Lau KP. Advance directives and life-sustaining treatment: informed attitudes of Hong Kong Chinese elders with chronic disease. *J Nurs and Healthcare of Chronic Illness* 2010;2:313-9.
7. Morley JE, Haren MT, Rolland Y, *et al.* Frailty. *Med Clin North Am* 2006;90:837-47.
8. Chu LW, Luk JL, Hui E, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among Chinese nursing home residents in Hong Kong. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2011;12:143-52.
9. Luk KH, Chan HW. End-of-life care for advanced dementia patients in residential care home-a hong kong perspective. *Ann Palliat Med* 2018; 7(3):359-64.
10. Policies and measures on elderly care services. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Council Business Division 2; 2016 Jan 14. Paper No.:CB(2)631/15-16(01).
11. Measuring the Age-friendliness of Cities: A Guide to Use Core Indicators. World Health Organization (Japan): WHO Kobe Centre; 2015.128p. ISBN:97892415.
12. Chan HY, Pang SM. Quality of life concerns and end-of-life care preferences of aged persons in long-term care facilities. *J Clin Nurs* 2007;16:2158-66.
13. Russ AJ, Kaufman SR. Family Perceptions of Prognosis, Silence, and the "Suddenness" of Death. *Cult Med Psychiatry* 2005;29:103-23.
14. Winter L, Parks SM. Family discord and proxy decision makers' end-of-life treatment decisions. *J Palliat Med* 2008;11:1109-14.
15. Woo J, Yu R, Wong M, *et al.* Frailty Screening in the Community Using the FRAIL Scale. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16:412-9.
16. Chu LW, Pei KW, Ho MH, *et al.* Validation of the Abbreviated Mental Test (Hong Kong version) in the elderly medical patient. *Hong Kong Med J* 1995;1:207-11.

17. Collin C, Wade DT, Davies S, *et al*. The Barthel ADL Index: a reliability study. *Int Disabil Stud* 1988;10:61-3.
18. Cheng ST, Yu EC, Lee SY, *et al*. The geriatric depression scale as a screening tool for depression and suicide ideation: A replication and extension. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010;18:256-65.
19. Lo RS, Woo J, Zhoc KC, *et al*. Cross-cultural validation of the McGill Quality of Life questionnaire in Hong Kong Chinese. *Palliat Med* 2001;15:387-97.
20. Wang YC, Lin CC. Spiritual well-being may reduce the negative impacts of cancer symptoms on the Quality of Life and the desire for hastened death in terminally ill cancer patients. *Cancer Nurs* 2016;39:43-50.
21. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, *et al*. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;370(9596):1453-7.
22. Statistical Highlights: Residential Care for the Elderly. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Information Services Division; 2017 Mar 14. ISSH22/16-17.
1. Chung RY, Wong EL, Kiang N, *et al*. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Preferences of Advance Decisions, End-of-Life Care, and Place of Care and Death in Hong Kong. A Population-Based Telephone Survey of 1067 Adults. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017;18: 367.e19-367.e27.
2. Policies and measures on elderly care services. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Council Business Division 2; 2016 Jan. 14p. Paper No.: CB(2)631/15-16(01).
3. Measuring the Age-friendliness of Cities: A Guide to Use Core Indicators. World Health Organization (Japan): WHO Kobe Centre; 2015. 128p. ISBN: 97892415.
4. Overview of Residential Care Services for the Elderly. Social Welfare Department (Hong Kong): Social Welfare Department; 2018.
5. Statistics on waiting list for subsidised residential care services for the elderly. Social Welfare Department (Hong Kong): Social Welfare Department; 2018. 2p.
6. Chan HY, Pang SM. Quality of life concerns and end-of-life care preferences of aged persons in long-term care facilities. *J Clin Nurs* 2007;16: 2158-66.
7. Morley JE, Haren MT, Rolland Y, *et al*. Frailty. *Med Clin North Am* 2006;90: 837-47.
8. Lau BH, Kwan JS, Cheung KS, *et al*. Depression Moderates the Frailty-Subjective Health Link among Chinese Near-Centenarians and Centenarians. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2016;24: 753-61.
9. Sun WJ, Xu L, Chan WM, *et al*. Depressive symptoms and suicide in 56,000 older Chinese: a Hong Kong cohort study. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2012;47: 505-14.
10. Tu SW. Advance Care Planning in Elderly Residents of Long-term Care Facilities and Its Related Factors [master's thesis]. Taipei Medical University, Taiwan; 2016.

11. Ni P, Zhou J, Wang ZX, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among nursing home residents in Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15: 751-6.
12. Wang CW, Chan CL. End-of-life care research in Hong Kong: A systematic review of peer-reviewed publications. *Palliat Support Care* 2015;13: 1711-20.
13. Chu LW, Luk JL, Hui E, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among Chinese nursing home residents in Hong Kong. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2011;12:143-52.
14. Ting FH, Mok E. Advance directives and life-sustaining treatment: attitudes of Hong Kong Chinese elders with chronic disease. *Hong Kong Med J* 2011;17: 105-11.
15. Yu R, Wong M, Chang B, *et al.* Trends in activities of daily living disability in a large sample of community-dwelling Chinese older adults in Hong Kong: an age-period-cohort analysis. *BMJ Open* 2016;6: e013259.
16. Harrison DK, King M, Jones L, *et al.* Advance Care Planning in dementia: do family carers know the treatment preferences of people with early dementia? *PLoS ONE* 2016;11: e0159056.
17. Russ AJ, Kaufman SR. Family Perceptions of Prognosis, Silence, and the "Suddenness" of Death. *Cult Med Psychiatry* 2005;29: 103-23.
18. Winter L, Parks SM. Family discord and proxy decision-makers' end-of-life treatment decisions. *J Palliat Med* 2008;11: 1109-14.
19. Woo J, Yu R, Wong M, *et al.* Frailty Screening in the Community Using the FRAIL Scale. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16: 412-9.
20. Tseng MY, Tu SY, Lin CC, *et al.* A study on the frailty status among elderly in a long-term care facility. *J Mei Ho University* 2015;31:1.
21. Collin C, Wade DT, Davies S, *et al.* The Barthel ADL Index: a reliability study. *Int Disabil Stud* 1988;10:61-3.
22. Cheng ST, Yu EC, Lee SY, *et al.* The geriatric depression scale as a screening tool for depression and suicide ideation: A replication and extension. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010; 18: 256-65.
23. Lo RS, Woo J, Zhoc KC, *et al.* Cross-cultural validation of the McGill Quality of Life questionnaire in Hong Kong Chinese. *Palliat Med* 2001;15: 387-97.
24. Wang YC, Lin CC. Spiritual well-being may reduce the negative impacts of cancer symptoms on the Quality of Life and the desire for hastened death in terminally ill cancer patients. *Cancer Nurs* 2016; 39:43-50.
25. Chu LW, Pei KW, Ho MH, *et al.* Validation of the Abbreviated Mental Test (Hong Kong version) in the elderly medical patient. *Hong Kong Med J* 1995;1:207-11.
26. Couper MP. Is the sky falling? New technology, changing media, and the future of surveys. *Surv Res Methods* 2013;7: 145-56.
27. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, *et al.* The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;370(9596):1453-7.

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

BMJ Open

Preferences for End-of-Life Care: A Study Protocol for a Cross-sectional Survey of Chinese Frail Elderly Home Residents in Hong Kong

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-033862.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Feb-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Yan, Bo; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Xu, Xinyi; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Chau, Patsy PH; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Takemura, Naomi; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Cheung, Yee Tak Derek; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Chan, Felix HW; University of Hong Kong, Department of Medicine Lin, Chia-Chin; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing
Primary Subject Heading:	Nursing
Secondary Subject Heading:	Geriatric medicine
Keywords:	End-of-Life Care, Frail, Advance Directive, Survey, Chinese, Advance Care Planning

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 Preferences for End-of-Life Care: A Study Protocol for a Cross-sectional Survey of Chinese
4
5 Frail Elderly Home Residents in Hong Kong
6
7
8
9
10

11 Yan, Bo¹,
12 Xu, Xinyi¹,
13 Chau, Patsy PH¹,
14 Takemura, Naomi¹,
15 Cheung, Derek YT¹,
16 Chan, Felix HW²,
17 Lin, Chia-Chin¹ *
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 ¹ School of Nursing, the University of Hong Kong, HKSAR

28
29 ² Department of Medicine, the University of Hong Kong, HKSAR

30
31
32
33 * Corresponding email: cclin@hku.hk
34
35

36 Mail: 4/F William Mong Bldg., School of Nursing, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

37
38 Office phone: +852-3917-6600; Fax: +852-2872-6079
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Word count: 3,524
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Existing literature on attitudes toward end-of-life care (EoLC) covers the general public but has little information on the frail elderly population. The aim of the current study is to investigate the preferences of Chinese frail elderly home residents with respect to EoLC by conducting cross-sectional surveys.

Methods and analysis: Surveys, including resident and family versions, were developed based on the existing literature and our pilot interviews. The targeted participants were 400 frail elderly home residents (aged ≥ 65 years old) and 200 family caregivers. Purposive sampling will be used as each elderly home will help to recruit 5 to 15 elderly participants for the study. Descriptive analysis and modelling will be used to examine preferences on EoLC and related factors, as well as to compare the responses of elderly home residents with those of their family caregivers.

Ethics and dissemination: The cross-sectional survey has obtained IRB approval. Confidentiality and safety issues will be carefully observed. The results of the study will be disseminated through international conferences, peer-reviewed academic journal publications, and a report in plain language to be shared with elderly residential homes.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Survey versions were developed to inquire into the preference of both the frail elderly home residents and family caregivers.
- For the first time, surveys on elderly people's attitudes towards EoLC cover all main areas of Hong Kong.
- The cross-sectional design of this study cannot conclude causality.
- Participants with communication problems (i.e., deafness or aphasia) were excluded due

1
2
3 to the nature of the data collection method.
4
5
6
7

8 **INTRODUCTION**

9

10
11 End-of-life care (EoLC) attitudes have increasingly attracted attention in peer-reviewed
12 journals.[1-4] The literature indicates that EoLC for terminally ill patients can include many
13 challenges, for example, access to services, quality of care, awareness, attitudes, communication,
14 and decision making.[4] Advance care planning (ACP) and advance directives (ADs) have been
15 promoted in order to discover patient attitudes towards their EoLC,[5] with the benevolent
16 intention that these processes help to honour their wishes or preferences for a good death. An AD
17 is one of the potential outcomes of participation in ACP. Both ADs and ACP involve the
18 patients' understanding of life-sustaining treatment (LST).[6] Several studies have demonstrated
19 that the awareness of AD is low in Chinese populations.[1-3, 5] Moreover, a recent systematic
20 review summarised 107 EoLC studies in Hong Kong in the past decade reported a primary focus
21 on AD rather than ACP.[4] Studies of Chinese family attitudes towards ACP present mixed
22 findings according to Lee's review in 2014.[5] Therefore, our study aims to investigate the
23 preferences of Chinese frail elderly home residents with respect to EoLC. We explore their
24 preferences along with relevant factors, such as ACP and AD awareness, preferences concerning
25 LST, decision-making, and preferred place of death, by conducting original surveys with frail
26 elderly home residents and their family caregivers.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 By definition, frailty refers to a vulnerable state in which there is an increased risk of
50 hospitalisation, deterioration in functioning, and mortality.[7] Frail elderly people are
51 particularly understudied, and thus their voices have rarely been heard by academics, politicians,
52 or the wider public. Though we found the most recent report on the prevalence of Hong Kong
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 general public preferences regarding EoLC and preferred place of death,[1, 8] these results were
4 generated from telephone landline surveys. The public participants who joined the brief phone
5 interview may not represent the views of frail elderly home residents on these sensitive topics.
6
7 The landline phone calls could not reach the frail elderly population living in residential care
8 homes for the elderly (RCHes), including nursing homes, care and attention homes, and other
9 types of homes that provide comprehensive care for dependent people.[9] Our study will help to
10 bridge this knowledge gap. Face-to-face interviews with frail elderly home residents will help to
11 gain a better understanding of their attitudes.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 The present study is significant as the population of Hong Kong ranks top in life
23 expectancy around the globe, and the percentage of its ageing population is rising.[1, 9] The
24 government officially projects that the percentage of elderly residents aged 65 and above will
25 continue to grow from over one million to 2.61 million in 2058 (36% of the Hong Kong
26 population).[1, 10] This is much higher than estimates of the global elderly population (21.1% in
27 2050).[11] At present, the demands for residential elderly care services in Hong Kong remain
28 overwhelming, with average waiting time as long as 24 months for admission.[12] Due to
29 priority considerations, frailty is one of the primary characteristics taken into account for elderly
30 people being admitted to Hong Kong RCHes.[9] Therefore, it is critically important to study the
31 elderly home residents with pre-frail or frail statuses as they are most likely to receive EoLC in
32 residential homes.[9]
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Meanwhile, knowledge about the region must be urgently updated as the only studies on
49 EoLC among elderly home residents in Hong Kong were conducted roughly ten years ago.[4, 6,
50 12] Hypothesising those factors that influence their preferences from the existing literature
51 enables us to explore additional possible predictors. The present study will use a comprehensive
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

design to explore the under-researched topic of EoLC preferences of an understudied population: frail elderly home residents. Within families, caregivers' attitudes are also crucial, as they play a significant role as surrogate decision-makers in the future circumstance of an elderly family member, who does not have an AD or ACP, losing the capacity to make decisions on their own. Families often find making EoLC decisions very stressful.[13, 14] The systematic review by Wang and Chan shows that family caregivers in Hong Kong have also been an insufficiently studied population.[4] Thus, it is worth further investigation of caregiver's attitudes, and so we include this comparative perspective in our analysis. This is consistent with the World Health Organization's initiative to build an age-friendly environment.[11] To enhance the quality of EoLC in RCHes, our survey findings will be a helpful reference to further identifying some directions for public education and other interventions in order to meet the needs of Hong Kong's frail elderly home residents.

Research questions

Phase 1: Survey of elderly home residents

What is the level of awareness of such terms as living will, AD, or ACP in Hong Kong among the frail elderly people, and what are their preferences with regard to ACP, decision-making, LST, AD, EoLC, and preferred place of death?

Phase 2: Family caregiver survey

1
2
3 What is the level of awareness of such terms such as living will, AD, or ACP in Hong Kong
4 among family caregivers, and what are their attitudes of elderly family members' preferences?
5
6
7
8
9

10 11 **METHODS AND ANALYSIS** 12 13

14 **Design** 15

16
17 Prior to the main cross-sectional study, a pilot questionnaire has been completed on one site with
18 a sample of 12 participants in an RCHE. The feasibility of the survey content was assessed.
19
20 During our pilot survey interviews, we found the majority (10 out of 12) of participants who
21 agreed to join the study were happy or felt it was acceptable to discuss EoLC issues with us,
22 while the remaining two participants lost interest or refused to continue in the middle of the
23 interviews, and their withdrawal was respected. Afterwards, no severe distress was reported or
24 observed. Similarly, the existing literature shows that elderly people in Hong Kong facilities are
25 willing to talk about issues related to death and dying.[12] This offers our survey the advantage
26 of gaining first-hand knowledge about the elderly people's preferences and experiences. In the
27 pilot, only one participant out of the 12 participants had heard of AD or ACP before our
28 interviews. This low level of awareness is consistent with earlier surveys that reported that 96%
29 and 85.7% of people surveyed had never heard of AD.[1,8]
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 We conducted four phone interviews with family caregivers as a pilot for the family
46 version of the survey. Three of the four interviews were completed, and the only dropout
47 occurred part way through an interview when the participant incorrectly thought that our
48 interview was an attempt to sell her something. Feasibility and acceptance of our survey appear
49 high among frail elderly home residents and family caregivers in Hong Kong. We have made
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 modifications to the version for the elderly person to shorten the questionnaire to reduce the
4 burden of participation. We have added explanations of ACP and AD in plain language so that it
5 is easier for lay participants to understand. We have provided more choices on why participants
6 do or do not want to consider initiating ACP or an AD based on the pilot findings.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **Outcomes**

- 17
18
19 i) Phase 1 Primary outcome: Preferences of the frail elderly person on ACP.
20
21
22 ii) Phase 1 Secondary outcomes: The frail elderly person's level of awareness and preferences
23 with regard to LST, decision-making, AD, preferred place of death, and quality of life.
24
25
26
27 iii) Phase 2 Primary outcome: Level of agreement between Family caregivers' preferences and
28 those of elderly's on ACP for elderly home residents.
29
30
31
32 iv) Phase 2 Secondary outcomes: Family caregivers' awareness of these terms and preferences
33 with regard to LST, decision-making, ACP, AD, and preferred place of death.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Participants**

42 Phase 1 Frail elderly home residents

43
44
45
46 The inclusion criteria for the frail elderly participants are as follows:

- 47
48
49 i) At least 65 years of age;
50
51
52 ii) Chinese in elderly homes who can speak and understand either Cantonese or Mandarin;
53
54
55 iii) Either pre-frail or frail status (rating 1-5 on FRAIL).[15]
56
57
58
59
60

The exclusion criteria for frail elderly participants are:

- i) Cognitive impairment (scoring five or below on the abbreviated mental test [AMT]).[16]

To screen for ineligibility due to cognitive impairment, the Chinese AMT will be used.

- ii) Communication problems due to functional impairment (for example, deafness or aphasia).

Phase 2 Family caregivers

The inclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are as follows

- i) Hong Kong Chinese adults (18 years of age or above) who can speak and understand Cantonese or Mandarin.

The exclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are:

- (i) Communication problems due to functional (deafness or aphasia) or intellectual impairment.

Recruitment

We plan to partner with 32 local elderly homes with an average of 12 residents from each home.

Under the most conservative scenario (assuming the true proportion is 50%), a sample of 384 elderly residents will be required to give a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of people who would prefer to have communication on ACP with a margin of error of 5%. To cater for inter-correlation within residents in the same home, we assume ICC to be 0.05. We will expect to interview 400 elderly participants in order to collect their responses to the survey in the first phase. Assuming that around half of these elderly people will have family caregivers willing to join the study, 200 family caregivers will be interviewed in the second phase.

Using a purposive sampling method, we plan to select elderly homes in all main regions of Hong Kong (Hong Kong Island, Kowloon, and the New Territories) by sending out invitations via mail and by convenient professional networks. The managers of the elderly care homes will be approached via phone calls and asked permission to let us interview their elderly residents. The elderly residents in the homes will be invited in-person to participate in face-to-face survey interviews. The family caregivers can choose to join caregiver interviews via either face-to-face or via phone survey with our research staff at a time convenient as mutually agreed.

The facility staff will introduce us to the elderly candidates. There will be no monetary incentives offered to either the participants or the agencies involved. During the recruitment process, the research assistant (RA) will explain the study to the potential participants either in a private room (bedside/chairside) or in the corner of a common room to protect privacy. After seeking oral consent from residents to participate, the RA will administer the AMT and the five-item Frailty scale to screen out ineligible ones based on our exclusion criteria. The RA will then conduct an individual face-to-face interview with the participant using our designed questionnaire. Trained RAs will collect data during the interviews on either paper or digital form, depending on Internet availability. Digital devices (i.e., smartphones or tablets) for accessing the digital survey will be operated by the research staff during the interviews with the participants, and paper-based surveys will serve as a backup option.

Survey interviews

A structured questionnaire (one version for the frail elderly and the other version for their family caregivers) has been developed based on the objectives of the current study and with reference to

1
2
3 a recent publication on similar topics.[1-3] Additional scales were integrated to design this
4 comprehensive survey study. The authors ascertained face validity based on literature and
5
6 research experience in the area. The Chinese questionnaires have been viewed by a panel of six
7
8 Hong Kong local experts, including one geriatric doctor, one clinical psychologist, two nurses,
9
10 and two social workers. The first elderly questionnaire has been pilot tested on one site with a
11
12 sample of 12 participants to test for feasibility. We then revised the survey in preparation for the
13
14 main study. After the pilot test, the experts were invited to judge the content validity of the
15
16 questions for the second time as the questionnaires were developed. The content validity index
17
18 was calculated as excellent (CVI/AVR = 0.87 for elderly survey and 0.98 for caregiver survey).
19
20
21
22
23

24 Phase 1 Measurement

25
26
27 The AMT and FRAIL screening tools will be applied to select our participants. The
28
29 interview for the frail elderly participants will be conducted if they are deemed eligible. The
30
31 questionnaire contains seven parts: (A) Demographics and functioning (personal profile); (B)
32
33 Healthcare experiences and attitude; (C) Expectations of EoLC, (D) Advanced Care Planning
34
35 experience and attitude; (E) Advance Directives awareness, experience, and attitude (F) Ideal
36
37 terminal/death environment (preferences on places of care and eventual death); and (G) Quality
38
39 of Life.
40
41
42
43

44 (A) Demographic information including age, sex, educational level, marital status, religion,
45
46 financial source, type of nursing home, family members, self-reported health and pain severity,
47
48 and bereavement experience, etc., will be collected. To assess daily functioning, frailty,
49
50 depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation, we will use the following respective scales, 10-item
51
52 Barthel Index on ADL,[17] 5-item FRAIL,[15] Geriatric Depression Scale GDS-4, and a single-
53
54 item suicidal ideation assessment.[18]
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (B) The participants will be asked to share their experience of and attitude toward life-
4 sustaining treatment and previous hospitalisation according to questions we have devised.
5
6
7

8 (C) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on decision making with regard to
9 LST in a hypothetical dying scenario, and their attitudes on quality of life at the end of life. The
10 hypothetical dying scenario will be described in one phrase as “becoming seriously ill but with
11 no cure available” for themselves.
12
13
14
15
16

17 (D) The participants will be asked about their awareness and experience or participation in
18 ACP, their attitudes and preferred communication with others, as well as their reasons for
19 rejecting the idea of ACP.
20
21
22
23
24

25 (E) Participants will be asked about their awareness of a living will and AD, and about their
26 current consideration in formulating their written preferences on medical decisions and AD. The
27 reasons behind their choices and the persons who introduced these topics to them will also be
28 explored.
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 (F) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on their hypothetical dying situation,
36 i.e., the ideal places of their terminal care and death. They will be asked about their preferences
37 regarding dying alone or having certain persons around them during their own eventual death.
38
39
40
41
42

43 (G) The McGill Quality of Life (M-QoL-HK) questionnaire will be used to measure Quality
44 of Life among Hong Kong adults. Through a ten-point Likert Scale of 17 items, M-QoL
45 measures four dimensions, including physical health, psychological/mental health, spiritual
46 wellbeing, and overall quality of life. The items in M-QoL are considered applicable to both
47 elderly people, palliative care patients, and caregivers by authors in the validated context of
48 Hong Kong.[19]
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Phase 2 Measurement

The questionnaire for family caregivers contains eight parts: (A) Their relationship with the elderly person; (B) Healthcare experiences and attitudes, and preferences for EoLC; (C) Expectations of EoLC, (D) Advanced Care Planning experiences and attitudes; (E) awareness, experience, and attitudes toward Advance Directives (F) Ideal terminal/dying situations (preferences with respect to places of care and eventual death); (G) Quality of Life; and (H) Supplementary information on demographics, financial support for caregiving, bereavement experience, and self-rated health (personal profile). The contents are similar to the elderly survey version with slight changes in wording to include an item that measures the caregivers' understanding of the elderly person's own perspective. Most of the questions are closed-ended, while there are open-ended questions for the caregivers to express their own opinions.

For both versions of surveys, there is a small ending section where interview staff can write their observation notes on respondents' emotions. Interviewers may also mark any words such as 'euthanasia' or 'hastened death' if these are mentioned during the conversations.[20]

Completion time for the interview is estimated to be 30-45 minutes. The RA will read out and explain all survey items one by one to the respondents to ensure the quality of the data collection. Furthermore, the respondents are not allowed to change their answer once the questions have been answered.

Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) statement

Potential barriers to study this population with PPI include the challenges of engaging the local elderly home management given that service providers are motivated to protect their residents and may hesitate before to aid research, especially without formal ethical approval. The survey was developed after consultation with public representatives, such as experienced staff members who work with the target population. We did not directly involve elderly people at the initial stage of this study as we did not have any pre-established partnership with local RCHEs. The revision of the survey content based on pilot responses took the elderly participants' feedback into account. We radically revised the survey content by deleting some awareness items, changing the wording to make the current survey easier to use in a formal study. Furthermore, we plan to disseminate the study findings in plain language in elderly homes and to the general public in the future, and their responses to the findings can influence the development of EoLC policy and practice in Hong Kong further.

Data Analysis

IBM SPSS and Mplus will be used for the statistical analyses. Descriptive analysis will first be conducted on demographics. Response frequencies for each measure will be tabulated, and the corresponding proportions will be calculated by dividing the frequency by the number of respondents. We plan to apply Logistic Regression Analysis to investigate independent predictors of our outcomes of interests, for example, the participants' preference to have communication on ACP for their own terminal care. The associations of sociodemographic characteristics, frailty, depression, and functioning status with the outcomes will be analysed.

We will apply Kappa Statistics to examine paired results between the frail elderly persons and their family caregivers. Preferences for life-sustaining treatment will be expressed in 3x2 tables and described in terms of percentage agreement and the kappa coefficient. The level of agreement will be described using indices such as ‘agreement index’ developed in the literature on treatment preferences. Where a caregiver could accurately interpret an elderly participant’s preference in LST, whether that was for active treatment, against LST, or uncertain, they will gain a score of one. Thus, we will use a total score of 0 (no ability) through 8 (full ability) to know or estimate the family members’ preferences in treatment for elderly residents who were at terminal stages from survey hypothetical scenarios. Combined agreement scores from all matched pairs of family caregivers and elderly respondents will represent overall agreement in the survey sample. Finally, we plan to calculate the association between elderly participants and family caregivers’ characteristics and agreement scores through multiple linear regression.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The cross-sectional survey has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (IRB Reference number: UW 18-443). To respect the principles of human dignity and voluntary participation in the study, a comprehensive verbal explanation and a comprehensive information sheet will be provided to the participants (family caregivers and the frail elderly home residents) during the recruitment period, prior to the commencement of data collection. The purpose and procedure of the study, as well as the risks and benefits of participation, will be explained. Respondents will be encouraged to clarify any queries related to the study with the research staff. Oral consent will be obtained from elderly participants before commencing the individual interviews. For their family

1
2
3 members, respondents can opt for either oral or written consent. Participation will be entirely
4
5 voluntary, and respondents will be informed of their rights to withdraw or refuse to give
6
7 information at any time without incurring penalties or deprivation of services.
8
9

10
11 There is a chance that these interviews may cause some stress for elderly participants
12
13 beyond what is typical in their casual daily conversations given that as the survey covers death-
14
15 related content. In the pilot interviews, signs of stress occasionally appeared as expected.
16
17 Participants were asked whether they wanted to continue or not, and then their wishes were
18
19 respected. Similarly, in the future interviews during the proposed study, the survey process will
20
21 be paused in cases when participants are willing to continue despite stress to reduce potential
22
23 harm to participants. The researchers will closely observe the reactions of the elderly
24
25 participants. Additionally, relaxation techniques will be taught to the elderly participants on-site
26
27 as needed. This will be documented in our survey and referred to staff for appropriate follow-up.
28
29 Moreover, positive answers to the item on suicidal ideation item and those with depression
30
31 symptoms at the cut-off score of 2 or above will be brought to the attention of the elderly home
32
33 manager.[18]
34
35
36
37
38

39
40 The survey project officially began on November 1, 2018, and we aim to complete the
41
42 project by October 30, 2021. Our results of the proposed cross-sectional study will be reported
43
44 with reference to the international statement in the STrengthening the Reporting of
45
46 OBservational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist for cross-sectional studies.[21] The
47
48 findings will be disseminated through international conference presentations and peer-reviewed
49
50 academic journal publications. Meanwhile, a report in plain language will be shared with our
51
52 partner elderly homes. The evidence gathered from this study has the potential to benefit
53
54 research on EoLC both locally and internationally, and to further inform practitioners on the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 desires and the EoLC needs of the frail elderly people and their caregivers. It is very important to
4 share the knowledge that we gain on the preferences of frail elderly home residents with
5
6 professionals in healthcare sectors, such as physicians, direct service staff, and the larger
7
8 community. Understanding their wishes can be a great step towards developing better quality
9
10 EoLC for the 8% of Hong Kong elderly population who reside in RCHes.[22]
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

19
20 CL, PC, and BY conceptualized the survey design. BY and PC drafted the manuscript protocol.
21
22 BY prepared the IRB application and the amendment from collaborative input. BY and XX
23
24 conducted pilot survey interviews. BY and XX made the revision with CL. All the authors,
25
26 including BY, XX, PC, NT, DC, FC, and CL, contributed to the development of the survey
27
28 protocol and approved the final version of the manuscript.
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **FUNDING STATEMENT**

36
37
38 The current research is supported by the Enhanced New Staff Start-up Research Grant by the
39
40 University of Hong Kong (HKD 380,000 for three years commencing November 01, 2018).
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT**

48
49 The authors have no competing interests to declare.
50
51
52
53
54

55 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing. We would like to thank Dr. Robert Smith for reviewing an earlier version of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Chung RY, Wong EL, Kiang N, *et al.* Knowledge, Attitudes, and Preferences of Advance Decisions, End-of-Life Care, and Place of Care and Death in Hong Kong. A Population-Based Telephone Survey of 1067 Adults. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017;18:367.e19-27.
2. Tu SW. Advance Care Planning in Elderly Residents of Long-term Care Facilities and Its Related Factors [master's thesis]. Taipei Medical University, Taiwan; 2016.
3. Ni P, Zhou J, Wang ZX, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among nursing home residents in Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15:751-6.
4. Wang CW, Chan CL. End-of-life care research in Hong Kong: A systematic review of peer-reviewed publications. *Palliat Support Care* 2015;13:1711-20.
5. Lee MC, Hubderer KA, Kehl KA. A systematic review of advance directives and advance care planning in Chinese people from Eastern and Western cultures. *J Hosp Palliat Nurs* 2014;16(2):75-85.
6. Mok SB, Ting H, Lau KP. Advance directives and life-sustaining treatment: informed attitudes of Hong Kong Chinese elders with chronic disease. *J Nurs and Healthcare of Chronic Illness* 2010;2:313-9.
7. Morley JE, Haren MT, Rolland Y, *et al.* Frailty. *Med Clin North Am* 2006;90:837-47.
8. Chu LW, Luk JL, Hui E, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among Chinese nursing home residents in Hong Kong. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2011;12:143-52.
9. Luk KH, Chan HW. End-of-life care for advanced dementia patients in residential care home-a hong kong perspective. *Ann Palliat Med* 2018; 7(3):359-64.
10. Policies and measures on elderly care services. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Council Business Division 2; 2016 Jan 14. Paper No.:CB(2)631/15-16(01).
11. Measuring the Age-friendliness of Cities: A Guide to Use Core Indicators. World Health Organization (Japan): WHO Kobe Centre; 2015.128p. ISBN:97892415.
12. Chan HY, Pang SM. Quality of life concerns and end-of-life care preferences of aged persons in long-term care facilities. *J Clin Nurs* 2007;16:2158-66.
13. Russ AJ, Kaufman SR. Family Perceptions of Prognosis, Silence, and the "Suddenness" of Death. *Cult Med Psychiatry* 2005;29:103-23.

14. Winter L, Parks SM. Family discord and proxy decision makers' end-of-life treatment decisions. *J Palliat Med* 2008;11:1109-14.
15. Woo J, Yu R, Wong M, *et al*. Frailty Screening in the Community Using the FRAIL Scale. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16:412-9.
16. Chu LW, Pei KW, Ho MH, *et al*. Validation of the Abbreviated Mental Test (Hong Kong version) in the elderly medical patient. *Hong Kong Med J* 1995;1:207-11.
17. Collin C, Wade DT, Davies S, *et al*. The Barthel ADL Index: a reliability study. *Int Disabil Stud* 1988;10:61-3.
18. Cheng ST, Yu EC, Lee SY, *et al*. The geriatric depression scale as a screening tool for depression and suicide ideation: A replication and extension. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010;18:256-65.
19. Lo RS, Woo J, Zhoc KC, *et al*. Cross-cultural validation of the McGill Quality of Life questionnaire in Hong Kong Chinese. *Palliat Med* 2001;15:387-97.
20. Wang YC, Lin CC. Spiritual well-being may reduce the negative impacts of cancer symptoms on the Quality of Life and the desire for hastened death in terminally ill cancer patients. *Cancer Nurs* 2016;39:43-50.
21. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, *et al*. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;370(9596):1453-7.
22. Statistical Highlights: Residential Care for the Elderly. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Information Services Division; 2017 Mar 14. ISSH22/16-17.

BMJ Open

Preferences for End-of-Life Care: A Study Protocol for a Cross-sectional Survey of Chinese Frail Elderly Home Residents in Hong Kong

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-033862.R3
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Mar-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Yan, Bo; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Xu, Xinyi; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Chau, Patsy PH; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Takemura, Naomi; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Cheung, Yee Tak Derek; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing Chan, Felix HW; University of Hong Kong, Department of Medicine Lin, Chia-Chin; University of Hong Kong, School of Nursing
Primary Subject Heading:	Nursing
Secondary Subject Heading:	Geriatric medicine
Keywords:	End-of-Life Care, Frail, Advance Directive, Survey, Chinese, Advance Care Planning

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 Preferences for End-of-Life Care: A Study Protocol for a Cross-sectional Survey of Chinese
4
5 Frail Elderly Home Residents in Hong Kong
6
7
8
9
10

11 Yan, Bo¹,
12 Xu, Xinyi¹,
13 Chau, Patsy PH¹,
14 Takemura, Naomi¹,
15 Cheung, Derek YT¹,
16 Chan, Felix HW²,
17 Lin, Chia-Chin¹ *
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 ¹ School of Nursing, the University of Hong Kong, HKSAR

28
29 ² Department of Medicine, the University of Hong Kong, HKSAR

30
31
32
33 * Corresponding email: cclin@hku.hk
34
35

36 Mail: 4/F William Mong Bldg., School of Nursing, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

37
38 Office phone: +852-3917-6600; Fax: +852-2872-6079
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Word count: 3,543
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Existing literature on attitudes toward end-of-life care (EoLC) covers the general public but has little information on the frail elderly population. The aim of the current study is to investigate the preferences of Chinese frail elderly home residents with respect to EoLC by conducting cross-sectional surveys.

Methods and analysis: Surveys, including resident and family versions, were developed based on the existing literature and our pilot interviews. The targeted participants were 400 frail elderly home residents (aged ≥ 65 years old) and 200 family caregivers. Purposive sampling will be used as each elderly home will help to recruit 5 to 15 elderly participants for the study. Descriptive analysis and modelling will be used to examine preferences on EoLC and related factors, as well as to compare the responses of elderly home residents with those of their family caregivers.

Ethics and dissemination: The cross-sectional survey has obtained IRB approval. Confidentiality and safety issues will be carefully observed. The results of the study will be disseminated through international conferences, peer-reviewed academic journal publications, and a report in plain language to be shared with elderly residential homes.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Survey versions were developed to inquire into the preference of both the frail elderly home residents and family caregivers.
- For the first time, surveys on elderly people's attitudes towards EoLC cover all main areas of Hong Kong.
- The cross-sectional design of this study cannot conclude causality.
- Participants with communication problems (i.e., deafness or aphasia) were excluded due

1
2
3 to the nature of the data collection method.
4
5
6
7

8 INTRODUCTION 9

10
11 End-of-life care (EoLC) attitudes have increasingly attracted attention in peer-reviewed
12 journals.[1-4] The literature indicates that EoLC for terminally ill patients can include many
13 challenges, for example, access to services, quality of care, awareness, attitudes, communication,
14 and decision making.[4] Advance care planning (ACP) and advance directives (ADs) have been
15 promoted in order to discover patient attitudes towards their EoLC,[5] with the benevolent
16 intention that these processes help to honour their wishes or preferences for a good death. An AD
17 is one of the potential outcomes of participation in ACP. Both ADs and ACP involve the
18 patients' understanding of life-sustaining treatment (LST).[6] Several studies have demonstrated
19 that the awareness of AD is low in Chinese populations.[1-3, 5] Moreover, a recent systematic
20 review summarised 107 EoLC studies in Hong Kong in the past decade reported a primary focus
21 on AD rather than ACP.[4] Studies of Chinese family attitudes towards ACP present mixed
22 findings according to Lee's review in 2014.[5] Therefore, our study aims to investigate the
23 preferences of Chinese frail elderly home residents with respect to EoLC. We explore their
24 preferences along with relevant factors, such as ACP and AD awareness, preferences concerning
25 LST, decision-making, and preferred place of death, by conducting original surveys with frail
26 elderly home residents and their family caregivers.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 By definition, frailty refers to a vulnerable state in which there is an increased risk of
50 hospitalisation, deterioration in functioning, and mortality.[7] Frail elderly people are
51 particularly understudied, and thus their voices have rarely been heard by academics, politicians,
52 or the wider public. Though we found the most recent report on the prevalence of Hong Kong
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 general public preferences regarding EoLC and preferred place of death,[1, 8] these results were
4 generated from telephone landline surveys. The public participants who joined the brief phone
5 interview may not represent the views of frail elderly home residents on these sensitive topics.
6
7 The landline phone calls could not reach the frail elderly population living in residential care
8 homes for the elderly (RCHes), including nursing homes, care and attention homes, and other
9 types of homes that provide comprehensive care for dependent people.[9] Our study will help to
10 bridge this knowledge gap. Face-to-face interviews with frail elderly home residents will help to
11 gain a better understanding of their attitudes.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 The present study is significant as the population of Hong Kong ranks top in life
23 expectancy around the globe, and the percentage of its ageing population is rising.[1, 9] The
24 government officially projects that the percentage of elderly residents aged 65 and above will
25 continue to grow from over one million to 2.61 million in 2058 (36% of the Hong Kong
26 population).[1, 10] This is much higher than estimates of the global elderly population (21.1% in
27 2050).[11] At present, the demands for residential elderly care services in Hong Kong remain
28 overwhelming, with average waiting time as long as 24 months for admission.[12] Due to
29 priority considerations, frailty is one of the primary characteristics taken into account for elderly
30 people being admitted to Hong Kong RCHes.[9] Therefore, it is critically important to study the
31 elderly home residents with pre-frail or frail statuses as they are most likely to receive EoLC in
32 residential homes.[9]
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Meanwhile, knowledge about the region must be urgently updated as the only studies on
49 EoLC among elderly home residents in Hong Kong were conducted roughly ten years ago.[4, 6,
50 12] Hypothesising those factors that influence their preferences from the existing literature
51 enables us to explore additional possible predictors. The present study will use a comprehensive
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

design to explore the under-researched topic of EoLC preferences of an understudied population: frail elderly home residents. Within families, caregivers' attitudes are also crucial, as they play a significant role as surrogate decision-makers in the future circumstance of an elderly family member, who does not have an AD or ACP, losing the capacity to make decisions on their own. Families often find making EoLC decisions very stressful.[13, 14] The systematic review by Wang and Chan shows that family caregivers in Hong Kong have also been an insufficiently studied population.[4] Thus, it is worth further investigation of caregiver's attitudes, and so we include this comparative perspective in our analysis. This is consistent with the World Health Organization's initiative to build an age-friendly environment.[11] To enhance the quality of EoLC in RCHEs, our survey findings will be a helpful reference to further identifying some directions for public education and other interventions in order to meet the needs of Hong Kong's frail elderly home residents.

Research questions

Phase 1: Survey of elderly home residents

What is the level of awareness of such terms as living will, AD, or ACP in Hong Kong among the frail elderly people, and what are their preferences with regard to ACP, decision-making, LST, AD, EoLC, and preferred place of death?

Phase 2: Family caregiver survey

1
2
3 What is the level of awareness of such terms such as living will, AD, or ACP in Hong Kong
4 among family caregivers, and what are their attitudes of elderly family members' preferences?
5
6
7
8
9

10 11 **METHODS AND ANALYSIS** 12 13

14 **Design** 15

16
17 Prior to the main cross-sectional study, a pilot questionnaire has been completed on one site with
18 a sample of 12 participants in an RCHE. The feasibility of the survey content was assessed.
19
20 During our pilot survey interviews, we found the majority (10 out of 12) of participants who
21 agreed to join the study were happy or felt it was acceptable to discuss EoLC issues with us,
22 while the remaining two participants lost interest or refused to continue in the middle of the
23 interviews, and their withdrawal was respected. Afterwards, no severe distress was reported or
24 observed. Similarly, the existing literature shows that elderly people in Hong Kong facilities are
25 willing to talk about issues related to death and dying.[12] This offers our survey the advantage
26 of gaining first-hand knowledge about the elderly people's preferences and experiences. In the
27 pilot, only one participant out of the 12 participants had heard of AD or ACP before our
28 interviews. This low level of awareness is consistent with earlier surveys that reported that 96%
29 and 85.7% of people surveyed had never heard of AD.[1,8]
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 We conducted four phone interviews with family caregivers as a pilot for the family
46 version of the survey. Three of the four interviews were completed, and the only dropout
47 occurred part way through an interview when the participant incorrectly thought that our
48 interview was an attempt to sell her something. Feasibility and acceptance of our survey appear
49 high among frail elderly home residents and family caregivers in Hong Kong. We have made
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 modifications to the version for the elderly person to shorten the questionnaire to reduce the
4 burden of participation. We have added explanations of ACP and AD in plain language so that it
5 is easier for lay participants to understand. We have provided more choices on why participants
6 do or do not want to consider initiating ACP or an AD based on the pilot findings.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **Outcomes**

- 17
18
19 i) Phase 1 Primary outcome: Preferences of the frail elderly person on ACP.
20
21
22 ii) Phase 1 Secondary outcomes: The frail elderly person's level of awareness and preferences
23 with regard to LST, decision-making, AD, preferred place of death, and quality of life.
24
25
26
27 iii) Phase 2 Primary outcome: Level of agreement between Family caregivers' preferences and
28 those of elderly's on ACP for elderly home residents.
29
30
31
32 iv) Phase 2 Secondary outcomes: Family caregivers' awareness of these terms and preferences
33 with regard to LST, decision-making, ACP, AD, and preferred place of death.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Participants**

42 Phase 1 Frail elderly home residents

43
44
45
46 The inclusion criteria for the frail elderly participants are as follows:

- 47
48
49 i) At least 65 years of age;
50
51
52 ii) Chinese in elderly homes who can speak and understand either Cantonese or Mandarin;
53
54
55 iii) Either pre-frail or frail status (rating 1-5 on FRAIL).[15]
56
57
58
59
60

The exclusion criteria for frail elderly participants are:

- i) Cognitive impairment (scoring five or below on the abbreviated mental test [AMT]).[16]

To screen for ineligibility due to cognitive impairment, the Chinese AMT will be used.

- ii) Communication problems due to functional impairment (for example, deafness or aphasia).

Phase 2 Family caregivers

The inclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are as follows

- i) Hong Kong Chinese adults (18 years of age or above) who can speak and understand Cantonese or Mandarin.

The exclusion criteria for family caregiver participants are:

- (i) Communication problems due to functional (deafness or aphasia) or intellectual impairment.

Recruitment

We plan to partner with 32 local elderly homes with an average of 12 residents from each home.

Under the most conservative scenario (assuming the true proportion is 50%), a sample of 384 elderly residents will be required to give a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of people who would prefer to have communication on ACP with a margin of error of 5%. To cater for inter-correlation within residents in the same home, we assume ICC to be 0.05. We will expect to interview 400 elderly participants in order to collect their responses to the survey in the first phase. Assuming that around half of these elderly people will have family caregivers willing to join the study, 200 family caregivers will be interviewed in the second phase.

Using a purposive sampling method, we plan to select elderly homes in all main regions of Hong Kong (Hong Kong Island, Kowloon, and the New Territories) by sending out invitations via mail and by convenient professional networks. The managers of the elderly care homes will be approached via phone calls and asked permission to let us interview their elderly residents. The elderly residents in the homes will be invited in-person to participate in face-to-face survey interviews. The family caregivers can choose to join caregiver interviews via either face-to-face or via phone survey with our research staff at a time convenient as mutually agreed.

The facility staff will introduce us to the elderly candidates. There will be no monetary incentives offered to either the participants or the agencies involved. During the recruitment process, the research assistant (RA) will explain the study to the potential participants either in a private room (bedside/chairside) or in the corner of a common room to protect privacy. After seeking oral consent from residents to participate, the RA will administer the AMT and the five-item Frailty scale to screen out ineligible ones based on our exclusion criteria. The RA will then conduct an individual face-to-face interview with the participant using our designed questionnaire. Trained RAs will collect data during the interviews on either paper or digital form, depending on Internet availability. Digital devices (i.e., smartphones or tablets) for accessing the digital survey will be operated by the research staff during the interviews with the participants, and paper-based surveys will serve as a backup option.

Survey interviews

A structured questionnaire (one version for the frail elderly and the other version for their family caregivers) has been developed based on the objectives of the current study and with reference to

1
2
3 a recent publication on similar topics.[1-3] Additional valid scales from existing evidence were
4 integrated to measure important factors (for example, geriatric depressive symptoms[17]) that
5 could potentially affect participants' EoLC attitude in this comprehensive survey study. The
6 authors ascertained face validity based on literature and research experience in the area. The
7 Chinese questionnaires have been viewed by a panel of six Hong Kong local experts, including
8 one geriatric doctor, one clinical psychologist, two nurses, and two social workers. The first
9 elderly questionnaire has been pilot tested on one site with a sample of 12 participants to test for
10 feasibility. We then revised the survey in preparation for the main study. After the pilot test, the
11 experts were invited to judge the content validity of the questions for the second time as the
12 questionnaires were developed. The content validity index was calculated as excellent
13 (CVI/AVR = 0.87 for elderly survey and 0.98 for caregiver survey).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Phase 1 Measurement

30
31
32 The AMT and FRAIL screening tools will be applied to select our participants. The
33 interview for the frail elderly participants will be conducted if they are deemed eligible. The
34 questionnaire contains seven parts: (A) Demographics and functioning (personal profile); (B)
35 Healthcare experiences and attitude; (C) Expectations of EoLC, (D) Advanced Care Planning
36 experience and attitude; (E) Advance Directives awareness, experience, and attitude (F) Ideal
37 terminal/death environment (preferences on places of care and eventual death); and (G) Quality
38 of Life.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 (A) Demographic information including age, sex, educational level, marital status, religion,
50 financial source, type of nursing home, family members, self-reported health and pain severity,
51 and bereavement experience, etc., will be collected. To assess daily functioning, frailty,
52 depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation, we will use the following respective scales, 10-item
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Barthel Index on ADL,[18] 5-item FRAIL,[15] Geriatric Depression Scale GDS-4, and a single-
4
5 item suicidal ideation assessment.[19]
6
7

8 (B) The participants will be asked to share their experience of and attitude toward life-
9
10 sustaining treatment and previous hospitalisation according to questions we have devised.
11
12

13 (C) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on decision making with regard to
14
15 LST in a hypothetical dying scenario, and their attitudes on quality of life at the end of life. The
16
17 hypothetical dying scenario will be described in one phrase as “becoming seriously ill but with
18
19 no cure available” for themselves.
20
21
22

23 (D) The participants will be asked about their awareness and experience or participation in
24
25 ACP, their attitudes and preferred communication with others, as well as their reasons for
26
27 rejecting the idea of ACP.
28
29
30

31 (E) Participants will be asked about their awareness of a living will and AD, and about their
32
33 current consideration in formulating their written preferences on medical decisions and AD. The
34
35 reasons behind their choices and the persons who introduced these topics to them will also be
36
37 explored.
38
39
40

41 (F) The participants will be asked to rate their opinions on their hypothetical dying situation,
42
43 i.e., the ideal places of their terminal care and death. They will be asked about their preferences
44
45 regarding dying alone or having certain persons around them during their own eventual death.
46
47

48 (G) The McGill Quality of Life (M-QoL-HK) questionnaire will be used to measure Quality
49
50 of Life among Hong Kong adults. Through a ten-point Likert Scale of 17 items, M-QoL
51
52 measures four dimensions, including physical health, psychological/mental health, spiritual
53
54 wellbeing, and overall quality of life. The items in M-QoL are considered applicable to both
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 elderly people, palliative care patients, and caregivers by authors in the validated context of
4
5 Hong Kong.[20]
6
7
8
9
10

11 Phase 2 Measurement

12
13
14 The questionnaire for family caregivers contains eight parts: (A) Their relationship with the
15 elderly person; (B) Healthcare experiences and attitudes, and preferences for EoLC; (C)
16 Expectations of EoLC, (D) Advanced Care Planning experiences and attitudes; (E) awareness,
17 experience, and attitudes toward Advance Directives (F) Ideal terminal/dying situations
18 (preferences with respect to places of care and eventual death); (G) Quality of Life; and (H)
19 Supplementary information on demographics, financial support for caregiving, bereavement
20 experience, and self-rated health (personal profile). The contents are similar to the elderly survey
21 version with slight changes in wording to include an item that measures the caregivers'
22 understanding of the elderly person's own perspective. Most of the questions are closed-ended,
23 while there are open-ended questions for the caregivers to express their own opinions.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 For both versions of surveys, there is a small ending section where interview staff can
39 write their observation notes on respondents' emotions. Interviewers may also mark any words
40 such as 'euthanasia' or 'hastened death' if these are mentioned during the conversations.[21]
41
42
43
44

45 Completion time for the interview is estimated to be 30-45 minutes. The RA will read out
46 and explain all survey items one by one to the respondents to ensure the quality of the data
47 collection. Furthermore, the respondents are not allowed to change their answer once the
48 questions have been answered.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) statement

Potential barriers to study this population with PPI include the challenges of engaging the local elderly home management given that service providers are motivated to protect their residents and may hesitate before to aid research, especially without formal ethical approval. The survey was developed after consultation with public representatives, such as experienced staff members who work with the target population. We did not directly involve elderly people at the initial stage of this study as we did not have any pre-established partnership with local RCHEs. The revision of the survey content based on pilot responses took the elderly participants' feedback into account. We radically revised the survey content by deleting some awareness items, changing the wording to make the current survey easier to use in a formal study. Furthermore, we plan to disseminate the study findings in plain language in elderly homes and to the general public in the future, and their responses to the findings can influence the development of EoLC policy and practice in Hong Kong further.

Data Analysis

IBM SPSS and Mplus will be used for the statistical analyses. Descriptive analysis will first be conducted on demographics. Response frequencies for each measure will be tabulated, and the corresponding proportions will be calculated by dividing the frequency by the number of respondents. We plan to apply Logistic Regression Analysis to investigate independent predictors of our outcomes of interests, for example, the participants' preference to have communication on ACP for their own terminal care. The associations of sociodemographic characteristics, frailty, depression, and functioning status with the outcomes will be analysed.

We will apply Kappa Statistics to examine paired results between the frail elderly persons and their family caregivers. Preferences for life-sustaining treatment will be expressed in 3x2 tables and described in terms of percentage agreement and the kappa coefficient. The level of agreement will be described using indices such as ‘agreement index’ developed in the literature on treatment preferences. Where a caregiver could accurately interpret an elderly participant’s preference in LST, whether that was for active treatment, against LST, or uncertain, they will gain a score of one. Thus, we will use a total score of 0 (no ability) through 8 (full ability) to know or estimate the family members’ preferences in treatment for elderly residents who were at terminal stages from survey hypothetical scenarios. Combined agreement scores from all matched pairs of family caregivers and elderly respondents will represent overall agreement in the survey sample. Finally, we plan to calculate the association between elderly participants and family caregivers’ characteristics and agreement scores through multiple linear regression.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The cross-sectional survey has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (IRB Reference number: UW 18-443). To respect the principles of human dignity and voluntary participation in the study, a comprehensive verbal explanation and a comprehensive information sheet will be provided to the participants (family caregivers and the frail elderly home residents) during the recruitment period, prior to the commencement of data collection. The purpose and procedure of the study, as well as the risks and benefits of participation, will be explained. Respondents will be encouraged to clarify any queries related to the study with the research staff. Oral consent will be obtained from elderly participants before commencing the individual interviews. For their family

1
2
3 members, respondents can opt for either oral or written consent. Participation will be entirely
4
5 voluntary, and respondents will be informed of their rights to withdraw or refuse to give
6
7 information at any time without incurring penalties or deprivation of services.
8
9

10
11 There is a chance that these interviews may cause some stress for elderly participants
12
13 beyond what is typical in their casual daily conversations given that as the survey covers death-
14
15 related content. In the pilot interviews, signs of stress occasionally appeared as expected.
16
17 Participants were asked whether they wanted to continue or not, and then their wishes were
18
19 respected. Similarly, in the future interviews during the proposed study, the survey process will
20
21 be paused in cases when participants are willing to continue despite stress to reduce potential
22
23 harm to participants. The researchers will closely observe the reactions of the elderly
24
25 participants. Additionally, relaxation techniques will be taught to the elderly participants on-site
26
27 as needed. This will be documented in our survey and referred to staff for appropriate follow-up.
28
29 Moreover, positive answers to the item on suicidal ideation item and those with depression
30
31 symptoms at the cut-off score of 2 or above will be brought to the attention of the elderly home
32
33 manager.[19]
34
35
36
37
38

39
40 The survey project officially began on November 1, 2018, and we aim to complete the
41
42 project by October 30, 2021. Our results of the proposed cross-sectional study will be reported
43
44 with reference to the international statement in the STrengthening the Reporting of
45
46 OBservational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist for cross-sectional studies.[22] The
47
48 findings will be disseminated through international conference presentations and peer-reviewed
49
50 academic journal publications. Meanwhile, a report in plain language will be shared with our
51
52 partner elderly homes. The evidence gathered from this study has the potential to benefit
53
54 research on EoLC both locally and internationally, and to further inform practitioners on the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 desires and the EoLC needs of the frail elderly people and their caregivers. It is very important to
4 share the knowledge that we gain on the preferences of frail elderly home residents with
5
6 professionals in healthcare sectors, such as physicians, direct service staff, and the larger
7
8 community. Understanding their wishes can be a great step towards developing better quality
9
10 EoLC for the 8% of Hong Kong elderly population who reside in RCHes.[23]
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

19
20 CL, PC, and BY conceptualized the survey design. BY and PC drafted the manuscript protocol.
21
22 BY prepared the IRB application and the amendment from collaborative input. BY and XX
23
24 conducted pilot survey interviews. BY and XX made the revision with CL. All the authors,
25
26 including BY, XX, PC, NT, DC, FC, and CL, contributed to the development of the survey
27
28 protocol and approved the final version of the manuscript.
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **FUNDING STATEMENT**

36
37
38 The current research is supported by the Enhanced New Staff Start-up Research Grant by the
39
40 University of Hong Kong (HKD 380,000 for three years commencing November 01, 2018).
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT**

48
49 The authors have no competing interests to declare.
50
51
52
53
54

55 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing. We would like to thank Dr. Robert Smith for reviewing an earlier version of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Chung RY, Wong EL, Kiang N, *et al.* Knowledge, Attitudes, and Preferences of Advance Decisions, End-of-Life Care, and Place of Care and Death in Hong Kong. A Population-Based Telephone Survey of 1067 Adults. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017;18:367.e19-27.
2. Tu SW. Advance Care Planning in Elderly Residents of Long-term Care Facilities and Its Related Factors [master's thesis]. Taipei Medical University, Taiwan; 2016.
3. Ni P, Zhou J, Wang ZX, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among nursing home residents in Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15:751-6.
4. Wang CW, Chan CL. End-of-life care research in Hong Kong: A systematic review of peer-reviewed publications. *Palliat Support Care* 2015;13:1711-20.
5. Lee MC, Hubderer KA, Kehl KA. A systematic review of advance directives and advance care planning in Chinese people from Eastern and Western cultures. *J Hosp Palliat Nurs* 2014;16(2):75-85.
6. Mok SB, Ting H, Lau KP. Advance directives and life-sustaining treatment: informed attitudes of Hong Kong Chinese elders with chronic disease. *J Nurs Healthc Chronic Illn* 2010;2:313-9.
7. Morley JE, Haren MT, Rolland Y, *et al.* Frailty. *Med Clin North Am* 2006;90:837-47.
8. Chu LW, Luk JL, Hui E, *et al.* Advance directive and end-of-life care preferences among Chinese nursing home residents in Hong Kong. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2011;12:143-52.
9. Luk KH, Chan HW. End-of-life care for advanced dementia patients in residential care home-a hong kong perspective. *Ann Palliat Med* 2018; 7(3):359-64.
10. Policies and measures on elderly care services. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Council Business Division 2; 2016 Jan 14. Paper No.:CB(2)631/15-16(01).
11. Measuring the Age-friendliness of Cities: A Guide to Use Core Indicators. World Health Organization (Japan): WHO Kobe Centre; 2015.128p. ISBN:97892415.
12. Chan HY, Pang SM. Quality of life concerns and end-of-life care preferences of aged persons in long-term care facilities. *J Clin Nurs* 2007;16:2158-66.
13. Russ AJ, Kaufman SR. Family Perceptions of Prognosis, Silence, and the "Suddenness" of Death. *Cult Med Psychiatry* 2005;29:103-23.

14. Winter L, Parks SM. Family discord and proxy decision makers' end-of-life treatment decisions. *J Palliat Med* 2008;11:1109-14.
15. Woo J, Yu R, Wong M, *et al*. Frailty Screening in the community using the FRAIL Scale. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16:412-9.
16. Chu LW, Pei KW, Ho MH, *et al*. Validation of the Abbreviated Mental Test (Hong Kong version) in the elderly medical patient. *Hong Kong Med J* 1995;1:207-11.
17. Kim J, Shin MS, Park YM, *et al*. Associations of Advance Directive knowledge, attitudes, and barriers/benefits with preferences for Advance Treatment Directives among patients with heart failure and their caregivers. *J Card Fail* 2020;26(1):61-9.
18. Collin C, Wade DT, Davies S, *et al*. The Barthel ADL Index: a reliability study. *Int Disabil Stud* 1988;10:61-3.
19. Cheng ST, Yu EC, Lee SY, *et al*. The geriatric depression scale as a screening tool for depression and suicide ideation: A replication and extension. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010;18:256-65.
20. Lo RS, Woo J, Zhoc KC, *et al*. Cross-cultural validation of the McGill Quality of Life questionnaire in Hong Kong Chinese. *Palliat Med* 2001;15:387-97.
21. Wang YC, Lin CC. Spiritual well-being may reduce the negative impacts of cancer symptoms on the Quality of Life and the desire for hastened death in terminally ill cancer patients. *Cancer Nurs* 2016;39:43-50.
22. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, *et al*. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;370(9596):1453-7.
23. Statistical Highlights: Residential Care for the Elderly. Legislative Council (Hong Kong): Information Services Division; 2017 Mar 14. ISSH22/16-17.