Online Supplemental Material

Supp	lemental	Table	1.
------	----------	-------	----

Demographic characteristics of respondents, N – 763

44 ± 15 (18-86) Age Mean ± SD (range)

Sex		
Male	43.5	332
Female	52.0	397
Other	0.3	2
Did not answer	4.2	32
Highest level of education		
Some college but no degree	24.9%	190
Professional degree (JD, MD)	3.5%	27
Master's degree	11.1%	85
Less than high school degree	5.4%	41
High school graduate (high school diploma or equivalent		
including GED)	16.6%	127
Doctoral degree	1.8%	14
Bachelor's degree in college (4-year)	27.3%	208
Associate degree in college (2-year)	9.2%	70
Did not answer	.1%	1

Household income

Less than £10,000	4.9%	37	
£10,000 to £19,999	15.4%	116	
£20,000 to £29,999	22.1%	166	
£30,000 to £39,999	15.9%	120	
£40,000 to £49,999	11.8%	89	
£50,000 to £59,999	8.3%	63	
£60,000 to £69,999	6.5%	49	
£70,000 to £79,999	4.1%	31	
£80,000 to £89,999	2.0%	15	
£90,000 to £99,999	1.7%	13	
£100,000 to			
£149,999	4.3%	33	
£150,000 or more	2.5%	19	
Did not answer	1.6%	12	
Employment status			
Working (paid employ	71.0%	537	
Working (self-employe	8.6%	65	
Not working (tempora	ry layoff fro	om	
a job)	3.4%	26	
Not working (looking f	2.7%	21	
Not working (retired)	7.5%	57	
Not working (disabled	1.1%	9	

Not working (other)	4.8%	37
Prefer not to answer	0.5%	4
Did not answer	.9%	7

Supplemental Figure 1a



Supplemental Figure 1a. Respondent choices in a triage dilemma involving withholding treatment from one of two patients with different degrees of pre-existing frailty but equivalent survival chances and life expectancy.

There was a significant difference in the distribution of answers between scenarios. **1.** Severe/moderate vs severe/mild, X^2 (3, N = 763) = 6.583, p = .32 **2.** Severe/moderate vs moderate/none X^2 (3, N = 763) = 19.85, p < .001 **3.** Severe/moderate vs mild/none X^2 (3, N = 763) = 95.669, p < .001 **4.** Moderate/none vs mild/none X^2 (3, N = 763) = 69.210, p < .001.



Supplemental Figure 1b. Respondent choices in a triage dilemma involving withholding treatment from one of two patients with different degrees of pre-existing frailty but equivalent survival chances, life expectancy or age. In the first two scenarios, the more frail patient had lower survival chance/life expectancy. In the third scenario, the more frail patient was younger.

Severe/mild with reduced life expectancy vs same life expectancy: X^2 (3, N = 763) = 95.258, p < .001; Severe/mild with increased chance of dying vs same chance: X^2 (3, N = 763) = 20. 85, p < .001