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ABSTRACT

Introduction There is increasing evidence demonstrating the benefits of exercise in counteracting cancer treatment-related fatigue. Immunotherapy is an established treatment for advanced melanoma, and is associated with fatigue in a third of patients. The safety and efficacy of exercise in counteracting treatment-related fatigue in patients with advanced melanoma receiving immunotherapy are yet to be determined. This study aims to assess the safety, adherence to and acceptability of a mixed-methods parallel-group, pilot randomised controlled trial of a personalised, 12-week semi-supervised exercise programme prescribed by an exercise physiologist (iMove) in 30 patients with stage IV melanoma scheduled to commence immunotherapy: single agent ipilimumab, nivolumab or pembrolizumab, or combination ipilimumab and nivolumab. The trial will be used to provide preliminary evidence of the potential efficacy of exercise for managing fatigue.

Methods and analysis Thirty participants will be recruited from a specialist cancer centre between May and September, 2019. Participants will be randomised 1:1 to receive iMove, or usual care (an information booklet about exercise for people with cancer). Feasibility data comprise: eligibility; recruitment and retention rates; adherence to and acceptability of exercise consultations, personalised exercise programme and study measures; and exercise-related adverse events. Patient-reported outcome measures assess potential impact of the exercise intervention on: fatigue, role functioning, symptoms and quality of life. Follow-up will comprise five time points over 24 weeks. Physical assessments measure physical fitness and functioning.

Ethics and dissemination This study was reviewed and approved by the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC/48927/PMCC-2019). The findings from this trial will be disseminated via conference presentations and publications in peer-reviewed journals, and by engagement with clinicians, media, government and consumers. In particular, we will promote the outcomes of this work among the oncology community should this pilot indicate benefit for patients.

Strength and limitations of this study

- This trial will provide novel data regarding the feasibility of delivering exercise interventions to counteract immunotherapy-related fatigue in the advanced melanoma population.
- Given the success of immunotherapy as a treatment for melanoma, and many other cancers, developing an exercise intervention which counteracts immunotherapy-related fatigue has the potential to benefit many patients.
- Exercise physiologists undertaking physical assessments cannot be blinded to participation randomisation outcomes due to pragmatic design limitations.
- This is a single site study, so may not produce results that are directly relevant to all patients and all settings; well-designed multi-site trials are needed for this purpose.

Trial registration number ACTRN12619000952145; Pre-results.

INTRODUCTION

WHO estimated approximately 62,000 people died from melanoma in 2015 globally.1 In Australia, there were 1,190 deaths from melanoma in 2019.2 Until recently, survival rates for those diagnosed with advanced melanoma were low, however the introduction of immune checkpoint inhibitors that target programmed cell death protein 1 and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 ligands, commonly referred to as ‘immunotherapy’, has resulted in significant long-term survival gains for a proportion of these people.3-6 Immunotherapy can cause a variety of side effects which can impact quality of life, the most common being fatigue.7 Immunotherapy-related fatigue occurs in a third of patients8 and has been reported by
patients as having a detrimental effect on their ability to engage in many facets of their lives, particularly caring for children and working.9

Cancer-related fatigue is a well-known side effect of anti-cancer therapies. Exercise interventions have been developed to prevent or counteract fatigue with promising results.10 11 Research into the impact of strength and resistance-based exercise on cancer and treatment-related symptoms has been undertaken in breast, prostate and colorectal cancer populations, during or after chemotherapy or radiotherapy.10 11 The Clinical Oncology Society of Australia recently issued a position statement on exercise for cancer patients, that recommends weekly participation in both aerobic and resistance training activities.12 Importantly, the statement in combination with current research supports that generic prescription of exercise therapy is safe, tolerable and efficacious for improving symptoms experienced by patients with cancer, both during and after anti-cancer therapy.11 13 However, few empirical evaluations of the role of exercise in combating symptoms of the cancer or side effects of treatment have included patients either with melanoma or those receiving immunotherapy. A feasibility study in patients with stage IV melanoma where exercise was prescribed as part of a broader supportive care intervention, indicated exercise was acceptable and achievable for patients receiving single agent immunotherapy.14

Given the rapid expansion of immunotherapy into mainstream treatment of melanoma, and increasingly other cancers, exercise interventions which effectively reduce immunotherapy-related fatigue have the potential to benefit many patients. The iMove programme, a 12-week personalised exercise physiologist (EP)-prescribed exercise programme, has therefore been developed for patients diagnosed with advanced melanoma receiving immunotherapy. The primary aims of this pilot trial are to assess the: (i) safety and acceptability of the iMove programme to patients, and (ii) feasibility of conducting a definitive randomised controlled trial (RCT). Second, the trial will provide preliminary evidence of efficacy of an exercise intervention to combat fatigue, as well as other measures of interest.

METHODS

Trial design and setting

The trial is a mixed-methods parallel-group, randomised controlled pilot trial. Qualitative and quantitative methods will be used. This study will be run at a specialist cancer hospital in Melbourne, Australia.

Patient and public involvement

The i-Move pilot trial is the product of consumer-driven research. Melanoma Patients Australia recently sought advice from our group about the potential for exercise to ameliorate immunotherapy-induced fatigue, as patients and families had been asking for advice, and for research to be conducted in the area. Our project steering committee comprises a consumer advocate to advise on project design, operations and materials, specifically to assess the burden of the intervention and participation requirements.

Participants

Patients diagnosed with stage IV melanoma scheduled to receive, or recently commenced, combination or single agent immunotherapy (ipilimumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab) will be invited to participate. Specific eligibility criteria include: aged 18 years or older, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0–2, not yet received second infusion of immunotherapy, able to speak and read English sufficiently to complete questionnaires and take part in the exercise programme without use of interpreter, deemed suitable to take part in an exercise intervention by their treating clinician (i.e. no medical contraindications to exercise), and have access to a smartphone.

Exclusion criteria comprises the following contraindications to safe exercise: grade ≥3 peripheral neuropathy, a recent history (within the previous 3 months) of myocardial infarction or unstable angina, cerebrovascular event or transient ischaemic attack or pulmonary embolic event, or existing acute or chronic deep vein thrombosis, or active sepsis.

All interested eligible patients will be requested to provide written informed consent.

Physical and safety assessment

Consented patients will complete a general health and medical safety assessment with an EP to determine trial eligibility (as detailed earlier). Data generated from the safety assessment will also be used to identify any comorbidities or other health considerations which will need to be factored into exercise prescription for the intervention group.

Once eligibility is confirmed, the EP will complete a baseline physical assessment using standard clinical exercise tests measuring cardiovascular fitness, upper limb strength and lower limb strength (see table 1 for further information on assessments). Outcomes will be used by the EP to develop a personalised exercise programme for participants randomised to the intervention group. The EP will also determine whether the participant meets the Clinical Oncology Society of Australia guidelines for activity in people with cancer12:

- At least 150 min of moderate intensity or 75 min of vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise (eg, walking, jogging, cycling, swimming) each week; and
- Two to three resistance exercise (ie, lifting weights) sessions each week involving moderate to vigorous-intensity exercises targeting the major muscle groups.

Participants will be stratified at randomisation according to whether they meet these guidelines or not.
Table 1 Participant data collection will occur at five time points throughout the trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant activity and data collection</th>
<th>Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤Second infusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Trial consent**
- x

**Patient-reported outcome measures (both groups)**
- Fatigue: FACIT-F, brief fatigue inventory
  - x
- Role functioning: PROMIS ability to participate in social roles and activities 6a
  - x
- Symptoms: Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale
  - x
- Quality of life: SF-36
  - x
- Adherence and crossover: Godin Leisure-time Exercise Questionnaire
  - x

**Safety assessment (both groups)**
- Peripheral neuropathy and other health comorbidities
  - x

**Physical assessments (both groups)**
- 30 s chair sit to stand test
  - x
- 6 min walk test
  - x
- The AKPS
  - x
- Peripheral neuropathy
  - x
- Comorbidities/musculoskeletal morbidities
  - x

**Intervention**
- Personalised intervention programme provided
  - x
- Face-to-face personalised exercise consultations
  - x
- Telephone personalised exercise consultations
  - x

**Active control**
- Cancer council exercise for people living with cancer booklet provided
  - x
- EP consultation
  - x

**Feasibility measures**
- Project operations (accrual, adherence, retention)
  - x
- Qualitative intervention patient interview (adherence, acceptability)
  - x
- Exercise-related adverse event log (safety)
  - x
- EP data collection instrument (adherence)
  - x
- Qualitative EP Interview (acceptability, adherence, fidelity)
  - x

*x indicates event is scheduled to occur

AKPS, Australia-modified Karnofsky Performance Scale; EP, exercise physiologist; FACIT-F, Functional assessment of chronic illness therapy-fatigue; SF-36, Short Form 36 Health Survey Questionnaire.

Randomisation and allocation concealment
Participants will be randomised 1:1 to either the intervention or control group. The research team will perform randomisation and notification of randomisation outcome to both patients and the EP. Randomisation will be performed using a computer-generated block allocation sequence (block size of 6), stratified by current exercise activity: whether meeting Clinical Oncology Society...
of Australia guidelines for activity in people with cancer. Allocation will be concealed from participants until after they have completed baseline physical and safety assessment and baseline patient-reported outcome measures. For those randomised to the intervention group, the EP will commence development and prescription of their exercise programme (see figure 1).

**Sample size**

The target sample is 30 participants randomised, 15 per group. The target sample is pragmatic, based on available funds and trial time frames; however, stepped rules of thumb for pilot sample sizes were considered.\(^{15} \text{16}\) If 30 patients are accrued in 3 months, then the expected monthly accrual rate is 10 patients per month with an exact 95% CI of 6.75 to
14.3 patients per month, corresponding to a 95% CI for the accrual rate over 3 months of 20.2 to 42.8 patients. Power will be insufficient to detect minimum clinically important differences between trial arms on patient-reported outcomes measures; however, if the 95% CIs for trial arm comparisons at follow-ups T3 through T5 (see table 1) contain important differences, the intervention will be viewed as promising.15

**Intervention**

The intervention will be delivered by an accredited EP based in the health service. Each individual programme will be designed by the EP, accounting for relevant demographic and clinical characteristics (eg, disease, age), physical suitability, any safety considerations and current exercise activities including work, home and leisure. The EP will use the Physitrack smartphone application (app) to provide each participant with their exercise programme. Physitrack is free for patients to download to their smartphone. Participants are instructed to use the app to view and log exercise activities completed, but not change the prescribed exercise programme. EP guidance provided as part of the exercise intervention will comprise:

- Four face-to-face sessions at the hospital: once every 3 weeks (or every 2–4 weeks for those receiving nivolumab) coinciding with immunotherapy infusion appointments.
- Four telephone supervision sessions scheduled for the week after the face-to-face session.
- One final face-to-face session at the conclusion of the exercise intervention to discuss ongoing physical activity and community resources patients can access close to home.

Each participant will be prescribed an individualised exercise programme which includes moderate intensity aerobic exercise, resistance training exercises and stretching of the major muscle groups. The most common forms of exercise which will be prescribed will be walking and cycling. As per the American College of Sports Medicine and Exercise and Sports Science Australia guidelines the aim is for aerobic exercise to be undertaken 3–5 times per week (20–45 min duration) with resistance training performed 2–3 times per week.17 18 Clinical reasoning will be used during the follow-up appointments to adjust the exercise intensity depending on treatment symptoms and side effects experienced by the participant.

Aerobic training heart rate range goal will be between 50% and 80% of predicted heart rate max and rating of perceived exertion on Borg’s scale, with participants asked to maintain intensity between 12 and 15 (out of 20) throughout the session. Strength training will consist of 5–7 upper and lower limb exercises of the major muscle groups, starting at two sets of 10 repetitions. Strength training exercises incorporate body weight exercises, dumbbells and barbells. Initial progression of the programme involves increasing the number of repetitions per set from 10 to 12 to 15, then increasing sets from 2 to 3. Following increase in sets, weight is added for further resistance.

During both telephone and face-to-face sessions, the EP will monitor safety and exercise progress, and update the exercise prescription if required.

**Control**

Participants randomised to receive the active control will be provided with a copy of the Cancer Council New South Wales booklet ‘Exercise for people living with cancer’ which provides general information on exercise for people with cancer.19 After 24 weeks, participants in the control group will receive a face-to-face session with the EP to provide information about accessing community-based exercise supports via their general practitioner.

**Outcomes**

Study outcomes were designed to determine whether a multi-site efficacy RCT is feasible. Table 2 details each outcome, objective, data collection method and where relevant, pre-specified feasibility criteria.

**Data collection and management**

Data pertaining to each study outcome will be collected and recorded using four main methods: project operations database, custom EP data collection instrument, patient-reported outcome measures, and qualitative interviews. Data relating to iMove impact (patient-reported outcome measures and physical assessment) will be collected across five time points, outlined in detail in table 1.

The project operations database is designed to collect data as per Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) guidelines20 and feasibility outcomes, namely recruitment and ineligibility. The EP log is a custom-built workbook designed for the EPs to record safety and physical assessment outcomes, and to document prescribed exercise programme activities, consultation (face-to-face and telephone) discussions, exercise-related adverse events and adherence and acceptability data. Additional feasibility outcome data regarding acceptability and adherence will be collected via participant and EP qualitative interviews at the conclusion of trial participation.

Physical assessment data will be collected and recorded in the EP data collection instrument using the 30s chair stand test21; the 6 min walk test22; the arm curl test23 and the Australia-modified Karnofsky Performance Scale.24 Semi-structured interviews will be undertaken by the research assistant 1:1 with the EP and participant randomised to the intervention group. The interview will comprise questions on the EP experience and perspectives regarding the feasibility and acceptability of the intervention, barriers and facilitators to adherence to the exercise programme in particular immunotherapy-related fatigue and what could be improved if the intervention was part of a larger RCT.

Patient-reported outcome measures will be emailed to participants to complete using the REDCap data management programme,25 and will comprise: the functional assessment of chronic illness therapy; brief fatigue inventory, Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Feasibility criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feasibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accrual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimate the recruitment rate and appraise reasons for ineligibility</td>
<td>Project operation database</td>
<td>On average, we will recruit 10 participants per month for 3 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adherence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate adherence to iMove face-to-face EP consultations</td>
<td>Recorded by EP</td>
<td>At least 75% of participants randomised to iMove will attend at least three of the first four face-to-face consultations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate adherence to iMove telephone EP consultations</td>
<td>Recorded by EP</td>
<td>At least 75% of participants randomised to iMove will attend at least three of the first four telephone consultations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate adherence to a personalised, prescribed exercise intervention</td>
<td>Recorded by EP; Participant qualitative interview, Physitrack</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acceptability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate the acceptability of the EP consultation sessions</td>
<td>Participant and EP qualitative interview</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate the acceptability of a personalised, prescribed exercise intervention</td>
<td>Recorded by EP; Participant and EP qualitative interview</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess the acceptability and appropriateness of study measures</td>
<td>Participant qualitative interview</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retention</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess the retention of participants at 16 weeks</td>
<td>Recorded by EP</td>
<td>At least 70% of participants will complete their physical assessment at T3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess the retention of participants at 16–24 weeks</td>
<td>PROMs completion</td>
<td>At least 70% of participants will complete their patient-reported outcome measures assessments at T3 through T5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine the number and type of exercise-related adverse events</td>
<td>Recorded by EP</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate the potential impact of iMove on fatigue and other patient-reported outcomes</td>
<td>Patient-reported outcome measures: ▶ FACIT-F ▶ BFI ▶ PROMIS ability to participate in social roles and activities—SF 6a ▶ SF-36 ▶ ESAS ▶ GLTPAQ</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate the potential impact of iMove on objective measures of fitness</td>
<td>Physical assessment: ▶ 30s chair stand test ▶ 6 min walk test ▶ Arm curl test</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BFI, Brief fatigue inventory; EP, exercise physiologist; ESAS, Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale; FACIT-F, Functional assessment of chronic illness therapy-fatigue; GLTPAQ, Godin Leisure-time Physical Activity Questionnaire; PROMs, patient-reported outcome measures; SF-36, Short Form 36 Health Survey Questionnaire; SF, Short Form.

Information System (PROMIS) V.2.0—ability to participate in social roles and activities 6a; Short Form 36 Health Survey Questionnaire; the revised Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale; the Godin Leisure-time Physical Activity Questionnaire (see Table 3 for more information).
Table 3  Detailed summary of patient-reported outcome measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Measure, scoring and interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>The 13-item FACIT-F assesses the intensity and impact of fatigue on daily life in the last 7 days. Respondents use a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from '0' (Not at all) to '4' (Very much) to rate each item.  Item generation and review involved patients and oncology specialists and psychometric evidence supports its use with cancer patients. Responses are summed to create a total score (possible range: 0 to 52). Higher scores reflect higher levels of fatigue and linking (crosswalk) tables between FACIT-F raw scores and PROMIS fatigue t-scores are available to facilitate the interpretation of scores, including cross-study comparisons.  The 9-item BFI assesses fatigue severity (now, as well as usual and worst during the past 24 hours) and interference (during the past 24 hours). Respondents use an 11-point numeric rating scale ranging from '0' (No fatigue/Does not interfere) to '10' (as bad as you can imagine/completely interferes). The mean of responses is used as a global score (possible range: from 0 to 10). Higher scores reflect worse fatigue. Item generation and review involved a multidisciplinary working group and psychometric evidence supports its use with cancer patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social role functioning</td>
<td>The 6-item PROMIS ability to participate in social roles and activities—SF 6a assesses the perceived ability to perform one's usual social roles and activities. Respondents use a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from '5' (Never) to '1' (Always) to rate each item. Responses are summed to create a raw score and then converted to a t-score using a conversion table. Higher scores represent fewer limitations (or better abilities). The ability to participate in social roles and activities—SF 6a provides an accurate and efficient measure of the targeted construct and is appropriate for use in oncology research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-related quality of life</td>
<td>The 36-item SF-36 is a generic health survey. Respondents use a variety of response formats to rate each items. Responses are summed to create eight scales and two summary measures: a physical and a mental component summary. Raw scores can be converted to t-scores using norm-based scoring algorithms. Psychometric evidence supports its use in a broad range of clinical and epidemiology research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer symptoms</td>
<td>The ESAS-r assesses nine core symptoms plus an additional symptom that respondents can add, if relevant. Respondents use an 11-point numeric rating scale ranging from '0' (no) to '10' (worst possible) to rate the average intensity of each symptom over the past 24 hours. Higher scores reflect greater intensity of each individual symptom. The ESAS-r is one of the most widely used symptom assessment tools in research and clinical practice and responses can be recoded using the typical threshold of concern for moderate or clinically relevant symptom burden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in exercise</td>
<td>The 4-item GLTEQ assesses participation in exercise over the last 7 days. The first three items gather information on times per week the respondent engages in mild, moderate and/or strenuous exercise for more than 15 min. A simple equation is used to calculate a weekly leisure activity score for each respondent; in this case: (9×bouts of strenuous activity) + (5×bouts of moderate activity) + (3×bouts of light activity). Higher scores reflect greater activity. The GLTEQ is one of the most widely used measures of its type in oncology research and scores can be used to classify respondents into active and insufficiently active categories according to published physical activity guidelines for people affected by cancer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BFI, brief fatigue inventory; ESAS-r, revised Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale; FACIT-F, Functional assessment of chronic illness therapy; GLTEQ, Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire; SF-36, Short Form 36 Health Survey Questionnaire; SF, Short Form.
Data analysis plan

Feasibility outcomes

The main feasibility outcomes are recruitment and retention. The main acceptability outcome is adherence to the iMove consultation sessions. Recruitment data will be summarised using a rate and 95% CI using the Poisson distribution.7 Adherence and retention data will be summarised using a proportion and 95% CI; the latter will be estimated using the Wilson method.26 The main feasibility outcomes will be judged against prespecified criteria (table 2). Time spent by the EPs completing intervention-related activity will be recorded in the EP data collection instrument, including total time spent per patient and activity-specific time such as intervention preparation. Means and SD will be used to summarise time data. Counts and percentages will be used to summarise data on exercise-related adverse events.

Counts and percentages will be used to summarise data on missing items and forms or tests for patient-reported outcome measures and objective measures of fitness, respectively. Patient-reported outcome measures will be scored according to author guidelines. Means, SD and ranges will be used to summarise scores for patient-reported outcome measures and objective measures of fitness at each time point by trial arm. Analysis will include all available data. Values for missing forms (or measures) will not be imputed.

Analysis of patient-reported outcome measures data and objective measures of fitness will be carried out by fitting a linear mixed model to each outcome separately. Models will include fixed effects for trial arm, time and a trial arm-by-time interaction, as well as a random participant effect. Baseline assessment will be included as a covariate. Differences between trial arms at post-baseline assessments will be calculated from these models.27

Qualitative data

Data recorded by EPs (described in table 1) will be analysed using content analysis methodology. Content analysis allows for exploration of phenomenon such as motivation, experiences and views of participants in order to answer clinically relevant health questions.28 Data will be classified into codes, categories and (where relevant) themes using an iterative process. At the conclusion of analysis, findings will be presented and discussed with members of the project team. Discrepancies will be discussed and resolved until consensus with the coding framework is reached.

Interviews with EP’s and patients will be audio-recorded, transcribed and analysed using interpretive description methodology.29 Interpretive description was selected for analysis as it is useful for exploring experiential data as it allows for deeper and richer thematic exploration of the data rather than sorting and summing common statements, and provides practical outcomes for clinical health services improvement.29

Data management

Demographic, questionnaire and clinical data will be recorded on hard-copy forms, and then entered into a database built and stored on the hospital REDCap platform. Only key project personnel including the research assistant, data manager, principal investigator and project manager will have access to hard-copy and electronic data, in accordance with the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research 2007 (updated 2018) and the Australian Code for Responsible Conduct of Research 2018. During the data collection period, regular quality assurance will be undertaken to ensure accuracy, precision and completeness of trial data. At the conclusion of data collection, export and analysis, the project will be archived on the REDCap platform with backups stored as password-protected files on hospital secure servers, and hard-copy forms will be placed in secure storage. Five years after publication or dissemination of project outcomes, hard-copy and electronic data will be destroyed.

Dissemination

The findings from this trial will be disseminated via normal academic channels such as conference presentations and publications in peer-reviewed journals but also by engagement with clinicians, media, government and consumers. In particular, we will seek to promote the outcomes of this work among the broader oncology community around the benefits of exercise for patients receiving immunotherapy. Results from this work will also be fed back to consumers via our partner patient advocacy groups, and via trial participants. Appropriate publications will be identified and planned by the project steering committee but will include a publication of the protocol design, results based on the main protocol using the trial group name, and subsequent publications of data subsets only if appropriate.

Discussion

This feasibility study will provide preliminary data on the safety of exercise in people with melanoma on immunotherapy. This data will provide practitioners reassurance they can supervise exercise to mediate cancer-related fatigue in this population, provided an initial assessment is first undertaken, and the exercise prescription tailored to the individual participant. It will also provide some indication of the likely effect of exercise on a range of physical and psycho-social endpoints.
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